1	Accepted manuscript of the paper
2	https://doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2020.1719229
3	Published in the International Journal of Architectural heritage (Taylor & Francis)
4	
5	
6	WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS FOR CONTINUOUS STRUCTURAL HEALTH
7	MONITORING OF HISTORIC MASONRY TOWERS
8	
9	Paolo Barsocchi
10 11 12 13 14 15	National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Istituto di Scienza e Tecnologie dell'Informazione "A. Faedo" Via G. Moruzzi, 1, San Cataldo 56124 Pisa, Italy Email: paolo.barsocchi@isti.cnr.it https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6862-7593
16	Gianni Bartoli
17 18 19 20 21	Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. University of Florence, Florence I-50139, Italy Email: gianni.bartoli@unifi.it http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5536-3269
22	Michele Betti (corresponding author)
23 24 25 26 27	Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. University of Florence, Florence I-50139, Italy Email: michele.betti@unifi.it http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8389-3355
28	Maria Girardi
29 30 31 32 33 34	National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Istituto di Scienza e Tecnologie dell'Informazione "A. Faedo" Via G. Moruzzi, 1, San Cataldo 56124 Pisa, Italy Email: Maria.Girardi@isti.cnr.it http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7358-5607
35	Stefano Mammolito
36 37 38	Engineering Italy Solutions S.r.l. (EIS), Via Giorgio de Chirico, 225, Rende (CS) Email: mammolito@tdnet.it
39	Daniele Pellegrini
40 41 42 43 44	National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Istituto di Scienza e Tecnologie dell'Informazione "A. Faedo" Via G. Moruzzi, 1, San Cataldo 56124 Pisa, Italy Email: daniele.pellegrini@isti.cnr.it http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3416-771X
45	

46	Giacomo Zini
47 48	Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. University of Florence, Florence I-50139, Italy
49 50	Email: giacomo.zini@unifi.it http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3772-2472
51	
52	

ABSTRACT

55 The recent developments of micro-electro-mechanical systems and wireless sensor networks allow 56 today the use of low-cost and small-size sensors for continuous monitoring of civil structures. Both 57 these features are very important for the low impact of the sensor grid in heritage structures, 58 ensuring a low-cost and sustainable dynamic monitoring system. Over the last twenty years the use 59 of sensor networks for continuous monitoring has received a growing interest. Anyway, still 60 numerous questions remain opened about the sensitivity of measurement devices, the optimization 61 of number and positioning of sensors, the energy efficiency of the network, and the development of 62 algorithms for real-time data analysis. This paper, based on the aforementioned motivations, 63 discusses about a monitoring system made of micro-electro-mechanical sensors connected through 64 a wireless network. The architecture of the wireless sensor network and the automatized procedure 65 proposed for the continuous processing of the recorded signals are discussed and described with reference to an explicative masonry tower case study. It is believed that the proposed technologies 66 67 can provide an economical and relatively non-invasive tool for real-time structural monitoring and 68 that, moreover, the availability of large amounts of data from actual measurements can give 69 effective information on the structural behaviour of historic constructions.

70

72	TOPICS
73	SHM of historic buildings; Innovative sensing technologies for SHM; Illustrative case-studies.
74	
75	KEYWORDS
76	ICT, Wireless Sensors Network (WSN), Dynamic Monitoring, Cultural Heritage Structures (CHS),
77	Structural Health Monitoring (SHM), Model Updating.
78	
79	

INTRODUCTION

The periodic monitoring of ancient buildings is becoming an essential element in the preservation of Cultural Heritage structures (CHS). Many, in fact, are the events (with environmental and/or anthropic origin) that can compromise safety and stability of historic constructions: ageing of materials, degradation, earthquakes, environmental vibrations, etc. (Cavalagli et al. 2017; Cavalagli et al. 2018). They can be assessed with the help of a long-term monitoring system, which allows increasing and updating the knowledge level of monumental buildings and can moreover lead to reduction and optimization of the maintenance costs.

89 In recent years, monitoring protocols coupled with appropriate mathematical and numerical models 90 gained an increasing importance in the field of preservation and conservation of historic 91 constructions, as evidenced by the growing scientific literature and exemplary case studies on the 92 subject. However, it is worth noting that, despite such increasing interest, dynamic monitoring has 93 not yet found in the national or international codes the same relevance as classical methods of local 94 investigation, such as static monitoring or even sonic and ultrasonic tests. The Italian Guidelines 95 (DPCM 2011), which paid attention to the monitoring of monumental buildings, limit the interest of 96 these techniques primarily to the evaluation of the main frequencies and mode shapes, recognizing 97 however that "the control of certain parameters of the dynamic response can, in some cases, 98 represent one of the possible elements for identifying a change in the construction." Despite the 99 Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) framework (Sohn et al. 2004) has been introduced some 100 decades ago and despite some novelties both in sensing technologies and data processing, the 101 application to historic civil engineering structures is still subject of debate and innovation among 102 the research community.

103 The application of SHM to CHS, if compared with new buildings, is even more challenging because 104 of the uniqueness of each monitored structure and the issues arising from the preservation needs 105 which characterize this kind of buildings. Such constraints require the design of monitoring systems 106 based on a reduced number of small size sensors, in order to assure a reduced impact on the 107 structure. This is in fact a key aspect when dealing with the CHS in order to avoid the set-up of 108 complex and invasive monitoring systems. As a consequence, data obtained through Ambient 109 Vibration Tests (AVTs) will be not so accurate in terms of mode shapes, due to use of sparse sensor 110 grids. Anyway, frequencies and damping ratios can be estimated with a high degree of accuracy, as 111 shown in the automated procedures for the Modal Parameters Identification (MPI) recently proposed by several authors (Reynders et al. 2012; Ubertini et al. 2013; Rainieri and Fabbrocino 112 113 2015; Cabboi et al. 2017; Neu et al. 2017).

Assuming that damage will alter the modal properties of a system, several damage sensitive properties (Salawu 1997; Sinou 2009; Dessi and Camerlengo 2015) have been investigated from the Eighties by means of AVTs. The proper choice of the sensor grid depends on both the properties of the investigated structures and the level of damage that should be potentially assessed. In the case of CHS, eigenfrequencies are still the reference property monitored to detect anomalies in the structural behaviour. Different authors (Cavalagli et al. 2017; Ubertini et al. 2016) show that a frequency shift can reveal the presence of damage in the CH buildings.

121 On the other hand, frequencies are strongly dependent on the environmental conditions such as 122 temperature, humidity and, in some cases, wind speed. Ubertini et al. (2017) clearly showed the 123 effects of temperature on the modal properties of the San Pietro bell-tower in Perugia. The increase 124 of temperature led to a quite linear increase in the frequencies for the bending modes, while a 125 negative correlation was found for the torsional modes with a decrease of the frequency with 126 temperature. An exception to this behaviour was found in the freezing days, during which the 127 frequency tended to increase with the decrease of temperature. This last result is explained by the 128 authors with the volumetric expansion of the water absorbed by the mortar joints that, due to the 129 freezing effect, leads to the stiffening of the masonry walls. Gentile et al. (2016) investigated the 130 correlation between the identified frequencies and the temperature through the analysis of the data 131 collected during a one-year monitoring of the Gabbia tower in Mantua. The results show a positive 132 linear correlation between frequency and temperature both for the bending and the torsional modes. 133 Azzara et al. (2018) investigated the temperature effects on the San Frediano bell-tower in Lucca, 134 finding a positive correlation between frequency and temperature of all the identified modes, while in Azzara et al. (2019), the authors detected the effects of freezing on the natural frequencies of the 135 136 Clock Tower in Lucca. Ramos et al. (2010) investigated the effects of the humidity on the Mogodouro clock tower and underlined the trend of the frequencies during the heavy rain period. 137 138 With respect to the wind speed effects, there are still few researches in the field of historic 139 constructions, but some results can be found in the AVTs data analysis collected on tall buildings. 140 Wu et al. (2017) analysed the correlation between the recorded wind speed and the frequencies 141 extracted from the monitoring data of a tall concrete building. The results underline a negative 142 correlation between all the frequencies and the wind speed values.

To detect in the data any anomaly that could be correlated to the presence of damage, suitable statistical methods are used to remove the environmental effects, such as the Principal Components Analysis (PCA), the Multiple Data Regression and the Kernel PCA (Ubertini et al. 2017; Rizzo et al. 2017; Azzara et al. 2018). Among the monitoring system protocols proposed by the scholars in the last years, the Continuous Vibration-Based Structural Health Monitoring (CVB-SHM), based on automated procedures for MPI, seems to be a promising tool for the structural health monitoring of historic structures (Pecorelli et al. 2018). In case of different levels of random excitation, the collection of quasi-continuous time histories allows the recognition of the lowest energy level of input necessary to the model identification of the system. Nevertheless, the wireless sensor network (WSN) technologies are not still widely applied for the CVB-SHM, apart from a few examples (Zonta et al. 2010; Potenza et al. 2015; Clementi et al. 2018).

154 This paper illustrates the design and application of a WSN for CVB-SHM purposes on a historic masonry tower. The whole system was designed within the framework of the MOSCARDO 155 156 ("Information and Communication Technologies for structural monitoring of ancient constructions 157 based on wireless sensor networks and drones") research project, funded by the Region of Tuscany 158 and spanning from 2016 to 2018. It was recently tested on few illustrative case studies of cultural 159 heritage structures. The paper discusses on the capability of the designed monitoring system to 160 identify the modal parameters with low-cost and little invasive devices, addressing to the main requirements for the SHM of CHS. The first section describes the sensor network and reports on its 161 162 properties. Subsequently, the case study (a historic masonry tower in Livorno, Italy) is introduced 163 and an automated algorithm for the extraction of the structure's modal parameters is illustrated: the 164 first 12 months of data collected by the WSN are shown and discussed with pros and cons. Finally, 165 the last part of the paper focuses on the influence of the environmental parameters on the identified 166 modal properties.

- 167
- 168 169

WIRELESS MONITORING SYSTEM DESIGN

170 Wireless Sensor Networks applications for structural health monitoring are relatively recent. In the 171 field of cultural heritage, WSN have been used until now for mainly monitoring large 172 archaeological excavations (Barlindhaug et al. 2007) or some environmental parameters within 173 museums or historic buildings. To date, applications of WSN technology to structural monitoring of 174 ancient buildings are still in experimental phase and are limited to prototypes and few research 175 projects. One of these is the "SMooHs-Smart Monitoring of Historic Structures", an international 176 and multidisciplinary research project aimed at developing an intelligent monitoring system for 177 controlling the degradation processes of a cultural asset, and providing indications of possible 178 critical situations. Another research project in the field is "HHMS-Historical Heritage Management 179 System" (Zonta et al. 2008; Zonta et al. 2010) where a framework for real-time monitoring and risk assessment of historic buildings is developed and tested with respect to an illustrative case study: 180 181 the "Porta Aquila" (Eagle Gate), one of the main historical monuments in the town of Trento

(Italy). HHMS is a framework based on an on-line internet-based platform for storing and managing data collected via a low-cost distributed sensing technology (accelerometers, strain gauges, thermometers, etc.) network. Another prototype is described in Barsocchi et al. (2018), where the bell-tower of the San Frediano church in Lucca was monitored. The authors deployed some Internet of Thing (IoT) sensor devices (MEMS accelerometers) on the San Frediano bell-tower and monitored the ambient vibrations of the structure for six months.

188 In general, despite the above-mentioned experiences, it can be stated that the dynamic monitoring of historic constructions via WSN is still developed as an episodic experimental activity. At the same 189 190 time the above-mentioned researches demonstrate that this framework can find, not interfering with 191 the normal use of the structure and being totally non-invasive and completely reversible, in 192 monumental buildings its natural application. The still existing limitations are related to several 193 factors. In fact, the application of these procedures in the field of historic and monumental 194 buildings, although almost systematized under the theoretical point of view, finds difficulties associated with: i) the selection and proper design of the monitoring network (with regard to both 195 196 the choice of the optimal sensor layout on the structure and the design of the sensor system itself); 197 ii) the operational difficulties associated with the management of the large amount of data coming 198 from a long-term dynamic monitoring network; iii) the dependence of the modal properties on the 199 environmental parameters; iv) the evaluation of the effects of a potential structural damage on the 200 modal properties.

201

202 Network Architecture

203 Before reporting on the chosen architecture, it is worth noting that the design of the proposed 204 wireless monitoring system takes into account several peculiar aspects. First, the monitoring system 205 is composed by different kinds of nodes, with different kinds of sensors: accelerometers, strain 206 gauges, displacement transducers, environmental monitoring devices (temperature, humidity, wind). 207 Each sensor (and then each node) has its special requirements, in terms of sampling frequency, data 208 storage and radio data rate. Another important aspect is represented by the sample synchronization. 209 This phase is critical when dealing with structural data analysis, since every bunch of data must be 210 correlated with the others. Therefore, a robust network synchronization algorithm is needed. 211 Another aspect taken into account is represented by the packaging of the accelerometers that must 212 be mechanically suitable for the detection of very small vibrations at low frequencies. This implies 213 direct adherence between the sensor and the box itself. Moreover, from the packaging point of view, 214 since the system is installed over historical buildings often visited by tourists, it is important to 215 ensure compliance with local regulations, protection against tampering and respect for aesthetics.

Finally, another constraint is represented by the remote control of the entire wireless monitoring system, needed in order to avoid frequent access to the structure

To meet all the above requirements, a custom hardware, firmware and software solution was designed.

The network architecture showed in Figure 1 guarantees the sensor heterogeneity constraint as well as the remote control of the entire monitoring system. Indeed, the central element is the message queue telemetry transport (MQTT) broker, which forwards all messages between the sensors and the rightful receivers. Each sensor is connected to a gateway that publishes a message to the broker including a topic (i.e., acceleration, temperature and humidity) in the message.

The gateway is the node that allows the integration of the different kinds of sensor technologies. In particular, the gateway allows a two-way communication: from sensors to the monitoring center, for the transmission of collected data; and from the monitoring center to the nodes, allowing the remote parameter configuration via a simple and convenient Web interface. Figure 2, as an example, reports a view of the gateway as installed on the historic tower here analysed.

Each application/service that wants to receive messages subscribes to a topic, and the broker delivers all messages with the matching topic to the applicant. This architecture allows scalable solutions without dependencies between the data producers and the data consumers, and it is ideal for the emerging IoT/machine-to-machine (M2M) world, where bandwidth and power consumption are of paramount importance (Barsocchi et al. 2018).

235 Moreover, the gateway, by connecting directly to the broker with connection failover and message-236 buffering mechanisms, prevents data loss when connectivity issues arise on the Internet Protocol 237 network (Barsocchi et al. 2014). The sensing information received through the messaging service is 238 stored in two different databases. In particular, a MySQL database stores part of the wireless sensor 239 (WS) information, while a MongoDB database stores the remaining part. The MySQL database is 240 used to store sensor identification, the type of data acquired, and the medium access control address 241 of the sensor nodes. Instead, the MongoDB non-relational is used to store all the sensing 242 information gathered from the WS nodes.

The synchronization constraint is guaranteed by using the internal clock with the Network Time Protocol (NTP). Each node is endowed with an NTP client, and, just before the acquisition, each node sends a timing request to the local NTP server.

246

247 Sensor nodes

Considering the expected functionalities and the need to strongly adapt the system to the applicationcontext, the realization of an "ad hoc" node was considered the optimal solution. The designed node

platform has a modular and easily expandable architecture (Figure 3). Every node is composed by acombination of:

- 252 Core board, delegated to the collection, processing and sending of data (Figure 4a). The Core 253 board provides computation and communication functionalities to the system, coordinating the 254 different parts of the node. The diagram reported in Figure 5 represents the basic components of 255 the board. The main component is the microcontroller, a ST Microelectronics STM32F4. Local 256 data is stored on FRAM memory when dealing with high throughput sensors (like 257 accelerometers). Unlike Flash and Micro SD, FRAM provides faster read/write operations and 258 lower power consumption (but lower capacity). The Micro SD, instead, is used to maintain 259 limited access to read/write data, like node status and configuration, local logger (saved into 260 easily readable TXT files), and so on. The user interface (and specifically the display, 128x48 261 OLED) is useful when testing or installing the system: it provides handy information like node 262 identifier, hardware/firmware version, acquisition status, data link activity, battery power etc. 263 (Figure 6). The programming and debug interface, available both on Micro USB connector and 264 SWD (IDC), is rich and easy to use; the board is completed by an expansion connector Arduino-265 compatible, used when additional boards or components are needed.
- Solar board, used when direct supply is not available and battery-operated supply with solar
 panel charging is required (Figure 4b);
- 268 Analog board, needed to condition and convert acceleration, displacement and strain gauge
 269 signals (Figure 4c).
- 270 With regard to the transducers, the Accelerometer node (Figure 7) consists of a custom sensor 271 board, based on a mono-axial MEMS transducer with differential analog output, developed by 272 Safran Colibrys SA. This sensor is characterised by very high sensitivity (1.35 V/g), large and flat 273 frequency response (from DC to 7 kHz) and ultra-low noise output (7 µgHz). The full -scale 274 selected is $\pm 2g$ (typical), because we deal with very low signal amplitudes. Besides the 275 accelerometer transducer, this module includes pre-filtering and buffering stages. The first is used 276 to remove unwanted frequency components from the signal, thereby reducing the overall noise. The 277 second allows the use of longer cables between the sensor and the acquisition box, without 278 significant signal loss and/or corruption.
- In the case of the *Strain Gauge* node, a commercial Pi-shape transducer with differential output has been selected: specifically, the TML PI-2 with gauge length of 300mm. This device is a combination of strain gauges and an arch-shaped spring plate, the former attached to the latter. The transducer is connected to the node, and its signal is adapted and converted by the *Analog* board. The *Displacement* node is similar to the previous one, but in this case, a Gefran PZ67 auto-aligning

potentiometric transducer with single-ended output is used, whose signal was fed to the *Analog* board, for signal conditioning and conversion. Finally, the *Temperature/Humidity* node makes use of a commercial sensor made by Davis, equipped with a Sensirion SHT31 precision transducer with digital output. The reading is then directly processed by the *Core* board.

288

289 Packaging and layout

Starting from the described modular architecture, different types of nodes were assembled: accelerometer, strain gauge, displacement, temperature/humidity (indoor) and complete weather station (outdoor). As mentioned, display was provided on-board to allow ease reading of the operating parameters. A multi-colour status LED is also provided to allow malfunction identifying even at long distance. To this end, IP67 boxes with semi-transparent cover were chosen, compatible with outdoor installation and protected against dust and atmospheric agents (rain, wind). Moreover, the selected panel connectors are also IP67 certified and allow easy plug and/or replacement.

The accelerometric transducers were assembled keeping the board as close as possible to the box, to avoid the introduction of extraneous signals produced by the mechanical coupling between the transducer and the box itself. There is a single connector on the panel, needed for power supply and connection of the transducer signal to the acquisition node.

301

302 Test and validation

The operation of the new sensors was verified through a set of laboratory tests and the data acquisition and pre-processing routines were improved in order to ensure the best possible performance over. In particular, the coherence of the accelerometric data was verified, comparing the performances of the developed system with those of a commercial reference one (a monoaxial piezoelectric accelerometer PCB 393C).

308 The measurement was carried out using an oscillating structure with a known resonance frequency. 309 A wooden-framed structure was built (Figure 8), consisting of two 1 m high columns with 310 rectangular cross section of (10 mm \times 98 mm), spaced about 350 mm and connected to an upper 311 wooden beam with rectangular cross section of (34 mm \times 94 mm). All connections are ensured 312 through steel angle brackets and bolts; this setup prevents any relative rotation of the elements 313 composing the wooden structure, whose fundamental frequency can be tuned by simply adding or 314 removing sandbags from the upper beam. Without additional masses on the top of the structure, the 315 fundamental frequency of the system is equal to 3.70 Hz, as also confirmed via finite-element 316 simulation (Barsocchi et al. 2018).

317 Comparisons between the developed sensor and the reference accelerometer were performed in both 318 the frequency and the time domain, on the values of the fundamental frequency measured by the 319 two devices and on the shape and trend of the experimental signals recorded. The devices were 320 tested on the structure under ambient vibration and then assigning a horizontal displacement to the 321 upper beam and measuring the resulting oscillations of the system; each experiment was repeated 322 four times. Figure 9a shows the very good correspondence between the signals recorded by the two 323 devices, while in Figure 9b the performances of the accelerometers are compared in terms of 324 frequency content.

- 325
- 326 327

THE CASE STUDY

The case study selected to test the efficiency of the designed Wireless Sensor Networks is the Matilde Tower in Livorno (Italy). This is a historic masonry tower built in the Livorno harbour, which belongs to an old architectonical site called "*Fortezza Vecchia*" (Old Fortress). This structural typology is iconic and widespread all over the Mediterranean area and generally exhibits high vulnerabilities with respect to the horizontal loads (Ivorra et al. 2009; Acito et al. 2014; Bartoli et al. 2017; Bartoli et al. 2019).

The Matilde Tower (Figure 10) was built in the XIII century as a stand-alone structure and was modified through the time. Nowadays it appears as a massive tower bounded by the fortress walls and by several small buildings. The structure has a circular section with an external diameter of about 12 m and a total height of about 29 m. The walls width is 2.5 m and a helicoidal stair is embedded in the walls to reach the different levels. The slabs are constituted by masonry vaults and concrete, giving a rigid-plane behaviour to the structure. The masonry vaults at Level 0 (Figure 11) were retrofitted in the past with four tie rods.

The tower is surrounded by the walls of the fortress and other minor buildings reaching different heights. The South-West corner is bounded by the original block of the fortress called "*Quadratura dei Pisani*", and the East side is confined by the ruins of the Cosimo dei Medici palace. The entire architectonical complex suffered several damages, due to foundations settlements, environmental erosion phenomena and bomb attacks occurred during the World War II.

The presented case study can be considered an interesting application since it is subjected to a wide spectrum of dynamic excitations caused by traffic, remarkable wind speeds, and the harmonic forced vibrations generated by the engines of the ferry boats docked in the harbour.

- 349
- 350

351 Preliminary dynamic tests

Preliminary dynamic tests were performed on the 23th of January, 2017 by the DICEA-UNIFI Lab, 352 using 12 high sensitivity piezoelectric accelerometers (PCB 393-C with a range of 2.5 g, sensitivity 353 354 of 1 V/g and PCB 393-B31 with a range of 0.5 g, sensitivity of 10 V/g), in order to characterize the 355 dynamic behaviour of the tower. The prior knowledge of the dynamic behaviour is in fact a basic 356 datum for the design of a suitable long-term monitoring system, especially for the CHS. For this 357 reason, the preliminary dynamic campaign was performed using a refined grid of sensors in order to identify the dynamic behaviour of the tower and design the sensor setup of the long-term 358 monitoring system. 359

For the sake of brevity, herein the only results of the preliminary dynamic campaign are reported. Specific details of the experimental layout are reported in Zini et al. (2018). The signals were recorded with a sampling frequency of 400 Hz, filtered in the frequency band 0.3-13 Hz with two fourth order low-pass/high-pass Butterworth filters and down-sampled to 30 Hz.

From the analysis in the frequency domain via the Frequency Domain Decomposition (FDD) technique, the presence of harmonic responses was observed by the local increase in the rank of the Power Spectral Density (PSD) matrix in proximity of the narrow band peaks (Figure 12). The results of the dynamic identification are summarized in Table 1. They were obtained by comparing two techniques: the FDD in frequency domain and the data driven Stochastic Subspace Identification (SSI-data) in time domain. The results obtained with the two techniques show a good agreement between them both in terms of frequency and mode shapes (reported in Figure 13).

371

372 Finite-element modelling and model updating

A finite element (FE) model of the Matilde Tower was built making use of the NOSA-ITACA code (Girardi et al. 2015). The tower model consists of 44,092 isoparametric 8-node brick elements (element 8 of NOSA-ITACA library), for a total number of 160,299 degrees of freedom (Figure 14). The nodes of the model were fixed at the base, while the constraints given by the curtain walls of the Old Fortress were simulated via lateral springs.

Considering the lack of information about the composition and the mechanical properties of the masonry constituting the tower walls, a homogeneous material was considered in the model: in particular, once fixed the mass density to 2,000 kg/m³, the Young's modulus of masonry and the stiffness of the lateral springs were tuned in order to fit the experimental values of the natural frequencies by using the model updating algorithm proposed in Girardi et al. (2019). Table 2 shows the eigenfrequencies of the finite-element model obtained for a Young's modulus of 2,500 MPa and a stiffness of the lateral springs of $5.1 \, 10^9$ N/m. A comparison with the experimental values is also reported in Table 2: the finite element model fits very well the first two frequencies, corresponding to bending modes, but exhibits a stiffer behaviour on the highest frequency. The numerical results highligh also an intermediate frequency at 6.2 Hz, corresponding to an axialtorsional mode, which has not been clearly identified in the preliminary experimental tests. The first, second and forth mode shape of the finite element model are also illustrated in Figure 15.

- 390
- 391
- 392

AUTOMATIC MODAL PARAMETERS EXTRACTION

The automated procedure proposed here for the extraction of the modal parameters is constituted by the following steps: i) signal acquisition and processing, ii) input selection, iii) system identification through the covariance driven Stochastic Subspace Identification (Van Overschee and De Moor 1996) (SSI-cov), iv) clustering phase (Magalhães et al. 2009; Reynders et al. 2012; Ubertini et al. 2013; Cheynet et al. 2016) and v) statistical processing of the obtained data. All these steps are needed for the dynamic characterization of the investigated structure and the vibration sources, allowing a clear identification of the modal parameters (Ceravolo et al. 2017).

The procedure was implemented in a MATLAB routine which automatically processes the data acquired by the monitoring system (Figure 16) with a sampling frequency of 50 Hz. The raw data are then filtered in the frequency band 0.3-10 Hz by applying successively two fourth-order lowpass/high-pass Butterworth filters and down sampled to a frequency of 25 Hz. The obtained modal parameters are tracked through the monitored period and correlated with the environmental effects. The whole algorithm implemented for the modal parameters extraction (illustrated in Figure 17)

was previously calibrated over a week of acquisition, in order to define the energy level threshold
for the selection of the inputs.

408

409 Calibration of the algorithm

410 The calibration of the algorithm, with respect to its three main phases (*Input Selection, Modal*411 *Identification and Modal tracking*), was performed over a suitable starting period.

For the input selection, the calibration phase gives the reference values of the Root Mean Square (RMS) and the Signal-to-Noise-Ratio (SNR) that allow the identification of a minimum number of modes *N*. The calibration phase also contributes to a suitable definition of the optimum number of rows in the Henkel matrix and of the model order range of variation, by means of a sensitivity analysis (Reynders et al. 2008; Rainieri and Fabbrocino 2014).

To validate the obtained results, the complexity of the mode shapes was investigated using theMean Phase Deviation (MPD) and the Modal Phase Collinearity (MPC) (Reynders et al. 2012).

419 These two indicators exhibit values between 0 and 1 and can be used to discriminate the physical 420 from the spurious modes in a proportionally damped system. MPC values equal to one indicate real 421 modes. The MPD indicator measures the angle of the best straight line fitting a mode shape in the 422 complex plane (Reynders et al. 2012) (it is measured in degree); values equal to zero are 423 attributable to real modes. In this paper the MPD indicator is the reciprocal of the classic definition, 424 thus giving values equal to one for the real modes and to zero for the complex modes. Anyway, 425 complex mode shapes can arise from both non-linearity in the structural behaviour and noise in the 426 measurements. Hence, the thresholds on MPC and MPD values for defining the real modes was set 427 in a statistical way, through the results obtained over the calibration period. The calibration phase 428 allows also defining the MAC values for the Modal Tracking (MT) of the identified modal 429 parameters.

430 As shown in Reynders et al. (2008), the variance in the estimation of the Modal Parameters (MP) is 431 strictly connected to the operative conditions (coloured noise, non-stationarity, SNR ratio). The aim 432 of the calibration phase is to reduce the uncertainties that affect the identification process and to 433 check the quality of the input data, in order to increase the accuracy of the identified modal 434 parameters (Marwitz and Zabel 2018). All these operations are needed because the frequency 435 variations potentially induced by damage are very low and can be buried by the environmental 436 effects; hence, a prior reduction of the errors and noise is very important for the correct application 437 of the SHM algorithm.

For the investigated structure, the main source of excitation is the wind; for this reason, two periods of calibration were chosen (Figure 18, Figure 19). The first (#P1) was the week with the highest values of recorded wind speed (3/12/2018-10/12/2018), and the second (#P2) was the week with the lowest wind speeds (15/02/2019-22/02/2019). Thus, suitable thresholds for the input selection, the modal identification and the modal tracking are defined considering both the excitation levels.

443

444 Input selection

The input selection allows choosing the records with the highest quantity of modal information. Indeed, the level of excitation described, for each sensor, by the power of the signals in terms of Root Mean Square (RMS) is one of the key reference parameters. To check the quality of the input signals, the fourth order statistical moment (Kurtosis) of each time history was also calculated.

The Kurtosis gives some indications about the Probability Density Function (PDF) of the time histories. In particular, values equal to 3 indicate that the PDF is Gaussian, higher values mean that the distribution exhibits higher tails. Values lower than 3 mean that some periodic wave is inside

- 452 the signals (e.g. a sine wave has a Kurtosis equal to 1.5). Hence, the Kurtosis values were used to 453 check the hypothesis that the recorded signals come from a Gaussian white-noise process.
- 454 Usually, in the OMA testing the signal quality assessment is performed by checking the SNR ratio.
- 455 For instance the American standards ANSI S2.47 (1990) recommend a minimum level of 5 dB and
- 456 they propose some corrections in the range between 5-10 dB. Anyway, some Authors (Brincker and
- 457 Ventura 2015) suggest a minimum level of 30-40 dB for the OMA identification of large civil
- 458 structures.
- 459 Considering the main dynamic input of the Matilde Tower, i.e. the wind (Figure 20), a criterion for 460 the input selection was defined through the analysis of the calibration phase results. The analysis of 461 the Kurtosis allows detecting abrupt changes in the signals like spikes and dropouts due to the 462 system malfunctioning (Figure 21). To avoid these phenomena, an outlier analysis was performed 463 and all the values outside 1.5 times the interquartile range were excluded.
- For the sake of simplicity, and to fix a unique value for all the inputs, the thresholds on the RMS, SNR and Kurtosis were finally defined as the mean values of those coming from all the accelerometers (Table 3). Conservatively, for the SNR and the RMS values the threshold coincides with the lower bound of the interquartile range (Figure 22). Instead, the Kurtosis threshold was assumed as 1.5 times the upper bound of the interquartile range, in order to avoid loss of data in the case of large distribution tails generated by strong wind effects (Table 4).
- 470

471 Automated modal identification

The Stochastic Subspace Identification (SSI) (Van Overschee and De Moor 1996) is a wellestablished parametric technique in the time domain used for the Operational Modal Analysis (OMA). The structure, subjected to unknown input, is modelled in the time domain as a discrete linear time-invariant system, whose dynamic behaviour is governed by the following state-space model (Ljung 1987):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{w}_k \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= \mathbf{C} \, \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{v}_k \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

477 where $\mathbf{x_k} \in \mathcal{R}^N$ is the state space vector describing the system in the stochastic space, *N* is the model 478 order, and $\mathbf{y_k} \in \mathcal{R}^l$ is the vector of observations at the *k*-th time step. The vectors $\mathbf{w_k} \in \mathcal{R}^N$ and 479 $\mathbf{v_k} \in \mathcal{R}^l$ are two unknown zero mean white noises modelling the process noise (the unmeasured inputs 480 can be considered part of the process noise) and the output noise, respectively. The *N*×*N* matrix **A** 481 and the *l*×*N* matrix **C** are the system matrix and the controllability matrix, representing the evolution 482 of the state-space configuration in the stochastic space and the representation of the sequence of 483 observation in the space of the measured DOFs, respectively. Thus, it is possible to identify the 484 system modal characteristics from the eigenvalues decomposition of the system matrix (Peeters and

485 De Roeck 2001).

486 The algorithm here used for the modal identification is the so-called Principal Components 487 algorithm based on the decomposition of the Toeplitz block matrix, estimated from the covariance 488 matrices of the signals. The model order N is linked to the number of system modes (a system with 489 a model order N has N/2 modes). The choice of the optimal model order is not straightforward, due 490 to the noise effects. Then, a range of model order variation should be decided in advance and the modal parameters can be selected by visual inspection of the stabilization diagram, as repeated 491 492 poles at every model order. Usually, the minimum model order is fixed in twice the minimum 493 number of expected modes, while the maximum is much higher. This operation, called over 494 modelling, introduces some spurious modes that can bring to misleading values.

495 An optimum value of the number of rows in the Henkel matrix, representing the memory of the 496 process, should be defined in advance by means of a sensitivity analysis (Rainieri and Fabbrocino 497 2014; Cabboi et al. 2017). The automated interpretation of the stabilization diagram was performed 498 with a single linkage hierarchical clustering algorithm (Magalhães et al. 2012) and the candidate 499 modes were subsequently validated with two single mode validation criteria: the Modal Phase 500 Collinearity (MPC) and the Mean Phase Deviation (MPD) (Shih et al. 1988). The values of the 501 MPC and MPD thresholds were selected as the mean of the obtained values through the calibration 502 phase (Table 5). It is worth noting that complex modes can arise from different phenomena 503 (Imregun and Ewins 1995). On the one hand the complexity deals with measurements errors and 504 identification issue, on the other hand the complexity can arise from the non-linear behaviour of the 505 structure and from aerodynamics effects. Hence, it is crucial to define conservative thresholds from 506 data collected in the calibration period, avoiding the loss of information dealing with the structural 507 dynamics.

The minimum number of elements in each cluster to identify physical modes was selected equal to one third of the maximum number of poles found along a frequency alignment during the analysis of the calibration signals. Each mode is correspondingly represented as the mean of the cluster in terms of frequency, damping ratio and mode shape (Figure 23).

512

513 Modal tracking of the modal parameters

514 Modal Tracking is a widely applied technique to collect, during the monitoring period, the 515 identified modal properties which represent the same mode. Despite its popularity, to the authors 516 knowledge not so many papers are available in literature dealing with this procedure. Verboven et 517 al. (2002) introduced an algorithm for tracking the modal properties of a slat track, based on the

distance between the mode residue matrices. When monitoring large structures with OMA 518 519 procedures, the vector of the participation factors is not available, and the mode residue matrix 520 cannot be calculated. Hence, the common approach is defining a reference dataset of modal 521 properties evaluated from dynamic testing campaigns performed with a refined grid of sensors 522 (Sinou 2009; Ubertini et al. 2016). Then, some distance thresholds are used in terms of frequencies 523 and mode shapes (Magalhães et al. 2009) to group together modal properties representing the same 524 mode. The method works well if the modes are clearly separated and conversely it can fail for 525 closely spaced modes that exhibit some coupling in the modal domain. For this reasons, Cabboi et 526 al. (2017) proposed an adaptive threshold for each mode on the basis of the distances between the 527 frequencies and the mode shapes.

528 In the present case, all the identified poles in the calibration periods have been grouped together by 529 means of a hierarchical clustering procedure with a fixed limit distance: all the clustered elements 530 are sorted within the 5% in terms of frequency and the 0.7 in terms of MAC index. Then all the 531 clusters with enough numerosity are analysed, excluding those that are less recurring in the two 532 calibration periods. Since mode shapes are usually less sensitive to the environmental changes, the 533 statistical properties in terms of MAC indices for each cluster element are evaluated. Finally, the 534 tolerance in terms of frequency is fixed to the 7.5% and in terms of mode shape is chosen as the 535 higher value of the lower bound in the interquartile range for each calibration period. Once the 536 thresholds are fixed, the MT is performed through the whole monitoring period merging the values 537 representing the same mode.

- 538
- 539

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

540

At the beginning of the first 12 months of monitoring several system disconnections occurred which involved the environmental sensors (temperature and humidity). However, considering both the outdoor and the indoor sensors, the measured environmental parameters cover the whole monitoring timespan (Figure 24). Environmental data were used regardless the positioning of the sensor; this was considered acceptable taking into account the low differences between the indoor and outdoor recorded values, and in consideration of the absence of a heating system in the tower together with the presence of several openings.

The results of the MT (Figure 25) show the evolution of the first three modal frequencies over the first 12 months of activity of the monitoring system. The number of tracked value for the first two identified modes is comparable (1535 for the first mode, 923 for the second mode), while the third 551 one was identified only on a limited number of session (85). This can be attributable to the higher 552 level of energy needed to excite that mode.

After one year of data, some preliminary observations can still be done: the first two modes clearly 553 554 exhibit an increase of the frequency with the temperature (Figure 26a), while for the third mode a 555 decrease, with a lower level of correlation, seems to be observed. The latter can be attributable to 556 the current dataset that cannot still allow establishing the nature of the correlation. The positive 557 correlation with temperature is well explained in literature (Gentile et al. 2016) in terms of thermal expansion of the masonry blocks and the resulting increase of the stiffness. The negative correlation 558 559 effect on the torsional mode is even documented. In Ubertini et al. (2017) this phenomenon is 560 explained with the slackening of the tie elements introduced during retrofitting works. The same 561 behaviour seems to be observed here, due to the presence of steel bars at each vaulted level.

562 The variation of humidity seems not to have a significant influence on the tracked modes (Figure 563 26b). This can be justified with the plastered and painted external surface that limits the water absorption of the walls. The wind speed effect on the natural frequencies (Figure 26c) is low, 564 565 exhibiting a slighting negative correlation for all the tracked modes. In Cantieni (2014) it is also 566 observed a decrease of the frequencies in the case of strong wind activity. This phenomenon is 567 justified by the crack openings in the case of strong dynamic actions that produce a reduction of the 568 stiffness. It is worth noting that the first two modes are well identified in a regime of strong wind, 569 but the third is not identified anymore. This can be explained by the frequency content of the wind 570 excitation, whose energy tend to be concentred in the low frequencies, allowing a better 571 identification of the lowest modes.

572 The variation of the considered environmental parameters (temperature, humidity and wind speed) 573 during the first 12 months of monitoring is also summarized in Table 6, together with the 574 corresponding variation of the three main frequencies as obtained from the linear regression of the 575 data with respect to the measured environmental effects.

- 576
- 577

CONCLUSIVE REMARKS

578

579 The paper presented an application of the WSN technology to the long-time monitoring of historic 580 constructions, developed within the research project MOSCARDO. The project was aimed at 581 providing a general framework to: i) check the structural health of the monitored structures at any 582 time and from any location, and to real-time detect any potential damage that may compromise its 583 habitual use; ii) provide historical data sets that can be used to permanently monitor the tested 584 structure and to develop predictions (and promptly act for repairing when needed); iii) gain an in-

585 depth and organic knowledge of the historical construction, from which new models and tools can 586 be developed to simulate the mechanical behavior of the structure. Based on the specific 587 requirements of CHS (use of low-cost sensors and low visual impact of the sensor grid), the design 588 of customized hardware and software technologies has been performed and preliminarily tested on 589 several representative case studies. The system is operating since September 2018 and is able to 590 acquires data continuously. Data recorded by the WSN are in agreement with those acquired during 591 a preliminary test performed with traditional seismic accelerometers, and after an initial period of 592 debugging and adjustment, the system has now gained stability and reliability and is able to acquire 593 data without interruptions. Eventually, an automatic modal identification procedure has been set-up, 594 and the effects of temperature and wind speed on the tower modal properties are in line with the 595 behaviour observed in other studies. 596 Practitioners and stakeholders, but the public administrations in charge of risk management of CHS

also, will benefit from the results of the WSN for SHM here proposed in term of improving
prevention and risk awareness. In addition, the scientific community will benefit of the collected
data to improve, f.i., the knowledge of the physical correlation between environmental parameters
and modal properties.

- 601
- 602
- 603

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- The authors kindly acknowledge the Region of Tuscany and the Italian Ministry of Education,
 Universities, and Research for the financial support of the MOSCARDO research project (call
 FAR-FAS 2014).
- 607
- 608

REFERENCES

Acito, M., Bocciarelli, M., Chesi, C., Milani, G. 2014. Collapse of the clock tower in Finale Emilia
after the May 2012 Emilia Romagna earthquake sequence: Numerical insight. Engineering
Structures 72: 70–91. doi:10.1016/j.engstruct.2014.04.026.
ANSI (American National Standards Institute). 1990. Vibration of buildings. Guidelines for the
measurement of vibrations and evaluation of their effects on buildings. ANSI S2.47-1990.
Azzara, R.M., De Roeck, G., Girardi, M., Padovani, C., Pellegrini, D., Reynders, E. 2018. The
influence of environmental parameters on the dynamic behaviour of the San Frediano bell tower
in Lucca. Engineering Structures 156: 175-187. doi:10.1016/j.engstruct.2017.10.045.
Azzara, R.M., Girardi, M., Iafolla, V., Lucchesi, D.M., Padovani, C., Pellegrini, D. 2019. Ambient
Vibrations of Age-old Masonry Towers: Results of Long-term Dynamic Monitoring in the
Historic Centre of Lucca. International Journal of Architectural Heritage.
doi:10.1080/15583058.2019.1695155.
Barlindhaug, S., Holm-Olsen, I.M., Tømmervik, H. 2007. Monitoring archaeological sites in a
changing landscape - Using multitemporal satellite remote sensing as an "early warning" method
for detecting regrowth processes. Archaeological Prospection 14(4): 231-244.
doi:10.1002/arp.307.
Barsocchi, P., Ferro, E., Fortunati, L., Mavilia, F., Palumbo, F. 2014. EMS@CNR: An Energy
monitoring sensor network infrastructure for in-building location-based services. In Proceedings
of the International Conference on High Performance Computing & Simulation (HPCS2014),
Bologna, Italy, July 21-25, 2014. doi:10.1109/HPCSim.2014.6903779.
Barsocchi, P., Cassara, P., Mavilia, F., Pellegrini, D. 2018. Sensing a City's State of Health:
Structural Monitoring System by Internet-of-Things Wireless Sensing Devices. IEEE Consumer
Electronics Magazine 7: 22-31. doi:10.1109/MCE.2017.2717198.
Bartoli, G., Betti, M., Monchetti, S. 2017. Seismic Risk Assessment of Historic Masonry Towers:
Comparison of Four Case Studies. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities 31(5):
04017039. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CF.1943-5509.0001039.
Bartoli, G., Betti, M., Galano, L., Zini, G. 2019. Numerical insights on the seismic risk of confined
masonry towers. Engineering Structures 180: 713-727. doi:10.1016/j.engstruct.2018.10.001.
Brincker, R., Ventura, C.E. 2015. Introduction to Operational Modal Analysis. New York: John
Wiley & Sons Inc.

- 641 Cabboi, A., Magalhães, F., Gentile, C., Cunha, Á. 2017. Automated modal identification and
- tracking: Application to an iron arch bridge, Structural Control Health Monitoring 24: e1854.
 doi:10.1002/stc.1854.
- Cantieni, R. 2014. One-Year Monitoring of a Historic Bell Tower. Key Engineering Materials 628:
 73-78. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/kem.628.73.
- 646 Cavalagli, N., Comanducci, G., Ubertini, F. 2018. Earthquake-Induced Damage Detection in a
- Monumental Masonry Bell-Tower Using Long-Term Dynamic Monitoring Data. Journal of
 Earthquake Engineering 22(1): 96–119. doi:10.1080/13632469.2017.1323048.
- 649 Cavalagli, N., Comanducci, G., Gentile, C., Guidobaldi, M., Saisi, A., Ubertini, F. 2017. Detecting
- 651 response-only data. Procedia Engineering 199: 3416–3421. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2017.09.581.

earthquake-induced damage in historic masonry towers using continuously monitored dynamic

- 652 Ceravolo, R., Matta, E., Quattrone, A., Zanotti Fragonara, L. 2017. Amplitude dependence of
- 653 equivalent modal parameters in monitored buildings during earthquake swarms. Earthquake
- 654 Engineering Structural Dynamics 46(14): 2399-2417. doi:10.1002/eqe.2910.
- Cheynet, E., Jakobsen, J.B., Snæbjörnsson, J. 2016. Buffeting response of a suspension bridge in
 complex terrain. Engineering Structures 128: 474-487. doi:10.1016/j.engstruct.2016.09.060.
- 657 Clementi, F., Pierdicca, A., Milani, G., Gazzani, V., Poiani, M., Lenci, S. 2018. Numerical model
 658 upgrading of ancient bell towers monitored with a wired sensors network. In Proceedings of 10th
 659 International Masonry Conference (IMC), Milan, Italy, July 9-11, 2018.
- 660 Dessi, D., Camerlengo, G. 2015. Damage identification techniques via modal curvature analysis:
- 661 Overview and comparison. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 52–53: 181–205.
- 662 doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2014.05.031.

- 663 DPCM2011 (Direttiva del Presidente del Consiglio dei Ministri). 2011. Direttiva del Presidente del
 664 Consiglio dei Ministri per la valutazione e riduzione del rischio sismico del patrimonio culturale
 665 con riferimento alle NTC 2008. G. U. n. 47 del 26.02.2011 (in Italian).
- 666 Gentile, C., Guidobaldi, M., Saisi, A. 2016. One-year dynamic monitoring of a historic tower:
- damage detection under changing environment. Meccanica 51(11): 2873-2889.
- 668 doi:10.1007/s11012-016-0482-3.
- 669 Girardi, M., Padovani, C., Pellegrini, D. 2015. The NOSA-ITACA code for the safety assessment of
- ancient constructions: a case study in Livorno. Advances in Engineering Software 89: 64-76. doi:
 10.1016/j.advengsoft.2015.04.002.
- 672 Girardi, M., Padovani, C., Pellegrini, D., Robol, L. 2019. Model Updating Procedure to Enhance
- 673 Structural Analysis in FE Code NOSA-ITACA. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities
- 674 33(4): 04019041. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CF.1943-5509.0001303.

- Imregun, M., Ewins, D.J. 1995. Complex modes-origins and limits. In Proceedings of the 13th
 International Modal Analysis Conference, Nashville, Tennessee, USA, February 13-16, 1995.
- 677 Ivorra, S., Pallarés, F.J., Adam, J.M. 2009. Experimental and Numerical Results from the Seismic
- 678 Study of a Masonry Bell Tower. Advances in Structural Engineering 12(2): 287–293.

679 doi:10.1260/136943309788251641.

- Ljung, L. 1987. System Identification: Theory for User. New York: Prentice Hall, EnglewoodCliffs.
- Magalhães, F., Cunha, A., Caetano, E. 2009. Online automatic identification of the modal
 parameters of a long span arch bridge. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 23: 316-329.
 doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2008.05.003.
- Magalhães, F., Cunha, A., Caetano, E. 2012. Vibration based structural health monitoring of an arch
 bridge: From automated OMA to damage detection. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing
 28: 212-228. doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2011.06.011.
- Marwitz, S., Zabel, V. 2018. Relations between the quality of identified modal parame- ters and
- measured data obtained by structural monitoring. In Proceedingis of the International Conference
 on Noise and Vibration Engineering (ISMA2018), Leuven, Belgium, September 17-19, 2018.
- Neu, E., Janser, F., Khatibi, A.A., Orifici, A.C. (2017). Fully Automated Operational Modal
 Analysis using multi-stage clustering. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 84: 308-323.
 doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2016.07.031.
- 694 Potenza, F., Federici, F., Lepidi, M., Gattulli, V., Graziosi, F., Colarieti, A. 2015. Long-term
- 695 structural monitoring of the damaged Basilica S. Maria di Collemaggio through a low-cost
- 696 wireless sensor network. Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring 5: 655-676.
- 697 doi:10.1007/s13349-015-0146-3.
- 698 Pecorelli, M.L., Ceravolo, R., Epicoco R. 2018. An Automatic Modal Identification Procedure for
- the Permanent Dynamic Monitoring of the Sanctuary of Vicoforte. International Journal of
 Architectural Heritage. oi:10.1080/15583058.2018.1554725.
- Peeters, B., De Roeck, G. 2001. Stochastic System Identification for Operational Modal Analysis:
 A Review. Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control 123(4): 659-667.
 doi:10.1115/1.1410370.
- Rainieri, C., Fabbrocino, G. 2014. Influence of model order and number of block rows on accuracy
- and precision of modal parameter estimates in stochastic subspace identification. International
- Journal of Lifecycle Performance Engineering 1(4): 317-334. doi:10.1504/IJLCPE.2014.064099.

- Rainieri, C., Fabbrocino, G. 20105. Development and validation of an automated operational modal
 analysis algorithm for vibration-based monitoring and tensile load estimation. Mechanical
 Systems and Signal Processing 60: 512–534. doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2015.01.019.
- 710 Ramos, L.F., Marques, L., Lourenço, P.B., De Roeck, G., Campos-Costa, A., Roque, J. 2010.
- 711 Monitoring historical masonry structures with operational modal analysis: Two case studies.
- 712 Systems and Signal Processing 24: 1291-1305. doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2010.01.011.
- Reynders, E., Pintelon, R., De Roeck, G. 2008. Uncertainty bounds on modal parameters obtained
- from stochastic subspace identification. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 22: 948-969.
 doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2007.10.009.
- Reynders, E., Houbrechts, J., De Roeck, G. 2012 Fully automated (operational) modal analysis.
 Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 29: 228-250. doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2012.01.007.
- 718 Rizzo, M., Betti, M., Spadaccini, O., Vignoli, A. 2017. Improvement of structural monitoring of
- 719 jacket platform. In Proceedings of The Twenty-seventh International Ocean and Polar
- Engineering Conference (ISOPE2017), San Francisco, California, June 25-30, 2017.
- Salawu, O.S. 1997. Detection of structural damage in frequency: Detection through changes a
 review. Engineering Structures 19(9): 718-723. doi:10.1016/S0141-0296(96)00149-6.
- Shih, C.Y., Tsuei, Y.G., Allemang, R.J., Brown, D.L. 1988. Complex mode indication function and
 its applications to spatial domain parameter estimation. Mechanical Systems and Signal
 Processing 2(4): 367-377. doi:10.1016/0888-3270(88)90060-X.
- 526 Sinou, J.J. 2009. A review of damage detection and health monitoring of mechanical systems from
- changes in the measurement of linear and non-linear vibrations. In Mechanical Vibrations:
- Measurement, Effects and Control, ed. R.C. Sapri, 643-702. New York: Nova SciencePublishers.
- SMooHS Smart Monitoring of Historic Structures. EU-FP7 project. Grant agreement no. 212939,
 01-12-2008-30-11-2011 (https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/212939).
- 732 Sohn, H., Farrar, C.R., Hemez, F.M., Shunk, D.D., Stinemates, D.W., Nadler, B.R., Czarnecki, J.J.
- 2004. A Review of Structural Health Monitoring Literature : 1996-2001. Los Alamos National
 Laboratory, Report, LA-13976-MS.
- Ubertini, F., Gentile, C., Materazzi, A.L. 2013. Automated modal identification in operational
 conditions and its application to bridges. Engineering Structures 46: 264-278.
- 737 doi:10.1016/j.engstruct.2012.07.031.
- 738 Ubertini, F., Cavalagli, N., Comanducci, G., Materazzi, A.L., Pisello, A.L., Cotana, F. 2016.
- Automated post-earthquake damage detection in a monumental bell tower by continuous

- dynamic monitoring. In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Structural Analysis
 of Historical Constructions (SAHC2016), Leuven, Belgium, September 13-15, 2016.
- 742 Ubertini, F., Comanducci, G., Cavalagli, N., Pisello, A.L., Materazzi, A.L., Cotana, F. 2017.
- 743 Environmental effects on natural frequencies of the San Pietro bell tower in Perugia, Italy, and
- their removal for structural performance assessment. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing
- 745 82: 307-322. doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2016.05.025.
- 746 Van Overschee, P., De Moor, B. 1996. Subspace Identification for Linear Systems. Theory-
- 747 Implementation-Applications. New York: Kluwer Academic Publishers. doi:10.1007/978-1748 4613-0465-4.
- 749 Verboven, P., Parloo, E., Guillaume, P., Van Overmeiere, M. 2002. Autonomous Structural Health
- Monitoring-Part I: Modal Parameter Estimation and Tracking. Mechanical Systems and Signal
 Processing 16(4): 637-657. doi:10.1006/mssp.1492.
- 752 Wu, W.H., Wang, S.W., Chen, C.C., Lai, G. 2017. Assessment of environmental and
- nondestructive earthquake effects on modal parameters of an office building based on long-term
- vibration measurements. Smart Materials and Structures 26(5): 055034. doi:10.1088/1361665X/aa6ae6.
- Zini, G., Betti, M., Bartoli, G., Chiostrini, S. 2018. Frequency vs time domain identification of
 heritage structures. Procedia Structural Integrity 11: 460-469. doi:10.1016/j.prostr.2018.11.115.
- 758 Zonta, D., Pozzi, M., Zanon, P. 2008. Managing the historical heritage using distributed
- technologies. International Journal of Architectural Heritage 2(3): 200-225.
- 760 doi:10.1080/15583050802063691.
- 761 Zonta, D., Wu, H., Pozzi, M., Zanon, P., Ceriotti, M., Mottola, L., Picco, G.P., Murphy, A.L., Guna,
- S., Corra, M. 2010. Wireless sensor networks for permanent health monitoring of historic
- 763 buildings. Smart Structures and Systems 6(5): 595-618. doi:10.12989/sss.2010.6.5_6.595.
- 764
- ----
- 765
- 766

TABLES

Table 1. Results of the preliminary identification test.

Identified mode	Frequency (Hz)		Damping ratio (%)		MAC
Taenunea moae	FDD	SSI-data	FDD	SSI-data	MAC
Mode 1 (translational y-y)	2.656	2.685	-	3.20	0.998
Mode 2 (translational x-x)	3.375	3.367	-	3.20	0.990
Mode 3 (bending-torsional)	8.172	8.202	-	2.70	0.973

Table 2. Matilde Tower: experimental (f_{exp}) and numerical (f_{num}) frequencies and relative errors (Δf).

f _{exp} [Hz]	f _{num} [Hz]	Δ <i>f</i> [%]
2.685	2.67	-0.56
3.367	3.34	-0.81
	6.26	
8.202	9.71	18.39

Table 3. Statistical properties of the input values obtained on the two-calibration periods (#P1, #P2).The RMS and the Kurtosis are the mean values of all the instruments.

182							
	Calibratian phase	SNR [dB]		RMS [mg]		Kurtosis	
Calibration phase		median	Dev. Std.	median	Dev. Std.	median	Dev. Std.
	#P1	46.26	10.63	0.0099	0.0067	5.14	240.87
	#P2	43.45	12.94	0.0047	0.0014	3.05	72.29
783							
784							
785							

Table 4. Input analysis thresholds for the automated identification algorithm.

	SNR [dB]	RMS [mg]	Kurtosis
#P1	39.06	0.14	8.58
#P2	34.72	0.0034	3.73
Threshold	34.72	0.0034	8.58

- 793 Table 5. Choice of the parameters for the automatic modal data extraction. The parameters for the identification phase 794 are chosen by means of a sensitivity analysis; for the stabilization phase and the validation phase the values are those 795 suggested in literature (Peeters and De Roeck 2001).
- 796

Parameters of the system identification phase				
Number of rows in the Henkel matrix [s]	Minimum model order [ord _{min}]	Maximum model order [ord _{max}]		
20	10	40		
Param	eters of the stabilization phase (Soft C	riteria)		
Frequency distance (Δf)	Damping distance ($\Delta \xi$)	Mode shape distance ($\Delta \Phi$)		
0.01 0.05		0.02		
Parame	eters of the stabilization phase (Hard C	Criteria)		
The Identified modes are complex and conjugate? Damping Interval				
Yes	0.005-0.1			
Parameters of the validation phase				
Modal Phase Collinearity (MPC)	Mean Phase Deviation (MPD)	Minimum number of elements for each cluster (N _{cl.min})		
0.582	0.570	7		

797

- 798
- 799
- 800
- 801
- 802

Table 6. Range of	variation of the frequencies over the first 12	? months of monitoring obtained from the linear
1	regression of the data with respect to the me	easured environmental effects.

2nd Mode 3rd Mode 1st Mode Min Max Min Max Min Max 31.90 3.130 Temperature [°C] 4.95 1.68 33.23 30.07 Frequency [Hz] 2.638 2.832 3.182 3.541 9.009 7.764 Humidity [%] 91.33 23.50 94.75 32.00 84.75 11.00 Frequency [Hz] 2.693 2.675 3.223 9.237 8.059 3.157 Wind speed [m/s] 0.12 22.81 0.56 18.36 0.58 6.794 2.826 2.661 3.328 3.307 7.970 Frequency [Hz] 8.326

803







Figure 6. Node display, LEDs and push buttons.



Figure 7. The accelerometer node with custom sensor board and box

- 00-





(b)

- Figure 8. The wooden oscillating structure used for the validation (a) and the developed accelerometer (b).



Figure 9. System validation: (a) comparison between the horizontal accelerations recorded by the developed sensor
(MEMS) and the reference accelerometer (PCB); (b) Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of the signals recorded by the
developed sensor (MEMS) and the reference accelerometer (PCB).



Figure 10. View of the Matilde Tower with the surrounding structures.







Figure 12. Frequ4ency analysis of the preliminary tests: (a) The first five Singular Values of the Power Spectral
 Density matrix (PSD); (b) Auto-spectral density estimation of the first three modes via modal filtering.





- 930
 931 *Figure 14.* Finite-element model of the Matilde Tower. The y axis is approximatively in the N-S direction and the x axis
 932 is in the E-W direction.



940 Figure 15. Finite element model: (a) first mode shape; (b) second mode shape and (c) forth mode shape. The y axis is
941 approximatively in the N-S direction and the x axis is in the E-W direction.



- 947 Figure 16. Layout of the sensors in the MOSCARDO monitoring system. The red arrows are the accelerometers, the
 948 blue squares are the meteorological stations and in yellow are the anemometer









Figure 20. (a) Average wind direction; (b) The Short Fourier Transform (SFT) of the recorded signals at the last level
in the preliminary dynamic campaign.







Figure 21. High values of the Kurtosis usually imply spike or dropouts in the signals.



Figure 22. The distribution of the SNR on the two calibration periods: (a) #P1, (b) #P2. The red lines represent thresholds chosen for the inputs.





Figure 26. Identified frequencies vs the recorded temperature (a), the recorded humidity (b) and the average wind-speed (c).