Dear Author,

Please, note that changes made to the HTML content will be added to the article before publication, but are not reflected in this PDF.

Note also that this file should not be used for submitting corrections.

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

	Journal: FRIN	Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to: D'silva, Mary
5-5-6-Q		E-mail: Corrections.ESCH@elsevier.spitech.com
. E. Heller		Tel: +353-61-709642
ELSEVIER	Article Number: 5671	Fax: +1 619 699 6721

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

We were unable to process your file(s) fully electronically and have proceeded by

Scanning (parts of) your article

Rekeying (parts of) your article

Scanning the artwork

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

Location in article	Query / Remark: <u>click on the Q link to go</u> Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof	
<u>Q1</u>	Please provide a definition for the significance of bold in the table.	
<u>Q2</u>	Your article is registered as a regular item and is being processed for inclusion in a regular issue of the journal. If this is NOT correct and your article belongs to a Special Issue/Collection please contact k.saravanan@elsevier.com immediately prior to returning your corrections.	
<u>Q3</u>	Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly.	
<u>Q4</u>	The citation "ISO 3632-1, 2011" has been changed to match the author name/date in the reference list. Please check here and in subsequent occurrences, and correct if necessary.	
<u>Q5</u>	Supplementary caption was not provided. Please check suggested data if appropriate and correct if necessary. Please check this box if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file.	

Thank you for your assistance.

Food Research International xxx (2015) xxx



1

2

 $\frac{8}{9}$

10

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Research International



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodres

Highlights	,
------------	---

¹ H NMR-based metabolomics of saffron reveals markers for its quality deterioration	Food Research International xxx (2015) xxx – xxx
Stella A. Ordoudi ^a , Laura R. Cagliani ^b , Sofia Lalou ^a , Eleni Naziri ^a , Maria Z. Tsimidou ^a , Roberto Consonni ^{b,*}	
^a Laboratory of Food Chemistry Technology, School of Chemistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (AUTh), GR-54124 Thessaloniki, ^b Istitute for Macromolecular Studies (ISMAC), Lab. NMR, CNR, v. Bassini 15, 20133 Milan, Italy	Greece
 NMR based metabolite fingerprinting for saffron quality characterisation Identification of storage threshold (4 years) for freshness of saffron Identification of specific markers to monitor storage effects 	
Short	

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.01.021 0963-9969/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Q5 Supplementary material.

Food Research International xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Research International



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodres

¹H NMR-based metabolomics of saffron reveals markers for its quality deterioration

Stella A. Ordoudi^a, Laura R. Cagliani^b, Sofia Lalou^a, Eleni Naziri^a, Maria Z. Tsimidou^a, Roberto Consonni^{b,*} 03

^a Laboratory of Food Chemistry Technology, School of Chemistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (AUTh), GR-54124 Thessaloniki, Greece 4

^b Istitute for Macromolecular Studies (ISMAC), Lab. NMR, CNR, v. Bassini 15, 20133 Milan, Italy 5

ARTICLE INFO 6

Article history: 7 Received 21 October 2014 8 Accepted 24 January 2015 9 Available online xxxx 10 11 Keywords: 12Saffron 13 Storage

- 14 Quality marker
- NMR 15
- 16PCA
- OPLS-DA 17
- 30
- 32
- 33
- 1. Introduction 35

Saffron, which is the dried red stigmas of Crocus sativus L. flowers, is 36 a highly appreciated spice because it imparts foods with unique colour 37 and flavour traits that are attributed to the high concentrations of cer-38 tain secondary metabolites (crocetin esters, picrocrocin, safranal, etc.) 39 (Ordoudi & Tsimidou, 2004). In addition, it is also known to exert ben-40 eficial health properties and is a valuable candidate in new drug designs 41 42 (Bathaie & Mousavi, 2010). C. sativus L. fresh stigmas are converted to saffron spice by dehydration. Dehydration practices, which are usually 43traditional, differ among the countries of production (Carmona, 44 Zalacain, & Alonso, 2006) and affect the moisture content of the end 4546product (saffron) and the contents of its secondary metabolites, which determine the initial commercial quality of the product (ISO, 2011). 04 The moisture content and other storage conditions (temperature, expo-48 49 sure to light, oxygen and enzymatic activity) affect the deterioration rate of the saffron quality (Alonso, Varon, Salinas, & Navarro, 1993; 50Bolandi & Ghoddusi, 2006; Morimoto, Umezaki, Shoyama, Saito, Nishi, 5152& Irino, 1994; Raina, Agarwal, Bhatia, & Gaur, 1996; Tsimidou & Biliaderis, 1997). Kinetic studies at ambient temperature in the dark, 5354which mimicked the best practice for saffron storage, show that its 55shelf life dramatically depends on the water activity (a_w). In particular, 56at intermediate a_w levels (0.43–0.53), the colouring strength is

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.01.021 0963-9969/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

ABSTRACT

¹H NMR-based metabolomics is proposed here for the quality control of saffron upon storage using the ability to 18 detect a wide range of chemical compounds with minimal sample preparation. Saffron quality deterioration is 19 the result of enzymatic, oxidative and hydrolytic reactions that change the content and structure of the glycosides 20 of crocetin and picrocrocin, which are the metabolites responsible for the major sensory properties of this most 21 expensive spice. Several authentic saffron samples (n = 98) of known storage history after processing were examined. The Principal Component Analysis shows a clear-cut separation of samples into two groups based on the 23 storage period regardless of the sample origin. The S-plot derived from the Orthogonal Projections to Latent 24 Structures-Discriminant Analysis (OPLS-DA) model shows the markers for quality deterioration, i.e., sugars 25 bound to crocetin, glucose in picrocrocin, free sugars and fatty acids. These new markers become critical for 26 the samples that were stored for more than 4 years. The OPLS-DA model was validated with a test set and proved 27 to be properly designed to predict the length of storage after harvest. The answer to the question set in the market 28 of "when" and "why" a saffron sample can no longer be considered fresh is supported by our findings. 29

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

effectively retained and indicates a possible post-harvest storability of 57 the product of more than one year. Indeed, a half-life period $(t_{1/2})$ of ap- 58 proximately five years was found for ground saffron that was stored in 59 the dark at aw 0.43 and 25 °C (Tsimidou & Biliaderis, 1997). In this 60 long period of storage, the bitterness is also retained as suggested by 61 the slow kinetics of picrocrocin degradation (Alonso et al., 1993). 62 Under good processing and storage conditions (dark, intermediate aw 63 and ambient temperature), the content of major metabolites remains 64 intact within one year after production (Raina et al., 1996); thus, the 65 spice can be considered "fresh" during this period. Deterioration of the 66 spice in time as a result of enzymatic, oxidative and hydrolytic reactions 67 leads to severe loss in secondary metabolites, development of off- 68 flavours and tissue changes (Bonazzi & Dumoulin, 2011; Maggi et al., 69 2010; Morimoto et al., 1994). In all of the above studies, the data for dif-70 ferent classes of important metabolites were produced using methods 71 that lack the potential of analytical techniques such as infrared spectros-72 copy and nuclear magnetic resonance to identify the useful markers in 73 the quality control of this most expensive spice in the world. Indeed, re-74 cently, using Fourier-Transform Mid-Infrared (FT-MIR) spectroscopy 75 coupled with chemometrics, it was found that the bands related to 76 free glucose and breakage of glycosidic bonds were useful for the diag-77 nostic monitoring of storage effects (Ordoudi, de los Mozos Pascual, & 78 Tsimidou, 2014). Limitations of the FT-IR technique regarding the struc-79 ture elucidation of the responsible compounds are expected to be over- 80 come using analytical techniques that are specifically dedicated to 81 structural-elucidation studies. 82

Corresponding author. Tel.: + 39 02 23699578; fax: + 39 02 23699620. E-mail address: roberto.consonni@ismac.cnr.it (R. Consonni).

S.A. Ordoudi et al. / Food Research International xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. PCA score plot performed considering all (n = 98) saffron samples. PC1 = 68.8%; PC2 = 17.1%. $R^2X = 92.8\%$; $Q^2 = 90.4\%$. The samples are coloured according to the origin: black triangles, light blue boxes, purple diamonds and yellow circles represent Greek, Iranian, Italian and Spanish samples, respectively; the storage period for each sample is reported. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

NMR-based metabolomics, which enables the identification
 and quantification of all molecules in a biological system, shows
 a great potential in food science as a tool to monitor the product

quality and authenticity among other purposes (Cevallos-Cevallos & 86 Reyes-De-Corcuera, 2012; Mannina, Sobolev, & Capitani, 2012; Wishart, 87 2008). The large sets of metabolomic data can be handled by 88



Fig. 2. Score plot (a) and S-plot (b) of OPLS-DA, which was performed considering all saffron samples: group A (saffron stored up to 4 years) and group B (saffron stored for more than 4 years). $R^2X = 88.2\%$, $R^2Y = 94.6\%$, and $Q^2 = 93.7\%$. The ppm values were only indicated for the relevant metabolites.

Please cite this article as: Ordoudi, S.A., et al., ¹H NMR-based metabolomics of saffron reveals markers for its quality deterioration, *Food Research International* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.01.021

chemometric tools, which enable the evaluation of differences or simi-89 90 larities in the analysed samples, classification of the samples and development of predictive models (Consonni & Cagliani, 2010). 91

92Several studies in the literature focused on the food quality and authenticity assessment using NMR metabolite fingerprinting with 93 chemometrics (Alonso-Salces, Moreno-Rojas, Holland, Reniero, Guillou, 94& Heberger, 2010; Cagliani, Pellegrino, Giugno, & Consonni, 2013; 9596 Consonni & Cagliani, 2010; Spraul et al., 2009). Concerning saffron, 97 NMR has been applied to isolated constituents or saffron extracts 98 mainly for identification purposes (Assimiadis, Tarantilis, & Polissiou, 1998; Calsteren et al., 1997; Pfister, Meyer, Steck, & Pfander, 99 1996; Straubinger, Jezussek, Waibel, & Winterhalter, 1997). The metab-100 olite fingerprinting of saffron extracts using ¹H-NMR spectra and 101 chemometrics has been reported for the authentication of Iranian and 102Italian saffron (Cagliani, Culeddu, Chessa, & Consonni, 2015; Yilmaz, 103 Nyberg, Molgaard, Asili, & Jaroszewsk, 2010) and adulteration with cer-104 tain plant adulterants (Petrakis, Cagliani, Polissiou, & Consonni, 2015). 105 The potential of applying the NMR-based metabolomic approach in con-106 trolling the saffron quality deterioration has not been examined. 107

The present study aimed to further elucidate the importance of 108 sugars in free or bound forms to monitor the quality deterioration of saf-109 fron upon storage. The importance of other metabolites for the same 110 111 objective was also investigated. Several authentic saffron samples (n = 98) of known storage history after processing were examined 112using ¹H NMR and chemometrics. The long-term benefit of this investi-113gation is to answer the question set in the market of "when" and "why" 114 a saffron sample can no longer be considered "fresh". 115

2. Materials and methods 116

2.1. Saffron samples 117

In total, 98 saffron samples were investigated with ¹H NMR. In par-118 ticular, the saffron of Spanish (n = 21), Greek (n = 51) and Iranian 119

(n = 24) origin belonged to the sample collection of the laboratory of 120 Food Chemistry and Technology (AUTh); the Italian samples (n = 2; 121 PDO products from L'Aquila and from Sardinia) were kindly gifted by 122 the producers. Details of the geographical origin, harvest year, storage 123 conditions and time elapsed until the NMR analysis are provided as Sup- 124 plementary data (Table S-1). The samples that were analysed within the 125 first year after harvesting are considered to be "fresh". 126

2.2.1. Sample preparation

The NMR saffron sample was obtained by stirring (vortex) the deu- 129 terated dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO-d₆, 600 µL) extract of approximately 130 4 mg of ground stigmas for 3 min at room temperature. After 10 min, 131 centrifugation at 12,100 g for 10 min was performed, and 500 µL of 132 the supernatant was used for the NMR analysis. 133

2.2.2. Spectrum acauisition and processing

All ¹H-NMR spectra were obtained using the 11.7 T NMR spectrom- 135 eter (Bruker DMX 500, Bruker Biospin GmbH Rheinstetten, Karlsruhe, 136 Germany), which was equipped with a 5-mm reverse probe with z- 137 gradient. The data were obtained with the following acquisition param- 138 eters: sample temperature 300 K, spectral width 8012 Hz and 32 K data 139 points. The residual water suppression was achieved by applying a 140 presaturation scheme with low-power radiofrequency irradiation for 141 1.2 s. A resolution enhancement function with an exponential multipli- 142 cation of 0.5 Hz for the line broadening was applied; all ¹H NMR spectra 143 were carefully phased and baseline-adjusted with the TOPSPIN3.0® 144 software (Bruker BioSpin GmbH, version 1.3, Rheinstetten, Karlsruhe, 145 Germany). The spectra were referenced to the solvent signal at 146 2.50 ppm and subjected to manual bucketing in the range of 0.4- 147 10.4 ppm according to the resonance assignment. The bucket normal- 148 isation was performed with respect to the total integral value 149 using the ACD/Spec Manager (ACD Labs, version 11, Toronto, Ontario, 150



Fig. 3. From bottom to top: selected regions of DMSO-d₆ extracts of the ¹H-NMR spectra of an Italian saffron that was stored for 1 year, a Spanish saffron stored for 4 years, a Greek saffron stored for 7 years, an Iranian saffron stored for 10 years and a Greek saffron stored for 14 years. (a) Aromatic region with the signals of crocetin esters and (b) aliphatic region with methyl signals of picrocrocin at 1.16, 1.18 and 2.10 ppm, methyl signals of crocetin esters at 1.97 and 2.00 ppm, and methylene protons of fatty acids at 1.23 ppm. The signals are numbered according to the chemical structures provided in the supplementary data (Figure S-1): P, C and F.A. stand for picrocrocin, crocetin esters and fatty acids, respectively.

Please cite this article as: Ordoudi, S.A., et al., ¹H NMR-based metabolomics of saffron reveals markers for its quality deterioration, Food Research International (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.01.021

S.A. Ordoudi et al. / Food Research International xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

127

128

134

4

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Canada), where the exclusion of solvent signal was 2.48–2.53 ppm, and
 the residual water signal was 3.30–3.37 ppm.

153 2.2.3. Statistical methods

SIMCA-P + 13 (Umetrics, Umea, Sweden) was used for the Principal 154Component Analysis (PCA) and Orthogonal Projections to Latent 155Structures-Discriminant Analysis (OPLS-DA) of the NMR data. Data pre-156treatment was performed with "Pareto" scaling. The OPLS is well suited 157158to decompose the systematic variation in the X block into two parts: parallel and orthogonal parts. The former is also known as the predictive 159160 part, which models the joint X–Y correlated variation, whereas the latter is not related to Y, usually defined as "structured noise" with 161unpredictive capability and removed (Trygg & Wold, 2002). The OPLS 162163can be used in discriminant analysis by introducing dummy variables. A useful visualisation graph, which is the so-called S-plot (Wiklund 164 et al., 2008), was used to highlight the role of the variables in the 165 model. T2 and the distance to the model (DModX) tests were applied 166 to check for outliers and evaluate whether the test set samples fall with-167 in the model applicability domain. The model was validated with the 168 permutation test on the Y block to safely overcome randomness or 169 overfitting to the model. 170

171 2.2.4. Training and test set selection

To investigate the predictive capability of the statistical model, a balanced training set and a test set were extracted from the candidate set based on the PCA model.

175 2.3. GC-MS analysis of fatty acid methyl esters

Fatty acid methyl esters, which were prepared using a cold alkali 176transesterification procedure, were analysed on an Agilent 6890A 177178gas chromatograph (Palo Alto, CA, USA), which was equipped with an MSD 5973 mass spectrometer (MS) under the following 179conditions: HP FFA column (15 m \times 200 μ m \times 0.30 μ m); carrier gas, 180helium (1.1 mL/min); oven temperature 50 °C (3 min), increased at 181 15 °C/min to 200 °C (10 min) and at 20 °C/min to 220 °C (6 min); injec-182 tor temperature 230 °C; detector temperature 230 °C. The MS was oper-183 184 ated in the electron-impact mode (MS-EI) at 70 eV to scan the range 35–350 m/z at a scan rate of 2 scans/s. The samples were injected 185 with a split ratio of 1:30. The transfer line and ion source temperature 186 were set at 280 °C and 230 °C, respectively. Identification was based 187 on the comparison of retention times and mass spectra with the stan-188 dard ones. The data were analysed using the MSD ChemStation 189 software. 190

191 **3. Results and discussion**

The ¹H NMR data of all samples were initially explored using the un-192supervised PCA; four components (PC1 = 68.8%, PC2 = 17.1%) explain 19392.8% of the total variance (R^2X), and the prediction goodness parame-194ter is $Q^2 = 90.4\%$. The corresponding score plot in Fig. 1 shows a clear 195196 clustering of the samples into two groups along the first principal com-197ponent according to the storage period. The samples that were stored up to four years (hereafter named Group A) are negatively correlated 198with PC1; conversely, the samples that were stored for 5–14 years 199(hereafter named Group B) positively correlate with PC1. This inherent 200201grouping appears regardless of the sample origin (Table S-1). Then, a two-class OPLS-DA model was performed to better highlight the 202 responsible variables of this clustering. This model results in one 203 predictive and two orthogonal components (Fig. 2a). The corresponding 204 S-plot (Fig. 2b) illustrates the most relevant variables that affect the 205sample differentiation between the two classes. The buckets at 2061.95 ppm because of the methyl groups, 6.78 ppm because of the conju-207gated double bonds of the hydrocarbon skeleton of crocetin esters and 2081.15 and 2.08 ppm because of the methyl groups of picrocrocin charac-209210 terise the clustering in Group A (Fig. 3). In addition to the resonances because of the aglycon moieties of crocetin esters and picrocrocin, con- 211 sidering the previous finding (Ordoudi et al., 2014) about the fate of gly- 212 cosidic bonds, the signals related to B-glucose bound to the 2,6,6- 213 trimethyl-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxaldehyde moiety of picrocrocin 214 (bucket at 4.28 ppm, $|\mathbf{p}| = 0.12$ and $|\mathbf{p}(\text{corr})| = 0.83$) and β -glucose 215 and β -gentiobiose bound to crocetin (bucket at 5.38 ppm, $|\mathbf{p}| = 0.11_{216}$ and |p(corr)| = 0.93) were also identified as the contributors 217 to the clustering of Group A. The anomeric signals of α -glucose and α - 218 gentiobiose in unbound form, which overlap in the bucket at 219 4.86 ppm (|p| = 0.08 and |p(corr)| = 0.82) (Cagliani, et al., 2015), ac- 220 count for the allocation of the samples to Group B. This particular find- 221 ing, which is completely consistent with previous ones based on FT-IR 222 measurements (Ordoudi et al., 2014), provides some evidence for the 223 hydrolytic type of reactions that faster occur in the storage period of 224 0-4 years and significantly decrease the desired colour and taste attri- 225 butes that are abundant in the "fresh" spice. Thus, much lower amounts 226 of crocetin esters and picrocrocin appear in samples that are stored for 227 more than four years (Group B). In the spectra of Group B, the bucket 228 at 1.20 ppm, which was recently assigned to methylene protons of sat- 229 urated and unsaturated fatty acids (Cagliani et al., 2015), accounts for 230 the most differentiation of Group B samples. The GC-MS analysis of 231

Table 1

Prediction of group of storage for the test set samples (n = 46) considering the training set t1.2 (n = 52), which had samples of different geographical origins in Group A (0–4 years of t1.3 storage) and Group B (5–14 years of storage). The classification threshold was 0.6. t1.4

Greece A 0.9 0.1 Greece A 1.0 0.0 Greece A 1.3 -0.3 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Gre	Origin	Group	Y predicted for Group A	Y predicted for Group B	t1.
Greece A 1.0 0.0 Greece A 0.6 0.4 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece	Greece	А	0.9	0.1	Q
Greece A 1.3 -0.3 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 I	Greece	А	1.0	0.0	t1.
Greece A 0.6 0.4 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1	Greece	Α	1.3	-0.3	t1.
Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 <td< td=""><td>Greece</td><td>А</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.4</td><td>t1.</td></td<>	Greece	А	0.6	0.4	t1.
Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 <	Greece	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Ir	Greece	В	-0.1	1.1	t1.
Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran	Greece	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 I	Greece	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 <td< td=""><td>Greece</td><td>В</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.9</td><td>t1.</td></td<>	Greece	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
Greece B 0.1 0.9 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B<	Greece	В	-0.1	1.1	t1.
Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran </td <td>Greece</td> <td>В</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>t1.</td>	Greece	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain <t< td=""><td>Greece</td><td>В</td><td>0.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>t1.</td></t<>	Greece	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spai	Greece	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 <tr< td=""><td>Greece</td><td>В</td><td>-0.1</td><td>1.1</td><td>t1.</td></tr<>	Greece	В	-0.1	1.1	t1.
Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.1 0.9 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.1 Spain	Greece	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain A 1.0 0.1 Spain A	Greece	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 <td< td=""><td>Greece</td><td>В</td><td>0.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>t1.</td></td<>	Greece	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain <td>Greece</td> <td>В</td> <td>-0.1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>t1.</td>	Greece	В	-0.1	1.1	t1.
Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain A 1.0 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A </td <td>Greece</td> <td>В</td> <td>^ 0.0</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>t1.</td>	Greece	В	^ 0.0	1.0	t1.
Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.2 0.8 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain	Greece	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
Greece B -0.1 1.1 Greece B -0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A	Greece	В	-0.1	1.1	t1.
Greece B 0.0 1.0 Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A	Greece	В	- 0.1	1.1	t1.
Greece B -0.2 1.2 Iran A 0.9 0.1 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.2 0.8 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.0 1.0 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain A 1.1 -0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.0 0.0 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain A 1.0 </td <td>Greece</td> <td>В</td> <td>^ 0.0</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>t1.</td>	Greece	В	^ 0.0	1.0	t1.
IranA $^{\circ}$ 0.90.1IranB0.10.9IranB0.10.9IranB0.01.0IranB0.20.8IranB0.01.0IranB0.01.0IranB0.01.0IranB0.01.0IranB0.01.0IranB0.10.9IranB0.10.9SpainA1.1-0.1SpainA0.90.1SpainA0.90.1SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainA1.00.0SpainB-0.21.2IralB-0.20.2	Greece	В	-0.2	1.2	t1.
IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.2 0.8 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 0.7 0.2	Iran	А	^ 0.9	0.1	t1.
IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.2 0.8 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 0.7 0.2	Iran	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.2 0.8 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 0.7 0.2	Iran	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
IranB 0.2 0.8 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 0.7 0.2	Iran	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 0.7 SpainB -0.2 SpainB -0.2	Iran	В	0.2	0.8	t1.
IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainA 0.7 0.2	Iran	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainB -0.2 1.2 Ural 0.7 0.2	Iran	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
IranB 0.0 1.0 IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainB -0.2 1.2 Ural 0.7 0.2	Iran	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
IranB 0.1 0.9 IranB 0.1 0.9 SpainA 1.1 -0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 0.9 0.1 SpainA 1.0 0.0 SpainB -0.2 1.2 Ural 0.7 0.2	Iran	В	0.0	1.0	t1.
Iran B 0.1 0.9 Spain A 1.1 -0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2	Iran	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
Spain A 1.1 -0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.0 0.0 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2	Iran	В	0.1	0.9	t1.
Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2	Spain	А	1.1	-0.1	t1.
Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2	Spain	А	0.9	• 0.1	t1.
Spain A 0.9 0.1 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2	Spain	А	0.9	0.1	t1.
Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2 table 1.0 0.2 1.2	Spain	А	0.9	0.1	t1.
Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2	Spain	А	1.0	0.0	t1.
Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2	Spain	А	1.0	0.0	t1.
Spain A 1.0 0.0 Spain B -0.2 1.2	Spain	A	1.0	0.0	t1
Spain B -0.2 1.2	Spain	A	1.0	0.0	t1.
	Spain	В	-0.2	1.2	t1.
ILdiv A U./ U.3	Italy	Ā	- 0.7	0.3	t1.
Italy A 1.1 -0.1	Italy	A	1.1	-0.1	t1.

Please cite this article as: Ordoudi, S.A., et al., ¹H NMR-based metabolomics of saffron reveals markers for its quality deterioration, *Food Research International* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.01.021

t1.1

fatty acid methyl esters of randomly selected fresh and aged saffron 232 233 shows that the major constituents are palmitic (16:0), oleic and its isomers (18:1, 9c- and 11c-), linoleic (18:2) and linolenic (18:3) acids. The 234 235importance of fatty acids as saffron guality deterioration markers has not been evaluated so far, most likely because of the relatively low 236lipid content of the spice (Carmona et al., 2006). The ¹H NMR spectral 237analysis uses their presence as markers to control the saffron quality de-238terioration when metabolic profiling approaches are employed. Saffron 239240samples that were stored at temperatures below 20 °C under controlled 241aw conditions were found to be well preserved up to four years. This re-242sult is fully consistent with literature findings, which were discussed in the Introduction (Alonso et al., 1993; Bolandi & Ghoddusi, 2006; 243Morimoto et al., 1994; Raina et al., 1996; Tsimidou & Biliaderis, 1997). 244As noted by Tsimidou and Biliaderis (1997), "unlike the typical effect 245of a_w on lipid oxidation kinetics, where the rate increases below the 246 monolayer a_w value (i.e., in the dry state), as well as above 0.3–0.4 a_w , 247 oxidative degradation of saffron pigments increases with increasing 248 $a_{\rm w}$, within the range of 0.11–0.64 $a_{\rm w}$. Water appears to have a 249plasticising effect, lowering the Tg (glass transition temperature) of 250the material and thereby enhancing the mobility of the reactants." 251Moreover, according to Raina et al. (1996), during extended storage 252(e.g., 36 months), the processing conditions have weaker effects than 253254 those of the natural degradation of metabolites in the plant cells. As a

result, it is more difficult to differentiate the origin of old harvest samples than recent ones because of the biochemical changes and physical 256 damage of the tissues (Bonazzi & Dumoulin, 2011). The representative 257 ¹H NMR spectra of saffron of different origins and storage periods are 258 shown in Fig. 3. A combination of FT-IR and NMR spectral data and multivariate analysis was recently used to assess the metabolic differences 260 in processed plant material such as conventional and genetically modified potatoes (Kim et al., 2009). In that case, primary metabolites such as 262 amino acids and carbohydrates were proposed as the markers of quality 263 deterioration after only one week of storage. 264

To check the predictive capability of the OPLS-DA model, balanced 265 training and test sets were selected based on the PCA score plot: in par-266 ticular, 52 samples (17 from Group A and 35 from Group B) and 46 sam-267 ples (15 from Group A and 31 from Group B) were selected. The new 268 two-class OPLS-DA model, which was obtained with the training set 269 samples, resulted in one predictive and three orthogonal components 270 $(R^2X = 92.2\%, R^2Y = 96.0\% \text{ and } Q_2^2 = 94.0\%)$. The permutation test 271 that was performed on the corresponding PLS-DA validated the 272 model. The classification list in Table 1 shows the predictive perfor-273 mance of the model: all test samples were correctly classified when 274 0.6 was used as the classification threshold. It was noticed that although 275 there was no Italian sample in the training set, they were properly clas-276 sified according to their age.



Fig. 4. a–c. Evolution of the integral for the bucket at (a) 5.38 ppm, which refers to the anomeric proton of β-glucose and β-gentiobiose (ring A) bound to crocetin, (b) 4.28 ppm, which refers to the anomeric proton of β-glucose and α-gentiobiose (ring A) in free form. The integrals were scaled to the solvent signal. The samples are distributed according to the sequence reported in the supplementary data (Table S-1).

Please cite this article as: Ordoudi, S.A., et al., ¹H NMR-based metabolomics of saffron reveals markers for its quality deterioration, *Food Research International* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.01.021

6

S.A. Ordoudi et al. / Food Research Int

Ordoudi et al. (2014) proposed that the IR band at 1028 cm^{-1} , which 278 279 is associated with the presence of glucose moieties and the band in the region of 1175–1157 cm⁻¹ that refers to the breakage of glycosidic 280 281bonds, are useful tools to monitor the effects of storage on the saffron quality. In the present study, further insight towards the nature and 282fate of glycosidic bonds was gained. In particular, the potential of ¹H 283NMR to resolve glucose moieties that are bound to crocetin from those 284in picrocrocin allows one to monitor the changes in content of the two 285286most abundant saccharides (gentiobiose and glucose) in bound and un-287 bound forms for all samples. Fig. 4a shows the evolution of the integral 288value for the bucket at 5.38 ppm concerning both anomeric proton of β glucose and β -gentiobiose bound to crocetin. The average value of this 289integral calculated for the samples of Group B is approximately 45% 290291 lower than that of the samples of Group A. The same trend was observed for the β -glucose moiety of picrocrocin, where the average value of the 292 bucket integral at 4.28 ppm is approximately 52% lower than that in 293 Group A samples (Fig. 4b). Conversely, the glucose and gentiobiose con-294 tents in the unbound state (bucket at 4.86 ppm referred to the α -295isoform for both saccharides), which remain nearly constant for the 296samples that were stored until four years, clearly increase after this pe-297riod by approximately 44% (Fig. 4c). 298

2994. Conclusions

The results show that ¹H NMR is a potent tool to control the saffron 300 quality deterioration and presents specific advantages over FT-IR. Using 301 the NMR-based metabolomic approach, we gained insights to the struc-302 303 tural changes in crocetin esters and picrocrocin and differentiated the sugars that were bound to each of them. Moreover, the signals assigned 304 to fatty acids became prominent in the spectra of old saffron. These 305 compounds are not usually examined in the quality control studies of 306 307 this spice because of their notably low concentration in this matrix. 308 The OPLS-DA of data shows that bound or free forms of glucose and gentiobiose and fatty acids can be used as markers of the quality deteri-309 oration of this precious commodity. The answer to the question of 310 "when" and "why" a saffron sample can no longer be considered 311 312 "fresh" is strongly supported by our findings. The latter is in line with 313 those of model kinetic studies which suggest that the saffron that was produced under optimum processing conditions and appropriately 314 stored may retain its valuable characteristics for more than one year 315 and up to four years. 316

Acknowledgments 317

European Science Foundation (ESF) through the COST Action 318 FA1101 (Saffron-OMICS: Omics technologies for crop improvement, 319 320 traceability, determination of authenticity, adulteration and origin in saffron, http://www.saffronomics.org) is acknowledged for strengthen-321 ing the collaboration between the groups involved in this work through 322 the Short Term Scientific Missions (STSM) visits of S. Ordoudi, E. Naziri 323 and S. Lalou from AUTh to ISMAC. The "Scientific Foundation A. De 324 325Marco" is acknowledged for funding L.R. Cagliani.

Appendix A. Supplementary data 326

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx. 327doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.01.021. 328

References 329

330 Alonso, G. L., Varon, R., Salinas, M. R., & Navarro, F. (1993), Auto-oxidation of crocin and 331 picrocrocin in saffron under different storage conditions. Bollettino Chimico 332 Farmaceutico, 132, 116-120.

ternational xxx (2015) xxx-xxx			
Alonso-Salces, R. M., Moreno-Rojas, J. M., Holland, M. V., Reniero, F., Guillou, C., &	333		
Heberger, K. (2010). Virgin olive oil authentication by multivariate analyses of ¹ H-	334		
NMR fingerprints and delta ¹³ C and delta ² H data. Journal of Agricultural and Food	335		
Chemistry, 58, 5586–5596.	336		
Assimiadis, M. K., Tarantilis, P. A., & Polissiou, M. G. (1998). UV-Vis, FT-Raman and ¹ H-			
NMR spectroscopies of cis-trans carotenoids from saffron (Crocus sativus L.). Applied	338		
Spectroscopy, 52, 519–522.	339		
Bathaie, S. Z., & Mousavi, S. Z. (2010). New applications and mechanisms of action of saf-	340		
fron and its important ingredients. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 50,	341		
761–786.	342		
Bolandi, M., & Ghoddusi, H. B. (2006). Flavour and colour changes during processing and	343		
storage of saffron (Crocussativus L.). Developments in Food Science, 43, 323–326.	344		
Bonazzi, C., & Dumoulin, E. (2011). In E. Tsotsas, & A. S. Mujumbar (Eds.), <i>Quality changes</i>	345		
in food materials as influenced by drying processes in modern drying technology, Vol. 3.	346		
(pp. 1–20). Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co, KgaA.	347		
Cagliani, L. R., Culeddu, N., Chessa, M., & Consonni, R. (2015). NMR investigations for qual-			
ity assessment of Italian PDO saffron (Crocus sativus L.). Food Control, 50, 342–348.	349		
Cagliani, L. R., Pellegrino, G., Giugno, G., & Consonni, R. (2013). Quantification of Coffee	350		
arabica and Coffee canephora var. robusta in roasted and ground coffee blends.	351		
Idianta, 169–173.	352		
(1007) Createreagnic characterization of erroratin derivatives from Createreacting and	303		
(1997). Spectroscopic characterization of crocetin derivatives from <i>Crocus sativus</i> and	354		
Gardenia Jashinolaes, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 45, 1055–1061.	300 256		
Color, tacta and aromain S. J. Editorial Parnarzo (Ed.)	330 257		
Construction of the and a construction of the	357		
Advances in Food and Nutrition Research 67 1-24	350		
Conconni D. 9. Corliani I. D. (2010). Nuclear magnetic reconance and chemometrics to	260		

Consonni, R., & Cagliani, L. R. (2010). Nuclear magnetic resonance and chemometrics to 360 assess geographical origin and quality of traditional food products. Advances in Food 361 and Nutrition Research, 59, 87-165. 362

- ISO (2011). International Standard ISO 3632-1: Saffron(Crocus sativusL.) specification. Gene-363 va: The International Organization for Standardization. 364
- Kim, H. S., Kim, S. W., Park, Y. S., Kwon, S. Y., Liu, Y. R., Joung, H., et al. (2009). Metabolic 365 profiles of genetically modified potatoes using a combination of metabolite finger- 366 printing and multivariate analysis. Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering, 14, 367 738-747 368
- Maggi, L., Carmona, M., Zalacain, A., Kanakis, C. D., Anastasaki, E., Tarantilis, P. A., et al. 369 (2010). Changes in saffron volatile profile according to its storage time. Food 370 Research International, 43, 1329-1334 371
- Mannina, L., Sobolev, A. P., & Capitani, D. (2012). Applications of NMR metabolomicsto the 372study of foodstuffs: truffle, kiwifruit, lettuce, and sea bass. Electrophoresis, 33, 373 2290-2313. 374
- Morimoto, S., Umezaki, Y., Shoyama, Y., Saito, H., Nishi, K., & Irino, N. (1994). Post-harvest 375 degradation of carotenoid glucose esters in saffron. Planta Medica, 60, 438-440. 376
- Ordoudi, S. A., de los Mozos Pascual, M., & Tsimidou, M. Z. (2014). On the quality control 377 of traded saffron by means of transmission Fourier-transform mid-infrared (FT-MIR) 378 spectroscopy and chemometrics. Food Chemistry, 150, 414-421. 379
- Ordoudi, S. A., & Tsimidou, M. Z. (2004). Production practices and quality assessment of 380 food crops. In R. Dris, & S. M. Jain (Eds.), Saffron Quality: Effect of agricultural practices, 381 processing and storage (pp. 209-260). Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publ. 382 Dordrecht. 383
- Petrakis, E. A., Cagliani, L. R., Polissiou, M. G., & Consonni, R. (2015). Evaluation of saffron 384(Crocus sativus L.) adulteration with plant adulterants by ¹H NMR metabolite finger-385printing. Food Chemistry, 173, 890-896. 386

Pfister, S., Meyer, P., Steck, A., & Pfander, H. (1996). Isolation and structure elucidation of 387 carotenoid-glycosyl esters in Gardenia fruits (Gardenia jasminoides Ellis) and saffron 388 (Crocus sativus Linnaeus). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 44, 2612-2615. 389

Raina, B. L., Agarwal, S. G., Bhatia, A. K., & Gaur, G. S. (1996). Changes in pigments and vol-390 atiles of saffron (Crocus sativus L.) during processing and storage. Journal of the Science 391 of Food and Agriculture, 71, 27-32. 392

- Spraul, M., Schutz, B., Rinke, P., Koswig, S., Humpfer, E., Schafer, H., et al. (2009). NMR-393 based multi parametric quality control of fruit juices: SGF profiling. Nutrients, 1, 394 148-155. 395
- Straubinger, M., Jezussek, M., Waibel, R., & Winterhalter, P. (1997). Novel glycosidic con-396 stituents from saffron. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 45, 1678-1681. 397
- Trygg, J., & Wold, S. (2002). Orthogonal projections to latent structures (O-PLS). Journal of 398 399
- Chemometrics, 16, 119-128. Tsimidou, M., & Biliaderis, C. G. (1997). Kinetic-studies of saffron (Crocus sativus L.) qual-400
- ity deterioration. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 45, 2890-2898. 401 Wiklund, S., Johansson, E., Sjöström, L., Mellerowicz, E. J., Edlund, U., Shockcor, J. P., et al. 402
- (2008). Visualization of GC/TOF-MS-based metabolomics data for identification of 403 biochemically interesting compounds using OPLS class models. Analytical Chemistry, 40480, 115-122. 405
- Wishart, D. S. (2008). Metabolomics: Applications to food science and nutrition research. 406 Trends in Food Science & Technology, 19, 482-493. 407

Yilmaz, A., Nyberg, N. T., Molgaard, P., Asili, J., & Jaroszewsk, J. W. (2010). ¹H NMR meta-408 bolic fingerprinting of saffron extracts. Metabolomics, 6, 511-517. 409