ADVANCED SUSTAINABLE Systems

Postfach 10 11 61 **69451 Weinheim** Germany *Courier services:* Boschstraße 12 **69469 Weinheim** Germany Tel.: (+49) 6201 606 531 Fax: (+49) 6201 606 500 E-mail: advsustainsys@wiley.com



Dear Author,

Please correct your galley proofs carefully and return them no more than four days after the page proofs have been received.

Please limit corrections to errors already in the text; cost incurred for any further changes or additions will be charged to the author, unless such changes have been agreed upon by the editor.

The editors reserve the right to publish your article without your corrections if the proofs do not arrive in time.

Note that the author is liable for damages arising from incorrect statements, including misprints.

Please note any queries that require your attention. These are indicated with a Q in the PDF and a question at the end of the document.

Reprints may be ordered by filling out the accompanying form.

Return the reprint order form by fax or by e-mail with the corrected proofs, to Wiley-VCH : <u>advsustainsys@wiley.com</u> To avoid commonly occurring errors, please ensure that the following important items are correct in your proofs (please note that once your article is published online, no further corrections can be made):

- Names of all authors present and spelled correctly
- **Titles** of authors correct (Prof. or Dr. only: please note, Prof. Dr. is not used in the journals)
- Addresses and postcodes correct
- E-mail address of corresponding author correct (current email address)
- Funding bodies included and grant numbers accurate
- Title of article OK
- All figures included
- Equations correct (symbols and sub/superscripts)

Corrections should be made directly in the PDF file using the PDF annotation tools. If you have questions about this, please contact the editorial office. The corrected PDF and any accompanying files should be uploaded to the journal's Editorial Manager site.

Author Query Form

WILEY

Journal ADSU

Article adsu201900117

Dear Author,

During the copyediting of your manuscript the following queries arose.

Please refer to the query reference callout numbers in the page proofs and respond to each by marking the necessary comments using the PDF annotation tools.

Please remember illegible or unclear comments and corrections may delay publication.

Many thanks for your assistance.

Query No.	Description	Remarks
Q-00	Open access publication of this work is possible via Wiley OnlineOpen. Information about this is available at: https://authorservices.wiley.com/author-resources/Journal-Authors/licensing-open-access/open-access/onlineopen.html.	
	The cost of publishing your manuscript OnlineOpen may be covered by one of Wiley's national agreements. To find out more, visit https://authorservices.wiley.com/author-resources/Journal-Authors/open-access/affiliation-policies-payments/index.html.	
	Note that eligibility for fee coverage is determined by the affiliation of the primary corresponding author designated at submission. Please log in to your Wiley Author Services account at https://authorservices.wiley.com/ and confirm your affiliation to see if you are eligible.	
	Instructions for placing an OnlineOpen order can be found at: https://authorservices.wiley.com/author-resources/ Journal-Authors/open-access/how-to-order-onlineopen.html.	
	To publish your article open access, please complete the order process before completing your proof corrections.	
Q1	Please confirm that forenames/given names (blue) and surnames/family names (vermilion) have been identified correctly.	
Q2	Please shorten Table of Contents text to a maximum of 60 words. All abbreviations should be defined.	
Q3	Please note that footnotes are not permitted in Table headings. They have been moved to the first column. Please check that this is OK or move to a more appropriate place.	\mathcal{D}
Q4	Please provide the description in refs. [5,10].	
Q5	Please provide year information in ref. [13].	
		\mathcal{V}

Please confirm that Funding Information has been identified correctly.

Please confirm that the funding sponsor list below was correctly extracted from your article: that it includes all funders and that the text has been matched to the correct FundRef Registry organization names. If a name was not found in the FundRef registry, it may not be the canonical name form, it may be a program name rather than an organization name, or it may be an organization not yet included in FundRef Registry. If you know of another name form or a parent organization name for a "not found" item on this list below, please share that information.

FundRef Name	FundRef Organization Name
Department of Chemical Sciences and Materials Technologies	
Italian National Research Council	

COMMUNICATIONS



⁶ Q2

9

10

29

Sustainable Catalytic Synthesis for a Bio-Based Alternative to the Reach-Restricted *N*-Methyl-2-Pyrrolidone

Pierluigi Barbaro, Francesca Liguori, Claudio Oldani, and Carmen Moreno-Marrodán*

1

11 The catalytic conversion of biomass and its derivatives into valuable chemi-12 13 cals requires efficient, energy saving, and sustainable technologies. In this 14 work, a variety of bifunctional catalysts are prepared combining immobilized 15 metal nanoparticles and acid solid materials featuring Lewis or Brønsted 16 acidity. The catalytic systems are tested in the reductive amination of bio-17 derived levulinates with primary amines, using hydrogen as clean reducing 18 19 agent, to obtain N-substituted-5-methyl-2-pyrrolidones, which are proposed 20 as substitutes for the widely used, REACH-restricted solvent N-methyl-2-pyr-21 rolidone. The overall process is studied in depth to identify the best combina-22 tion of metal and acid functionalities to be used in one-pot and one stage. 23 Pt immobilized onto the Brønsted solid acid Aquivion results to be the most 24 efficient catalyst, with a productivity of N-heptyl-5-methyl-2-pyrrolidone of 25 26 7.9 mmolg_{cat}⁻¹ h⁻¹ reached at full conversion and 98.6% selectivity, under 27 120 °C, 4 bar H₂ pressure and solvent-free conditions. 28

30 31 The depletion of fossil resources and the need of more sustain-32 able and efficient routes to produce fuels and chemicals drove the scientific community to introduce the biorefinery concept, 33 34 which refers to the elaboration of biomass to generate energy 35 and value-added chemicals. The idea dates from the 19th cen-36 tury, but the concept is in continuous evolution to face the 37 transition to a bioeconomy model. Currently, we deal with the fourth generation biorefinery, that focuses on the development 38 39 of economically feasible technologies through process intensification and use of advanced catalysts. The manufacture of 40 innovative biomass-derived polymers and solvents is among the 41 42 main targets.^[1] Besides, the increasingly stringent regulations and polices force the urgent substitution of toxic chemicals by 43 safer ones, featuring similar functionalities and applications as 44 45 those they are replacing.

N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) is a solvent widely used in
several industrial, domestic, and urban applications,^[2] that is

⁴⁸

42		
	Dr P Barbaro Dr F Liguori Dr C Moreno-Marro	dán
50	Bitt: Barbaro, Bitt: Eiguon, Bit et moreno mario	aun
50		

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche

55 Solvay Specialty Polymers (Italy) S.p.A.

- The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/adsu.201900117.
- 59 DOI: 10.1002/adsu.201900117

produced in 200–250 Ktons per year.^[3] In 11 spite of its versatility, health risks due to 12 NMP inhalation such as fertility damage, 13 developmental retardation of unborn chil-14 dren, and a variety of chronic and acute 15 effects, including respiratory problems, 16 have been pointed out.^[4] For this reason, 17 NMP has been classified as a substance of 18 very high concern by both the European 19 Chemical Agency^[5,6] and the California 20 Office of Environmental Health Hazard 21 Assessment.^[7] 22

In the present work, the synthesis of 23 *N*-substitued-5-methyl-2-pyrrolidones 24 (5MPs) was investigated as substitutes 25 for NMP. Chemical substitution is "the 26 replacement or reduction of hazardous 27 substances in products or processes by 28 less hazardous or nonhazardous sub-29 stances, or by achieving an equivalent 30

functionality via technological or organizational measures,"[8] 31 wherein the target is the replacement of the function provided 32 by these chemicals.^[9] 5MPs have been previously proposed as 33 substitutes for NMP, since they have been neither classified nor 34 warned by the REACH regulation, the International Agency for 35 Research on Cancer^[10] and the Organization for Economic Co-36 operation and Development,^[11] while they have already proven 37 their usability as solvents, key intermediates for printing ink, 38 pharmaceuticals, fiber dyes and surfactants.^[12,13] 5MPs are cur- 39 rently manufactured by a two-step procedure involving the reac- 40 tion of alkyl amines with fossil-derived lactones, followed by 41 hydrogenation over Pd/C catalysts.^[14] Other synthetic methods 42 have been described, including intramolecular hydroamina- 43 tion,^[15] hydroamination-cyclization,^[16] and N-alkylation.^[17] The 44 increasing interest in the use of biomass and its derivatives as 45 a renewable alternative to fossil raw materials, has led to the 46 development of new protocols starting from levulinic acid (LA) 47 or alkyl levulinates. Levulinates are identified by the US Depart- 48 ment of Energy among the Top ten bio-derived platform mole-49 cules usable for the production of fine chemicals.^[18] Levulinates 50 are obtainable from cellulose sugars, agricultural, and forestry 51 residues, as well as from biorefinery side-streams.^[19,20] The cat-52 alytic conversion of levulinates to 5MPs was reported in one-pot 53 by reductive amination strategy, using bifunctional catalysts,^[21] 54 particularly metals onto solid acids.^[22,23] It was demonstrated 55 that, in most cases, the reaction involves three steps: the acid-56 catalyzed amination of levulinate by a primary amine to give a 57 Schiff base (rate determining), the metal-catalyzed hydrogena-58 tion of the intermediate imine, followed by the acid-catalyzed 59

⁵¹ Istituto di Chimica dei Composti Organo Metallici

⁵² Via Madonna del Piano 10, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy

⁵³ E-mail: carmen.moreno@iccom.cnr.it

⁵⁴ Dr. C. Oldani

Viale Lombardia 20, 20021 Bollate, Milan, Italy



24

51



24

25 cyclization of the aminoester to afford the desired N-substi-26 tuted-5MP.^[24] A sketch of the proposed pathway is reported in 27 Scheme 1—Path A.

The liquid phase reductive amination of levulinates was 28 described over both homogeneous^[25] and heterogeneous catal-29 30 vsis under batch conditions, using different hydrogen sources, solvents or solvent-free conditions. Heterogeneous catalysts are 31 32 preferred in terms of easier catalyst recovery, reuse and waste 33 reduction, provided that activity and selectivity are maintained, 34 which usually requires a careful catalyst design. A detailed litera-35 ture survey was reported in a recent review.^[26] A positive role of 36 either Lewis or Brønsted sites onto a solid support material in 37 achieving a high pyrrolidone yield was suggested, for instance using Pt-MoO_x coloaded TiO₂,^[27] Pt@TiO₂ nanotubes,^[28] Pd@ 38 ZrO₂^[29] and Ir nanoparticles onto sulfonated silica.^[30] Besides 39 inorganic metal oxides, organic materials were also exploited 40 as support. Ru onto porphiryn-functionalized carbon nanotubes 41 showed to be effective, nevertheless under harsh conditions,^[31] 42 whereas Ru@N-heterocyclic carbene polymer gave 5MPs using 43 ammonium formate, serving both as hydrogen transfer agent 44 and nitrogen source.^[32] Use of formic acid as imine reducing 45 46 agent was advantageous in some occasions, e.g., gold nanoparticles onto acid-tolerant ZrO2,^[33] taking advantage of the equimolar 47 48 mixtures of formic and levulinic acid obtained by acidic hydrolysis 49 of biomass.^[25a,b] A step forward toward sustainability was sought 50 by the adoption of continuous flow, rather than batch setups. 51

However, severe reaction condition or very long contact times 25 (4.5 h) were required to achieve moderate 5MPs yields using 26 0.2%Pt@TiO2^[24] or carbon-supported FeNi nanoparticles.^[34] 27

With the aim to get insights on the reductive amination reac-28 tion of levulinates, hence to design improved catalytic systems 29 for this process, the present paper investigates the direct syn-30 thesis of *N*-heptyl-5-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (HMP) from the bio-31 derived ethyl levulinate (EL) and *n*-heptylamine (HA), using a 32 single catalytic body comprising solid Lewis or Brønsted acid 33 sites and supported metal nanoparticles (Scheme 2). A stepwise 34 study was performed over diverse combinations of solid acids 35 and metals, as well as reaction conditions. 36

To this purpose, either commercial (Nafion, Dowex-H⁺, 37 Amberlyst 15) and noncommercial (PW65S, PW79S-02%X-38 link, TiMNL, 2%Nb@TiMNL) insoluble acid materials were 39 explored. Prompted by our previously reported engineering of 40 bifunctional catalysts for the selective conversion of biomass-41 derivatives,^[35] the perfluorosulfonic acid polymers Aquivion 42 PW65S (linear) and PW79S-02%X-link (0.2 wt% crosslinked), 43 featuring high Hammet acidity (\approx -12), chemical and thermal 44 stability.^[36] were evaluated. The hierarchically porous inorganic 45 monoliths based on a TiO2 (TiMNL) or mixed TiO2-2mol% 46 Nb2O5 (2%Nb@TiMNL) skeleton were also examined, due to 47 their enhanced mass-transfer and acidic properties.^[37,38] Lewis 48 (LAS) and Brønsted (BAS) acid sites densities of the solid acids 49 screened are summarized in Table 1. 50





NCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com

www.advsustainsys.com

17

18

19

Q	3

Entry	Catalyst	Acid density ^{a)}		Initial reaction rate	TOF _{acid}	Prod. _{EHP} ^{d)}
		LAS	BAS	[ppm h ⁻¹] ^{b)}	[h ⁻¹] ^{c)}	$[\text{mmol}_{\text{EHP}} \text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}]$
		[mmol g ⁻¹]	[mmol g ⁻¹]			
1	Blank	-	_	89314	-	-
2	TiMNL	0.244	0.022 ^{e)}	94260	42.4 ^{f)}	11.6
3	2%Nb@TiMNL	0.160	0.001 ^{e)}	91444	86.6 ^{f)}	14.3
4	PW65S	-	1.54	370634	32.7	52.7
5	PW79S-0.2%X-link	-	1.23	160068	17.9	22.4
6	Nafion	-	0.91	276404	42.7	39.5
7	Dowex-H ⁺	-	4.8	151956	4.0	19.8
8	Amberlyst 15	-	4.7	134584	3.5	17.3

Table 1 Acid catalyzed formation of imine EHP from EL and HA using different solid acid catalysts

a)Reaction conditions: 50 mg catalyst, 25 °C, without solvent, EL = 2 mmol, molar ratio HA:EL = 1.2. Data from GC analysis after 30 min reaction time; ^{b)}Based on ppm 17 of EL converted; ^{c)}Calculated as mmol_{EL converted} mmol_{acid}⁻¹ h⁻¹; ^{d)}Productivity to imine EHP; ^{e)}Data from ref. [33]; ^{f)}Calculated on the total amount of acidic sites. 18 19

20 In a first set of experiments, the starting acid-catalyzed 21 amination step between EL and HA (Scheme 2, step 1) was 22 investigated at room temperature and solvent-free conditions, 23 over fixed amounts of metal-free solid acids and a HA:EL molar 24 ratio of 1.2. Representative results are summarized in Table 1. 25 In all cases, complete selectivity to the imine (E)-ethyl-4-(heptylimino)pentanoate (EHP) product was observed (see the 26 27 Supporting Information), indicating the reaction to follow Path 28 A of the proposed mechanism in Scheme 1. No traces of amide 29 were detected. As expected, a blank experiment (Table 1., entry 1) 30 showed the formation of the imine EHP to occur also in the absence of catalyst. The condensation of primary amines 31 32 with keto compounds to give Schiff bases is an equilibrium, acid-catalyzed process, whose position depends from the 33 experimental conditions.^[39] A perusal of the experimental data 34 35 showed that all tested catalysts were indeed able to increase the 36 imine formation rate, although with different extents. The time 37 course of the reaction is graphically reported in Figure S2 in the 38 Supporting Information. Compared to the catalyst-free experi-39 ment, the addition of a solid acid catalyst allowed to reach the 40 same conversion plateau (≈70% EL conversion) within much shorter times. The effect was higher for Brønsted compared 41 42 to Lewis sites-containing catalysts (i.e., TiMNL and 2%Nb@ 43 TiMNL). This was quantified in terms of initial reaction rates (Table 1), which decreased in the order: PW65S » Nafion 44 45 » PW79S-0.2%X-link > Dowex-H⁺ > Amberlyst15 » TiMNL 46

> 2%Nb@TiMNL > Blank. A close inspection of data in Table 1, 20 taking into account the different properties of the solid acid 21 catalysts considered, allows to provide some useful indications. 22

A) The turnover frequency values normalized with respect to the 23 number of acidic sites (TOF_{acid} = $mmol_{EL \text{ converted}} mmol_{acid}^{-1} h^{-1}$) 24 show that Lewis-type catalysts were actually more efficient than 25 purely Brønsted-type ones (Table 1, entries 2, 3). This conclu-26 sion is supported by the higher TOF value observed (86.6 h⁻¹) 27 for the 2%Nb@TiMNL catalyst with a higher Lewis/Brønsted 28 29 acid sites ratio (160), compared to the homologous TiMNL catalysts (42.4 h⁻¹, ratio 11). 30

B) The acidic strength of the Brønsted catalysts has a dra-31 matic effect on their efficiency. Indeed, despite the higher 32 acid densities, the sulfonic-type catalysts Dowex-H⁺ and 33 Amberlyst 15 resulted in much lower TOF values compared to 34 the perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) congeners Nafion, PW65S, 35 PW79S-02%X-link (Table 1, entries 7, 8 vs 4, 5, 6). 36

C) The catalyst microstructure affected the catalytic activity, 37 that may be attributed to the site-accessibility of the substrate.^[40] 38 Indeed, TOF values decrease along the series of homologue 39 polymers Nafion (long side-chain) > PW65S (short side-chain) 40 > PW79S-0.2%X-link (crosslinked) (Scheme 3). 41

Overall, the solid acid showing the best value in terms of 42 EHP imine productivity per unit weight catalyst, which is the 43 most important parameter for large-scale applications, was 44 Aquivion PW65S, that afforded 52.7 mmol_{EHP}g_{cat}⁻¹ h⁻¹, after 45





20



Figure 1. One-pot reductive amination of EL with HA (solvent free, 16 EL = 2 mmol, molar ratio HA:EL = 1.2, 50 mg catalyst 0.95 wt% S). 17 Data from GC analysis. Left: time effect. Reaction conditio H_2 18 pressure 4 bar. Right: temperature effect. Reaction condition es-19 sure 10 bar, 24 h.

30 min reaction time. Therefore, we selected the Aquivion 21 solid acid support for further in-depth studies of the next 22 23 reaction steps.

24 It is worth noticing that, under the same experimental condi-25 tions, use of stoichiometric amounts of EL and HA invariably 26 resulted in lower conversion values at equilibrium, as expected 27 (Figure S3, Supporting Information). On the other hand, use of higher reaction temperature (e.g., 120 °C) resulted in 28 29 faster reaction kinetics, however with significant formation of N-heptyl-5-methylenepyrrolidin-2-one (HEnP) by-product, for 30 31 prolonged reaction times (Figure S4, Supporting Information). This indicates that at high temperature the reaction may follow 32 Path B in Scheme 1, via amide formation, as previously sug-33 34 gested on the basis of density functional theory calculations.^[41] Having defined an appropriated reaction system and acid 35

36 support material for the amination step, we then focused our attention on the catalytic synthesis of pyrrolidone HMP directly 37 38 from EL in one-pot and one stage, wherein all reagents and the catalyst were mixed together in a single operation, using 39 40 hydrogen gas as clean reducing agent.

To this aim, bifunctional catalysts comprising supported 41 metal nanoparticles (MNPs) were synthesized to achieve the 42 43 catalytic hydrogenation step of imine EHP (Scheme 2, Step 2). 44

Given the affinity for C=N bonds, platinum and palladium 1 metals were explored.^[42] Thus, following a two-step, ion-2 exchange/reduction procedure,^[35] MNPs of typical size around 3 3 nm and 1.1 wt% loading for Pd, and aggregates of 7-12 nm 4 with around 0.9 wt% loading for Pt were obtained, respectively, 5 both on Aquivion PW65S and PW79S-02%X-link supports.^[43] 6 7

www.advsustainsvs.com

8

9

41

44

45

As general finding, the direct catalytic reaction experiments provided the following evidences:

- A) Irrespective of the bifunctional catalyst and the reaction con-10 ditions, the intermediate imine EHP was always detected in 11 the reaction mixtures, unless EL conversion was above 90%. 12 This indicates that the imine hydrogenation is the rate deter-13 mining step of the overall process in the present case. This 14 can be tentative attributed to the higher nucleophile proper-15 ties of alkyl amines, compared to arylamines, whose kinetic 16 was investigated in previous studies.^[24] As a consequence, 17 selectivity to the final HMP product always increased for in-18 creasing reaction times. An example is reported in Figure 1, 19 left. In agreement with the abovementioned studies, the cy-20 clization of the aminoester to give the final product HMP 21 (Scheme 2, step 3) was so fast that the amine intermediate 22 was never observed. No other products other than EHP, 23 HMP, and ethanol were found, unless a very low H₂ pressure 24 was used (vide infra). N-heptyl-5-methylenepyrrolidin-2-one 25 (HEnP) was below 2% in any case. 26
- B) Different from the amination step, EL conversion could be 27 increased up to 100% by selection of the appropriate reaction 28 conditions, irrespective of the metal catalyst (Table2). This 29 finding can be readily attributed to the shift of the equilib-30 rium of the first reaction step toward products, by continuous 31 withdrawn of the intermediate imine due to hydrogenation. 32
- Regardless of the support, platinum-based catalysts were C) 33 invariably more efficient than the palladium counterparts. 34 This is indicated by the ≈2.5-fold turnover frequencies 35 $(TOF_{metal} = mmol_{EL \text{ converted}} mmol_{metal}^{-1} h^{-1})$ and the higher se-36 lectivity to pyrrolidone HMP obtained under the same experi-37 mental conditions after 4 h reaction time, as reported in Table 2 38 (entries 1 and 3 vs 2 and 4). These data show Pt to be more active 39 in C=N bond hydrogenation of imine EHP compared to Pd. 40

Overall, the best HMP productivity values per unit weight 42 catalyst were provided by the Aquivion-based Pt catalysts, 43

% P1	:@	PW	65
ons:	12	20 °	°C,
tion	s:	H_2	pr
1	٨		
ne	Α	งตน	1V1

45 Table 2. Catalytic reductive amination of EL with HA over different bifunctional catalysts. 46

Entry ^{a)}	Support	Metal	Metal loading	Conversion ^{b)}	Sel. HMP ^{c)}	$TOF_{metal}^{d)}$	Prod. _{HMP} ^{e)}
			[wt%]	[%]	[%]	[h ⁻¹]	[mmol _{HMP} g _{cat} ⁻¹ h ⁻¹
1	PW65S	Pt	0.95	93.7	91.9	192.5	8.6
2		Pd	1.34	86.3	55.1	68.7	4.8
3	PW79S-02%X-link	Pt	0.81	94.4	92.7	227.7	8.8
4		Pd	1.04	88.6	69.0	90.9	6.1
5	C ^{f)}	Pt	4.0	87.9	99.0	28.7	5.8
6		Pd	5.0	91.4	97.7	13.0	5.9

a)Reaction conditions: 50 mg catalyst, 120 °C, H2 pressure 4 bar, without solvent, EL = 2 mmol, molar ratio HA:EL = 1.2, reaction time 4 h. Data from GC analysis; ^b)EL 58 58 conversion; ^{cj}Selectivity to pyrrolidone HMP; ^{dj}Calculated as mmol_{EL converted} mmol_{metal}⁻¹ h⁻¹ for bulk metal loading; ^{ej}Productivity to pyrrolidone HMP; ^{fj}H₂ pressure10 bar, 59 59 reaction time 6 h, 100 mg catalyst.

Adv. Sustainable Syst. 2020, 1900117

ENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com

www.advsustainsys.com

1

15

49

50

Entry	P_{H^2}	Т	Time	Conv. ^{b)}		Yield ^{a)}		Sele	ctivity	TOF _{Pt} ^{d)}	Prod. _{HMP}
	[bar]	[°C]	[h]	[%]	EHP	HMP	Other ^{c)}	EHP	НМР	[h ⁻¹]	[mmol _{HMP} g _{cat} ⁻¹ h ⁻¹
					[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]		
1	10	25	24	86.4	64.9	21.5	0.0	75.1	24.9	29.6	0.4
2	10	120	24	93.2	0.0	93.2	0.0	0.0	100.0	13.2	1.6
3	1	120	3	86.3	19.8	36.8	29.7	23.0	42.7	236.2	4.9
4	4	120	3	87.8	15.2	70.4	2.2	17.3	80.2	240.5	9.4
5	4	120	5	100.0	0.0	98.6	1.4	0.0	98.6	164.3	7.9

13 a)Reaction conditions: 50 mg catalyst, without solvent, EL = 2 mmol, molar ratio HA:EL = 1.2. Data from GC analysis; ^b)EL conversion; ^c)Including HEnP; ^d)Calculated as 13 mmol_{EL converted} mmol_{Pt}⁻¹ h⁻¹ for bulk Pt loading. 14 14

15

1

namely 8.6 and 8.8 $\mathrm{mmol}_{\mathrm{HMP}} g_{cat}{}^{-1}$ h^{-1} for Pt@PW65S and 16 17 Pt@PW79S-02%X-link, respectively, at ≈94% EL conversion. 18 Results using commercial carbon-supported catalysts are 19 reported in Table 2 for comparison (entries 5, 6), showing much 20 lower activities and productivities. The above data confirm the 21 role of the acidic support in the overall process. Indeed, it was 22 recently highlighted that imine hydrogenation by transition metal 23 catalysts may be enhanced by the addition of a Brønsted acid cocatalyst.^[44] In the case in our hand, adoption of a bifunctional 24 25 catalyst avoids use of additives, hence minimizing the amount 26 of waste generated and simplifying work up operations, with sig-27 nificant improvements in terms of sustainability of the process.

28 Having established the catalyst with the best compromise 29 between acidic and metal functionalities, namely Pt@Aquivion, 30 the effect of H₂ pressure and temperature on the one-pot pro-31 cess was investigated using Pt@PW65S specimens. Repre-32 sentative results are reported in Table 3. Thus, an increase in the reaction temperature had the obvious effect of accelerating 33 34 the hydrogenation step thus, as explained above, improving the selectivity to pyrrolidone HMP for a given reaction time, while 35 36 speeding up the overall process (entries 1, 2). Yields observed 37 at 25 and 120 °C are graphically shown in Figure 1, right. On 38 the other hand, for a given reaction time and temperature, an 39 increase in the hydrogen pressure led only to slight increases 40 in EL conversion and selectivity. Importantly, for the combination of high temperature and H₂ pressures of 1 bar, significant 41 42 amounts of HEnP and other decomposition products were observed (Table 3, entries 3, 4), in line with what above illus-43 trated for the metal-free catalysis.^[43] Similarly to the amination 44 step, use of a slight excess of HA resulted in higher HMP yields. 45 46 Post outcome for Dt@DW/65S bifunctional catalyst was there

corresponding to a HMP productivity of 7.9 mmol g_{cat}^{-1} h⁻¹ 16 (Table 3, entry 5). Under the same experimental conditions, the 17 Pt@PW79S-0.2%X-link catalyst afforded a 99% HMP yield and 18 the same productivity (Table S3, Supporting Information, entry 2). 19

The synthesis of pyrrolidone HMP in 80% yield was pre- 20 viously described in two stages, by contacting levulinic acid 21 with HA at 25 °C, then adding the resulting mixture to 5% 22 Pt@C under 150 °C and 6 bar H₂.^[45] However, neither details 23 of product purity nor reaction time were provided. A limited 24 number of catalysts has been recently reported for the solvent-25 free, one pot reductive amination of levulinates (either levulinic 26 acid or alkyl levulinates) to N-alkyl-5-methyl-2-pyrrolidones, 27 using n-hexyl or n-octylamine (Table S3, Supporting Informa- 28 tion). The results herein reported for *n*-heptylamine at compa-29 rable pyrrolidone yields are in line in terms of productivity, but 30 usually better in terms of TOF referred to metal loading, under 31 milder reaction conditions (both temperature and H₂ pressure). 32

Noteworthy, the as-prepared catalysts could be safely used in 33 the presence of solvents without significant changes in their 34 performance, other than the obvious effects due to substrate 35 dilution. Representative data are reported in Table 4 for xylene 36 solvent and Pt@Aquivion catalysts. Both catalysts could be 37 separated from the reaction mixture by simple decantation, 38 without Pt leaching in solution above the ICP-OES detection 39 limit. As expected, the cross-linked system Pt@PW79S-0.2%X- 40 link could be more easily separated in a manageable powdered 41 form, due to the lower tendency in forming polymer gels at 42 high temperature, compared to the linear polymer support 43 material.^[46,47] The recovered catalyst could be reused without 44 significant efficiency drop under the same reaction conditions. 45 This opens up the perspective for implementation into contin-46 uous flow catalytic systems, both in solvent-free and solution 47 conditions. 48

40	Best outcome for Pr@Pw655 Difunctional catalyst was there-
47	fore observed at 120 °C, under 4 bar H ₂ pressure and 5 h reac-
48	tion time, resulting in full EL conversion and 98.6% HMP yield,
49	

50	Table 4.	Catalytic reductive	e amination of EL	. with HA ove	r Pt@Aquivion i	n p-xylene.
----	----------	---------------------	-------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------------

Entry ^{a)}	Catalyst	EL conversion	Sel. HMP ^{b)}	Yield HMP	TOF _{Pt} ^{c)}	Prod. _{HMP} ^{d)}
		[%]	[%]	[%]	[h ⁻¹]	[mmol _{HMP} g _{cat} ⁻¹ h ⁻¹]
	0.95% Pt@PW65S	84.6	90.1	76.2	86.8	3.8
2	0.81% Pt@ PW79S-0.2%X-link	74.6	86.9	64.8	89.8	3.2

58 58 a)Reaction conditions: 50 mg catalyst, 120 °C, H₂ pressure 4 bar, EL = 1 mmol in *p*-xylene 0.2 M, molar ratio HA:EL = 1.2, reaction time 4 h. Data from GC analysis; 59 ^{b)}Selectivity to pyrrolidone HMP; ^{d)}Calculated as $mmol_{EL converted} mmol_{Pt}^{-1} h^{-1}$ for bulk Pt loading; ^{d)}Productivity to pyrrolidone HMP. 59



www.advancedsciencenews.com

A set of bifunctional metal/acid heterogeneous catalysts 1 2 was tested in the reductive amination reaction of bio-derived 3 ethyl levulinate with *n*-heptylamine, aimed at getting insights 4 in the process and designing greener biorefinery routes, 5 for the production of functional substitutes of the REACH-6 restricted N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone. A thorough study was 7 carried out on the different steps of the process, in order to 8 identify the most efficient acid and metallic functionalities to 9 be merged in a single catalytic body. It was highlighted that 10 the rate of the starting amination step is ruled by the type, 11 strength and density of acidic sites on the solid acid support, 12 as well as by its textural properties. In the overall process, the imine hydrogenation is the rate determining step, wherein 13 supported platinum nanoparticles showed to be more active 14 15 and selective, compared to the parent Pd-based catalysts. The best results in terms of catalyst's TOF and HMP pyrro-16 17 lidone productivity were obtained using the combination of 18 Pt and the readily available PFSA Aquivion polymer. Optimal 19 performances were typically observed, both for the solvent-20 free and solution system, under 120 °C and 4 bar H₂ pres-21 sure, what can be attributed to the high acidic strength and density of the solid support, and to the thermal resistance of 22 23 the perfluorinated skeleton. Up to 99% yield of final product was obtained with a productivity around 8 mmol g_{cat}^{-1} h⁻¹ 24 25 under solvent-free conditions. These findings, coupled with 26 the use of renewable sources and H₂ as clean reducing agent, 27 indicate that the adoption of the heterogeneous bifunctional 28 catalysts herein developed may help minimize the number 29 of processing units and steps, the carbon footprint and the generation of waste and Volatile Organic Compounds of 30 31 the HMP production process. The very low loading of noble 32 metal (less than 1 wt%) and the industrial availability of 33 the solid acid support materials, adds economic benefit to 34 the approach.

35 36

37 Supporting Information 38

39 Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or 40 from the author.

41

42 43 44

Acknowledgements

45 This work was supported by the Department of Chemical Sciences and Materials Technologies of the Italian National Research Council. 46

- 47
- 48

49 **Conflict of Interest** 50

The authors declare no conflict of interest. 51

- 52
- 53 54

Keywords 55

bifunctional catalysts, biomass, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone 56

\sim	Received: October 31, 2019 Revised: January 15, 2020 Published online:
	rublished offinite.
	\sim

www.advsustainsvs.com

- [1] M. Hingsamer, G. Jungmeier, in The Role of Bioenergy in the 1 Emerging Bioconomy (Eds: C. Lago, N. Caldés, Y. Lechón), Elsevier 2 Inc, Amsterdam 2019, Ch. 5. 3
- [2] M. Reisch, Chem. Eng. News 2008, 86, 32.
- [3] A. L. Harreus, 2-Pyrrolidones, Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany 2000, Ch. 2.
- [4] N-Methyl-2-Pyrrolidone, Concise International Chemical Assessment Document 35, World Health Organization, Geneva 2001.
- 9 ps://echa.europa.eu/substance-information/-/substanfo/100.011.662 (accessed: July 2019). 10
- [6] ECHA European Chemical Agency, Doc: ED/31/2011.
- [7] California OEHHA.List of Chemicals as Known to the State of 12 California to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity, Proposition 65, 13 1986 14
- [8] S. O. Hansson, C. Rudén, The Substitution Principle, Swedish 15 Chemicals Agency, Stockholm 2007.
- 16 [9] E. T. Lavoie, L. G. Heine, H. Holder, M. S. Rossi, R. E. Lee, 17 E. A. Connor, M. A. Vrabel, D. M. Di Fiore, C. L. Davies, Environ. Sci. 18 Technol. 2010, 44, 9244.
- p://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/latest_classif.php, 19 cessed: January 2020). 20
- [11] OECD, Directories and Databases for Chemicals and Biosafety, 21 www.echemportal.org (accessed: July 2018). 22
- [12] a) L. E. Manzer, US Patent 6743819B1, 2004; b) L. E. Manzer, US 23 Patent 247443A1, 2006; c) Y. Isoda, M. Azuma, JP Patent 08053390, 24 1994; d) Liu, Z. W, S. Zhang, Z. Zheng, B. Yang, W. Ma, J. Hou, RSC 25 Adv. 2015, 5, 69567.

26 Q5 [13] Vandeputte, K. Moonen, P. Roose(Tamico), WO 20013107822A1. 27

[14]E. Manzer, (E. I. Dupont de	Nemours and Company) US Patent
2005054861A1, 2005 .	

- [15] X. Y. Liu, C. H. Li, C. M. Che, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2707.
- [16] J. Davis, T. D. Svejstrup, D. Reina, N. S. Sheikh, D. Leonori, J. Am. 30 Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8092. 31
- [17] M. C. Fu, R. Shang, W. M. Cheng, Y. Fu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 32 2015, 54, 9042. 33
- [18] J. J. Bozell, G. R. Petersen, Green Chem. 2010, 12, 539.
- 34 [19] L. Filiciotto, A. M. Balu, J. C. V. Waal, R. Luque, Catal. Today 2018, 35 302 2 36
- [20] D. W. Rackemann, W. O. S. Doherty, Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefin. 2011, 37 5.198
- 38 [21] R. P. Tripathi, S. S. Verma, J. Pandey, V. K. Tiwari, Curr. Org. Chem. 39 2008, 12, 1093.
- 40 [22] P. Barbaro, F. Liguori, N. Linares, C. Moreno-Marrodan, Eur. J. 41 Inorg. Chem. 2012, 2012, 3807.
- [23] M. J. Climent, A. Corma, S. Iborra, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1072.
- [24] J. D. Vidal, M. J. Climent, P. Concepcion, A. Corma, S. Iborra, 43 M. J. Sabater, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 5812. 44
- [25] a) Y. B. Huang, J. J. Dai, X. J. Deng, Y. C. Qu, Q. X. Guo, Y. Fu, Chem-45 SusChem 2011, 4, 1578; b) C. Ortiz-Cervanes, M. Flores-Alamo, 46 J. J. García, Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 766; c) Y. Wei, C. Wang, 47 X. Jiang, D. Xue, J. Li, J. Xiao, Chem Commun. 2013, 49, 5408; 48 d) S. Wang, H. Huang, C. Bruneau, C. Fischmeister, ChemSusChem 49 2017, 10, 4150; e) Y. Ogiwara, T. Uchiyama, N Sakai, Angew. Chem., 50 Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1864.
- [26] C. Moreno-Marrodán, F. Liguori, P. Barbaro, Mol. Catal. 2019, 466, 60. 51
- [27] A. S. Touchy, S. M. A. H. Siddiki, K. Kon, K. Shimizu, ACS Catal. 52 2014, 4, 3045. 53
- [28] J. D. Vidal, M. J. Climent, A. Corma, P. Concepción, S. Iborra, 54 ChemSusChem 2017, 10, 119. 55
- [29] J. Zhang, B. Xie, L. Wang, X. Yi, C. Wang, G. Wang, Z. Dai, A. Zheng, 56 F. S. Xiao, ChemCatChem 2017, 9, 2661.
- 57 [30] J. J. Martínez, L. Silva, H. A. Rojas, G. P. Romanelli, L. A. Santos, 58 T. C. Ramalho, M. J. Brijaldo, F. B. Pasos, Catal. Today 2017, 59 296. 118.

28

29

42

4

5

6

7

8

11

Q4

DVANCED

www.advsustainsys.com

- SC ENCE NEWS www.advancedsciencenews.com [31] T. Zhang, Y. Ge, X. Wang, J. Chen, X. Huang, Y. Liao, ACS Omega 2017, 2, 3228. [32] Z. Sun, J. Chen, T. Tu, Green Chem. 2017, 19, 789. [33] X. L. Du, L. He, S. Zhao, Y. M. Liu, Y. Cao, H. Y. He, K. N. Fan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7815. [34] G. Chieffi, M. Braun, D. Esposito, ChemSusChem 2015, 8, 3590. [35] C. Moreno-Marrodan, F. Liguori, P. Barbaro, S. Caporali, L. Merlo, C. Oldani, ChemCatChem 2017, 9, 4256. [36] V. Arcella, A. Ghielmi, G. Tommasi, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 2003, 984, 226. [37] C. Moreno-Marrodán, P. Barbaro, S. Caporali, F. Bossola, ChemSusChem 2018, 11, 3649. [38] N. Linares, S. Hartmann, A. Galarneau, P. Barbaro, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 2194.
- [39] J. McMurry, Organic Chemistry, 7th ed., Thomson Learning, Inc., 1 Belmont, CA, USA 2008.
- [40] B. Corain, M. Zecca, K. Jeřábek, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2001, 177, 3.
- [41] G. Gao, P. Sun, Y. Li, F. Wang, Z. Zhao, Y. Qin, F. Li, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 4927.
- [42] P. Gallezot, D. Richard, Catal. Rev. 1998, 40, 81.
- [43] See the Supporting Information.
- [44] J. D. Yang, J. Xue, J. P. Cheng, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 2913.
- [45] L. E. Manzer, (E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company), US Patent US0247443A1, 2006.
- [46] R. Hoogenboom, Smart Polymers and their Applications, Woodhead 10 Publishing, Cambridge, UK 2014, pp. 15-44.
- [47] Y. J. Kimab, Y. T. Matsunaga, J. Mater. Chem. B 2017, 5, 4307.



Reprint Order Form

Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA Advanced Sustainable Systems Boschstr. 12 69469 Weinheim Germany

Charges for Reprints in Euro (excl. VAT), prices are subject to change. Minimum order 50 copies; single issues for authors at a reduced price.

No. of pages	50	100	150	200	300	500
	copies	copies	copies	copies	copies	copies
1-4	345, —	395, —	425, —	445, —	548, —	752, —
5-8	490, —	573, —	608, —	636, —	784, —	1077, —
9–12	640, —	739, —	786, —	824, —	1016, —	1396, —
13–16	780, —	900, —	958, —	1004, —	1237, —	1701, —
17–20	930, —	1070,—	1138,—	1196, —	1489, —	2022, —
every additional 4 pages	147,—	169,—	175, —	188, —	231,—	315,—

Please send me and bill me for

no. of reprints	airmail (+ 25 Euro) surface mail Fedex No.:
high-resolution PDF E-mail address:	file (330 Euro)

If you order 200 or more reprints you will get a PDF file for half price.

Please note: It is not permitted to present the PDF file on the internet or on company homepages.

Cover Posters (prices excl. VAT)

F	Posters of published covers are available in two sizes:										
		DIN A2	42 x 60 cm ,	/ 17 x 24in	(one copy: 39 Euro)						
		DIN A1	60 x 84 cm	/ 24 x 33in	(one copy: 49 Euro)						

Postage for shipping posters overseas by airmail:

+ 25 Euro

Postage for shipping posters within Europe by surface mail: +15 Euro

Date,	Sign	ature
-------	------	-------

Please complete this form and return it via E-Mail to the Editorial Office at

E-mail: advsustainsys@wiley-vch.de

Manuscript No.:	
Customer No.: (if available)	
Purchase Order No.:	
Author:	
Date:	

Information regarding VAT: Please note that from German sales tax point of view, the charge for Reprints, Issues or Posters is considered as "supply of goods" and therefore, in general, such delivery is a subject to German sales tax. However, this regulation has no impact on customers located outside of the European Union. Deliveries to customers outside the Community are automatically tax-exempt. Deliveries within the Community to institutional customers outside of Germany are exempted from the German tax (VAT) only if the customer provides the supplier with his/her VAT number. The VAT number (value added tax identification number) is a tax registration number used in the countries of the European Union to identify corporate entities doing business there. Starting with a country code (e.g. FR for France), followed by numbers.

VAT number: ______

Mail reprints / copies of the issue to:															
														_	
														_	
														_	
														_	
Send	d bil	l to	:												
														_	
														_	
														_	
] י	will	pay	/ by	ba	nk t	ran	sfe	r						
] י	will	pay	y by	cre	dit	car	d							
VISA	ι, M	ast	erc	ard	anc		ИEF	RICA	N E	XP	RES	S			
For	you	r se	curi	ity p	olea	se ı	use	this	linl	k (C	redi	it Ca	ard		
Toke Carc	en G	ien kon	erat	:or) d in	to c	rea	ite a his	a se	cure	e co r in	de (Creo for	dit m		
		REI	ail	um	ciut	ie l	1115		ine		the	101			1
						V									

https://www.wiley-vch.de/editorial production/index.php

instead of the credit card data. Click here:

CREDIT CARD TOKEN NUMBER

Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA – A company of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. -Location of the Company: Weinheim - Trade Register: Mannheim, HRB 432833. Chairman of the Supervisory Board: John Kritzmacher General Partner: John Wiley & Sons GmbH. Location: Weinheim -Trade Register Mannheim, HRB 432296 - Managing Director: Sabine Steinbach und Dr. Guido Herrmann

