




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Hydrogen Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/heNovel Ni–Ru/CeO₂ catalysts for low-temperature steam reforming of methaneG. Sorbino^a, A. Di Benedetto^b, C. Italiano^c, M. Thomas^c, A. Vita^c, G. Ruoppolo^a, G. Landi^{a,*}^a Institute of Science and Technology for Sustainable Energy and Mobility - CNR, Naples, Italy^b Dipartimento di Ingegneria Chimica, Dei Materiali e Della Produzione Industriale – Università Degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Naples, Italy^c Institute for Advanced Energy Technologies of the National Research Council (CNR-ITAE), Via S. Lucia Sopra Conesse 5, 98126, Messina (ME), Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Ibrahim Dincer

Keywords:

Methane steam reforming

Hydrogen

Low temperature

Nickel

Ceria

Ruthenium

ABSTRACT

Upgrading of biogas and biomethane into H₂-rich streams by steam reforming is regarded as an effective strategy to reduce fossil fuel consumption contributing to the transition towards a green energy system. In this context, novel reactor configurations such as membrane reactors appear a promising route for process intensification, but they require novel catalysts more active at low temperatures, stable, and resistant to coke formation. In this work, we prepared and tested structured catalysts characterized by a low Ni content (7 wt%) and a very low Ru content (≤ 1 wt%) supported on ceria and deposited onto SiC monoliths. Catalysts were tested at low temperatures (< 600 °C), i.e. at temperatures suitable for applications in Pd-based membrane reactors. Fresh and used catalysts were characterized by ICP-MS, N₂ physisorption, XRD, TEM, SEM-EDS, XPS and H₂-TPR to identify the physicochemical properties affecting the catalytic activity. The catalysts showed good activity towards methane reforming, stable performance, and good resistance to coke formation. Ruthenium affects both the intrinsic catalytic activity and the resistance to the inhibiting effect of steam on the reaction rate. This is related to improved redox properties due to the intimacy between the active metals and their strong-metal-support-interaction with the ceria. Finally, our catalysts show self-activation under reaction conditions, which is an interesting property for applications.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen is a crucial energy carrier, and its production from both fossil and renewable sources is pivotal for the future energy system [1]. Currently, hydrogen production is predominantly performed using fossil sources, primarily methane gas in the steam methane reforming (SMR) process. An intriguing topic is the enhancement of the value of biogas and biomethane, as effective means to reduce fossil fuel consumption and contribute to the transition towards a green energy system [2], that can be converted into H₂-rich streams by steam reforming as well.

In the energy transition phase, blue hydrogen (by steam reforming of natural gas) is the short-to-medium-term opportunity, while green hydrogen represents the long term solution [3]. Moreover, by replacing natural gas with biogas or biomethane, hydrogen production characterized by low (or even negative through CCS) carbon emissions can be achieved.

Reforming reactions, as endothermic reactions, are thermodynamically favored at high temperatures and occur with an increase in the

number of moles, making them favorable at low pressures. Moreover, the carbon monoxide content in the exiting stream is relatively high and, consequently, it has to be reduced through the two water gas shift (WGS) stages and a purification stage. To simplify the process scheme novel reactor configurations have been explored. In particular, simultaneous hydrogen separation in membrane reactors has been proposed [4]. In these reactors, thanks to the removal of hydrogen through membranes, the thermodynamic equilibrium of the reaction is shifted towards the products and then the same or even higher conversions can be achieved with respect to the traditional process [5–7].

Studies on membrane reactors for methane reforming have focused on palladium-based membranes due to their high-temperature application and hydrogen selectivity at 99.99% [4,5]. However, the limitations of palladium-based membranes in reforming processes are mainly related to their long-term instability, especially at temperatures exceeding 600 °C.

In this context, the development of novel catalysts more active at low temperatures can pave the way for the development of effective

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gianluca.landi@stems.cnr.it (G. Landi).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.07.385>

Received 27 February 2024; Received in revised form 1 July 2024; Accepted 25 July 2024

0360-3199/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

processes based on membrane reactors.

Nickel-based catalysts have been the most used catalysts due to their high catalytic activity, good availability and relatively low price [8,9]. Despite their long application in traditional methane steam reforming processes, some of the major issues including sintering, carbon deposition, and sulphur poisoning still need to be investigated [10–13]. Many innovative strategies have been developed recently to overcome some of these challenges, such as the addition of promoters, including noble metals (Pt, Ru, Rh) [14–19], and coinage metals (Au, Ag, and Cu) [11, 20–23], and alkali metals (K) [24], the former being the most active systems.

In this respect, bi/polymetallic catalysts gained increasing attention in recent years [9,11,25], and the synergistic effect has been studied experimentally and numerically, also by combining doping and supporting on “non-traditional” supports. For instance, Ru–Ni catalysts have been tested by Jeong et al. [26] to study the promoting effect of Ru to Ni/Al₂O₃ and Ni/MgAl₂O₄ systems. A small amount of Ru significantly improved the fouling resistance and redox properties of nickel, especially on Ni/MgAl₂O₄. Similarly, Li and co-workers carried out several studies on the doping effect of noble metals (Ru, Rh, Pt, and Pd) on Ni/Mg(Al)O catalysts in a daily start-up and shut-down operation [27–32]. Noble metal doping improved the reducibility of the catalyst by affecting nickel reduction temperature and oxygen release. However, there is not a consensus on the positive effect of Ru. In fact, Morales-Cano et al. [16] showed that, while Rh and Ir form Rh–Ni and Ir–Ni alloy that could enhance both the activity and sintering resistance of Ni/Al₂O₃, the formation of Ru–Ni is more difficult due to the lower miscibility of Ru in Ni. Kim et al. [33] demonstrated that Ru addition increased the stability of Ni catalyst by enhancing Ni dispersion and reducibility due to the interaction between metals and hydrogen spillover from Ru. Similar results were reported by Fazlikeshteli et al. [34], according to which, the greater synergy between Ni and Ru led to higher activity and stability of the RuNi/CeO₂ catalyst towards the catalytic partial oxidation of methane.

The catalyst activity and resistance to coke formation are also significantly affected by the support material [35–37]. The most commonly supports (Al₂O₃, CeO₂, ZrO₂, TiO₂, MgO) are usually required to overcome the rapid Ni-based catalyst deactivation, improve the activity and stability of the catalysts, enhance catalyst resistance to oxidation, improve thermal stability and mechanical strength [38]. As reviewed by Manan et al. [39], many studies revealed that catalysts containing or supported on ceria exhibit superior performance due to the ceria excellent redox properties and oxygen storage capacity. As a matter of fact, CeO₂ can readily release lattice oxygen species through reduction from Ce⁴⁺ to Ce³⁺, promoting the oxidation of intermediate carbon species [39]. The reasons for the great interest in ceria include not only its excellent redox capacity, and remarkable oxygen storage and release capabilities but also its environmental compatibility. García-Vargas et al. [40] showed that Ni/CeO₂ had better catalytic activity, stability, and conversion rates than Ni/Al₂O₃ due to its higher reducibility. Moreover, the oxygen vacancies and Ce³⁺ cations formed under reducing atmosphere activate C–O bonds dissociation and promote CO₂ activation on the nickel sites close to the interface between the metal and the support [41–43]. This reduces the deposition of carbon deposits and promotes catalyst stability during the methane reforming process.

Combining doping with ruthenium and supporting on ceria appears an interesting strategy to further improve the catalytic properties of Ni-based catalysts towards methane steam reforming in terms of intrinsic activity, stability, and resistance to coking. It is worth noting that ruthenium is the cheapest among the noble metals [44]. Moreover, issues related to sintering and unmixing of Ni and Ru, should not be detected at low temperatures, typical of membrane reactors. Zakrzewski et al. [454] demonstrated that the simultaneous presence of Ru and CeO₂ promotes the activity of Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst due to the formation of Ru–Ni clusters, the greater Ni dispersion, and the presence of Ru particles themselves acting as active sites. However, the Authors did not

investigate the effect of Ru loading (fixed at 1 wt%); moreover, Ni content was high (20 wt%) and the stability of this catalyst was not tested.

The aim of the present work is to evaluate the effect of Ru loading on Ni catalysts supported on ceria with low Ni content (7 wt%) towards methane steam reforming. More specifically, the low-temperature activity (T < 600 °C) will be investigated for applications in membrane reactors. Catalytic activity was studied on structured catalysts. The active phase was dispersed onto SiC monoliths, exhibiting high thermal conductivity and mechanical resistance, low specific weight, and chemical inertia. It is worth noting that recovery of the active phase is extremely easy through acid or basic washing, reducing the investment cost of the process for the final disposal of the spent catalyst and the full reuse of the support [46]. In addition, the use of monolith-based catalysts for reforming reactions could improve catalytic performance providing many advantages such as high surface-to-volume ratio, low pressure drop, good mass and heat transfer [47,48]. Fresh and used catalysts were characterized by several techniques (ICP-MS, N₂ physisorption, XRD, TEM, SEM-EDS, XPS, H₂-TPR) to identify the physico-chemical properties affecting the catalytic activity. Specific transient reaction tests were carried out to highlight the role of ruthenium in the reaction mechanism.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Both structured and reference powder catalysts were prepared according to the procedures reported in Section S.1.1 (Supplementary materials). Table 1 shows the compositions of the prepared catalysts and the corresponding labels.

Before catalytic tests, catalyst reduction with H₂ is expected to convert nickel oxide into its metallic form, which is the actual active phase [49]. In this work, the catalysts will be tested without a pre-reduction step.

2.2. Activity tests

The structured catalysts (composed of 3 g of SiC and approximately 1.1 g of Ni–Ru/CeO₂) were tested in the experimental setup described elsewhere [50]. A detailed description is reported in Section S.1.2 (Supplementary Materials).

Catalytic tests were performed at fixed contact time, defined as the (catalyst weight)/(flow rate) ratio and equal to 0.08 g s cm^{−3}. The reaction temperature varied from 450 to 550 °C.

The effects of temperature and feed composition on methane conversion were calculated as:

$$x_{CH_4} = \frac{CH_4^N - CH_4^{OUT}}{CH_4^N}$$

where CH_4^N and CH_4^{OUT} are the inlet and outlet methane concentrations measured by the analysis system on dry basis. Due to the high dilution of gaseous streams used in this work, the change of the total mole number

Table 1

Labels, nominal compositions (wt. %), specific surface areas (SSA; m² g^{−1}) of the prepared catalysts.

Sample	Ni	Ru	SSA
Ni	7.0	–	29
NiRu0.2	7.0	0.2	32
NiRu0.5	7.0	0.5	32
NiRu0.7	7.0	0.7	27
NiRu1.0	7.0	1.0	26
Ru1.0	–	1.0	25

* Weight percentages are reported with respect to the weight of the active phase.

can be considered negligible, i.e. the overall flow rate can be considered constant independently from the methane conversion. The carbon mass balance (MB_C) was calculated as:

$$MB_C = \frac{CH_4^{IN} - (CH_4^{OUT} + CO_2^{OUT} + CO^{OUT})}{CH_4^{IN}}$$

where CO_2^{OUT} and CO^{OUT} are the outlet CO_2 and CO concentrations measured by the analysis system on dry basis. Carbon balance was closed within $\pm 5\%$.

CO conversion was defined and calculated as:

$$x_{CO}^{WGS} = \frac{CO^{IN,SR} - CO^{OUT}}{CO^{IN,SR}}$$

where $CO^{IN,SR}$ is the concentration of CO produced solely from the steam reforming of methane, following the stoichiometry of eq. (1), i.e.:

$$CO^{IN,SR} = x_{CH_4} CH_4^{IN}$$

Cyclic reduction and oxidation tests were conducted on the reference powder catalysts in the experimental setup described in Ref. [51]. A detailed description is reported in Section 1.2 (Supplementary Materials). Each cycle involves an oxidation phase, in which the sample is exposed to a stream of water vapor in nitrogen, and a reduction phase, in which a methane/nitrogen stream is fed onto the oxidized sample. The composition of the feed mixture is 8% H_2O in N_2 and 4% CH_4 in N_2 at constant temperature (500 °C) and contact time is equal to 0.09 g s cm^{-3} . Each test is composed of two cycles. The amount of consumed and produced species were calculated by the numerical integration of the concentration profiles measured at the reactor outlet (integration performed by OriginPro 8.5 software) and then calculating the moles of each species according to the following equations:

$$A_i = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} c_i(t) dt$$

$$n_i = \frac{F \cdot A_i \cdot P}{100 \cdot R \cdot T}$$

Where A_i is the area (vol%-s) of the concentration profile of the i species, t_i and t_f (s) are the initial and final integration time respectively, $c_i(t)$ (vol%) is the concentration of the i species at time t (for reactants $c_i(t)$ is equal to $c^0 - c_j(t)$, where c^0 is the feed concentration and $c_j(t)$ is the measured concentration at time t), n_i (mol) is the amount of consumed/produced species, F (l/s) is the total flow rate (measured at atmospheric pressure and temperature), P (atm) is the atmospheric pressure, R ($atm \cdot l \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$) is the universal gas constant, T (K) is the atmospheric temperature.

Equilibrium calculations were performed using Aspen Plus (Aspen-Tech). The equilibrium was calculated using Gibbs free energy under the specified operating conditions.

2.3. Materials characterizations

The fresh and used catalysts were characterized using ICP-MS, SEM-EDS, XRD, N_2 -physisorption, NH_3 -TPD, CO_2 -TPD, H_2 -TPR, and TEM analysis. The catalyst composition was determined by ICP-MS analysis using an Agilent 7500CE instrument. The results (Table S2) show that the measured metallic contents correspond to the nominal values within the experimental error.

The internal morphology of monolith channels was observed using an FEI Inspect scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) probe for the elemental mapping.

XRD spectra were collected with an XRD diffractometer, PANalytical X'Pert Pro. The measurements were carried out with a step size of 0.02° and a counting time of 80 s per step.

Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) SSA of fresh and used materials were

measured by N_2 adsorption at 77 K with a Quantachrome Autosorb-1C instrument after degassing the samples at 150 °C for 1.5 h.

Temperature-programmed desorptions of ammonia (NH_3 -TPD) and carbon dioxide (CO_2 -TPD) were performed by a Micromeritics Autochem II 2020 (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA) equipped with a TC detector (Norcross, GA, USA). profiles were deconvolved using Origin 8.5 software.

Temperature-Programmed Reduction (TPR) was performed on the reference powder catalysts in the same test rig used for steady-state and cyclic experimental tests on powder samples. All the catalysts were pre-treated in a 20 vol% O_2/N_2 stream at 600 °C. During TPR, catalysts were reduced in a 2 vol% H_2/N_2 mixture (flow rate: 35 l/h) heating up to 600 °C (heating rate: 10 °C/min). Temperature-Programmed Oxidation (TPO) was carried out on structured catalysts at the end of the experimental campaign. During TPO, the used catalysts were exposed to a 2 vol% O_2/N_2 stream (flow rate: 35 l/h) heating up to 600 °C (heating rate: 10 °C/min). Reduction and oxidation profiles were deconvoluted using OriginPro 8.5 software.

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping images were obtained using a cold field transmission electron microscope (JEM-F200, Jeol, Japan) at an operating voltage of 200 kV.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted on a SPECS system equipped with a XR50 source operating at 150 W and a Phoibos 150 MCD-9 detector. The analysis chamber was maintained at a pressure below 10–7 Pa. The binding energy (BE) values were measured with pass energy of 25 eV and energy step of 0.1 eV. Quantification of surface composition was based on the peak fitting and normalization of Ni (2p 3/2), Ce (3d 5/2 and 3/2), Ru (3p 3/2), and O1s primary peaks.

3. Results

3.1. Characterizations

The BET surface areas of the fresh samples are given in Table 1. In comparison to the support (ceria: 137 $m^2 g^{-1}$) [52], the specific surface areas (SSAs) of the prepared catalysts are markedly lower. This is due the heat treatment at 550 °C; in fact, pure ceria nanoparticles calcined at 550 °C show an SSA equal to 35 m^2/g . Used samples exhibit SSA similar to the fresh ones, suggesting that no structure changes occur under reaction conditions.

SEM/EDS results on Ni and NiRu0.7 are reported in Figure S4 (Supplementary Materials). Images recorded on other samples are similar and are not reported. Continuous distribution of ceria is detected, suggesting the penetration of ceria into the SiC walls. This is due to the nanometric size of ceria, whose characteristic dimension is significantly lower than that of SiC pores (11 μm) [53].

Metals distribution along the channel appears quite homogeneous with a good overlap of Ni and Ru. This means that a segregation of the metals cannot be observed. However, a detailed discussion of their interaction requires a deeper investigation.

The XRD patterns of fresh catalysts (shown in Figure S5) show typical peaks of fluorite structure with reflections at $2\theta = 28.7^\circ, 32.9^\circ, 47.5^\circ,$ and 56.0° (JCPDS card n° 43–1002), as expected for CaF_2 systems. No diffraction peak due to ruthenium oxide was detected. Only peaks attributed to the ceria structure are observed on the Ru1.0 sample. On all other samples, diffraction peaks related to nickel oxide were also detected, with reflections at $2\theta = 37.3^\circ, 43.3^\circ, 62.9^\circ$ (JCPDS card n° 04–0835).

In Table 2, quantitative XRD analysis data for the fresh samples are presented. In the mixed samples, an increase in the Ru content is accompanied by an increment in the cell parameter of ceria. This suggests that a portion of Ru may be incorporated into the fluorite structure, leading to increased distortion. Concerning NiO, there is no observed difference in the cell parameter with the increase in Ru content, whereas a noticeable difference appears in the crystallite size of NiO, suggesting

Table 2
Quantitative XRD analysis of fresh samples.

Sample	CeO ₂		NiO		τ (Å)
	2 θ (°)	d (nm)	2 θ (°)	d (nm)	
Ni	28.633	0,31175	43,31	0,20893	5,00
NiRu0.2	28.639	0,31169	43,27	0,20909	6,02
NiRu0.5	28.623	0,31186	43,34	0,20877	4,58
NiRu0.7	28.623	0,31186	43,36	0,20868	3,70
NiRu1.0	28.596	0,31214	43,26	0,20916	6,36
Ru1.0	28.679	0,31126	-	-	-

an increase in dispersion with the rise in Ru content up to 0.7%, in agreement with previous works [53].

In Figure S6, the XRD pattern of the fresh and used NiRu0.2 catalyst is shown as representative of all other catalysts. The fluorite structure remains unchanged in the used catalyst, but the peaks associated with NiO disappear, and those related to metallic Ni ($2\theta = 44.5^\circ, 51.86^\circ$; JCPDS card n° 04-0850) arise. In the used sample, the interplanar distance of ceria is 0.3123 nm. The crystallite size of Ni is comparable to that of the initial NiO, suggesting that there is no redistribution of Ni following the reaction.

Low-magnification (a-c,g-i) and high-magnification (d-f,j-l) HR-TEM images of used samples are shown in Fig. 1. All samples exhibited




Fig. 1. Low-magnification (a-c,g-i) and high-magnification (d-f,j-l) HR-TEM images of (a,d) Ni, (b,e) NiRu0.2, (c,f) NiRu0.5, (g,j) NiRu0.7, (h,k) NiRu1.0, and (i,l) Ru1.0 catalysts.

agglomerates of almost spherical nanoparticles, which form a mesoporous network. High-magnification TEM images in Fig. 1 d–f, j–l display a variety of lattice fringes with an average interplanar spacing of 0,312, 0,203 and 0,206 nm, indexed to the mainly exposed (111) crystal planes of CeO₂ (JCPDS card no. 431002), (111) crystal planes of Ni (JCPDS card no. 040850), and (101) crystal planes of Ru (JCPDS card no. 060663), respectively. Overall, these observations are consistent with the XRD analysis (Figure S5). The dispersion and interaction of metallic nanoparticles, as well as the elemental distribution across the catalytic samples, were further investigated through additional TEM analysis. Fig. 2 shows HR-TEM images (a–c), coupled with STEM-EDX elemental mapping (d–h) of used NiRu0.7 catalyst. The latter is essential to provide valuable information about the location of the catalytically active Ni and noble metal (Ru) phases and, consequently, about the presence of Ni–Ru alloy formation [54]. The composition based on the EDX data was found to be 1.7 wt% (Ru), 23.1 wt% (Ni), and 47.9 wt% (Ce), quite in accordance with the nominal composition and signal of a high Ru distribution on the CeO₂ support. As a first evidence, Ru is located both on the CeO₂ support and on the metallic Ni-rich particles (Fig. 2f–h). Moreover, it appears to be present as small Ru clusters (10–20 nm) or even as highly dispersed Ru single atoms (Fig. 2h). Ru clusters appear to be closely attached to the Ni phase (Fig. 2g and h), resulting in the formation of substantial inter-element interfaces [55]. However, the partial existence of Ru as Ni–Ru alloy or heterostructure could not be excluded due to the high overlap between Ru single atoms and Ni phase (Fig. 2f–h). Our observations agree with literature results previously reported for Ni–Ru bimetallic catalysts [54,56,57].

XPS was performed to investigate the chemical composition and the environment at the surface of the as-prepared NiRu0.7 catalyst. The XPS spectra of the Ce3d region (Fig. 3a) is deconvoluted into ten peaks, whose energies are the typical values for Ce(IV) and Ce(III). The peaks denoted as v (881.3 eV), v'' (887.9 eV), v''' (897.2 eV), u (899.7 eV), u'' (906.4 eV), and u''' (915.2 eV) are attributed to the Ce⁴⁺ species, while v⁰ (878.8 eV), v' (883.8 eV), u⁰ (898.7 eV), and u' (902.3 eV) correspond to Ce³⁺ species [58–61]. The ratio of Ce³⁺ ion peaks area to that of the total Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ ions peaks area, revealed that ca. 11% of the ceria surface consisted of Ce3p species. This could be ascribed to the formation of oxygen vacancies into the ceria lattice caused by the insertion of metal Ru cations, in accordance with XRD results [61,62]. The XPS spectra in the O1s region (Fig. 3b) showed two distinct peaks ascribable to the surface lattice oxygen (O_l, 528.3 eV) and the surface hydroxyl groups or adsorbed oxygen (O_b, 529.7 eV) [63,65]. The Ni2p spectra (Fig. 3c) is deconvoluted into three peaks: two adjacent bands with maximum at 852.9 eV and 854.6 eV, labelled as Ni(II) and Ni(II)*, and a broad satellite peak at 860.2 eV, consistent with the presence of Ni2+ species [64,66]. This double peaked structure suggest the presence of surface Ni species with different environments. In particular, the peak at highest binding energy (Ni(II)) was ascribed to presence of NiO highly dispersed on the support surface which created higher metal-support interaction. Instead, the second feature on the low binding-energy side (Ni(II)*) belonged to bigger NiO particles, being their photoemission affected by the presence of Ni cations as next-nearest neighbors [67,68]. Moreover, no peak corresponding to reduced nickel (Ni⁰) was detected (Fig. 3c), suggesting that Ni species were almost not reduced under the adopted conditions, in agreement with XRD results (Figure S5). The Ru 3p XPS spectra were analyzed due to the difficulty in distinguishing Ru3d signal from C1s signal. The fitting revealed the presence of four peaks, the two located at 462,2 and 484.4 eV corresponded to Ru in the zero valence state whereas the other two at 464.3 and 486.3 eV evidenced the presence of Ru at high valence state, Ru(IV) [63,64,69].

3.2. Temperature programmed measurements

Powder samples were used to evaluate the redox properties. Each sample underwent a Temperature-Programmed Reduction (TPR) in hydrogen. It is essential to emphasize that the activity tests presented in

the following sections were performed on calcined catalysts without any reducing pretreatment, which is typical for nickel catalysts used in steam reforming [70].

To evaluate the actual oxidation state of nickel and ruthenium under reaction conditions, TPR after a reaction test was conducted on the powder catalysts. Before the reaction tests, each sample was pretreated in a 20 vol% O₂/N₂ stream to ensure the full oxidation of metals. A reaction test is then carried out on powder samples in the experimental rig used for H₂-TPR measurements under the same reaction conditions reported in Section 3.3, followed by an H₂-TPR to identify any differences between pre- and post-reaction states. It is worth noting that the catalytic performance obtained on the powder samples are comparable with those reported in Section 3.3.

On the fresh Ni sample (Fig. 4 left), a single reduction peak is observed at 330 °C, associated with the reduction of nickel oxide into its respective metallic state [71]. Hydrogen consumption on the used Ni sample (Fig. 4 left) is negligible, suggesting its full reduction under the reaction conditions.

On the Ru1.0 sample (Fig. 4 right), a single reduction peak is observed at 122 °C, associated with the transition from ruthenium oxide (RuO₂) to metallic ruthenium (Ru) [72]. As occurred on Ni, the used sample does not undergo measurable reduction, suggesting that it is fully reduced under the reaction mixture.

The corresponding hydrogen consumptions are given in Table 3. The ratio of actual to theoretical H₂ consumption is greater than 1, suggesting that the reduction degree of the two monometallic catalysts is greater than 100%. The observed “over-reduction” of the sample may be addressed to the reduction of the ceria beyond that of Ni and/or Ru oxides [73,74].

For the “mixed” fresh catalysts, Fig. 5 shows two reduction peaks, at low (~100 °C) and high temperature (~300 °C), both slightly lower than those corresponding to the peaks of the two monometallic catalysts. This result suggests a synergistic behavior, since each metal slightly increases the reducibility of the other, in agreement with literature results [71,73,75]. The high intimacy between Ni and Ru clusters shown by TEM results, explains the enhanced Ni reducibility in the bimetallic system.

As shown in Table 3 and Figure S7, even for the bimetallic catalysts, the H₂/H_{2,r} ratio is greater than 1, due to ceria contribution. Table 3 and Figure S7 show the ratios between the actual H₂ consumption of each peak and the theoretical consumptions due to the complete reduction of Ru (H₂/H_{2,Ru}) and Ni (H₂/H_{2,Ni}). Interestingly, at low Ru content higher H₂/H_{2,Ru} ratios are measured; this can be related to a higher Ru dispersion, thus significantly improving Ru-ceria interaction. On the other hand, H₂/H_{2,Ni} is quite constant with a slight increase at high Ru loads, confirming the beneficial effect of metallic Ru on the Ni reducibility, due to the high intimacy detected by TEM. Thus, the improved redox properties of NiRu catalysts can be addressed to both metal-metal interaction and to metal-ceria interaction, in accordance with XRD and XPS results. The latter is a well-known phenomenon, reported in the literature as strong-metal-support-interaction (SMSI) [76] and electronic metal support interaction (EMSI) [77].

TPR analysis conducted on used powders (Fig. 5) shows a marginal H₂ consumption at low temperatures, while the high-temperature peak disappears, indicating no H₂ consumption due to NiO reduction, in agreement with the XRD results. This result suggests that, under reaction conditions, the catalyst tends to self-reduce to the metallic phases, which are active for the steam reforming reaction, confirming the self-activation of the proposed catalysts. This phenomenon is reported in the literature [26,53,74], but our catalysts show self-reduction under milder conditions.

It is worth noting that the powder catalyst undergoing activity tests showed methane conversions and product distributions comparable to those found in activity tests on the monoliths (see Section 3.3).

Selected used catalysts (Ni, Ru1.0, and NiRu1.0) were further characterized by NH₃ and CO₂ TPD to titrate both acid and basic sites.




Fig. 2. HR-TEM images at different magnifications (a–c), bright-field image (BFI, d), and EDX elemental mapping (e–h) of NiRu_{0.7} catalyst.




Fig. 3. XPS spectra of Ce 3d, O 1s, Ni 2p, and Ru 3p regions of as-prepared NiRu0.7 sample.




Fig. 4. H₂-TPR profiles on fresh (black lines) and used (red lines) Ni and Ru1.0 catalysts. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Desorption profiles are reported in Figure S8, while desorbed amounts are reported in Table 4.

According to Refs. [78,79], weak, medium, and strong acidity are related to desorption peaks at temperatures <300 °C, 300–500 °C, and >500 °C respectively. NH₃ desorption profiles (Figure S8, bottom) show

a pronounced low temperature acidity on both Ni-containing catalysts. The medium acidity is very different among the samples. Ni shows a very large desorption peak, which is significantly reduced on NiRu1.0. On Ru1.0 a wider desorption peak, centered at higher temperatures, is detected. NiRu1.0 shows the larger amount of strong acid sites, which

Table 3

Peak temperatures (°C), H₂ uptakes (mmol/g), and ratios between actual and theoretical H₂ consumptions for fresh catalysts.

Sample	T ^a	T ^b	H ₂ uptake ^a	H ₂ uptake ^b	H ₂ /H _{2,t}	H ₂ /H _{2,Ru}	H ₂ /H _{2,Ni}
Ni	/	330	/	1.70	1.43	/	1.43
NiRu0.2	113	317	0.150	1.68	1.49	3.79	1.41
NiRu0.5	116	299	0.242	1.65	1.46	2.45	1.38
NiRu0.7	113	305	0.362	1.94	1.73	2.61	1.63
NiRu1.0	112	291	0.338	1.96	1.65	1.71	1.64
Ru1.0	122	/	0.305	/	1.54	1.54	/

^a first peak.

^b second peak.

disappear on Ru1.0. In conclusion, Ru addition to Ni/CeO₂ reduces the overall acidity of the catalysts, mainly the medium one. With respect to the literature results [78–83] a straightforward comparison is very difficult due to the very different findings reported. For instance, more complex desorption profiles and larger NH₃ desorbed amounts (about 1 mmol/g) are reported on Ni/CeO₂ catalysts by Zhao et al. [79]; moreover, in this work the main contribution is related to strong acid sites. On the contrary, Ni et al. [84] on their Ni/CeO₂ reported the dominance of weak acid sites (no quantitative analysis is reported).

CO₂ TPD provides information about not only basic sites, but also the interaction between a reaction product (CO₂) and the catalyst surface. Ru1.0 shows a wide desorption that can be divided into two large peaks at about 330 and 500 °C. Ni shows a well-defined desorption at low temperatures, fitted with two peaks at about 90 and 150 °C, and a wide peak at about 400 °C. Ru addition to Ni/CeO₂ results in a reduction of the basicity of the sample, as occurred for the acidity. In particular, the




Fig. 5. H₂-TPR profiles on fresh (black lines) and used (red lines) NiRu catalysts. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Table 4

Peak temperatures (°C), NH₃ and CO₂ uptakes (mmol/g) for used catalysts.

		Ni		Ru1.0		NiRu1.0	
		Temp.	Amount	Temp.	Amount	Temp.	Amount
NH ₃	Peak	91	5.83·10 ⁻³	113	2.66·10 ⁻³	86	4.24·10 ⁻³
	Peak	205	1.36·10 ⁻²	250	1.83·10 ⁻²	285	2.12·10 ⁻²
	Peak	322	1.49·10 ⁻²	432	7.49·10 ⁻³	331	4.12·10 ⁻²
	Peak	517	6.28·10 ⁻³	-	-	537	1.03·10 ⁻²
	Overall	-	4.02·10⁻²	-	2.84·10⁻²	-	3.99·10⁻²
CO ₂	Peak	92	6.04·10 ⁻³	-	-	93	5.15·10 ⁻³
	Peak	155	6.18·10 ⁻³	-	-	170	6.52·10 ⁻³
	Peak	393	1.77·10 ⁻²	333	1.07·10 ⁻²	-	-
	Peak	-	-	507	6.84·10 ⁻³	487	3.17·10 ⁻³
	Overall	-	3.03·10⁻²	-	1.75·10⁻²	-	1.48·10⁻²

strong basicity, detectable at high temperatures, is significantly reduced. This suggests that CO₂ more easily desorbs from the dual metal catalyst with respect to Ni sample. Our results are similar to those reported by Ma et al. [84] from both the qualitative and quantitative points of view. Actually, assigning each peak to a specific component of the catalyst (i.e. Ni, Ru, CeO₂) is quite difficult. As a matter of fact, CO₂ desorption at low temperatures has been related to both Ni [85,86] and CeO₂ [81,84,87,88]. Pan et al. [85] addressed CO₂ desorption at medium temperatures (300–700 °C) to ceria-zirconia support.

3.3. Steady-state catalytic tests

Steady-state catalytic tests were carried out under the same process conditions ($\tau = 0.08 \text{ g s cm}^{-3}$, $T = 450\text{--}550 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) to assess the effect of Ru loading on the SR activity. Fig. 6 shows the results at different feed compositions and the corresponding equilibrium values.

The monometallic catalysts (especially Ni) show the lowest catalytic activity. By increasing the Ru content in the Ni–Ru catalysts, the catalytic activity increases; the effect does not appear additive, thus suggesting a promotional effect of ruthenium and/or a synergy between the two metals. At the lowest reactant partial pressures (Fig. 6a), the NiRu0.7 catalyst is the most effective, showing methane conversions approaching the steam reforming equilibrium value. The NiRu1.0 catalyst shows a similar behaviour. By increasing the steam partial pressure (Fig. 6b), a reduction of the conversions is found, despite more favorable thermodynamic conditions, due to an inhibiting effect of water on the catalytic kinetics. This effect depends on the Ru content: the higher the Ru content, the lower the conversion reduction. Accordingly, the NiRu1.0 catalyst becomes the most active, achieving higher conversions compared to the samples with lower ruthenium loading. By increasing the methane concentration at fixed H₂O/CH₄ ratios (Fig. 6c and d), a slight but not negligible inhibiting effect of methane content is found. Even at higher CH₄ concentration, the positive effect of the Ru content on the inhibiting effect of the steam partial pressure can be evidenced.

Under the above reaction conditions, methane conversions do not generally reach those corresponding to the equilibrium values. However, it is well known that the product distribution depends not only on methane conversion to CO and H₂ but also on the water gas shift

reaction.

To evaluate the extent of the WGS reaction, CO conversions to CO₂ were calculated and compared to the equilibrium values of the WGS reaction alone.

Fig. 7 shows the CO conversion as obtained over two samples under different reaction conditions (NiRu0.2 at 3% CH₄ and 6% H₂O; NiRu0.7 at 4% CH₄ and 8% H₂O). CO conversions through WGS reach the thermodynamic equilibrium at each temperature on both samples, while methane conversions are far from the equilibrium values. This condition is observed for each reaction condition and for each catalyst (not reported). Thus, the overall performance of the system is limited by the kinetics of the steam reforming reaction, while the activity towards the water gas shift reaction is higher. The activity of the water gas shift reaction is significantly improved, also thanks to the intrinsic activity of ceria toward CO oxidation [89].

Table S3 shows the catalytic performance of Ni–Ru catalysts reported in the scientific literature [18,37,45,72]. As often occurring in catalysis, a straightforward comparison among the performance is quite difficult due to the wide range of operating conditions reported. However, comparing the results reported in Table S3 reveals that our catalysts show very good performance, comparable with those of the other catalysts but at lower temperatures.

It is worth noting that a TPO was conducted after various reaction tests (i.e. about 50 h under reaction mixtures), as reported in Section 2.3. No CO₂ production was found, thus suggesting no coke formation during the steam reforming reaction.

3.4. Transient catalytic tests

Despite the H₂-TPR showing no bimetallic interaction between Ni and Ru, a synergic effect between the two metals is found by analyzing the catalytic results. To elucidate the roles of each metal in the catalytic activity, cyclic reduction and oxidation tests in transient regime were performed on the reference powder catalysts.

Tests run on the monometallic Ru catalyst are shown in Fig. 8. By feeding H₂O after a reaction test (Fig. 8a), the catalyst is oxidized and a transient H₂ production is found. Replacing H₂O with CH₄ (Fig. 8b), methane is oxidized to CO and CO₂ and H₂ is also detected as a partial




Fig. 6. Effect of the composition of the catalysts and the mixture of the input reagents; (a) 3%CH₄ 6%H₂O (b) 3%CH₄ 12%H₂O (c) 4%CH₄ 8%H₂O (d) 4%CH₄ 16% H₂O, Nitrogen: balance.




Fig. 7. WGS contribution: (a) 3% CH₄ 6% H₂O on NiRu0.2 (b) 4% CH₄ 8% H₂O on NiRu0.7, solid lines represent equilibrium conversion.




Fig. 8. Cyclic oxidation and reduction tests in transient regime on monometallic Ru catalyst. Arrows indicate the time when the reaction environment is modified.

oxidation product. By feeding H₂O again (Fig. 8c), H₂ is produced, while no CO_x are detected in the exiting stream, suggesting no coke formation during the previous reduction in the CH₄ atmosphere. A further reduction under CH₄ (Fig. 8d) shows a very similar trend to the previous cycle, suggesting no coke formation and a repeatable behavior of this catalyst under the adopted cyclic operating conditions.

The results of tests conducted on the monometallic Ni catalyst are shown in Fig. 9. In the first step with H₂O (Fig. 9a), the behavior is similar to that observed on the Ru catalyst, i.e. mild oxidation of the catalyst with consequent hydrogen formation and negligible formation of CO and CO₂. During the first reduction phase with methane (Fig. 9b), a transient phase is observed where H₂, CO, and CO₂ are formed,




Fig. 9. Cyclic oxidation and reduction tests in transient regime on monometallic Ni catalyst. Arrows indicate the time when the reaction environment is modified.

followed by a second phase (not detected on the Ru catalyst) in which the consumption of methane and the simultaneous production of H_2 cannot be associated with the production of CO and CO_2 . Methane is decomposed into H_2 and C, as expected on Ni-based catalysts [90]. By re-feeding H_2O (Fig. 9c), simultaneous production of H_2 and CO_2 due to coke gasification is found. CO production is very low, probably due to ceria activity towards CO oxidation. By re-feeding methane (Fig. 9d) a behavior similar to the first reduction step is found.

Fig. 10 shows the H_2O oxidation and CH_4 reduction steps on NiRu0.7; the other Ni–Ru catalysts exhibit similar behaviors (Figures S9–S11 in Supplementary Material). From a qualitative point of view, the behavior of Ni–Ru catalysts is similar to that observed on monometallic Ni catalyst: H_2 production during the first oxidation step (Fig. 10a), CO and CO_2 production at the beginning of the first reduction step, followed by methane decomposition (Fig. 10b), large CO_2 and H_2 production with negligible CO formation during the second oxidation step (Fig. 10c), a second reduction step in CH_4 similar to the first reduction step (Fig. 10d).

The quantitative analysis of the above experiments is reported in Table 5, confirming the qualitative analysis reported above. In particular, during the oxidation steps under H_2O , more than 99% of CO_x produced is CO_2 , while during CH_4 feeding on Ni-containing catalysts the CO/ CO_2 ratio is about 4:1. Moreover, it is worth noting that, in the absence of H_2O , methane is mainly converted to coke on monometallic Ni and NiRu catalysts (selectivity to CO_x calculated on CH_4 conversion $\leq 10\%$; i.e. coke selectivity $\geq 90\%$). It is worth noting that, considering the first reduction and the second oxidation step, the carbon mass balance was closed within $\pm 8\%$, which is a good result, taking into account

the uncertainty of the experimental procedure here proposed, confirming that all the coke deposited during CH_4 reduction is converted to CO_2 during H_2O oxidation. On Ru1.0, the carbon balance on each reduction step is closed $\pm 5\%$, i.e. converted methane is fully balanced by produced CO_x , confirming that no coke deposition occurs.

Initial production rates were calculated (Fig. 11) according to the procedure reported in the Supplementary Materials (Section S3.2). It was found that they do not depend on coke content but only on oxygen availability. Reaction rates increase linearly with the Ru content, and the ratio ($\Delta H_2/\Delta CO_2$) is approximately 2, in line with the stoichiometry of the step: $C + 2H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2$.

On the monometallic Ru-based catalyst, there is no coke formation during the reduction phase.

All the characterizations reported in the previous Sections explored the metal-metal and metal-support interactions. The partial incorporation of Ru into the ceria lattice has been revealed from XRD and XPS results, whereas the presence of Ni highly dispersed and in intimate contact with the support has been revealed by XPS and H_2 -TPR characterizations. In addition, TEM analysis confirmed the high intimacy of Ru and Ni metals. The combination of the above results suggests a limited formation of bi(multi)-metallic structures, while each metal (Ni and Ru) strongly interacts with ceria. Notwithstanding, redox properties of each Ni/ceria couple is affected by the presence of ruthenium and vice versa, as evidenced by H_2 -TPR results. These results suggest that each active phase (Ni and Ru) can be positively affect a reaction step (Ni can activate methane decomposition faster than Ru, Ru can activate water dissociation faster than Ni). From these results, it can be inferred that the enhanced catalytic activity of Ni–Ru/ CeO_2 catalysts can be attributed to




Fig. 10. Cyclic oxidation and reduction tests in transient regime on NiRu0.7 catalyst. Arrows indicate the time when the reaction environment is modified.

Table 5

Quantitative analysis in cyclic oxidation and reduction tests. Selectivities are calculated on CO_x distribution; in brackets, selectivities calculated on methane conversion.

Sample	Feed	First cycle			Second cycle		
		x_{CH_4}	s_{CO}	s_{CO_2}	x_{CH_4}	s_{CO}	s_{CO_2}
Ni	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.3	99.7
	CH_4/N_2	17	75.0 (3.0)	25.0 (1.0)	14	80.0 (4.0)	20.0 (1.0)
	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.3	99.7
NiRu0.2	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.3	99.7
	CH_4/N_2	19	75.0 (3.0)	25.0 (1.0)	10	77.8 (7.1)	22.2 (1.9)
	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.5	99.5
NiRu0.5	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.5	99.5
	CH_4/N_2	10	80.0 (8.0)	20.0 (2.0)	15	80.0 (4.0)	20.0 (1.0)
	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.5	99.5
NiRu0.7	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.5	99.5
	CH_4/N_2	21	75.0 (3.0)	25.0 (1.0)	17	80.0 (4.0)	20.0 (1.0)
	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.8	99.2
NiRu1.0	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.8	99.2
	CH_4/N_2	14	83.3 (5.0)	16.7 (1.0)	9	81.8 (9.0)	18.2 (2.0)
	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.8	99.2
Ru1.0	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.8	99.2
	CH_4/N_2	2%	87.8 (36.0)	12.2 (5.0)	2%	89.1 (41.0)	10.9 (5.0)
	$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$	–	–	–	–	0.8	99.2




Fig. 11. Initial production rates of H_2 (■) and CO_2 (■) and their ratio $\Delta\text{H}_2/\Delta\text{CO}_2$ (△) during the oxidation step in $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{N}_2$ as a function of the Ru content.

a cooperative reaction mechanism as follows. Methane is rapidly activated on Ni/CeO₂ sites leading to H_2 and C; the oxidation of C to CO and CO_2 depends on the availability of active oxygen species on the surface, which is improved by the decomposition of H_2O on Ru/CeO₂ sites. The oxygen transfer between the two sites reasonably occurs through the mobility of oxygen on the ceria surface. These results also explain the catalyst's good resistance to fouling.

It is worth noting that the cyclic tests reported above may have a

practical significance. In the reduction phase with methane, there is the production of a high-purity CH₄-H₂ mixture, while a CO₂-H₂ mixture is formed in the oxidation phase with water. This could be beneficial for CO₂ capture, given the low CO content, not requiring further purification steps. From the applicative point of view, the cyclic operation here reported can be compared to chemical looping steam reforming (CLSR). Several results are reported in the literature on this topic [91–94]. However, a full comparison with other Ni-containing materials is not straightforward due to the higher temperature generally used in chemical looping reforming [95–99]. The material composition, the (material weight)-to-(CH₄ flow rate) ratio, the (material weight)-to-(H₂O flow rate) ratio, the time periods of the reduction and oxidation steps significantly affect the methane conversion and the composition of the gaseous streams during the reduction and the oxidation steps (including hydrogen purity during H₂O oxidation). For instance, Ma et al. [96] reported no coke deposition on 5 wt% NiO supported on red mug at 900 °C, allowing pure H₂ production during the H₂O oxidation step. On the contrary, coke formation was reported on other Ni-based materials [95–97].

4. Conclusions

Bi-metallic nickel-ruthenium catalysts supported on ceria by wet impregnation were designed, prepared and tested. The catalyst was designed to obtain a steam methane reforming catalyst more active at relatively low temperatures (below 600 °C) to be used in Pd-based membrane reactors. The catalysts, with low Ni content (7 wt%) and a very low Ru content (≤ 1 wt%) show good activity towards methane reforming, stable performance, and a good resistance to coke formation. Ruthenium addition not only improves the intrinsic catalytic activity but also enhances the resistance to the inhibiting effect of steam on the reaction rate; the latter is directly related to the ruthenium content.

The CO/CO₂ ratio in the exiting streams appears regulated by the water gas shift equilibrium. This could be related to the CO oxidation activity of ceria at temperatures higher than 400 °C. Moreover, the overall performance appears limited by methane conversion to CO and hydrogen, rather than CO shift to CO₂.

Physico-chemical characterizations suggest that the enhanced catalytic properties of Ni–Ru/CeO₂ catalysts are related to the intimacy between the active metals and their strong-metal-support-interaction with the ceria, resulting in improved redox properties.

Moreover, all the ceria-supported catalysts show self-activation under reaction conditions, thus requiring no pre-treatment under a reducing atmosphere, as generally occurs with Ni-based catalysts.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

G. Sorbino: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. **A. Di Benedetto:** Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. **C. Italiano:** Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **M. Thomas:** Data curation, Investigation. **A. Vita:** Supervision, Writing – original draft. **G. Ruoppolo:** Data curation, Validation. **G. Landi:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgments

This project has received funding from the project “PLUG-IN” (Grant n. 2020N38E75) funded by the MUR Progetti di Ricerca di Rilevante

Interesse Nazionale (PRIN) Bando 2020.

The authors gratefully acknowledge Mr. Luciano Cortese (CNR-STEMS) for SEM, Mr. Fernando Stanzione (CNR-STEMS) for ICP-MS analyses, and Mr. Giuseppe Monforte for XPS measurements.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.07.385>.

References

- [1] Rasul MG, Hazrat MA, Sattar MA, Jahurul MI, Shearer MJ. The future of hydrogen: challenges on production, storage and applications. *Energy Convers Manag* 2022; 272:196–8904. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2022.116326>.
- [2] Mohanty US, Ali M, Azhar MR, Al-Yaseri A, Keshavarz A, Iglauer S. Current advances in syngas (CO + H₂) production through bi-reforming of methane using various catalysts: a review. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2021;46:32809–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.07.097>.
- [3] Al-Humaidan FS, Absi Halabi M, Rana MS, Vinoba M. Blue hydrogen: Current status and future technologies. *Energy Convers Manag* 2023;283:116840. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.116840>.
- [4] Iulianelli A, Brunetti A, Pino L, Italiano C, Drago Ferrante G, Gensini M, et al. An integrated two stages inorganic membrane-based system to generate and recover decarbonized H₂: an experimental study and performance indexes analysis. *Renew Energy* 2023;210:472–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.04.095>.
- [5] Mamivand S, Binazadeh M, Sohrabi R. Applicability of membrane reactor technology in industrial hydrogen producing reactions: current effort and future directions. *J Ind Eng Chem* 2021;104:212–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2021.08.029>.
- [6] Akamatsu K, Suzuki M, Wang XL, Nakao SI. Hydrogen production by steam reforming of methane in biogas using membrane reactors with dimethoxydimethylsilane-derived silica membranes prepared by chemical vapor deposition. *J Chem Eng Jpn* 2021;54:387–94. <https://doi.org/10.1252/jcej.21we016>.
- [7] Zhao Q, Su B, Wang H, He A, He R, Kong H, et al. Mid/low-temperature solar hydrogen generation via dry reforming of methane enhanced in a membrane reactor. *Energy Convers Manag* 2021;240:114254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114254>.
- [8] Zhang H, Sun Z, Hu YH. Steam reforming of methane: current states of catalyst design and process upgrading. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2021;149:111330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111330>.
- [9] Wang S, Shen Z, Osatiashiani A, Ali S, Clough PT. Ni-based bimetallic catalysts for hydrogen production via (sorption-enhanced) steam methane reforming. *Chem Eng J* 2024;486:150170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2024.150170>.
- [10] Hasnani SMW ul, Farooqi AS, Ayodele BV, Farooqi AS, Sanallah K, Abdullah B. Advancements in Ni and Co-based catalysts for sustainable syngas production via Bi-reforming of methane: a review of recent advances. *J Clean Prod* 2024;434:139904. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.139904>.
- [11] Wang S, Nabavi SA, Clough PT. A review on bi/polymetallic catalysts for steam methane reforming. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2023;48:15879–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.01.034>.
- [12] Yusuf BO, Umar M, Kotob E, Abdulhakam A, Taialla OA, Awad MM, et al. Recent advances in bimetallic catalysts for methane steam reforming in hydrogen production: current trends, challenges, and future prospects. *Chem Asian J* 2023; 2023:e202300641. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ASIA.202300641>.
- [13] Estalkhi MH, Yousefpour M, Koohestan H, Taherian Z. Catalytic evaluation of Ni-3% Sr-/MCM-41 in dry and steam reforming of methane. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2024;68:1344–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.04.347>.
- [14] De Souza VP, Costa D, Dos Santos D, Sato AG, Bueno JMC. Pt-promoted α -Al₂O₃-supported Ni catalysts: effect of preparation conditions on ox-reduction and catalytic properties for hydrogen production by steam reforming of methane. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2012;37:9985–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.03.141>.
- [15] Zhou L, Guo Y, Chen J, Sakurai M, Kameyama H. Trace precious metal Pt doped plate-type anodic alumina Ni catalysts for methane reforming reaction. *Fuel* 2012; 92:373–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2011.06.039>.
- [16] Morales-Cano F, Lundegaard LF, Tiruvalam RR, Falsig H, Skj oth-Rasmussen MS. Improving the sintering resistance of Ni/Al₂O₃ steam-reforming catalysts by promotion with noble metals. *Appl Catal Gen* 2015;498:117–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2015.03.016>.
- [17] Lee SM, Hong SC. Effect of palladium addition on catalytic activity in steam methane reforming over Ni-YSZ porous membrane. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2014; 39:21037–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.10.054>.
- [18] Baek SC, Jun KW, Lee YJ, Kim JD, Park DY, Lee KY. Ru/Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts for steam reforming of methane: effects of Ru content on self-activation property. *Res Chem Intermed* 2012;38:1225–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11164-011-0462-0>.
- [19] Ighalo JO, Amama PB. Recent advances in the catalysis of steam reforming of methane (SRM). *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2024;51:688–700. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.10.177>.
- [20] de Oliveira Rocha K, Marques CMP, Bueno JMC. Effect of Au doping of Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts used in steam reforming of methane: mechanism, apparent activation

- energy, and compensation effect. *Chem Eng Sci* 2019;207:844–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2019.06.049>.
- [21] Wang H, Blaylock DW, Dam AH, Liland SE, Rout KR, Zhu YA, et al. Steam methane reforming on a Ni-based bimetallic catalyst: density functional theory and experimental studies of the catalytic consequence of surface alloying of Ni with Ag. *Catal Sci Technol* 2017;7:1713–25. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cy00101k>.
- [22] Xu Y, Fan C, Zhu YA, Li P, Zhou XG, Chen D, et al. Effect of Ag on the control of Ni-catalyzed carbon formation: a density functional theory study. *Catal Today* 2012; 186:54–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2011.08.041>.
- [23] Nazari M, Alavi SM. An investigation of the simultaneous presence of Cu and Zn in different Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst loads using Taguchi design of experiment in steam reforming of methane. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2020;45:691–702. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.10.224>.
- [24] Li MR, Lu Z, Wang GC. The effect of potassium on steam-methane reforming on the Ni₄/Al₂O₃ surface: a DFT study. *Catal Sci Technol* 2017;7:3613–25. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cy00986k>.
- [25] Liang D, Wang Y, Chen M, Xie X, Li C, Wang J, et al. Dry reforming of methane for syngas production over attapulgite-derived MFI zeolite encapsulated bimetallic Ni-Co catalysts. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2023;322:122088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122088>.
- [26] Jeong JH, Lee JW, Seo DJ, Seo Y, Yoon WL, Lee DK, et al. Ru-doped Ni catalysts effective for the steam reforming of methane without the pre-reduction treatment with H₂. *Appl Catal Gen* 2006;302:151–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2005.12.007>.
- [27] Li D, Nishida K, Zhan Y, Shishido T, Oumi Y, Sano T, et al. Superior catalytic behavior of trace Pt-doped Ni/Mg(Al)O in methane reforming under daily start-up and shut-down operation. *Appl Catal Gen* 2008;350:225–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2008.08.017>.
- [28] Li D, Zhan Y, Nishida K, Oumi Y, Sano T, Shishido T, et al. “Green” preparation of “intelligent” Pt-doped Ni/Mg(Al)O catalysts for daily start-up and shut-down CH₄ steam reforming. *Appl Catal Gen* 2009;363:169–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2009.05.015>.
- [29] Miyata T, Li D, Shiraga M, Shishido T, Oumi Y, Sano T, et al. Promoting effect of Rh, Pd and Pt noble metals to the Ni/Mg(Al)O catalysts for the DSS-like operation in CH₄ steam reforming. *Appl Catal Gen* 2006;310:97–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2006.05.022>.
- [30] Li D, Shishido T, Oumi Y, Sano T, Takehira K. Self-activation and self-regenerative activity of trace Rh-doped Ni/Mg(Al)O catalysts in steam reforming of methane. *Appl Catal Gen* 2007;332:98–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2007.08.008>.
- [31] Miyata T, Shiraga M, Li D, Atake I, Shishido T, Oumi Y, et al. Promoting effect of Ru on Ni/Mg(Al)O catalysts in DSS-like operation of CH₄ steam reforming. *Catal Commun* 2007;8:447–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2006.07.018>.
- [32] Li D, Atake I, Shishido T, Oumi Y, Sano T, Takehira K. Self-regenerative activity of Ni/Mg(Al)O catalysts with trace Ru during daily start-up and shut-down operation of CH₄ steam reforming. *J Catal* 2007;250:299–312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2007.06.002>.
- [33] Kim T, Lee J, Jo S, Kim J, Woo J, Dhanusaraman R, et al. Improving the stability of Ru-doped Ni-based catalysts for steam methane reforming during daily startup and shutdown operation. *Catalysts* 2023;13(6):949. <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal13060949>.
- [34] Fazlikeshiteli S, Vendrell X, Llorca J. Catalytic partial oxidation of methane over bimetallic Ru-Ni supported on CeO₂ for syngas production. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2023;51:1494–507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.07.349>.
- [35] Ibrahim AA, Al-Fatesh AS, Alwadai N, Abasaed AE, Al-Zahrani SA, Abu-Dahrieh JK, Abasaed AE. Promotion impact of different strontium doping on Ni-9La+Zr catalyst for dry reforming of methane. *Energy Sci Eng* 2023;4625–34. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.1604>.
- [36] Kumar R, Kumar K, Choudary NV, Pant KK. Effect of support materials on the performance of Ni-based catalysts in tri-reforming of methane. *Fuel Process Technol* 2019;186:40–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2018.12.018>.
- [37] Gubareni IV, Kurilets YP, Soloviev SO. Effect of additives La₂O₃ and CeO₂ on the activity and selectivity of Ni-Al₂O₃/cordierite catalysts in steam reforming of methane. *Theor Exp Chem* 2014;50:311–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11237-014-9381-7>.
- [38] Alli RD, Souza PAL De, Mohamedali M, Virla LD, Mahinpey N. Tri-reforming of methane for syngas production using Ni catalysts : current status and future outlook. *Catal Today* 2023;407:107–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2022.02.006>.
- [39] Manan WN, Wan Isahak WNR, Yaakob Z. CeO₂-Based heterogeneous catalysts in dry reforming methane and steam reforming methane: a short review. *Catalysts* 2022;12:452. <https://doi.org/10.3390/CATAL12050452>. 2022;12:452.
- [40] García-Vargas JM, Valverde JL, Dorado F, Sánchez P. Influence of the support on the catalytic behaviour of Ni catalysts for the dry reforming reaction and the tri-reforming process. *Journal Mol Catal A, Chem* 2014;395:108–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2014.08.019>.
- [41] Dai Y, Zou R, Ba T, Zhang J, Liu C. Highly active and coke resistant Ni/CeZrO₂ catalyst prepared by cold plasma decomposition for CO₂ reforming of methane. *J CO₂ Util* 2021;51:101647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101647>.
- [42] Lustemberg PG, Ram PJ, Liu Z, Grinter DG, Carrasco J, Senanayake SD, Rodriguez JA. Ganduglia-pirovano MV room-temperature activation of methane and dry Re-forming with CO₂ on Ni-CeO₂ (111) surfaces: effect of Ce³⁺ sites and metal-support interactions on C-H bond cleavage. *ACS Catal* 2016;6:8184–91. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b02360>.
- [43] Bian Z, Xia H, Jiang B, Wang Z, Yu Y, Yu K, et al. A CFD study on H₂-permeable membrane reactor for methane CO₂ reforming: effect of catalyst bed volume. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2021;46:38336–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.09.098>.
- [44] Current Heraeus Precious Metals Prices n.d. https://www.heraeus.com/en/hpm/p_m_prices/prices/current_hu.html (accessed January 14, 2024).
- [45] Zakrzewski M, Shtyka O, Ciesielski R, Kedziora A, Maniukiewicz W, Arcab N, et al. Effect of ruthenium and cerium oxide (IV) promoters on the removal of carbon deposit formed during the mixed methane reforming process. *Materials* 2021;14: 7581. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14247581>.
- [46] García-Vargas JM, Valverde JL, De Lucas-Consuegra A, Gómez-Monedero B, Sánchez P, Dorado F. Precursor influence and catalytic behaviour of Ni/CeO₂ and Ni/SiC catalysts for the tri-reforming process. *Appl Catal Gen* 2012;431–432: 49–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2012.04.016>.
- [47] Italiano C, Ashraf MA, Pino L, Moncada Quintero CW, Specchia S, Vita A. Rh/CeO₂ thin catalytic layer deposition on alumina foams: catalytic performance and controlling regimes in biogas reforming processes. *Catalysts* 2018;8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal8100448>.
- [48] Vita A, Cristiano G, Italiano C, Pino L, Specchia S. Syngas production by methane oxy-steam reforming on Me/CeO₂ (Me=Rh, Pt, Ni) catalyst lined on cordierite monoliths. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2015;162:551–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.07.028>.
- [49] Shen Z, Nabavi SA, Clough PT. Design and performance testing of a monolithic nickel-based SiC catalyst for steam methane reforming. *Appl Catal A, Gen* 2024; 670:119529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2023.119529>.
- [50] Landi G, Barbato PS, Di Benedetto A, Pirone R, Russo G. High pressure kinetics of CH₄, CO and H₂ combustion over LaMnO₃ catalyst. *Appl Catal, B* 2013;134–135: 110–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.12.040>.
- [51] Luciani G, Landi G, Aronne A, Di Benedetto A. Partial substitution of B cation in La_{0.8}Sr_{0.4}MnO₃ perovskites: a promising strategy to improve the redox properties useful for solar thermochemical water and carbon dioxide splitting. *Sol Energy* 2018;171:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2018.06.058>.
- [52] Landi G, Barbato PS, Di Benedetto A, Lisi L. Optimization of the preparation method of CuO/CeO₂ structured catalytic monolith for CO preferential oxidation in H₂-rich streams. *Appl Catal, B* 2016;181:727–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.08.040>.
- [53] Baek SC, Jun KW, Lee YJ, Kim JD, Park DY, Lee KY. Ru/Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts for steam reforming of methane: effects of Ru content on self-activation property. *Res Chem Intermed* 2012;38:1225–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11164-011-0462-0>.
- [54] Tsiotsias AI, Charisiou ND, Italiano C, Ferrante GD, Pino L, Vita A, et al. Ni-noble metal bimetallic catalysts for improved low temperature CO₂ methanation. *Appl Surf Sci* 2024;646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2023.158945>.
- [55] Woo R, Lee K, An BS, Kim SH, Ju HK, Kim JH, et al. BaCeO₃ perovskite-incorporated Co catalyst for efficient NH₃ synthesis under mild conditions. *Chem Eng J* 2023;475:146354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.146354>.
- [56] Zhang T, Zheng P, Gu F, Xu W, Chen W, Zhu T, et al. The dual-active-site tandem catalyst containing Ru single atoms and Ni nanoparticles boosts CO₂ methanation. *Appl Catal, B* 2023;323:122190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122190>.
- [57] Alves LMNC, Almeida MP, Ayala M, Watson CD, Jacobs G, Rabelo-Neto RC, et al. CO₂ methanation over metal catalysts supported on ZrO₂: effect of the nature of the metallic phase on catalytic performance. *Chem Eng Sci* 2021;239:116604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2021.116604>.
- [58] Li P, Zhang M. MOF-derived NiO/CeO₂ heterojunction : a photocatalyst for degrading pollutants and hydrogen evolution. *J Mater Sci* 2020;55:15930–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-020-05123-2>.
- [59] Hassannejad H, Mele C, Shahrabi T. Electrodeposition of Ni/Ceria composites: an in situ visible reflectance investigation. *J Solid State Electrochem* 2012;16:3429. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10008-012-1830-4>.
- [60] Channei D, Incesungvorn B, Wetchakun N, Ukritmukun S, Nattestad A, Chen J. Photocatalytic Degradation of Methyl Films under Visible Light Irradiation <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05757>.
- [61] Vita A, Italiano C, Pino L, Frontera P, Ferraro M. Activity and stability of powder and monolith-coated Ni/GDC catalysts for CO₂ methanation. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2018;226:384–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.078>.
- [62] Larachi F, Pierre J, Adnot A, Bernis A. Ce 3d XPS study of composite Ce_xMn_{1-x}O_{2-y} wet oxidation catalysts. *Appl Surf Sci* 2002;195:236–50. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4332\(02\)00559-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4332(02)00559-7).
- [63] Du Y-R, Wang R, Niu Q-S, Tao Y-Y, Xu B-H. Ru-Ni bimetallic catalyst supported on CeO₂ boosts chemoselective methoxycarbonylation of olefins. *Chem Eng J* 2024; 488:151020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2024.151020>.
- [64] Zhang T, Zheng P, Gao J, Han Z, Gu F, Xu W, Wang F, Zhu T, Xu G, Zhong Z. Su F Self-dispersed bimetallic NiRu nanoparticles on CeO₂ for CO₂ methanation. *Chem Eng J* 2024;481:148548. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2024.148548>.
- [65] Chen D, He D, Lu J, Zhong L, Liu F, Liu J, et al. Investigation of the role of surface lattice oxygen and bulk lattice oxygen migration of cerium-based oxygen carriers: XPS and designed H₂-TPR characterization. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2017;218: 249–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.06.053>.
- [66] Rojas JV, Toro-gonzalez M, Molina-higgins MC, Castano CE. Facile radiolytic synthesis of ruthenium nanoparticles on graphene oxide and carbon nanotubes. *Mater Sci Eng B* 2016;205:28–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2015.12.005>.
- [67] Italiano C, Llorca J, Pino L, Ferraro M, Antonucci V, Vita A, et al. Applied Catalysis B : environmental CO and CO₂ methanation over Ni catalysts supported on CeO₂, Al₂O₃ and Y₂O₃ oxides. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2020;264:118494. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118494>.
- [68] Sanz JM, Tyuliev GT. An XPS study of thin NiO films deposited on MgO(100). *Surf Sci* 1996;367:196–202. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-6028\(96\)00818-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-6028(96)00818-7).

- [69] Rojas JV, Toro-gonzalez M, Molina-higgins MC, Castano CE. Facile radiolytic synthesis of ruthenium nanoparticles on graphene oxide and carbon nanotubes. *Mater Sci Eng B* 2016;205:28–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2015.12.005>.
- [70] Uskov SI, Potemkin DI, Pakharukova VP, Belyaev VD, Snytnikov PV, Kirillov VA, et al. Activation of a nickel-chromium catalyst for low-temperature steam reforming of C2+alkanes. *Catal Today* 2021;378:106–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2020.11.014>.
- [71] Mierczynski P, Mosinska M, Stepinska N, Chalupka K, Nowosielska M, Maniukiewicz W, et al. Effect of the support composition on catalytic and physicochemical properties of Ni catalysts in oxy-steam reforming of methane. *Catal Today* 2021;364:46–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2020.05.037>.
- [72] Álvarez MA, Centeno MÁ, Odriozola JA. Ru-Ni catalyst in the combined dry-steam reforming of methane: the importance in the metal order addition. *Top Catal* 2016; 59:303–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-015-0426-5>.
- [73] Zakrzewski M, Shtyka O, Ciesielski R, Kedziora A, Maniukiewicz W, Arcab N, et al. Effect of ruthenium and cerium oxide (IV) promoters on the removal of carbon deposit formed during the mixed methane reforming process. *Materials* 2021;14: 7581. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14247581>.
- [74] Mahfouz R, Estephane J, Gennequin C, Tidahy L, Aouad S, Abi-Aad E. CO₂ reforming of methane over Ni and/or Ru catalysts supported on mesoporous KIT-6: effect of promotion with Ce. *J Environ Chem Eng* 2021;9:104662. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2020.104662>.
- [75] Álvarez MA, Centeno MÁ, Odriozola JA. Ru-Ni catalyst in the combined dry-steam reforming of methane: the importance in the metal order addition. *Top Catal* 2016; 59:303–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-015-0426-5>.
- [76] Zhang J, Zhu D, Yan J, Wang CA. Strong metal-support interactions induced by an ultrafast laser. *Nat Commun* 2021;12:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27000-5>.
- [77] Chen J, Zhang Y, Zhang Z, Hou D, Bai F, Han Y, et al. Metal-support interactions for heterogeneous catalysis: mechanisms, characterization techniques and applications. *J Mater Chem A Mater* 2023;6:4883–5230. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2TA10036C>.
- [78] Jiang W, Cao JP, Xie JX, Zhao L, Zhang C, Zhao XY, et al. Catalytic hydrodeoxygenation of lignin and its model compounds to hydrocarbon fuels over a metal/acid Ru/HZSM-5 catalyst. *Energy Fuel* 2021;35:19543–52. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSENERGYFUELS.1C03169>.
- [79] Zhao J, Bai X, Wei XY, Dilixiati Y, Lv JH, Kong QQ, et al. Ceria supported nickel nanoparticles for catalytic hydroconversion of lignin-related model compounds. *J Solid State Chem* 2023;328:124351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSSC.2023.124351>.
- [80] Qiu S, Xu Y, Weng Y, Ma L, Wang T. Efficient hydrogenolysis of guaiacol over highly dispersed Ni/MCM-41 catalyst combined with HZSM-5. *Catalysts* 2016;6: 134. <https://doi.org/10.3390/CATAL6090134>.
- [81] Glorius M, Markovits MAC, Bretkopf C. Design of specific acid-base-properties in CeO₂-ZrO₂-mixed oxides via templating and Au modification. *Catalysts* 2018;8: 358. <https://doi.org/10.3390/CATAL8090358>.
- [82] Wang YW, Zhang YJ, Wang KJ, Tan LM, Chen SY. Preparation of Ni/SiO₂ by ammonia evaporation method for synthesis of 2-MTHF from 2-MF hydrogenation. *J Fuel Chem Technol* 2021;49:97–103. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-5813\(21\)60007-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1872-5813(21)60007-5).
- [83] Ni Z, Djitcheu X, Gao X, Wang J, Liu H, Zhang Q. Effect of preparation methods of CeO₂ on the properties and performance of Ni/CeO₂ in CO₂ reforming of CH₄. *Sci Rep* 2022;12:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09291-w>.
- [84] Ma Y, Liu J, Chu M, Yue J, Cui Y, Xu G. Cooperation between active metal and basic support in Ni-based catalyst for low-temperature CO₂ methanation. *Catal Letters* 2020;150:1418–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10562-019-03033-W/FIGURES/5>.
- [85] Pan Q, Peng J, Sun T, Wang S, Wang S. Insight into the reaction route of CO₂ methanation: promotion effect of medium basic sites. *Catal Commun* 2014;45: 74–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATCOM.2013.10.034>.
- [86] Schreiter N, Kirchner J, Kureti S. A DRIFTS and TPD study on the methanation of CO₂ on Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst. *Catal Commun* 2020;140:105988. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATCOM.2020.105988>.
- [87] Di Benedetto A, Landi G, Lisi L. Improved CO-PROX performance of CuO/CeO₂ catalysts by using nanometric ceria as support. *Catalysts* 2018;8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal8050209>.
- [88] Barbato PS, Colussi S, Di Benedetto A, Landi G, Lisi L, Llorca J, et al. Origin of high activity and selectivity of CuO/CeO₂ catalysts prepared by solution combustion synthesis in CO-prox reaction. *J Phys Chem C* 2016;120:13039–48. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b02433>.
- [89] Di Sarli V, Landi G, Lisi L, Saliva A, Di Benedetto A. Catalytic diesel particulate filters with highly dispersed ceria: effect of the soot-catalyst contact on the regeneration performance. *Appl Catal, B* 2016;197:116–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.01.073>.
- [90] Karimi S, Bibak F, Meshkani F, Rastegarpanah A, Deng J, Liu Y, et al. Promotional roles of second metals in catalyzing methane decomposition over the Ni-based catalysts for hydrogen production: a critical review. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2021; 46:20435–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.03.160>.
- [91] Dawa T, Sajjadi B. Exploring the potential of perovskite structures for chemical looping technology: a state-of-the-art review. *Fuel Process Technol* 2024;253: 108022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2023.108022>.
- [92] Alamiery A. Advancements in materials for hydrogen production: a review of cutting-edge technologies. *ChemPhysMater* 2024;3:64–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chphma.2023.09.002>.
- [93] He J, Yang Q, Song Z, Chang W, Huang C, Zhu Y, et al. Improving the carbon resistance of iron-based oxygen carrier for hydrogen production via chemical looping steam methane reforming: a review. *Fuel* 2023;351:128864. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.128864>.
- [94] Boscherini M, Storione A, Minelli M, Miccio F, Doghieri F. New perspectives on catalytic hydrogen production by the reforming, partial oxidation and decomposition of methane and biogas. *Energies* 2023;16:6375. <https://doi.org/10.3390/EN16176375>.
- [95] Beom Han S, Sung Kim M, Deng Y, Yeon Kang K, Choi JS, Jang E, et al. Chemical looping-based catalytic CH₄ decomposition and successive coke gasification with CO₂ on ordered mesoporous NiMCoOx (M = Co, Zr, La). *Chem Eng J* 2024;489: 151034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2024.151034>.
- [96] Ma S, Cheng F, Meng J, Ge H, Lu P, Song T. Ni-enhanced red mud oxygen carrier for chemical looping steam methane reforming. *Fuel Process Technol* 2022;230: 107204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUPROC.2022.107204>.
- [97] Papalas T, Palamas E, Antzaras AN, Lemonidou AA. Evaluating bimetallic Ni-Co oxygen carriers for their redox behavior and catalytic activity toward steam methane reforming. *Fuel* 2024;359:130272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2023.130272>.
- [98] Guan Y, Liu Y, Song H, Wang B, Zhang G, Wang Y. High-reactive and coke-resistant polyhedral NiO/Fe₂O₃ oxygen carrier for enhancing chemical looping CH₄-CO₂ dry reforming. *J Clean Prod* 2024;447:141490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2024.141490>.
- [99] Quan J, Chen Y, Mai H, Zeng Q, Lv J, Jiang E, et al. Uniformly dispersed NiFeAlO₄ as oxygen carrier for chemical looping steam methane reforming to produce syngas. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2024;61:901–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJHYDENE.2024.02.355>.