

ARCHEOLOGIA ECALCOLATORI 34.1

All'Insegna del Giglio

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI



CNR – DIPARTIMENTO SCIENZE UMANE E SOCIALI, PATRIMONIO CULTURALE

ISTITUTO DI SCIENZE DEL PATRIMONIO CULTURALE

Rivista annuale open access e peer reviewed fondata da Mauro Cristofani e Riccardo Francovich già diretta da Paola Moscati (1990-2022)

Comitato Scientifico: Paola Moscati (coordinatore), Giovanni Azzena, John Boardman, Robin B. Boast, Francisco Burillo Mozota, Alessandra Caravale, Christopher Carr, Martin O.H. Carver, Francesco D'Andria, François Djindjian, James E. Doran, Virginie Fromageot-Laniepce, Salvatore Garraffo, Filippo Giudice, Antonio Gottarelli, Maria Pia Guermandi, Anne-Marie Guimier-Sorbets, Ian Hodder, F. Roy Hodson, Stephen Kay, Donna C. Kurtz, Adriano Maggiani, Daniele Manacorda, Costanza Miliani, Tito Orlandi, Clive R. Orton, Maria Cecilia Parra, Alessandra Piergrossi, Xavier Rodier, Francesco Roncalli, Grazia Semeraro, Paolo Sommella, Gianluca Tagliamonte, Marco Valenti

Direttore responsabile: Alessandra Caravale

Redazione: Claudio Barchesi, Francesca Buscemi, Letizia Ceccarelli, Sara Di Marcello, Irene Rossi

Policy and Guidelines: http://www.archcalc.cnr.it/pages/guidelines.php

Autorizzazione del presidente del Tribunale di Firenze n. 3894 del 6/11/1989

Indirizzo Redazione: Rivista «Archeologia e Calcolatori», CNR – ISPC, Area della Ricerca di Roma 1, Via Salaria Km 29,300, 00015 Monterotondo Stazione (RM) Tel. +39.06.90672670 – Fax +39.06.90672818 E-mail: redazioneac.ispc@ispc.cnr.it http://www.archcalc.cnr.it/

Edizione e distribuzione: Edizioni ALL'INSEGNA DEL GIGLIO s.a.s., Via Arrigo Boito 50-52, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI) Tel. +39.055.6142675 E-mail: redazione@insegnadelgiglio.it – ordini@insegnadelgiglio.it https://www.insegnadelgiglio.it/

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI

34.1

2023

All'Insegna del Giglio

Realizzazione grafica della sovracoperta di Marcello Bellisario Rivista «Archeologia e Calcolatori» (ISSN 1120-6861, e-ISSN 2385-1953) ISBN 978-88-9285-204-4, e-ISBN 978-88-9285-205-1 © 2023 – All'Insegna del Giglio s.a.s. – www.insegnadelgiglio.it Sesto Fiorentino (FI), luglio 2023 Stampa, MDF print

Abbonamento 2023: 2 volumi, 34.1 e 34.2, € 60,00. Spedizione: Italia, gratuita; estero, a carico del destinatario. https://www.insegnadelgiglio.it/categoria-prodotto/abbonamenti/

INDICE

ArcheoFOSS 2022. Proceedings of the 16 th International Conference on Open Software, Hardware, Processes, Data and Formats in Archaeological Research (Rome, 22-23 September 2022), edited by Julian Bogdani, Stefano Costa	
Julian Bogdani, Stefano Costa, Introduction	11
STEFANO COSTA, The Harris Matrix Data Package specification and the new init command of the Python hmdp tool	15
Emanuel Demetrescu, Cristina Gonzalez-Esteban, Filippo Sala, EMdb: yet another db for the stratigraphic record	21
Annalisa d'Onofrio, Maria Raffaella Ciuccarelli, Il contesto urbano del teatro romano e l'area dell'ex Filanda Bosone a Fano (PU)	31
MARCO MODERATO, VASCO LA SALVIA, pyArchInit at Castelseprio: progressive adoption of an integrated managing system for archaeological field data	39
Guido Antinori, Marco Ramazzotti, Francesco Genchi, MASPAG & pyArchInit, the newborn collaboration of Sapienza and adArte in the Sultanate of Oman	49
ELEONORA MINUCCI, ANGELA BOSCO, DANIELE DE LUCA, Virtual RTI application on 3D model for documentation of ancient graffiti: proposal of a methodology for complex archaeological sites	59
LAURA CARPENTIERO, DORA D'AURIA, Operative tools for BIM in archaeology: libraries of archaeological parametric IFC objects	69
FEDERICA RINALDI, ALESSANDRO LUGARI, FRANCESCA SPOSITO, ASCANIO D'ANDREA, Archeology and conservation. Digital tools as digital bridges between disciplines: the risk map of the in situ mosaic and marble floor surfaces of the Parco Archeologico del Colosseo	77
SIMON HOHL, THOMAS KLEINKE, FABIAN RIEBSCHLÄGER, JULIANE WATSON, iDAI.field: developing software for the documentation of archaeological fieldwork	85
ELEONORA IACOPINI, Punto Zero, una nuova web application per la gestione e l'informatizzazione dei dati di archivio. Il caso di Ancona	95
FLORIAN THIERY, PETER THIERY, Linked Open Ogham. How to publish and interlink various Ogham Data?	105
GAËLLE COQUEUGNIOT, VIRGINIE FROMAGEOT-LANIEPCE, On the road to open access: insights from French antiquity journals and databases	115

Alain Queffelec, Bruno Maureille, Marta Arzarello, Ruth Blasco, Otis Crandell, Luc Doyon, Siân Halcrow, Emma Karoune, Aitor Ruiz-Redondo, Philip Van Peer, Peer Community In Archaeology: a community-driven free and transparent system for preprints peer-reviewing	125
NICOLÒ PARACIANI, IRENE ROSSI, IADI: an open Interactive Atlas of Digital Images for the journal «Archeologia e Calcolatori»	135
FRANCESCA BUSCEMI, MARIANNA FIGUERA, GIOVANNI GALLO, ANGELICA LO DUCA, ANDREA MARCHETTI, Sharing structured archaeological 3D data: open source tools for artificial intelligence applications and collaborative frameworks	145
FLORIAN THIERY, ALLARD W. MEES, JOHN BRADY KIESLING, Challenges in research community building: integrating Terra Sigillata (Samian) research into the Wikidata community	157
Mariflora Caruso, Paola La Torre, Roberta Manzollino, La valorizzazione dei musei locali attraverso Wikipedia: il progetto MedAniene	165
MARIA CARINA DENGG, The road (not) taken. Reconstructing pre-modern roads in Viabundus. Methods and opportunities	175
GABRIELE CICCONE, From the Itinerarium Antonini and al-Idrisi to the movecost plugin: road network analysis in the Castronovo di Sicilia area	183
PAOLO ROSATI, "ArchaeoloGIS" a QGIS plugin for archaeological spatial analysis	193
JULIAN BOGDANI, DOMIZIA D'ERASMO, Backward engineering historical maps: the update of the open hydrography dataset of Napoleonic cartography	201
MODELLING THE LANDSCAPE. FROM PREDICTION TO POSTDICTION. PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL SESSION AT 7 TH LANDSCAPE Archaeology Conference (Iaşı, 10-15 September 2022), edited by Carlo Citter, Agostino Sotgia	
Agostino Sotgia, Carlo Citter, Modelling the landscape. From prediction to postdiction	213
ANOOSHE KAFASH, MASOUD YOUSEFI, ELHAM GHASIDIAN, SAMAN HEYDARI- GURAN, Reconstruction of Epipaleolithic settlement and "climatic refugia" in the Zagros Mountains during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM)	217
GIACOMO BILOTTI, Balancing between biases and interpretation. A predictive model of prehistoric Scania, Sweden	225
GIOVANNA PIZZIOLO, From legacy data to survey planning? The relationship between landscape and waterscape in Southern Tuscany during the Upper Palaeolithic: towards a predicitive-postdictive approach	237

 LAURA BURIGANA, Food, distance and power. Modeling a multi-factor protohistoric landscape in the Po plain 257 AGOSTINO SOTGIA, A predictive model to investigate the agro-pastoral exploitation of ancient landscapes 267 MARCO CABRAS, CRISTINA CONCU, PAOLO FRONGIA, RICCARDO CICILLONI, Testare sul campo la Least Cost Path Analysis: riflessioni intorno ai paesaggi dell'età del Bronzo della Sardegna centro-meridionale (Italia) 279 ANDRÁS BÖDŐCS, Roman land use and its impact on the Pannonian landscape 289 VINCENZO RIA, RAFFAELE RIZZO, The Roman limes in Germania Inferior: a GIS application for the reconstruction of landscape 299 PEDRO TRAPERO FERNÁNDEZ, Modelo predictivo de aprovechamientos vitivinícolas. La colonia romana de Hasta Regia, Hispania 311 CARLO CITTER, YLENIA PACIOTTI, Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts 329 CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy 	SANDRO CARACAUSI, SARA DAFFARA, GABRIELE L.F. BERRUTI, EUGENIO GAROGLIO, MARTA ARZARELLO, FRANCESCO RUBAT BOREL, Lo studio di siti archeologici di alta quota: metodologia e risultati del modello predittivo in ambiente GIS applicato nelle Valli di Lanzo (Piemonte, Italia)	247
AGOSTINO SOTGIA, A predictive model to investigate the agro-pastoral exploitation of ancient landscapes267MARCO CABRAS, CRISTINA CONCU, PAOLO FRONGIA, RICCARDO CICILLONI, Testare sul campo la Least Cost Path Analysis: riflessioni intorno ai paesaggi dell'età del Bronzo della Sardegna centro-meridionale (Italia)279ANDRÁS BÖDŐCS, Roman land use and its impact on the Pannonian landscape289VINCENZO RIA, RAFFAELE RIZZO, The Roman limes in Germania Inferior: a GIS application for the reconstruction of landscape299PEDRO TRAPERO FERNÁNDEZ, Modelo predictivo de aprovechamientos vitivinícolas. La colonia romana de Hasta Regia, Hispania311CARLO CITTER, YLENIA PACIOTTI, Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach321ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts329CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy341	LAURA BURIGANA, Food, distance and power. Modeling a multi-factor proto- historic landscape in the Po plain	257
 MARCO CABRAS, CRISTINA CONCU, PAOLO FRONGIA, RICCARDO CICILLONI, Testare sul campo la Least Cost Path Analysis: riflessioni intorno ai paesaggi dell'età del Bronzo della Sardegna centro-meridionale (Italia) ANDRÁS BÖDŐCS, Roman land use and its impact on the Pannonian landscape VINCENZO RIA, RAFFAELE RIZZO, The Roman limes in Germania Inferior: a GIS application for the reconstruction of landscape PEDRO TRAPERO FERNÁNDEZ, Modelo predictivo de aprovechamientos vitivinícolas. La colonia romana de Hasta Regia, Hispania CARLO CITTER, YLENIA PACIOTTI, Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy 	AGOSTINO SOTGIA, A predictive model to investigate the agro-pastoral exploitation of ancient landscapes	267
 ANDRÁS BÖDŐCS, Roman land use and its impact on the Pannonian landscape VINCENZO RIA, RAFFAELE RIZZO, The Roman limes in Germania Inferior: a GIS application for the reconstruction of landscape PEDRO TRAPERO FERNÁNDEZ, Modelo predictivo de aprovechamientos vitivinícolas. La colonia romana de Hasta Regia, Hispania CARLO CITTER, YLENIA PACIOTTI, Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy 	MARCO CABRAS, CRISTINA CONCU, PAOLO FRONGIA, RICCARDO CICILLONI, Testare sul campo la Least Cost Path Analysis: riflessioni intorno ai paesaggi dell'età del Bronzo della Sardegna centro-meridionale (Italia)	279
VINCENZO RIA, RAFFAELE RIZZO, The Roman limes in Germania Inferior: a GIS application for the reconstruction of landscape299PEDRO TRAPERO FERNÁNDEZ, Modelo predictivo de aprovechamientos vitivinícolas. La colonia romana de Hasta Regia, Hispania311CARLO CITTER, YLENIA PACIOTTI, Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach321ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts329CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy341	ANDRÁS BÖDŐCS, Roman land use and its impact on the Pannonian landscape	289
PEDRO TRAPERO FERNÁNDEZ, Modelo predictivo de aprovechamientos vitivinícolas. La colonia romana de Hasta Regia, Hispania311CARLO CITTER, YLENIA PACIOTTI, Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach321ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts329CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy341	VINCENZO RIA, RAFFAELE RIZZO, <i>The Roman</i> limes <i>in</i> Germania Inferior: <i>a GIS application for the reconstruction of landscape</i>	299
 CARLO CITTER, YLENIA PACIOTTI, Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy 341 	Pedro Trapero Fernández, Modelo predictivo de aprovechamientos vitivinícolas. La colonia romana de Hasta Regia, Hispania	311
 ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy 341 	Carlo Citter, Ylenia Paciotti, Shaping a juridical district: a postdictive approach	321
CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy 341	ANGELO CARDONE, Spatial analysis as a tool for field research. Case-studies in progress for urban and landscape contexts	329
	CHIARA MASCARELLO, How to reconstruct the human mobility in mountainous area. A case from North-Eastern Italy	341

ARCHEOFOSS 2022 PROCEEDINGS OF THE 16th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON OPEN SOFTWARE, HARDWARE, PROCESSES, DATA AND FORMATS IN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH (ROME, 22-23 SEPTEMBER 2022)

edited by Julian Bogdani, Stefano Costa

SHARING STRUCTURED ARCHAEOLOGICAL 3D DATA: OPEN SOURCE TOOLS FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE APPLICATIONS AND COLLABORATIVE FRAMEWORKS

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents the W.A.L.(L) Project, funded by CNR-ISPC (Institute of Heritage Science) (2020-2021). It is aimed to apply quantitative analysis methods and Machine Learning to ancient architecture and to create a dedicated research infrastructure based on an open source technology, according to principles of Open Science.

The Project, carried out by an international and multidisciplinary research group¹, was mainly inspired by the unexpected results of the 2015-2018 excavation campaigns in the North-Eastern Sector and in the Southern Area of the Phaistos Palace, where an Early Iron Age architectural phase was identified. Indeed, until recent years, the Early Iron Age of Phaistos deserved little attention, since the interest of excavators and scholars focused on the palatial phases of the 2nd millennium B.C. and, to a lesser extent, on the Hellenistic period (LA ROSA 2010). During the project, a training dataset has been created, consisting of about 1300 digital 3D models of stones, belonging to twelve walls dating from the Late Minoan IIIC (1200-1050 B.C.) to the Geometric Period (8th century) and located in four archaeological sites in Crete (Phaistos, Ayia Triada, Sissi, Anavlochos). The aim of the project was to query the 3D digital data and to extract numeric features significant for the archaeologists, in order to:

- identify building practices (working and setting up of the stones) on a statistical base;

- evaluate continuity/change in practices, due to: *habitus*, tradition, groups identity, chronology;

– contribute to the definition of an intra-site relative chronology of the walls;
– identify restoration patterns.

F.B.

¹ P.I.: Dr. Francesca Buscemi (CNR-ISPC), Classical archaeologist and topographer; Partner Coordinator: Prof. Jan Driessen (Université Catholique de Louvain), Aegean archaeologist; partners: Prof. Maud Devolder (Ghent University), Aegean archaeologist; Dr. Marianna Figuera (University of Catania), Aegean archaeologist; Prof. Florence Gaignerot-Driessen (University of Cincinnati), Aegean archaeologist; Prof. Giovanni Gallo (University of Catania), mathematician and computer scientist; Dr. Angelica Lo Duca (ISPC-IIT), computer scientist; Dr. Andrea Marchetti (ISPC-IIT), computer scientist.



Fig. 1 – Phaistos, Post Minoan phases after the recent excavations by the University of Catania: a) NE Sector (2015-2018); b) Room NN (2022); c) so-called Temple of Rhea (2017) (orthophotos by F. Buscemi, M. Figuera, M. Spiridakis).

2. Geometrical data processing and information extraction

The 3D wall photogrammetric models were processed in two steps:

- "segmentation" of each model into a collection of meshes relative to the visible part of a stone in a wall (wall face). These meshes are referred in the following as "stone faces";

- "computation" of numerical information about position, orientation, shape, neighboring relations between stones.

The output of the "segmentation step" is a collection of files each one representing a wall facing stone in wavefront OBJ format. The resulting objects are stored in a hierarchical folder system to allow stone retrieval and visualization with any 3D software able to read OBJ files. The output of the "computation step" is a table of numerical values stored in a CSV format. The table reports several numerical values obtained by applying geometry processing analysis algorithms to the stone faces.

2.1 Segmentation. Stone faces extraction and classification

Stone walls segmentation is an important issue in the field of cultural heritage studies (VALERO, BOSCHÉ, FORSTER 2018; MURTIYOSO, GRUSSEN-MEYER 2019; KOUBOURATOU *et al.* 2021; PAVONI *et al.* 2022). Most of the published approaches require projecting the 3D structure onto a plane: to delineate the contour of the stones hence becomes an image processing task. Once the planar contour has been found, it can be back-projected on the 3D model to guide a segmentation process. Unfortunately, this approach requires the choice of a suitable projection plane and some degree of regular repetition in the stone layout. However, it is difficult to apply in a reliable way to the complex layered and highly irregular Prehistoric/Protohistoric walls. This has forced a manual processing of the models (Fig. 2a-b).

A suitable operative environment to perform this task has been identified with open source software Blender 3D which supports visualization, editing and information extraction from 3D meshes in a unified environment. The rich Python API (bpy libraries) allows direct data processing with scripts



Fig. 2 - a) False color rendering of the stones according to their semantic classification; b) segmentation of the wall into wall facing stones; c) pie chart of the occurrence of the stone types within the sample walls.

developed in Python language. It also permits the easy development and integration of specialized tools within the Blender system as an "add-on" to its standard version. So, each wall has been manually segmented and classified to obtain a collection of 3D models of the wall facing stones. The segmentation procedure has been carried out as follows: the whole 3D mesh of each wall unit has been partitioned into disjoint "vertex groups". Each of these groups contains the portion of the mesh relative to the visible part of a stone in the wall (wall facing stones). During segmentation, each vertex group has been assigned a semantic category, according to the logical model and the vocabulary developed within the project (Fig. 2c). As a side benefit from the segmentation and classification process it is easy, within Blender, to obtain false color representation that can be helpful to recognize and visualize stone types distribution and localization in a wall (Fig. 2a-b).

Senior archeologists and students both in archaeology and computer science have carried out the segmentation process and the results have been carefully double-checked in order to assure that the extracted meshes where both geometrically and semantically consistent and appropriately classified. Inter-operator variability in performing the segmentation process has been considered in designing the study: evaluation tests have been conducted to estimate the impact of the inter-operator variability factor during this stage of data processing. The tests consisted in assigning the same models to two students of the BA course of Computer Science at the University of Catania and asking them to carry out the segmentation after a brief training. The set of vertices assigned by the two independent operators to each stone have been hence compared and, on the average, only 11% of the vertices were assigned to different groups. The observed discrepancy has only a millimeter impact on the numeric properties of the stones.

The segmentation step is completed with the extraction of the vertex groups as separate "Blender objects" (i.e., separated mesh) and organized in a hierarchic collection tree. In turn, the "Blender objects" have been saved as independent files in wavefront OBJ format. The collection of all the files has been stored in an organized folder system to allow easy retrieval by a relational DB for further visualization, statistical computation, geometry processing and Machine Learning tasks.

2.2 Computation of numerical attributes of the stones

In order to carry out quantitative analysis and to apply Machine Learning methods to the study of the walls, the raw geometric data (i.e., the meshes and the textures extracted from the whole model of the wall in the previous step) is used to compute a plethora of numerical attributes related to the shape, location and orientation of the stones. Using a Python script that relies on the open source Python library Trimesh (https://trimsh.org/), several indices may be easily computed. For each stone they include: statistics about vertices, edges and triangles in the mesh representing the stone, coordinates of the geometrical center of the stone face, dimensions of the bounding box of the stone aligned with the reference system, dimension and orientation of the minimal bounding box of the stone, mean directions and variances of the normal to the triangles that form the mesh of the stone, number of touching (or very close) stones. Other indices related to the stone face shape are also computed: sphericity, planarity, flakiness, mean discrete gaussian curvature, etc.

All the computed quantitative data are compiled in a CSV allowing data analysis by using traditional statistical analysis and Machine Learning algorithms to attempt automatic classification, hypothesis validation and automatic knowledge discovery. These numerical data are as well stored as attributes of the "stone" entities into the relational database, allowing the user to ask queries based on them. Machine Learning attempts to imitate the human expert classification of the stone into their archeological type have been carried out with some promising results. In particular it has been possible to train a "random forest classifier" (BREIMAN 2001) (a randomized generalization of decision trees, BREIMAN 1984) to automatically discriminate between "rubble stones" (unworked stone used as building materials), versus "wedges" (saturating interstices between bigger stones) achieving an accuracy of 83%. Furthermore, by using recent results in explainable Artificial Intelligence (RIBEIRO, SINGH, GUESTRIN 2016; LUNDBERG, LEE 2017), it has been possible to verify that some features that are intuitively adopted by a human expert to assess stone type like: size, number of touching or almost touching stones, flakiness, etc., have indeed relevance also for the automatic classification algorithms.

G.G.

3. The DB design

The management of the data related to the 3D models has been done through the design of a specific DB. The first aspect that has been stressed was a correct data conceptualization and semantic classification: a long time was dedicated to this step, extremely thorny because of its importance (MAN-FERDINI *et al.* 2008; NOARDO 2016). Because of the lack of DBs specifically addressed to ancient architectural data, it was necessary to choose as main reference the CIDOC-CRM and its extension CRMba (RONZINO *et al.* 2015), devoted to archaeological monuments. Its current version (1.4, December 2016) proposes 5 classes ("Built Work", "Morphological Building Section", "Filled Morphological Building Section", "Empty Morphological Building Section", "Stratigraphic Building Unit") and 8 properties for the specific "Buildings Archaeology Model", linked to others CIDOC-CRM classes (as "Event", "Activity", "Production", "Time-Span", "Place", "Type", etc.) and properties, some of them referred to the "Excavation Model" ("Excavation Model Classes", "Stratigraphic Interface", "Stratigraphic Unit", etc.). The AAT - Art and Architecture Thesaurus of the Getty Institute (https://www. getty.edu/research/tools/vocabularies/aat/) was the reference vocabulary used to normalize the terminology.

Starting from these requirements, a system has been created to manage and query all the information, in order to apply a complete classification of the stones and masonry types. The entities "Wall facing elements" and "Masonries" are the core of Entity-Relationship Diagram (Fig. 3a). Linked to them there are many other entities, which are possible to gather in four groups: 1) localization entities; 2) chronology entity; 3) typology or characteristic entities; 4) documentation entities. The logical model, developed from the ER Diagram, describes in detail all the entities, which are the DB tables, with their attributes and relationships. It was developed considering first of all the 3D data managed into the "Wall facing elements" table, which includes all the quantitative data exportable from Blender in CSV format and descriptive information relating to the wall facing stones, in other words every single stone element of the exposed wall. The "Masonries" table collects all the descriptive information relating to each single wall, including its archaeological interpretation. Every wall is also identified through the localization in the archaeological site, excavation area, quarter, or room, etc. as through the geographic coordinates (GPS).

The information about localization is collected into three tables. "Finding areas", where the sites involved in the project and information about the areas of discovery are collected; "Stratigraphic units", referring both to the US (soil deposits) and the UM (masonry stratigraphic units), which chronology can be here indicated; "Stratigraphic relations", where it is possible to define the stratigraphic relationships existing between the walls and the stratigraphic units; and "Activities", to be populated with the information relating to survey activities, archaeological excavations, etc. that have affected an area or a single wall. The chronology is managed into the "Periods" table. All the characteristics and typologies are managed into seven tables: "Dimensions"; "Materials"; "Functions" (foundation, elevation, etc.); "Masonry types"; "Stone types"; "Working traces" and "Petrography". Finally, all the data coming from previous documentation are collected into the tables "Visual items" and "Documents".

The last aspect that has been stressed is the accessibility, according to the FAIR principles (WILKINSON *et al.* 2016), guaranteed by well-defined protocols about user types, roles, and permissions. In fact the system is intended to be used by multiple users with different admission rights.

4. The DB construction

Within the W.A.L.(L) Project, a prototype of a web application has been implemented based on the Django framework (https://www.djangoproject. com/). The prototype manages manual data entry to the W.A.L.(L) database, which implements the defined ER schema.

4.1 The implemented prototype

The Django framework is a free and open source web application framework written in Python, widely used in archaeology (FAZAL 2009; GALLO, ROBERTO 2012; GAMBARO, COSTA 2016). The architecture of the proposed prototype (Fig. 3b) is organized into the following components:

- the Django framework that acts as an orchestrator among the different modules;

- a database that implements the ER schema of the project. The original ER schema written using a spreadsheet is automatically mapped to Django through custom software;

- a data entry module for the manual insertion of new entities in the database, respecting the defined schema, with the related constraints;

- an automatic CSV importer, which imports data exported directly by Blender;

– a web interface, enabling users to access and query the resources contained in the database.

Different roles could be created to manage access to the resources. Now, role management has not been implemented in the platform, but an authentication mechanism is envisaged. From an Open Science perspective, at least basic access to resources should be guaranteed to all users, including non-registered ones. This basic access could involve consulting the name and description of each entity. Then, based on the role of the user, different details could be provided for each entity contained in the database. The Django framework also provides a user interface. The data entry module (Fig. 3c) currently foresees the manual insertion of some properties of the wall facing elements.

A.L.D., A.M.

5. Expecting results related to archaeological open issues

The W.A.L.(L) Project is still ongoing, and we are currently engaged in DB population and in the query construction. Machine Learning and the query about the use of building materials according to their relative chronology, typology, shape, working degree, position within each wall, dimension and occurrence can contribute to use ancient architecture as a cultural-related



Fig. 3 – a) The Entity-Relationship Diagram with entities fulcrum of the system (gray) and entities related to localization (light blue), chronology (red), typology or characteristic (violet), documentation (yellow); b) the prototype architecture of the web application; c) the data entry model.

tool in order to answer some historical and archaeological questions. One of these can be the difference among different areas of Crete in the adoption of certain building materials, also with reference to their availability, as an architectural variance of the notion of determinism introduced by the Processual archaeology: see, for example, the southern sites in the Messara (Ayia Triada



Fig. 4 – Guide fossils of the Geometric architecture at Phaistos: a) NE Sector, Room 103, Wall 907, triangular shaped stone used as a socle; b) Geometric Quarter, Room AA, polygonal slabs vertically arranged, modifying a previous wall line; c) NE Sector, Room 102L, lining wall.

and Phaistos), in comparison with northern ones, Sissi and Anavlochos, this last an impervious and difficult to supply place.

Another question can relate to the currently widely discussed topic of the transition between Subminoan, Protogeometric and Geometric in Crete, that is the existence or the degree of a rupture in architectural tradition after the



Fig. 5 - Polygonal slabs vertically arranged: a) Phaistos, Room NN; b) Ayia Triada, Altar.

LMIIIC period (12th-early 11th century). As far as Phaistos and Ayia Triada are concerned, some details seem to speak about a continuity. We can mention, for example, the treatment of the jambs, with a pseudoashlar arranged alternatively by headers and stretchers: it is already in use in LMIIIC in Phaistos and seems to have continued in the walls of the Protogeometric (9th century); the same consideration is valid for the rough coursing of the wall faces.

On the contrary, some examples of the 11th and 10th century present some features not seen before, which we propose to consider like guide fossils: the polygonal or triangular shaped orthostats (Fig. 4a) often constituting a socle in the wall; the lining walls built against previous structures, sometimes modifying their line and shape (Fig. 4c); the polygonal slabs vertically arranged, again used in order to modify earlier structures (Figs. 4b, 5) (hearths and even altars); the reuse of building material by such a poor architecture exploiting all the available structures and materials.

Following these considerations, we can assume as a working hypothesis an evolution from LMIIIC (12th-11th century) more squared stones to a crisis of the regular masonry typology in the SM/PG (11th-10th century), until a new interest for regular wall facing in the 9th century (PG).

The work until now developed within the W.A.L.(L) Project definitively stimulated such proposals, in particular through some steps of the workflow: the very detailed computer processing of the virtual 3D models of the walls; the long discussion between the partners about the architectural vocabulary and the building materials for the setup of the Logical Model; the construction of the query for the DB; the trials of ML and data mining process in order to identify relevant features for the archaeologists. We hope that our work will demonstrate how the use of Artificial Intelligence can provide a numeric base for archaeological interpretations in the challenging field of the poorly predictive Prehistoric/Protohistoric and not monumental architecture.

F.B.

FRANCESCA BUSCEMI Istituto di Scienze del Patrimonio Culturale - CNR francesca.buscemi@cnr.it

Marianna Figuera

Dipartimento di Scienze Umanistiche Università di Catania marianna.figuera@unict.it

Giovanni Gallo

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica Università di Catania giovanni.gallo@unict.it

Angelica Lo Duca, Andrea Marchetti

Istituto di Informatica e Telematica - CNR angelica.loduca@iit.cnr.it, andrea.marchetti@iit.cnr.it

Acknowledgments

We thank the CNR-ISPC computer scientist Nicolò Paraciani, who set up the Virtual Machine and is developing a system of visual retrieving of the query to the DB. For Marianna Figuera the research has been funded by the Storage Project (University of Catania).

REFERENCES

BREIMAN L. 2001, Random Forests, «Machine Learning», 45, 5-32.

- BREIMAN L., FRIEDMAN J.H., OLSHEN R.A., STONE C.J. 1984, *Classification and Regression Trees*, New York, Routledge.
- FAZAL R. 2009, Models for complex spatio-temporal relationships and their implementation using open source components, in Proceedings of Computer Applications in Archaeology (Williamsburg 2009), BAR International Series 2494, Oxford, Archaeopress, 1-9.
- GALLO P., ROBERTO V. 2012, ANTEO: Sharing Archaeological Data on a WebGIS Platform, in L. FOZZATI, V. ROBERTO (eds.), Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on The New Technologies for Aquileia (Aquileia 2012), CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 948, C1-C10 (https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-948/).
- GAMBARO L., COSTA S. 2016, Scheda TMA (Tabella Materiali). Sviluppo di un database per la gestione del materiale archeologico dalla città antica di Albintimilium (Liguria), in F. STANCO, G. GALLO (eds.), ArcheoFOSS. Free, Libre and Open Source Software e Open Format nei processi di ricerca archeologica (Catania 2013), Oxford, Archaeopress, 259-66 (https://www.archaeopress.com/Archaeopress/Products/9781784912598).
- KOUBOURATOU I., DESQUESNES X., TREUILLET S., BRUNETAUD X. 2021, Stone-by-stone segmentation for monitoring large historical monuments using deep neural networks,

in Pattern Recognition. ICPR International Workshops and Challenges. ICPR 2021, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 12667, Springer, Cham, 235-248 (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68787-8_17).

- LA ROSA V. 2010, *Phaistos*, in E. CLINE (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of the Aegean Bronze Age*, New York, Oxford University Press, 582-598.
- LUNDBERG S., LEE S. 2017, A unified approach to interpreting model predictions, in Proceedings 31st Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS) (Long Beach 2017), 1-10 (https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1705.07874).
- MANFERDINI A.M., REMONDINO F., BALDISSINI S., GAIANI M., BENEDETTI B. 2008, Modeling and semantic classification of archaeological finds for management and visualization in 3D archaeological databases, in Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Virtual Systems and Multimedia on Digital Heritage (VSMM) (Limassol, Cyprus 2008), Budapest, Archaeolingua, 221-228.
- MURTIYOSO A., GRUSSENMEYER P. 2019, Point cloud segmentation and semantic annotation aided by GIS data for heritage complexes, «ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences», 42, 523-528 (https://doi.org/10.5194/ isprs-archives-XLII-2-W9-523-2019).
- NOARDO F. 2016, Architectural heritage ontology concepts and some practical issues, in Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Geographical Information Systems Theory, Applications and Management, vol. 1, GISTAM, Rome, 168-179 (https://doi.org/10.5220/0005830901680179).
- PAVONI G., GIULIANI F., DE FALCO A., CORSINI M., PONCHIO F., CALLIERI M., CIGNONI P. 2022, On assisting and automatizing the semantic segmentation of masonry walls, «ACM Journal on Computing and Cultural Heritage», 15, 2, 1-17 (https://doi.org/10.1145/3477400).
- RIBEIRO M.T., SINGH S., GUESTRIN C. 2016, "Why Should I Trust You?": Explaining the predictions of any classifier, in KDD '16, Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (San Francisco 2016), New York, Association for Computing Machinery, 1135-1144 (https://doi. org/10.1145/2939672.2939778).
- RONZINO P., NICCOLUCCI F., FELICETTI A., DOERR M. 2015, CRMba a CRM extension for the documentation of standing buildings, «International Journal of Digital Libraries», 17, 1, 71-78 (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00799-015-0160-4).
- VALERO E., BOSCHÉ F., FORSTER A. 2018, Automatic segmentation of 3D point clouds of rubble masonry walls, and its application to building surveying, repair and maintenance, «Automation in Construction», 96, 29-39 (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.08.018).
- WILKINSON M.D. et al. 2016, The FAIR guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship, «Scientific Data», 3, 160018 (https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18).

ABSTRACT

This paper focuses on collaborative methods and open source tools aimed to analyze and query 3D photogrammetric models of ancient architectures. The processing of virtual models led to the constitution of a training dataset of around 1300 wall facing stones from four archaeological sites in Crete. Through a purposely-conceived add-on of the open source software Blender, some algorithms expressed in Python are able to extract archaeologically significant features and to perform processes of Machine Learning and data mining. The resulting data are imported into a dedicated DB managed through a web application based on the open source framework Django. This workflow addresses some peculiar challenges of the application of Artificial Intelligence to archaeological heritage: the lack of training dataset, particularly related to architecture; the lack of best practices for geometry processing and analysis of 3D data; the use of poorly predictive data in semi-automatic processes; the sharing of data into the scientific community; the importance of the open source technology and open data.



ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI



2023

All'Insegna del Giglio



34.1

2023

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI