

academic.oup.com/plankt

J. Plankton Res. (2021) 43(3): 413-427. First published online April 19, 2021 doi:10.1093/plankt/fbab026

# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Allometry and the calculation of zooplankton metabolism in the subarctic Northeast Pacific Ocean

#### $\mathbf{AMY} \ \mathbf{E}. \ \mathbf{MAAS}^{1,*}, \ \mathbf{ANDREA} \ \mathbf{MICCOLI}^{1,4}, \ \mathbf{KAREN} \ \mathbf{STAMIESZKIN}^2, \ \mathbf{CRAIG} \ \mathbf{A}. \ \mathbf{CARLSON}^3 \ \mathbf{AND} \ \mathbf{DEBORAH} \ \mathbf{K}. \ \mathbf{STEINBERG}^2$

<sup>1</sup>BERMUDA INSTITUTE OF OCEAN SCIENCES, 17 BIOLOGICAL STATION, ST. GEORGES, GEOI, BERMUDA, <sup>2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES, VIRGINIA INSTITUTE OF MARINE SCIENCE, WILLIAM & MARY, 1370 GREATE ROAD, GLOUCESTER POINT, VIRGINIA 23062-1346, USA, <sup>3</sup>DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, EVOLUTION AND MARINE BIOLOGY AND MARINE SCIENCE INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BUILDING 520, LAGOON ROAD SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA AND <sup>4</sup>DEPARTMENT FOR INNOVATION IN BIOLOGICAL, AGRO-FOOD AND FOREST SYSTEMS UNIVERSITY OF TUSCIA, VIA S. CAMILLO DE, LELLIS 01100 VITERBO, ITALY

\*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: amy.maas@bios.edu

Received July 13, 2020; editorial decision March 18, 2021; accepted March 18, 2021

Corresponding editor: Marja Koski

Using measurements of respiration and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) excretion from the subarctic Northeast Pacific Ocean (August 2018), we explore the efficacy of pre-existing allometric relationships to predict metabolic rates of diel vertically migrating zooplankton, and to test taxon-specific influences on these calculations at our study site. Non-taxon-specific allometric equations were associated with our best predictive model, and they underestimated measured respiratory values by  $\sim 10\%$ . The best prediction of DOC release from estimates of biomass used taxon-specific coefficients and overestimated DOC production by 12%. There is a distinct allometric relationship for DOC excretion that varies between taxa, and slightly higher DOC production in more carnivorous groups. This study provides uncertainty estimates for zooplankton active flux analyses in the region, and identifies important research directions for allometry in biogeochemical studies.

KEYWORDS: respiration; DOC; excretion; POC; metabolism; diel vertical migration

#### INTRODUCTION

Global biogeochemical cycles are strongly influenced by the uptake of inorganic carbon and nutrients by phytoplankton, and the subsequent export of this biologically fixed organic matter out of surface waters to depth (the biological pump; Longhurst and Harrison, 1989). The contributing processes to the biological pump include the sinking of particles, advective mixing of dissolved or suspended carbon and "active" transport of carbon via zooplankton vertical migration (Longhurst *et al.*, 1990; Siegel *et al.*, 2016). Particulate organic carbon (POC) that is consumed in surface waters and transported to the

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

mesopelagic zone by migrating zooplankton is metabolized and released at depth via respiratory release of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), excretion of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) compounds, egestion of POC as fecal pellets, production of feeding structures or molts (other forms of POC) and mortality at depth. The magnitude of active transport of carbon by diel vertical migration of zooplankton varies considerably, and at times of high migrating biomass can exceed carbon exported by sinking particles (reviewed in Steinberg and Landry, 2017). A recent model estimates that on average, across regions and seasons, zooplankton active transport accounts for 16% of total global carbon export (Archibald et al., 2019). Active transport mechanisms are arguably the least sampled of the export pathways, and are considered a community priority for future research on the biological pump (Burd et al., 2016).

A common approach to estimate zooplankton active flux is to calculate the differences between (total or sizefractionated) nighttime and daytime net samples from the euphotic zone to define the biomass, or abundance by taxon, of the vertically migrating community, then to apply experimentally-derived allometric (i.e. body sizeusually dry or carbon weight) and temperature corrections to predict respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> produced at depth (Al-Mutairi and Landry, 2001; Steinberg et al., 2008; Alcaraz et al., 2010; Stukel et al., 2013; Ikeda, 2014b). There is error associated with net avoidance by organisms during the daytime (Ianson et al., 2004), and thus recent studies have made similar calculations based on diel changes in midwater zooplankton abundances (e.g. Kiko et al., 2020). Although taxon-specific allometric equations exist for respiration, historically most datasets used for active flux calculations do not distinguish among taxa; thus, a general equation is usually selected to represent each size class or the whole zooplankton community. Recent endeavors have begun to use these taxon-specific equations (Kiko and Hauss, 2019; Kiko et al., 2020; Kwong et al., 2020), although the impact of using taxon versus non-taxon-specific approaches for these calculations has rarely been quantified.

In the compromise between specificity and feasibility, researchers have gravitated toward a set of equations generated by Ikeda that compile > 50 years of zooplankton metabolic rate measurements (Ikeda, 2014b) to provide estimates of body size (allometric) and temperature dependence of the metabolic rate of various zooplankton groups. These are based on a long history of estimating zooplankton respiration by oxygen consumption, typically using closed chamber respiration experiments where the change in oxygen is directly measured over time (Hernández-León and Ikeda, 2005; Ikeda, 2014b). Alternatively, and less common, the respiratory  $CO_2$ 

produced by zooplankton (the change in dissolved inorganic carbon; (DIC)) is directly measured (Steinberg *et al.*, 2000). Simultaneous measurements of these two factors provide a respiratory quotient (RQ) that allows conversion between the substrate (O<sub>2</sub>) and byproduct (CO<sub>2</sub>) of aerobic metabolism. The RQ can vary among taxa and has only been thoroughly studied in a few groups, most predominantly crustaceans (Mayzaud *et al.*, 2005).

In contrast to respiration rate, measurements of DOC excretion rates or fecal pellet POC production by vertical migrators are rare (Steinberg et al., 2000; Schnetzer and Steinberg, 2002), and the contributions of feeding structures and molts have not yet been considered for migrators. Additionally, although there are no direct measures of mesozooplankton mortality, there are models developed for fish by Peterson and Wroblewski (1984) that have been applied to mesozooplankton (Zhang and Dam. 1997), as well as an allometric approach based on copepod life history traits by Hirst and Kiørboe (2002). This mortality term, which mainly accounts for midwater predation of zooplankton, has only recently been included in estimates of active flux in an allometric fashion (Kiko et al., 2020; Kwong et al., 2020), despite that modeling efforts suggest mortality at depth accounts for  $\sim 50\%$  of zooplankton contributions to midwater carbon flux (Kelly et al., 2019). As attempts are made to better parameterize and synthesize the results of existing field data on active flux into global biogeochemical models, it is important to assess the current methods of estimating active flux, and to provide a characterization of the uncertainties for the different contributing pathways. Although the equations generated by available meta-analyses help describe broad patterns in the physiology of zooplankton, they do not necessarily have strong predictive power for a specific community. It is therefore valuable to ground truth predictive equations with experimentally-derived measures of physiology to best estimate regional active flux.

There is a paucity of direct measurements of DOC production by migrating zooplankton, with much of the ecosystem scaling estimates relying on the DOC excretion: respiratory  $CO_2$  ratio of 0.31. This ratio was derived from a study of active flux in the Sargasso Sea (Steinberg *et al.* 2000). These experiments were conducted on pooled sets of organisms, primarily using a range of crustaceans (copepods, euphausiids, amphipods and shrimps) and one group of polychaetes. Analyses of DOC production by other zooplankton taxa in different regions are relatively rare (e.g. Condon *et al.*, 2010; Saba *et al.*, 2012; Thibodeau *et al.*, 2020). Due to the scarcity of zooplankton DOC excretion measurements, a concerted attempt to establish scaling relationships between organismal mass and DOC production across a variety of taxa has not

been attempted. Similarly, estimates of the production of fecal pellet POC at depth are limited and based on comparisons between gut passage time and speed of migration to predict what portion of fecal pellets are egested at depth (Atkinson *et al.*, 1996; Schnetzer and Steinberg, 2002; Gleiber *et al.*, 2016). The assumptions are that both gut passage time and fecal pellet size/carbon content are related to zooplankton size, but these allometric relationships have never been thoroughly examined across a broad taxonomic range.

Calculations of active flux rely on a suite of assumptions, primarily since up to this point, individual physiological measurements were onerous and often did not produce sufficient signal to resolve analytically, making the scaling of allometric relationships to environmental samples difficult to validate. Additionally, high taxonomic resolution with individual biomass measurements of the community is laborious, making application of taxon-specific allometric equations impractical. Recently, advances in respiration measurements using non-invasive optical spot (Optode) sensing have substantially improved the ability to make a large number of respiration measurements of even very small organisms, or those with slow metabolic rates (Burke et al., in review; Lilley and Lombard, 2015; Brown et al., 2018; Maas et al., 2018b). Pairing these measurements of respiration directly with DOC production from the same individual allows for assessment of how these factors vary with allometry and relate to each other. Similarly, new advances in imaging technology allow for more rapid classification of the entire zooplankton community by size and taxon (Alcaraz et al., 2010; Gorsky et al., 2010; Lombard et al., 2019; Maas et al., in review; Ohman et al. 2019).

These technological advances allow us to more clearly define allometric relationships between zooplankton and their active flux excretory products. The objective of this paper is thus to compare field measurements with various allometric relationships to both determine the best approach for calculating the components of active flux, and to establish the sources and levels of uncertainty for these calculations for our region of interest. To achieve this goal, we conducted a set of physiological experiments as part of the EXport Processes in the Ocean from Remote Sensing (EXPORTS) program in August 2018 near Ocean Station Papa in the subarctic Northeast Pacific Ocean (Siegel et al., 2016). In this study, paired measures of respiration (O<sub>2</sub> consumption), and DOC excretion production were collected from individual organisms across a range of vertically migrating taxa. We used these data to test various body mass and taxonbased equations for the prediction of zooplankton physiological rates relevant to active carbon flux calculations at our study site. This dataset allows for a determination of how sensitive estimates of community active flux are to variability in allometric relationships. The intention is to demonstrate which equations have the most predictive power for estimation of active flux, and to provide uncertainty estimates when scaling zooplankton biomass and distribution datasets.

#### **METHODS**

#### Zooplankton capture

Sampling during the EXPORTS field campaign occurred in proximity of Station P (50°N 145°W, also known as Ocean Station Papa or P26) aboard the R/V Roger Revelle, in late summer 2018 (August 10-September 13). Twelve sets of experiments were performed over the duration of the cruise. Zooplankton were collected for live experiments within the epipelagic zone between the chl-a maximum (~60 m; Seigel et al., in review) and surface using a vertically-towed (0-100 m), slowly-retrieved (10 m/min), 1-m diameter ring net equipped with a nonfiltering cod end. Net mesh size varied (200, 500 or 1600 µm) based on community composition and abundance of gelatinous organisms. Upon retrieval, the contents of the net's cod end were gently transferred into 10 L of unfiltered mixed layer seawater until further processing. Four liters of water were collected at 330 m using the CTD-Rosette, filtered with 0.2-µm Supor<sup>®</sup> 200 membranes (Pall Corporation) mounted on a 142-mm acrylic in-line standing filter holder, and stored in a dark stand-up incubator at mesopelagic temperature (ranging between 4 and 9°C) for at least 1.5-h prior to each experiment. Water from this depth was chosen to more closely align experimental conditions with the oxygen. carbonate chemistry and nutrient characteristics experienced by migrators at their daytime mesopelagic residence depth. After the filtration process, the starting oxygen concentration was, therefore, substantially lower than saturation, with an average  $183 \pm 33 \mu mol$  (SE), and was consistent with conditions between 50 and 200 m (Fig. 1). The acoustic backscatter from the shipboard 150 KHz acoustic doppler current profiler (ADCP) was processed according to standard CODAS methods and binned into 2-min intervals to achieve a general profile of migratory patterns. This frequency is optimized for fluid-like organisms (e.g. copepods, euphausiids and chaetognaths) 6-15 mm and, although it will return scattering for smaller copepods (2-6 mm), it likely under-samples the smaller sized taxa (Lavery et al., 2007). Data were collected with vertical bin spacing was 8 m; no averaging was done in the vertical (Firing et al., 2012). Anomalies in the acoustic backscatter were calculated by subtracting the signal at



Fig. 1. The environmental conditions and acoustic backscatter of the upper water column. (A) The oxygen (black) and temperature (gray) conditions measured during MOCNESS deployments are consistent with those experienced by organisms in the experiments from  $\sim 50$  to 375 m (shaded gray box represents the experimental conditions). (B) The acoustic backscatter from the 150 KHz ADCP suggests that many of the migrators are present in this depth range. The colors represent the anomaly of the acoustic backscatter in decibel (db).

each depth bin from the time-averaged signal, such that positive values indicate higher than average scattering for that isobar.

#### **Respiration experiments**

Dominant migratory species for live individual respiration experiments were gently selected using a small ladle. The following species were sampled: *Neocalanus cristatus* and *Metridia pacifica* (Order Calanoida), *Themisto pacifica* and *Vibilia propinqua* (Order Amphipoda), *Thysanoessa inspinata*, *Tessarabrachion oculatum*, *Stylocheiron maximum* and *Euphausia pacifica* (Order Euphasiacea), *Parasagitta elegans* and *Sagitta elegans* (Phylum Chaetognatha) and *Pneumoderma sp.* (Order Pteropoda).

Animals were placed into 15- and 50-cc metal Luer lock tip glass syringes (micro-mate) customized with optically-isolated oxygen sensor spots (code SC7-537-198, PyroScience GmbH). Syringes were filled with the previously filtered seawater with a 1-mg glass bead added to prevent organisms from swimming into the syringe tip. Oxygen concentrations were recorded using three 2016 generation multi-channel FireStingO<sub>2</sub> optical Optode oxygen meters (PyroScience GmbH) equipped with TSUB21 temperature sensors. O<sub>2</sub> meters were operated through the proprietary Pyro Oxygen Logger software (v3.314) and at the start of each 8-day sampling cycle were manually calibrated over the 0-100% range of dissolved  $O_2$  (2-Point in Water or Humid Air mode; 0% = sodium sulfite O<sub>2</sub>-depleted MilliQ water;  $100\% = in \ situ$  marine water; gently aerated to ensure saturation; 33.9 psu). After the process of filtering and temperature acclimation, the starting oxygen in our experimental chambers was on average ( $\pm$ SD) 204  $\pm$  20 µmol (range 170–250 µmol). Both chambers and oxygen meters were maintained for 12 h in dark incubators set at mesopelagic temperature. In continuous experiments, measurements were taken at a 1-min interval for calculation of metabolic rates. To increase sample size (with limited instrumentation), end-point trials were also conducted. In these trials, measurements were taken manually every 2 h. Chambers containing only filtered sea-water and glass beads served as controls.

At the end of the incubations, animals were checked to ensure they were active (with the occasional moribund animal removed from our analyses). Water from the 50 mL chambers was filtered through an inline high density polyethylene cartridge containing a pre-combusted (450°C) 25-mm GF-75 glass fiber filters (Advantec) into pre-combusted (450°C) 40-mL EPA vials (I-CHEM, Thermo Scientific). The resulting DOC samples were acidified with 60  $\mu$ L 4 N HCl to a pH < 3 and stored at ~ 14°C until analysis. DOC was only sampled from the 50 mL chambers. Animals from all experiments were then removed, rinsed twice with deionized water and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C in individual cryovials. Water and filters were examined for fecal pellets which were enumerated. Upon return to land, specimens were wet- and dry-weighed (after 3 days at  $55^{\circ}$ C) with a microbalance (Mettler-Toledo) and imaged on a stereomicroscope (Leica Microsystems). Individuals were sent to the Barcode of Life Data System for analysis of the COI gene for species verification (website + accession number).

For both end-point and continuous measurements the oxygen concentration ( $\mu$ mol O<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>) in each chamber was plotted over time and the slope (reduction in oxygen per hour) was calculated. The slope of the control was subtracted from that with the organism and was then multiplied by the volume of the chamber to calculate the respiration rate ( $\mu$ mol O<sub>2</sub> ind<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). Due to occasional temperature fluctuations in the incubator, all rate analyses were conducted with data from periods when temperature remained relatively constant ( $<0.5^{\circ}$ C change h<sup>-1</sup>) and there were no large deviations in the slope of the  $O_2$ concentration in the control chamber. For consistency, the first 2 h of temperature acclimation were excluded from analyses of each experiment. Consequently, generally calculations were made over a 10-h period, although occasionally rates were calculated over a shorter duration (minimum 4 h).

It was not the objective of this analysis to determine the  $O_2$  concentration at which the metabolic rate of the zooplankton was influenced by O<sub>2</sub> concentration (pCrit), so any time there appeared to be a change in slope of the respiration rate, the reading was truncated. Owing to the diffusion of gas into the experimental water during the filtering process and the natural variability in the rate of respiration of different sized organisms, the starting and ending O<sub>2</sub> concentrations ranged substantially across experiments (Fig. 2). Similarly, the temperature of the experiments varied over the course of the cruise, ranging from 4.06 to 8.66°C. Experimental temperature and oxygen values are consistent with those in situ between  $\sim 50$ and 400 m (Fig. 1A), the depth range where acoustics datasets suggest a large number of migrators are located during the day (Fig. 1B). This variability in experimental conditions allows for the analysis of  $Q_{10}$  by fitting a slope to the rate data based on the experimental temperature (Fig. 2A) and then calculating the average respiration rate at the minimum and maximum temperature. These were implemented in the standard temperature coefficient equation of:

$$Q_{10} = \left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)^{\left(\frac{10}{T_2 - T_1}\right)}$$

where  $R_2$  and  $T_2$  are the respiration rate and temperature, respectively at the maximum value, and  $R_1$  and  $T_1$  are at the minimum measured temperature. A linear regression was performed to determine whether there was a significant effect of starting or ending O<sub>2</sub> concentration and respiration rate. Finally, to synthesize the dataset and explore internal allometric relationships (the measured respiration and DOC production rates), the measured values were scaled to the average temperature of the experiments (5.7°C) using a standard  $Q_{10}$  of 2.

#### **DOC** analysis

DOC concentrations were measured using the hightemperature combustion method on a modified TOC-V or TOC-L analyzer (Shimadzu) at the University of California, Santa Barbara as described in Carlson *et al.* (2010). Concentrations were estimated using glucose standard solutions with nano-pure (low carbon) water. Reference waters were collected from 5 to 3000 m during the cruise from and were calibrated against consensus reference material provided by D. Hansell (University of Miami; https://hansell-lab.rsmas.miami.edu/consensu s-reference-material/index.html). Each set of reference was analyzed every 6-8 samples for each analytical run to assess machine performance. The resulting precision of the TOC analyzer for surface samples was CV of < 2% or  $\sim 1$  umol L<sup>-1</sup> C for this data set. The final concentration of DOC produced by experimental animals during the incubations was calculated as the difference between the measured experimental value and the DOC measured from the control chamber at T-final of the same set of incubations.

### Analysis of allometric active flux calculations

To compare the observed respiration and DOC excretion rates with published values and to those predicted from allometric scaling, oxygen consumption was calculated per individual (µmol O<sub>2</sub> ind<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). Two analyses were run, one where all organisms were treated similarly, with generic (copepod-based) allometric relationships, and the second using taxon-specific conversion factors (Table I). Two types of currently existing allometric relationships for zooplankton respiration were assessed. The first used phylogenetically distinct equations generated by Ikeda (Ikeda *et al.*, 2001; Ikeda and Takahashi, 2012; Ikeda, 2013a, b, 2014a) that describe the allometric and temperature sensitivity of each taxonomic group (herein referred to as the "taxonomic rate") with species-specific constants



Fig. 2. Temperature and oxygen conditions experienced in respiration experiments. (A) Experimental average temperature, (B) ending oxygen concentration and (C) starting oxygen concentration were not strongly correlated with the metabolic rate of any group of organisms ( $R^2 < 0.3$ ).

Table I: Taxon-specific RQ, allometric, and temperature scaling coefficients

		1 0	•	-	1	0 0		
Model	Taxonomic group	RQ	a <sub>0</sub>	a <sub>1</sub>	a <sub>2</sub>	a <sub>3</sub>	b	Source
ES	Amphipod	1.35	0.407	0.743	0.037			lkeda (2013a)
ES	Chaetognath	1.35	-0.173	0.805	0.068			Ikeda and Takahashi (2012)
EC, ES	Copepod	0.87	-0.399	0.801	0.069			lkeda <i>et al.</i> (2001)
ES	Euphausiid	1.35	0.392	0.753	0.046			lkeda (2013b)
ES	Mollusca	0.94	-0.56	0.82	0.046			Ikeda (2014a)
AS	Amphipod	1.35	18.775	0.766	-5.256	-0.113	0.416	Ikeda (2014b)
AS	Chaetognath	1.35	18.775	0.766	-5.256	-0.113	-0.448	lkeda (2014b)
AC, AS	Copepod	0.87	18.775	0.766	-5.256	-0.113	0	Ikeda (2014b)
AS	Euphausiid	1.35	18.775	0.766	-5.256	-0.113	0.697	lkeda (2014b)
AS	Mollusca	0.94	18.775	0.766	-5.256	-0.113	0	lkeda (2014b)

Notes: RQ from Mayzaud *et al.* (2005). Coefficients are from taxon-specific models (lkeda *et al.*, 2001; lkeda and Takahashi, 2012; lkeda, 2013a, b, 2014a), or from the aggregate model of lkeda (2014b). Analyses using a generic value applied to all taxa (AC, AC) were conducted using the copepod-specific values.

for  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  (Table I):

Taxonomic Rate = EXP 
$$(a_0 + (a_1 * \ln (DM)) + (a_2 * (TempC)))$$

The second relies on the combined model from Ikeda (2014b) which assumes that the effects of body mass and temperature of all taxa are the same as that of copepods (herein referred to as the "aggregate rate"):

Aggregate Rate = EXP 
$$(a_0 + (a_1 * \ln (DM))$$
  
+  $(a_2 * (1000/TempK)) + (a_3 * \ln (d)) + b)$ 

For each of these equations the predicted respiration rate is related to the dry mass (DM), temperature (Temp) in either degrees Kelvin (TempK, aggregate) or Celsius (TempC, taxonomic) of the experiment, and depth (d,meters) of the average depth of the organism. The Ikeda (2014b) equation is adjusted for taxonomic variation by adding a species-specific dummy variable to account for phylogenetic differences (*b*; Table I). Additionally, the Ikeda (2014b) model tested for habitat depth, which has been suggested to independently (of temperature, for example) play a role in setting metabolic rate (Seibel and Drazen, 2007; Brey, 2010). Diel vertical migrator activity level during migrations, and their upper water column nighttime distribution would cause migrator metabolism to be more closely aligned with surface-living species (vs. that of full-time mesopelagic residents). Thus, we chose a representative habitat depth of 25 m, also a migrator nighttime biomass peak–as verified by acoustics and depth-discrete net collections. In an additional calculation we excluded the depth factor from the analysis (by choosing a depth of 1 m) to test the usefulness of this component of the equation for our data.

The DOC excretion rate was used to test the prediction that it is equivalent to 0.31 of respiratory carbon production (Steinberg *et al.*, 2000). The predicted DOC excretion rate of each individual was calculated using (i) the measured respiration rate converted to respired  $CO_2$  by applying either species-specific or taxon-specific RQ (Table I), (ii) based entirely on animal DM, using the aggregate or taxonomically-derived respiration

	$Max\ final\ O_2$	Min final $O_2$	Max temp	Min temp	<i>Q</i> <sub>10</sub>	Equation
Chaetognath	224.7	119.1	8.66	4.21	3.5	0.0044 <i>x</i> + 0.0076
Euphausiid	205.1	85.6	8.76	4.06	1.7	0.0027x + 0.0359
Metridia (copepod)	216.2	137.0	8.76	4.63	0.0	-0.0898x + 0.8016
Neocalanus (copepod)	209.6	120.8	8.22	4.05	0.0	-0.0242x + 0.2025
Themisto (amphipod)	217.7	103.9	8.45	4.06	2.2	0.0063x + 0.0405
Vibilia (amphipod)	194.6	64.3	8.22	4.24	1.1	0.0003x + 0.0288

Table II: Calculated Q<sub>10</sub> temperature coefficient

*Notes:* The minimum and maximum final oxygen concentration ( $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>) and minimum and maximum average temperature is reported for each experiment. Using a plot of the respiration rates ( $\mu$ mol O<sub>2</sub> mg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) versus experimental temperature (Fig. 2A) a regression was plotted (equation) that was then used to determine a  $Q_{10}$  for the experiments. The  $R_2$  of each of these regressions was < 0.3 emphasizing the large biological variability in the dataset.

rates as calculated above with either the taxon- or copepod-specific coefficients or (iii) using the allometric relationship between DM and DOC excretion rates generated by this dataset. We then calculated the regression fit ( $R^2$ ), the mean square error (MSE), and the average % error ((measured-predicted)/measured) for the various predictive approaches to determine which best fit our experimental data.

#### RESULTS

## Effect of temperature and oxygen on metabolic rate

There was no statistical correlation between starting or ending  $O_2$  concentration and respiration rate, suggesting that organisms were not physiologically influenced by the dynamic range of measured O<sub>2</sub> saturation states (down to 33  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>). Data from any trials where the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chamber fell below 60  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup> (20%) of surface O<sub>2</sub> saturation, and O<sub>2</sub> concentration at 350 m at Station P) were, however, excluded from the dataset to ensure that there was no unintended effect of low  $O_2$ on zooplankton metabolism.  $Q_{10}$  was calculated for each taxonomic groups with a sufficient number of individuals tested at multiple temperatures (Table II), and broadly fell close to the range expected from marine ectotherms (2–3; Hochachka and Somero, 2002), with the exception of Metridia and Neocalanus copepods which showed no substantial temperature dependence of metabolism  $(Q_{.10} = 0).$ 

#### **Respiratory and DOC model assessment**

Measured allometric scaling relationships for respiration (Fig. 3A) were highly significant (analysis of variance, ANOVA:  $F_{1,148} = 225.03$ , P < 0.001) with an  $R^2 = 0.61$  (Table III). Taxon-specific respiratory scaling relationships were typically statistically significant (with the exception of the less abundant *Pneumoderma* pteropod and the *Neocalanus* and *Metridia* copepods). Although

the DOC excretion rate was statistically related to DM (Fig. 3B; ANOVA:  $F_{1,62} = 27.15$ , P < 0.001), the  $R^2$ was lower (0.54), the taxon-specific relationships were mostly not statistically significant (with the exception of Euphausiids), and the scaling factors were different from respiration rate (Table III). Wet mass (WM) and DM were strongly correlated ( $R^2 = 0.70$ ), although there were clear differences between taxa in the relationship, particularly with an offset in the intercept for the gelatinous Pneumoderma sp. pteropods and a shallower slope for V. *propingua* amphipods (Fig. 3C). The relationship between respiration and excretion rate for the whole dataset was weak but significant, ( $R^2 = 0.37$ , P < 0.001), but improved substantially ( $R^2 = 0.72$ , P < 0.001) when chaetograths were excluded (Fig. 3D). When taxon-specific RQs were applied to respiration  $O_2$  values there was an average DOC excreted: respiratory  $CO_2$  ratio of 0.37 for crustacean zooplankton ( $R^2 = 0.74$ , P < 0.001; Fig. 4A). A slightly higher and substantially more variable proportion of carbon was released as DOC in chaetognaths (0.40; $R^2 = 0.15, P = 0.197$ ). When the copepod-specific RQ was applied, the overall pattern did not change; however, the fraction partitioned as DOC excreta increased to 0.62 and 0.57 of respiration for chaetognatha and crustacea, respectively (Fig. 4B).

The taxonomic rate equation for respiration rate based on copepod values provided the best prediction of overall respiration, using overall  $R^2$  and mean squared error as selection metrics (Fig. 5A; Table IV). The smaller copepods (M. pacifica) had consistently higher respiration rates than predicted, while the carnivorous chaetognaths consistently had lower than predicted respiration rate (Fig. 5A). Although the taxonomic copepod rate was the best for the full dataset, it was not always the best choice when considering each taxon independently (Supplementary Table I). Estimates of DOC release based on mass alone had less predictive power than estimates based on measured respiration rate (Fig. 5B; Table IV). The best model for DOC excretion resulted from using the measured respiration rates and the taxonspecific RQ. If predicting exclusively from mass the results



**Fig. 3.** Scaling relationships. DM vs. (**A**) respiration rate, (**B**) DOC excretion rate, and (**C**) WM. (**D**) Measured respiration rate ( $O_2$ ) vs. excretion rate. Regressions (equation and line) for the full dataset are in black (dashed lines), while those for individual taxonomic groupings are marked by the colors in the legend (solid lines) only when they are significant (P < 0.05). Taxon-specific relationships are reported in Table III. All data have been converted to a single average experimental temperature (5.7°C) using a  $Q_{10} = 2$ .

were less conclusive. Use of the taxonomic rate model was the best predictor of DOC excretion (based on % error) as it underestimated the DOC by  $\sim 12\%$ . The allometric equation for DOC excretion generated by this study had the lowest MSE, but the lowest  $R^2$  and overestimated DOC production by 55%.

#### DISCUSSION

The objective of this analysis was to determine how detailed the application of taxon-specific and allometricderived metabolism must be to accurately predict the metabolism of diel vertical migratory species at Station P. The results provide a reasonable compromise between specificity and feasibility as we seek to use our species- and site-specific metabolic rate measurements to estimate community physiological rates. Our study explicitly sought to study the physiology of organisms above the oxygen saturation state at which their physiology is influenced by oxygen availability (their pCrit). At our site acoustic datasets suggest that migrators are found in a number of bands from 50 to 300 m (as well as deeper), where they are able to reach 0.001 PAR (Fig. 1; Omand et al., in review), and would still experience oxygen concentrations above 100 µmol. Based on our respiration experiments, there is no reason to think that they would reach their pCrit within the range of oxygen saturation states they encounter. Thus, the measured rates and the associated predictive allometric equations presented here are appropriate for scaling to active flux in the region. In addition, the findings provide a quantitative estimate of how much the chosen models deviate from direct measurements within the system,

	Taxonomic group	n	Value (standard error)	R <sup>2</sup>	Ρ
DM = f(WM) (linear)	Themisto (amphipod)	27	DM = 0.132(0.030)WM + 0.101(0.174)	0.76	< 0.001
	<i>Vibilia</i> (amphipod)	26	DM = 0.197(0.047)WM + 0.315(0.749)	0.76	<0.001
	Chaetognath	23	DM = 0.950(0.029)WM + 0.343(0.424)	0.67	< 0.001
	<i>Metridia</i> (copepod)	23	DM = 0.650(0.054)WM + 0.260(0.051)	0.23	0.022
	Neocalanus (copepod)	25	DM = 0.085(0.027)WM + 0.068(0.257)	0.65	< 0.001
	Euphausiid	20	DM = 0.150(0.008)WM + 0.005(0.176)	0.99	< 0.001
	Pneumoderma (pteropod)	4	DM = 0.080(0.108)WM - 0.366(8.899)	0.84	0.086
	All	150	DM = 0.094(0.010)WM + 0.537(0.184)	0.70	<0.001
$O_2 resp = f(DM)$ (power)	<i>Themisto</i> (amphipod)	27	$ln(O_2) = 0.917(0.485)ln(DM) - 2.668(0.212)$	0.38	<0.001
	<i>Vibilia</i> (amphipod)	26	In(O <sub>2</sub> ) = 1.474(0.857)In(DM) - 4.149(1.052)	0.34	0.002
	Chaetognath	23	In(O <sub>2</sub> )=0.498(0.452)In(DM) - 2.944(0.273)	R <sup>2</sup> 0.76   0.76   0.67   0.23   0.65   0.99   0.84   0.70   0.38   0.34   0.18   0.04   0.14   0.87   0.24   0.61   0.138   0.100   0   0.004   0.202   0.350   0.025   0.54   0   0.123   0.147   0.004   0.202   0.72   0.007	0.032
(power) DOCex = f(DM) (power)	Metridia (copepod)	23	In(O <sub>2</sub> )=0.306(0.709)In(DM) - 3.303(1.862)	0.04	0.379
	Neocalanus (copepod)	25	In(O <sub>2</sub> )=0.638(0.673)In(DM) - 2.891(0.300)	0.14	0.062
	Euphausiid	20	In(O <sub>2</sub> )=0.823(0.156)In(DM) - 2.833 (0.175)	0.87	< 0.001
	Pneumoderma (pteropod)	4	In(O <sub>2</sub> )=0.656(3.507)In(DM) - 2.671(6.284)	0.24	0.505
	All	150	In(O <sub>2</sub> )=0.524(0.068)In(DM) - 2.832(0.089)	0.61	< 0.001
DOCex = f(DM) (power)	<i>Themisto</i> (amphipod)	9	In(DOC) = 0.401(0.894)In(DM) - 3.097(0.282)	0.138	0.324
$DM = f(WM) (linear) ThemVibiliaChaetMetricNeocaEuphaPneurAllO_2resp = f(DM) Them(power) VibiliaChaetMetricNeocaEuphaPneurAllDOCex = f(DM) Them(power) VibiliaChaetMetricNeocaEuphaPneurAllDOCex = f(O_2res) Them(linear) VibiliaChaetMetricNeocaEuphaPneurAll$	<i>Vibilia</i> (amphipod)	7	In(DOC) = 1.504(5.172)In(DM) - 5.322(6.651)	0.100	0.489
	Chaetognath	13	In(DOC) = -0.006(0.945)In(DM) - 2.462(0.626)	0	0.989
	Metridia (copepod)	10	In(DOC) = 0.130(1.712)In(DM) - 4.954(4.822)	0.004	0.866
	Neocalanus (copepod)	9	In(DOC) = 1.375 (2.450)In(DM) - 4.047(0.384)	0.202	0.225
	Euphausiid	12	ln(DOC)=0.574(0.557)ln(DM) - 3.407(0.697)	0.350	0.044
	Pneumoderma (pteropod)	4	In(DOC) = 0.294(5.548)In(DM) - 2.506(9.944)	0.025	0.841
	All	64	In(DOC) = 0.622(0.146)In(DM) - 3.415(0.207)	0.54	< 0.001
$DOCex = f(O_2 res)$ (linear)	Themisto (amphipod)	9	$DOC = -0.013(0.453)O_2 + 0.046(0.037)$	0	0.954
	<i>Vibilia</i> (amphipod)	7	$DOC = 0.227(0.697)O_2 + 0.022(0.056)$	0.123	0.441
	Chaetognath	13	$DOC = 0.539(0.863)O_2 + 0.067(0.076)$	0.147	0.197
	Chaetognath23 $\ln(O_2) = 0.498(0.452)\ln(DM) - 2.944(0.273)$ Metridia (copepod)23 $\ln(O_2) = 0.306(0.709)\ln(DM) - 3.303(1.862)$ Neocalanus (copepod)25 $\ln(O_2) = 0.638(0.673)\ln(DM) - 2.891(0.300)$ Euphausiid20 $\ln(O_2) = 0.638(0.573)\ln(DM) - 2.833(0.175)$ Pneumoderma (pteropod)4 $\ln(O_2) = 0.656(3.507)\ln(DM) - 2.671(6.284)$ All150 $\ln(O_2) = 0.524(0.068)\ln(DM) - 2.832(0.089)$ Themisto (amphipod)9 $\ln(DOC) = 0.401(0.894)\ln(DM) - 2.832(0.626)$ Vibilia (amphipod)7 $\ln(DOC) = 0.401(0.894)\ln(DM) - 5.322(6.651)$ Chaetognath13 $\ln(DOC) = -0.006(0.945)\ln(DM) - 2.462(0.626)$ Metridia (copepod)10 $\ln(DOC) = 0.130(1.712)\ln(DM) - 4.954(4.822)$ Neocalanus (copepod)9 $\ln(DOC) = 0.574(0.557)\ln(DM) - 4.954(4.822)$ Neocalanus (copepod)9 $\ln(DOC) = 0.294(5.548)\ln(DM) - 4.954(4.822)$ Neocalanus (copepod)9 $\ln(DOC) = 0.294(5.548)\ln(DM) - 2.506(9.944)$ All64 $\ln(DOC) = 0.622(0.146)\ln(DM) - 3.415(0.207)$ Pneumoderma (pteropod)4 $\ln(DOC) = 0.623(0.453)O_2 + 0.022(0.056)$ Chaetognath13 $DOC = -0.013(0.453)O_2 + 0.067(0.076)$ Metridia (copepod)10 $DOC = 0.163(0.289)O_2 + 0.006(0.007)$ Neocalanus (copepod)9 $DOC = 0.163(0.289)O_2 + 0.006(0.007)$ Neocalanus (copepod)9 $DOC = 0.163(0.289)O_2 + 0.001(0.018)$ Euphausiid12 $DOC = 0.078(2.668)O_2 + 0.006(0.007)$ Neocalanus (copepod)9 $DOC = 0.163(0.289)O_2 + 0.001(0.038)$ Pneumoderma (pteropod)4<	0.004	0.864		
	Neocalanus (copepod)	9	$DOC = 0.163(0.289)O_2 + 0.010(0.018)$	0.202	0.225
	Euphausiid	12	$DOC = 0.522(0.232)O_2 + 0.001(0.038)$	0.72	< 0.001
	Pneumoderma (pteropod)	4	$DOC = -0.078(2.668)O_2 + 0.175(0.669)$	0.007	0.912
	All	64	$DOC = 0.498(0.165)O_2 + 0.018(0.018)$	0.370	< 0.001

Table III: Measured taxon-specific allometric relationships

*Notes:* The sample size (*n*) are reported for respiratory O<sub>2</sub> (µmol ind<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>; O<sub>2</sub>resp) and excretory DOC (µmol ind<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>; DOCex) comparisons. The correlation between DM (mg) and WM (mg) are also shown. The coefficients are denoted as value (95% confidence interval). The  $R^2$  of the correlation and *P*-value for each relationship are also shown. All data for these regressions have been converted to a single average experimental temperature (5.7°C) using a  $Q_{10} = 2$ .

and which taxonomic groups' metabolic rates are best and most poorly predicted by the models. By applying these models to community composition data we could create an uncertainty envelope for regional active flux estimates, one of the improvements recommended for estimates of zooplankton respiration in future studies (Burd *et al.*, 2010).

#### Respiration

Allometric relationships provided a reasonable, accurate prediction of the experimentally-measured respiration rates in our region. The best model for the full dataset, the taxonomic estimate using copepod-specific scaling coefficients, underestimates respiration on average by  $\sim 10\%$ . Although application of a single non-taxonomically resolved equation was best for the full dataset, it was not always the best equation when considering each taxon independently. This suggests that estimates may be improved by applying variable taxon-specific equations as long as the same choice of equation is not required for each taxonomic group. It is important to note that many of the organisms used in the Ikeda (2014b) metaanalyses (which established the coefficients for these equations) were held for some period in captivity for gut clearance and acclimation. The individuals in our experiments were transferred within 1 h from capture into the experimental chambers to minimize captivity effects and to most closely approximate the respiration and excretion rates of these diel vertical migrators at their daytime resident depths. Thus, differences in metabolic rates due to specific dynamic action (metabolic cost of feeding and digestion; Svetlichny and Hubareva, 2005; Secor, 2009) may contribute to this deviation from the predicted rates. Our approach, which captures the metabolic rate at the start of the decline in metabolism



**Fig. 4.** Analysis of respiratory  $CO_2$  to DOC excretion. The respiratory  $CO_2$  production was estimated using either (**A**) species-specific RQ (S; from Table 1), or (**B**) using a standard copepod RQ of 0.87, and then compared with the measured DOC excretion rate. Chaetognaths (blue) and crustacea (red) had distinctly different excretion patterns, causing the aggregate regression (dashed black) to inaccurately represent either group.



**Fig. 5.** Analysis of allometric relationships. The measured versus predicted (**A**) respiration rates, and (**B**) excretion rates based on the method that resulted in the regression (dotted blue line) with the highest  $R^2$  and lowest MSE. Points that fall above the 1:1 (dotted black line) suggest higher metabolism than predicted from allometric estimates.

that is evident in filtered water in captivity (Maas *et al.*, 2018a), is likely more representative of the field conditions for migratory organisms.

The presence of waste products (POC and DOC) in the chambers could increase respiration rates of bacteria in the chambers, as both sources of waste stimulate microbial activity (Köster *et al.*, 2014; Maas *et al.*, 2020), but we posit this was a minor source of error in our study. First, incubation times were limited to 12 h to reduce conflation of microbial and zooplankton respiration. Second, potential microbial activity using direct measurements of microbial respiration on fecal pellets (Shek and Liu, 2010; Köster and Paffenhöfer, 2013) is low. Applying the maximum rates reported in these studies (329 pmol O<sub>2</sub> pellet<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) to the number of pellets we observed in a chamber, and correcting for our experimental temperatures using a  $Q_{10} = 2$ , we calculate microbes would on average increase oxygen consumption by only 0.5% h<sup>-1</sup> when fecal pellets were present. Similar direct measurements of microbial metabolism from zooplankton DOC production in our experiments are unavailable, although Steinberg *et al.* (2000) found no significant bacterial growth in incubations with and without migrators in analogous experiments conducted at higher temperature. Even if microbial growth on DOC were twice as fast as growth on POC this would still result in < 2% of the observed difference between measured O<sub>2</sub> consumption and predicted values.

Prediction calculation	Equation	R <sup>2</sup>	MSE	Avg. error	
O <sub>2</sub> AC	y = 1.044x + 0.018	0.56	0.0032	-4.07%	
	(y = 1.502x + 0.018)			(-41.71)	
O <sub>2</sub> AS	y = 0.584x + 0.033	0.49	0.0026	-16.14%	
	(y = 0.840x + 0.033)			(-27.66)	
O <sub>2</sub> TC*	y = 0.916x + 0.020	0.56	0.0018	-8.75%	
O <sub>2</sub> TS	y = 0.510x + 0.029	0.48	0.0038	33.24%	
DOC RC	y = 1.690x + 0.017	0.33	0.0035	-28.10%	
DOC RS*	y = 1.182x + 0.018	0.32	0.0028	-4.92%	
DOC AC	y = 2.289x + 0.014	0.28	0.0041	-44.58%	
DOC AS	y = 1.466x + 0.017	0.24*	0.0034	-23.70%	
DOC TC	y = 1.754x + 0.018	0.24	0.0039	-36.45%	
DOC TS*	y = 1.092x + 0.022	0.20	0.0032	-11.51%*	
DOC M*	y = 1.135x + 0.008	0.22	0.0026*	54.62%	

Table IV: Efficacy of different prediction methods for respiratory O<sub>2</sub> consumption and DOC excretion

*Notes:* The linear regression relating the measured respiration/excretion value (y) to the predicted (x), as well as the  $R^2$ , mean squared error (MSE) and average % error of the relationships. Relationships were derived based on aggregate (A) or taxonomic (T) models and using either copepod (C) or taxon-specific (S) coefficients (See Table I). We tested two depth factors for the lkeda (2014b) meta-analysis of respiration (AC and AS) using either a depth factor of 1 m (results in plain text) or 25 m (results in parentheses). For DOC excretion an additional analysis using the measured respiration rate (R) and either C or S respiratory coefficients is shown, as well as a test of the measured allometric rate from this study (M). The best model is demarcated by an \*. A negative value indicates that measured value in the experiments was higher than would have been predicted by the model. Predicative ability of the models for each specific taxonomic group is reported in Supplementary Tables I and II.

Additionally, although the Ikeda (2014b) model aims to test the effect of depth on metabolism, the datasets from which they draw are skewed toward euphotic zone species. Furthermore, by conflating depth of capture or average depth of distribution with the evolutionary pressures that modify physiology, which are posited to be related to mode of life or visual predation pressure (Childress, 1995; Thuesen et al., 1998; Seibel and Drazen, 2007), this depth approach lumps migratory species with endemic mesopelagic organisms. All of the organisms in this study were chosen for their migratory behavior, and spend more than half of their time in the mesopelagic zone. Our results suggest that the addition of depth as a variable reduces the predictive power of the aggregate equation, causing it to further underestimate the metabolic rates of vertical migrators in this region.

#### **Excretion of DOC**

DOC is a significant proportion of the carbon excreted by migrators in our study. Our DOC excreted: respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> ratio average of 0.37 for crustacea (copepods, euphausiids and amphipods) closely aligns with that measured (0.31) by Steinberg *et al.* (2000) for a predominantly crustacean dataset of vertically migrating zooplankton in the Sargasso Sea. A recent study of pteropod metabolism near the Antarctic Peninsula similarly reported DOC excretion: respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> ratios of 0.32 and 0.30 for two species of the cosome pteropods (assuming a RQ of 0.94; Thibodeau *et al.*, 2020). Together these data support our approach of applying the DOC excretion: respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> ratio of 0.31 generated by Sargasso Sea experiments across a broad set of oceanic ecosystems (Al-Mutairi and Landry, 2001; Steinberg *et al.*, 2008; Hernández-León *et al.*, 2019; Kiko *et al.*, 2020; Kwong *et al.*, 2020). It is important to note that our analyses, as well as those of Thibodeau *et al.* (2020), were derived from rates of  $O_2$  consumption that were converted to  $CO_2$  with a taxon-specific RQ. In our dataset the use of a non-taxonspecific RQ of 0.87 resulted in a much higher DOC excreted: respiratory  $CO_2$  ratio of 0.57. Since no DIC measurements were made during our experiments, these conversion factors could not be thoroughly tested in our study and remain a source of uncertainty.

Additional sources of uncertainty in our measurements are the leaching of DOC from fecal pellets and the consumption of DOC by microbes during the incubations. Although some studies document negligible DOC leaching from fecal pellets (Steinberg et al., 2000; Saba et al., 2011), others suggest as much as 20% of fecal pellet C becomes dissolved within the first hour (Møller et al., 2003). Using our calculated carbon per gut load this results in an estimated leaching of 1 ng of C  $h^{-1}$  as DOC, equivalent to 0.1–2.9% of the taxa-specific average DOC excretion rate, indicating this was a minor source of error. There are no good estimates of the rates of DOC uptake by microbes at this site, but the same experimental protocols that were designed to reduce microbial respiration, i.e. the use of filtered seawater and a 12-h incubation period, would similarly result in low levels of microbial DOC uptake. Despite these inherent uncertainties, our findings increase confidence in the application of a 0.31 ratio of DOC excreted: respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> using a taxonspecific RQ, as application of this value resulted in only a

 $\sim$ 5% underestimation using the respiration-based taxonspecific model. This approach does, however, require future field or modeling analyses to have experimental measurements of the metabolic rates of organisms to attain a similar level of accuracy. Models based exclusively on biomass resulted at best in a 12% underestimation of the observed DOC excretion rate (the ES model). This lower predictive power for DOC excretion rate based on biomass alone may be due to the differences in temperature and scaling coefficients between respiration and DOC metabolic waste pathways. Although the experimentally-derived allometric relationship between DM and DOC excretion rate resulted in the lowest MSE, it overestimated observed DOC excretion rate by 55%.

Our analyses indicate that taxon-specific coefficients improve predictive power in models of DOC production. This taxonomic variability was suggested previously, with the ratio between DOC excretion and respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> production ranging from 5 to 72% based on taxon and temperature changes in experiments at multiple temperatures with pooled organisms studied in the Sargasso Sea (Steinberg et al., 2000). Taxonomic patterns observed for our study region include the consistently lower production of DOC by the Neocalanus, which were actively preparing to diapause, and the higher production by carnivorous taxa like Themisto sp. amphipods and chaetognaths. These findings suggest that trophic level or feeding state may contribute to DOC excretion allometric patterns. To determine the factors driving the observed variation, and to provide data in support of DOC excretion prediction based entirely upon biomass, taxonomically diverse DOC excretion studies are a high research priority. Predictive estimates of zooplankton DOC release are important, as they constitute a substantial portion of the active flux pathway. Furthermore, recent work demonstrates that this component of active flux can provide important dissolved organic compounds, including vitamins, to midwater prokaryotic organisms, influencing the biodiversity, abundance and metabolism of the mesopelagic biota (Clifford et al., 2017; Valdés et al., 2017; Calleja et al., 2018; Maas et al., 2020). As a consequence, refining our estimates of DOC excretion will be important for future investigations of metabolic processes in the ocean's twilight zone.

#### Scaling to field data

This analysis suggests that taxon-specific allometric equations do not outperform copepod-based estimates of community respiration for the dominant migratory species near Station P. This means that even coarse taxonomic identification of the community is not required to make good estimates of respiratory active flux. It does suggest that size-based analyses of the community may become increasingly useful as optically-derived datasets (e.g. Zooscan: Kiko and Hauss, 2019), with their associated organismal size output, allow for wider application of allometric equations. We note that there is substantially less metabolic data from non-crustacean (i.e. gelatinous) taxa, potentially leading to incorrect allometric, RQ and temperature coefficients for these groups. Additionally, most of the metabolic experiments presented here were conducted with robust crustacean taxa and thus our conclusions may not be applicable to physiology of the whole community, which would include gelatinous species such as the abundant migratory salps at our site (Steinberg *et al.*, in prep). In regions or seasons where non-crustacean organisms dominate the migratory community, taxonomic differences may be more important. Similar validation of alternative methods, including the electron transport system (ETS) enzyme assays, could provide alternative methods to verify active transport (Hernández-León et al., 2019). Continued efforts to identify a unified and robust approach to calculating active flux will be useful as additional datasets are applied to inform biogeochemical modeling of carbon cycling.

#### CONCLUSION

Our study uses field-based measurements of respiration  $(O_2 \text{ consumption})$  and DOC excretion, to explore the efficacy of current allometric methods for estimating zooplankton metabolism in the northeast subarctic Pacific. Our results suggest that current methods for estimating respiratory active flux are effective using non-taxonspecific allometric equations, resulting in a 10% underestimation of respiratory flux. The best equation is not, however, the same among taxon groupings. This suggests that taxonomy is still worth considering and incorporating into future analyses when possible. Using the standard 0.31 DOC excretion: respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> ratio based on biomass data results in a 12% underestimation of DOC excretion, and appears to be improved by inclusion of allometric and taxon-specific information. It is important to acknowledge, however, that most of our experiments were run with crustacea, and further validation with other phyla is warranted. Our DOC excretion dataset, which is one of the largest and most taxonomically diverse in the literature, provides an allometric relationship that is closely in line with prior DOC production measurements but emphasizes the need for greater taxonomic verification. This validation approach will become increasingly valuable as new imaging systems become more widely employed and community biomass-based estimates are replaced or augmented by individual biovolume estimates with greater taxonomic resolution.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data can be found at Journal of Plankton Research online.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the whole EXPORTS team and in particular Joe Cope, Chandler Countryman and Brandon Stephens for their hard work at sea. We appreciate the statistical advice of Grace Chiu who provided feedback on how best to compare models and calculate MSE.

#### **FUNDING**

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NSSC17K0654 to D.K.S. and A.E.M.) and (80NSSC18K0437 to C.A.C.).

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

All metabolic data is available in Supplementary Table III and Seabass under the DOI: 10.5067/SeaBASS/EXPORTS/DATA001 stored in the EXPORTS archive: https://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov/archive/ BIOS/Maas/EXPORTS/EXPORTSNP/archive/EXPORTS-EXPO RTSNP\_zooplankton\_active\_flux\_experiments\_process\_R2.sb

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Mutairi, H. and Landry, M. R. (2001) Active export of carbon and nitrogen at station ALOHA by diel migrant zooplankton. *Deep-Sea Res.*, 48, 2083–2103.
- Alcaraz, M., Almeda, R., Calbet, A., Saiz, E., Duarte, C. M., Lasternas, S., Agustí, S., Santiago, R. D. *et al.* (2010) The role of arctic zooplankton in biogeochemical cycles: respiration and excretion of ammonia and phosphate during summer. *Polar Biol.*, **33**, 1719–1731.
- Archibald, K. M., Siegel, D. A. and Doney, S. C. (2019) Modeling the impact of zooplankton diel vertical migration on the carbon export flux of the biological pump. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, **33**, 181–199.
- Atkinson, A., Ward, P. and Murphy, E. J. (1996) Diel periodicity of subantarctic copepods: relationships between vertical migration, gut fullness and gut evacuation rate. *J. Plankton Res.*, **18**, 1387–1405.
- Brey, T. (2010) An empirical model for estimating aquatic invertebrate respiration. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, 1, 92–101.
- Brown, A., Hauton, C., Stratmann, T., Sweetman, A., Van Oevelen, D. and Jones, D. O. (2018) Metabolic rates are significantly lower in abyssal Holothuroidea than in shallow-water Holothuroidea. *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 5, 172162.
- Burd, A., Buchan, A., Church, M. J., Landry, M. R., Mcdonnell, A. M., Passow, U., Steinberg, D. K. and Benway, H. M. (2016) Towards A Transformative Understanding of the Ocean's Biological Pump: Priorities for Future Research-Report on the NSF Biology of the Biological Pump Workshop, Ocean Carbon & Biogeochemistry (OCB) Program. (Hyatt Place New Orleans, New Orleans, LA).

- Burd, A. B., Hansell, D. A., Steinberg, D. K., Anderson, T. R., Arístegui, J., Baltar, F., Beaupre, S. R., Buesseler, K. O. *et al.* (2010) Assessing the apparent imbalance between geochemical and biochemical indicators of meso-and bathypelagic biological activity: what the@ \$\$! is wrong with present calculations of carbon budgets? *Deep-Sea Res.*, 57, 1557–1571.
- Burke, J. E., Elder, L. E., Maas, A. E., Gaskell, D. E., Hsiang, A. Y., Clark, E. G., Foster, G. L. and Hull, P. M. (in review)Low allometric scaling of respiration rates can explain gigantism in pelagic protists. *Linnol. Oceanogr.*
- Calleja, M. L., Ansari, M. I., Røstad, A., Da Silva, L. R., Kaartvedt, S., Irigoien, X. and Morán, X. A. G. (2018) The mesopelagic scattering layer: a hotspot for heterotrophic prokaryotes in the Red Sea twilight zone. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **5**, 259.
- Carlson, C. A., Hansell, D. A., Nelson, N. B., Siegel, D. A., Smethie, W. M., Khatiwala, S., Meyers, M. M. and Halewood, E. (2010) Dissolved organic carbon export and subsequent remineralization in the mesopelagic and bathypelagic realms of the North Atlantic basin. *Deep-Sea Res., Part II*, **57**, 1433–1445.
- Childress, J. J. (1995) Are there physiological and biochemical adaptations of metabolism in deep-sea animals? *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, **10**, 30–36.
- Clifford, E. L., Hansell, D. A., Varela, M. M., Nieto-Cid, M., Herndl, G. J. and Sintes, E. (2017) Crustacean zooplankton release copious amounts of dissolved organic matter as taurine in the ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 62, 2745–2758.
- Condon, R. H., Steinberg, D. K. and Bronk, D. A. (2010) Production of dissolved organic matter and inorganic nutrients by gelatinous zooplankton in the York River estuary, Chesapeake Bay. *J. Plankton Res.*, **32**, 153–170.
- Firing, E., Hummon, J. M. and Chereskin, T. K. (2012) Improving the quality and accessibility of current profile measurements in the Southern Ocean. *Oceanogr.*, 25, 124–125.
- Gleiber, M. R., Steinberg, D. K. and Schofield, O. M. (2016) Copepod summer grazing and fecal pellet production along the Western Antarctic Peninsula. *J. Plankton Res.*, **38**, 732–750.
- Gorsky, G., Ohman, M. D., Picheral, M., Gasparini, S., Stemmann, L., Romagnan, J.-B., Cawood, A., Pesant, S. *et al.* (2010) Digital zooplankton image analysis using the ZooScan integrated system. *J. Plankton Res.*, **32**, 285–303.
- Hernández-León, S., Calles, S. and De Puelles, M. L. F. (2019) The estimation of metabolism in the mesopelagic zone: disentangling deep-sea zooplankton respiration. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, **178**, 102163.
- Hernández-León, S. and Ikeda, T. (2005) Zooplankton respiration. In *Respiration in Aquatic Systems*, Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 57–82.
- Hirst, A. and Kiørboe, T. (2002) Mortality of marine planktonic copepods: global rates and patterns. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 230, 195–209.
- Hochachka, P. W. and Somero, G. N. (2002) Biochemical Adaptation: Mechanism and Process in Physiological Evolution, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Ianson, D., Jackson, G. A., Angel, M. V., Lampitt, R. S. and Burd, A. B. (2004) Effect of net avoidance on estimates of diel vertical migration. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **49**, 2297–2303.
- Ikeda, T. (2013a) Metabolism and chemical composition of marine pelagic amphipods: synthesis toward a global bathymetric model. *J. Oceanogr.*, 69, 339–355.

- Ikeda, T. (2013b) Respiration and ammonia excretion of euphausiid crustaceans: synthesis toward a global-bathymetric model. *Mar. Biol.*, 160, 251–262.
- Ikeda, T. (2014a) Metabolism and chemical composition of marine pelagic gastropod molluscs: a synthesis. *J. Oceanogr.*, 70, 289–305.
- Ikeda, T. (2014b) Respiration and ammonia excretion by marine metazooplankton taxa: synthesis toward a global-bathymetric model. *Mar. Biol.*, 161, 2753–2766.
- Ikeda, T., Kanno, Y., Ozaki, K. and Shinada, A. (2001) Metabolic rates of epipelagic marine copepods as a function of body mass and temperature. *Mar. Biol.*, **139**, 587–596.
- Ikeda, T. and Takahashi, T. (2012) Synthesis towards a globalbathymetric model of metabolism and chemical composition of marine pelagic chaetognaths. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **424**, 78–88.
- Kelly, T. B., Davison, P. C., Goericke, R., Landry, M. R., Ohman, M. and Stukel, M. R. (2019) The importance of mesozooplankton diel vertical migration for sustaining a mesopelagic food web. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 6, 508.
- Kiko, R., Brandt, P., Christiansen, S., Faustmann, J., Kriest, I., Rodrigues, E., Schütte, F. and Hauss, H. (2020) Zooplanktonmediated fluxes in the Eastern Tropical North Atlantic. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 7, 358.
- Kiko, R. and Hauss, H. (2019) On the estimation of zooplanktonmediated active fluxes in oxygen minimum zone regions. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 6, 741.
- Köster, M. and Paffenhöfer, G.-A. (2013) Oxygen consumption of fecal pellets of doliolids (Tunicata, Thaliacea) and planktonic copepods (Crustacea, Copepoda). *J. Plankton Res.*, **35**, 323–336.
- Köster, M., Paffenhöfer, G.-A., Schlüter, R. and Meuche, A. (2014) Time-series observations of prokaryotic colonization of zooplankton fecal pellets. *J. Plankton Res.*, **36**, 1461–1475.
- Kwong, L. E., Henschke, N., Pakhomov, E. A., Everett, J. D. and Suthers, I. M. (2020) Mesozooplankton and micronekton active carbon transport in contrasting eddies. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 6, 825.
- Lavery, A. C., Wiebe, P. H., Stanton, T. K., Lawson, G. L., Benfield, M. C. and Copley, N. (2007) Determining dominant scatterers of sound in mixed zooplankton populations. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, **122**, 3304–3326.
- Lilley, M. and Lombard, F. (2015) Respiration of fragile planktonic zooplankton: extending the possibilities with a single method. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 471, 226–231.
- Lombard, F., Boss, E., Waite, A. M., Vogt, M., Uitz, J., Stemmann, L., Sosik, H. M., Schulz, J. *et al.* (2019) Globally consistent quantitative observations of planktonic ecosystems. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 6, 196.
- Longhurst, A., Bedo, A., Harrison, W., Head, E. and Sameoto, D. (1990) Vertical flux of respiratory carbon by oceanic diel migrant biota. *Deep-Sea Res.*, 37, 685–694.
- Longhurst, A. R. and Harrison, W. G. (1989) The biological pump: profiles of plankton production and consumptionin the upper ocean. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 22, 47–123.
- Maas, A. E., Blanco-Bercial, L., Lo, A., Am, T. and Timmins-Schiffman, E. (2018a) Variations in copepod proteome and respiration rate in association with diel vertical migration and circadian cycle. *Biol. Bull.*, 235, 30–42.
- Maas, A. E., Gossner, H., Smith, M. J. and Blanco-Bercial, L. (in review) Use of optical imaging datasets to assess biogeochemical contributions of the Mesozooplankton. *J. Plankton Res.*.

- Maas, A. E., Lawson, G. L., Bergan, A. J. and Tarrant, A. M. (2018b) Exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> influences metabolism, calcification, and gene expression of the thecosome pteropod *Limacina retroversa*. *J. Exp. Biol.*, **221**, 164400.
- Maas, A. E., Liu, S., Bolanos, L. M., Widner, B., Parsons, R., Carlson, C. A., Kujawinski, E. B. and Blanco-Bercial, L. (2020) Migratory zooplankton excreta and its influence on prokaryotic communities. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 7, 573268.
- Mayzaud, P., Boutoute, M., Gasparini, S., Mousseau, L. and Lefevre, D. (2005) Respiration in marine zooplankton—the other side of the coin: CO<sub>2</sub>. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **50**, 291–298.
- Møller, E. F., Thor, P. and Nielsen, T. G. (2003) Production of DOC by Calanus finmarchicus, C. glacialis and C. hyperboreus through sloppy feeding and leakage from fecal pellets. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 262, 185–191.
- Ohman, M. D., Davis, R. E., Sherman, J. T., Grindley, K. R., Whitmore, B. M., Nickels, C. F. and Ellen, J. S. (2019) Zooglider: an autonomous vehicle for optical and acoustic sensing of zooplankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods*, 17, 69–86.
- Omand, M. M., Steinberg, D. K. and Stamieszkin, K. (in review) Clouddriven light variations produce vertical migrations of deep-dwelling marine life.
- Peterson, I. and Wroblewski, J. (1984) Mortality rate of fishes in the pelagic ecosystem. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, **41**, 1117–1120.
- Saba, G. K., Schofield, O., Torres, J. J., Ombres, E. H. and Steinberg, D. K. (2012) Increased feeding and nutrient excretion of adult Antarctic krill, Euphausia superba exposed to enhanced carbon dioxide (CO2). *PLoS One*, 7, e52224.
- Saba, G. K., Steinberg, D. K. and Bronk, D. A. (2011) The relative importance of sloppy feeding, excretion, and fecal pellet leaching in the release of dissolved carbon and nitrogen by *Acartia tonsa* copepods. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, **404**, 47–56.
- Schnetzer, A. and Steinberg, D. K. (2002) Active transport of particulate organic carbon and nitrogen by vertically migrating zooplankton in the Sargasso Sea. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **234**, 71–84.
- Secor, S. M. (2009) Specific dynamic action: a review of the postprandial metabolic response. *J. Comp. Physiol. B*, **179**, 1–56.
- Seibel, B. A. and Drazen, J. C. (2007) The rate of metabolism in marine animals: environmental constraints, ecological demands and energetic opportunities. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.*, **362**, 2061–2078.
- Shek, L. and Liu, H. (2010) Oxygen consumption rates of fecal pellets produced by three coastal copepod species fed with a diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana. Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, **60**, 1005–1009.
- Siegel, D. A., Cetinic, I., Graff, J. R., Lee, C., Nelson, N., Perry, M. J., Inia, S. R., Steinberg, D. K. *et al.* (in review) Overview of the export processes in the ocean from remote Sensing (EXPORTS) northeast pacific field deployment. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*.
- Siegel, D. A., Buesseler, K. O., Behrenfeld, M. J., Benitez-Nelson, C. R., Boss, E., Brzezinski, M. A., Burd, A., Carlson, C. A. *et al.* (2016) Prediction of the export and fate of global ocean net primary production: the EXPORTS science plan. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, **3**, 22.
- Steinberg, D. K., Carlson, C. A., Bates, N. R., Goldthwait, S. A., Madin, L. P. and Michaels, A. F. (2000) Zooplankton vertical migration and the active transport of dissolved organic and inorganic carbon in the Sargasso Sea. *Deep-Sea Res.*, *Part I*, **47**, 137–158.
- Steinberg, D. K. and Landry, M. R. (2017) Zooplankton and the ocean carbon cycle. Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 9, 413–444.

- Steinberg, D. K., Van Mooy, B. A. S., Buesseler, K. O., Boyd, P. W., Kobari, T. and Karl, D. M. (2008) Bacterial vs. zooplankton control of sinking particle flux in the ocean's twilight zone. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 53, 1327–1338.
- Stukel, M. R., Ohman, M. D., Benitez-Nelson, C. R. and Landry, M. R. (2013) Contributions of mesozooplankton to vertical carbon export in a coastal upwelling system. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **491**, 47–65.
- Svetlichny, L. S. and Hubareva, E. S. (2005) The energetics of *Calanus euxinus*: locomotion, filtration of food and specific dynamic action. *J. Plankton Res.*, **27**, 671–682.
- Thibodeau, P. S., Steinberg, D. and Maas, A. E. (2020) Effects of temperature and food concentration on pteropod metabolism along

the western Antarctic peninsula. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 530-531, 151412.

- Thuesen, E. V., Miller, C. B. and Childress, J. J. (1998) Ecophysiological interpretation of oxygen consumption rates and enzymatic activities of deep-sea copepods. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **168**, 95–107.
- Valdés, V. P., Fernandez, C., Molina, V., Escribano, R. and Joux, F. (2017) Dissolved compounds excreted by copepods reshape the active marine bacterioplankton community composition. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 4, 343.
- Zhang, X. and Dam, H. G. (1997) Downward export of carbon by diel migrant mesozooplankton in the central equatorial Pacific. *Deep-Sea Res.*, 44, 2191–2202.