



# The antioxidant drug edaravone binds to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) and promotes the downstream signaling pathway activation

Caterina Veroni<sup>1\*</sup>, Stefania Olla<sup>2\*</sup>, Maria Stefania Brignone<sup>1</sup>, Chiara Siguri<sup>2</sup>, Alessia Formato<sup>3</sup>, Manuela Marra<sup>4</sup>, Rosa Manzoli<sup>5</sup>, Maria Carla Macario<sup>5,6</sup>, Elena Ambrosini<sup>1</sup>, Enrico Moro<sup>5</sup><sup>§</sup> and Cristina Agresti<sup>1§</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy. caterina.veroni@iss.it;	7
mariastefania.brignone@iss.it; elena.ambrosini@iss.it; cristina.agresti@iss.it	8
<sup>2</sup> Institute for Genetic and Biomedical Research (IRGB), The National Research Council (CNR), Monserrato,	9
Cagliari, Italy. stefania.olla@irgb.cnr.it; chiara.siguri@irgb.cnr.it	10
<sup>3</sup> Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, IBBC-CNR, Campus Adriano Buzzati Traverso, Monterotondo	11
Scalo, Rome, Italy. aformato92@gmail.com	12
<sup>4</sup> Core Facilities Technical-Scientific Service, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy. manuela.marra@iss.it	13
<sup>5</sup> Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy. rosa.manzoli@phd.unipd.it;	14
mariacarla.macario@studenti.unipd.it; enrico.moro.1@unipd.it	15
<sup>6</sup> Department of Biology, University of Padova, Padova, Italy. mariacarla.macario@studenti.unipd.it	16
* Shared first authorship.	17
* Correspondence: CA cristina.agresti@iss.it, +390649903539; EM enrico.moro.1@unipd.it,	18
+390498276341	19

Abstract: A considerable effort has been spent in the past decades to develop targeted therapies 20 for the treatment of demyelinating diseases, such as multiple sclerosis (MS). Among drugs with 21 free radical scavenging activity and oligodendrocyte protecting effects, Edaravone (Radicava) 22 has recently received increasing attention being able to enhance remyelination in experimental 23 in vitro and in vivo disease models. While its beneficial effects are highly supported by 24 experimental evidence, there is currently paucity of information regarding its mechanism of 25 action and main molecular targets. By using high-throughput RNA-seq and biochemical 26 experiments in murine oligodendrocyte progenitors and SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells 27 combined with molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulation, we here provide 28 evidence that Edaravone triggers the activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) 29 signaling by eliciting AHR nuclear translocation and the transcriptional-mediated induction of 30 key cytoprotective gene expression. We also show that an Edaravone-dependent AHR signaling 31 transduction occurs in the zebrafish experimental model, associated with a downstream 32 upregulation of the NRF2 signaling pathway. We finally demonstrate that its rapid 33 cytoprotective and antioxidant actions boost in vivo increased expression of the promyelinating 34 Olig2 transgene. We therefore shed light on a still undescribed potential mechanism of action 35 for this drug, providing further support to its therapeutic potential in the context of debilitating 36 demyelinating conditions. 37

Keywords: edaravone; aryl hydrocarbon receptor; oligodendrocyte progenitors; zebrafish

38 39

40

Lastname

Received: date Revised: date

Accepted: date

Published: date

**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

Citation: To be added by editorial

staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname

Biomolecules 2024, 14, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx





1

2

3

4

5

#### 1. Introduction

The drug Edaravone (3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one - EDA) is a small 42 molecule with a high lipid solubility and permeability across the blood-brain barrier 43 that has shown promising neuroprotective activity, particularly in the context of 44 neurological disorders characterized by oxidative stress and neuroinflammation. It 45 was initially approved for the management of ischemic stroke in Japan and later 46 extended to the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Japan, USA, Canada and 47 Switzerland [1]. EDA has been investigated as a potential treatment in several animal 48 models of central nervous system (CNS) disorders, like multiple sclerosis (MS) [2, 3], 49 Parkinson's disease [4, 5], Alzheimer's disease [6] and traumatic brain injury [7]. In 50 addition, a proof-of-concept study evaluating the protective effect of EDA in patients 51 with early-stage Alzheimer's disease is ongoing [8]. 52

The clinical efficacy of EDA was primarily linked to its potent scavenging activity 53 against reactive oxygen species (ROS) [9], thus reducing oxidative tissue damage that 54 contributes to the initiation and progression of several neurodegenerative diseases 55 [10]. Subsequently, it was shown that EDA's neuroprotective activity is also driven by 56 the induction of various intracellular signaling pathways. Among these, EDA has been 57 demonstrated to activate the nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (NRF2) [2, 11-58 13], which regulates the expression of genes encoding phase II detoxification enzymes, 59 contributing to the maintenance of ROS homeostasis. Evidence also shows that EDA 60 exerts an inhibitory effect on the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines by preventing 61 NFκB activation [14]. The neuroprotective activity of EDA has also been extensively 62 linked to the activation of the BDNF-TrkB signaling pathway [15-18], which 63 contributes to neuronal survival, growth and repair. 64

Besides its well-described neuroprotective properties, a significant amount of data provided by us and other groups has shown EDA's ability to promote remyelination, 66 a neuroprotective, regenerative process aimed at restoring neuronal functions in 67 demyelinating diseases, like MS. In particular, these findings demonstrate that EDA 68 promotes the differentiation of oligodendrocytes, the myelin-forming cells of the CNS, 69 and enhances the rate of remyelination in various *in vitro* and *in vivo* models of brain 70 damage [3, 19, 20], also involving the mTORC1 signaling pathway [21]. 71

The neuroprotective properties of EDA appear to be mediated through the 72 activation of various intracellular signaling pathways, in line with the drug's 73 multifunctional potential. However, there is currently no definitive evidence of a direct 74 interaction between EDA and any of its potential targets. Identifying the biological 75 targets of EDA can contribute to the development of more effective regenerative 76 interventions and provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms of 77 neurodegenerative diseases. 78

Many different technologies from a wide range of interdisciplinary fields are 79 available to identify molecular targets of repurposed drugs. Through a computational 80 approach, we tried to identify a potential common target/pathway that could explain 81 the efficacy of various remyelinating drugs, including EDA [19]. Our recent findings 82 indicated that the molecular structure of EDA is not suitable for target identification 83 approaches involving the synthesis of tagged chemical derivatives [22]. In the present 84 study, we employed a transcriptomics-guided drug target discovery strategy, 85 analyzing the expression levels of genes differentially regulated in primary 86 oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPCs) exposed or not to EDA, using gene expression 87 data to identify drug-induced protein networks. We found that several transcripts 88 related to the activation of the transcription factor aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) 89 were upregulated in OPCs treated with EDA. The next step involved the evaluation of 90 EDA as a novel AHR agonist by docking and molecular dynamics simulations using 91 an AHR 3D structure, the analysis of AHR nuclear translocation, and AHR target gene 92 expression in the human neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y and zebrafish larvae. 93

94

95

107

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Animals

CD1 Swiss mice were purchased from Harlan Laboratories (San Pietro Al 96 Natisone, Udine, Italy). The experimental procedures related to the use of CD1 Swiss 97 mice for the establishment of cell cultures were conducted in accordance with Council 98 Directive 86/609/EC and Decree 116/92. (Authorization n. 87/2017-PR - 09/23/2018 99 issued by the Service for Biotechnology and Animal Welfare of the "Istituto Superiore 100 di Sanità" and by the Italian Ministry of Health. Zebrafish were maintained at 28°C in 101 5 l tanks with fish water at neutral pH, according to standard procedures 102 (http://ZFIN.org). All procedures involving zebrafish embryos and larvae were 103 performed according to the Italian Ministry of Health and the Local Institutional 104 Review Board of the University of Padova (OPBA) (protocol code 312/2022-PR of 105 05/15/2022). 106

# 2.2. Purified OPC cultures

OPCs were obtained from neonatal mouse primary mixed glial cultures, as 108 previously described [19, 23]. In brief, the forebrains of newborn CD1 Swiss mice were 109 carefully freed of meninges, chopped into 0.2-mm sections and dissociated by mild 110 trypsinization procedure and gentle mechanical disruption with a Pasteur pipette. 111 Cells were seeded into poly-L-lysine (10 µg/ml, Merck/Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, 112 Germany) coated 60 mm diameter plastic cultured dishes (NUNC, Thermo Fisher 113 Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, U.S.) at the density of 1.2×10<sup>5</sup> cells/cm<sup>2</sup> and grown 114 at 37°C in a 91.5% air-8.5% CO2 humidified atmosphere in Dulbecco's modified eagle 115 medium (DMEM) containing 10% Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 2 mM glutamine, 116 penicillin (50  $\mu$ g/ml) and streptomycin (50  $\mu$ g/ml), replacing fresh medium after 1 DIV 117 and every 2-3 days (media, sera and reagents by GIBCO, Thermo Fisher Scientific). 118 After 8-10 days, OPCs were detached from the astroglia layer by mechanical 119 dissociation and, to minimize contamination by microglial cells, the detached cell 120 suspension was incubated for 1 hour at 37°C in a 175 cm<sup>2</sup> culture flask. The non-121 adhering cells were seeded in the same medium as above at the density of  $1 \times 10^5$ 122 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> into poly-L-lysine-coated dishes (96 well plates or in 35mm diameter plastic 123 culture dishes in the case of MTT test and real-time RT-PCR assay, respectively). Two 124 hours (h) after plating, the culture medium was replaced with defined serum-free 125 DMEM without thyroid hormones [23]. Macrophage/microglia contamination 126 accounted for less than 1% of total cells, as assessed by immunostaining with the 127 monoclonal antibody (mAb) CD11b (AbD Serotech, Oxford, UK); glial fibrillary acid 128 protein-positive astrocytes were virtually absent and the majority of cells (>99%) 129 belonged to the oligodendrocyte lineage. 130

#### 2.3. Transcriptome analysis

Transcriptome analysis was performed at the Next Generation Sequencing area of 132 the Core Facilities Technical-Scientific Service, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy. 133 Primary OPCs treated with EDA (Merck/Sigma-Aldrich) 100 µM (n=4) or vehicle alone 134 (n=4) for 16 h were used as treated and control groups, respectively. Cells were 135 obtained from 4 independent preparations. Targeted transcriptome analysis has been 136 performed using the Ion AmpliSeq™ Transcriptome Mouse Gene Expression Kit 137 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), a targeted gene quantification approach that allows 138 simultaneous gene expression measurement of more than 20,000 mouse RefSeq genes 139 in a single assay. For library preparation, a barcoded cDNA library is first generated 140 with SuperScript® VILO<sup>TM</sup> (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) cDNA Synthesis kit 141 from 10ng of total RNA. Then cDNA is amplified using Ion AmpliSeq<sup>™</sup> technology to 142 accurately maintain expression levels of all targeted genes. Amplified cDNA Libraries 143 were evaluated for quality and quantified using a Bioanalyzer High sensitivity chip 144

(Agilent, Santa Clara, U.S.). Libraries were then diluted to 100pM and pooled equally, 145 with eight individual samples per pool. Pooled libraries were amplified using 146 emulsion PCR on Ion Torrent OneTouch2 instruments (OT2) and enriched following 147 the manufacturer's instructions. Templated libraries were then sequenced on the Ion 148 GeneStudio<sup>™</sup> S5 System. AmpliSeq sequencing data were analyzed using the Torrent 149 Suite software and were normalized using reads per million (RPM). Both Differential 150 Gene Expression Analysis and Principal Component Analysis were performed using 151 the Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Genes showing 152 differential regulation of  $\pm$  1.5 and a p-value < 0.05 (with ANOVA test) in treated cells 153 compared to control cells were considered for further analysis. 154

#### 2.4. RNA extraction and quantitative (q)PCR

Total RNA was extracted by OPCs, SH-SY5Y cells and zebrafish larvae using a 156 RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Redwood City, CA, U.S.) including a DNase digestion step 157 to eliminate genomic DNA. Five hundred nanograms of RNA were then reverse 158 transcribed using the High Capacity Reverse Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher 159 Scientific). Gene expression analysis has been performed by qPCR using the ABI 160 PRISM 7500 System (Applied Biosystem, Thermo Fisher Scientific), using the TaqMan 161 Gene ExpressionMaster Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and inventoried FAM-labeled 162 gene expression assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific) listed in Table S1 GAPDH was used 163 as a housekeeping gene in all experimental systems (OPCs, SH-SY5Y cells, zebrafish 164 larvae). Gene expression levels were calculated using the formula  $2^{-\Delta Ct}$  or  $2^{-\Delta ACt}$ , where 165  $\Delta$ Ct is the difference in cycle threshold between target cDNA and housekeeping cDNA 166 and  $\Delta\Delta Ct$  is the difference between  $\Delta Ct$  of treated cells/larvae and  $\Delta Ct$  of untreated 167 samples. 168

#### 2.5. Preparation of proteins for docking

The X-ray crystallographic structures of AHR were retrieved from the protein 170 data bank (<u>https://www.rcsb.org/</u>), with accession ID 7ZUB [24]. The protein 171 preparation wizard (Schrödinger Suite Release 2022-3) was used to prepare the 172 protein. The bond orders were assigned, and possible missing hydrogen atoms in the 173 3D structure were added. Epik (Schrödinger Suite Release 2022-3) was employed to 174 generate the heteroatoms' states at pH  $7,4 \pm 2,0$ . Full energetic optimization was 175 performed in the final refinement step using the OPLS4 force field and the RMSD of 176 heavy atoms was set at 0.3 Å [25]. 177

#### 2.6. Preparation of ligands for docking

The structures of all ligands were prepared with LigPrep (Schrödinger Suite 179 Release 2022-3) using the OPLS4 force field, generating the possible ionization states at  $pH 7.0 \pm 2.0$ , and retaining the specified chirality. 181

#### 2.7. Docking studies

The 3D structure includes AHR-HSP90-XAP2, with the ligand indirubin (INDI) 183 bound to the PSA-B domain of AHR [24]. Docking was performed on the entire protein 184 and focused on the INDI binding site. The Receptor Grid Generator was employed to 185 generate suitable grids for the docking with Glide [26, 27]. Two grids were generated, 186 one encompassing the entire protein domain, while the other, with more restricted 187 dimensions of 46 × 46 × 46 Å, utilized the INDI center in the domain as its grid center 188 and the chosen force field was OPLS\_2005 [28]. Glide-XP (Schrödinger Suite Release 189 2022-3) [26, 27, 29] was chosen as one of the docking protocols. Three poses per ligand 190 were kept during the post-docking minimization using a threshold of 0.50 kcal/mol 191 and, also in this case, the OPLS\_2005 was used as the force field. The results from 192 docking were then submitted to MM-GBSA (Molecular Mechanics with Generalized 193

155

169

178

Born and Surface Area solvation) [30] using VSGB as the solvation model and OPLS4 194 as the force field [31]. Using AutoDock software [32], Gasteiger charges [33] were 195 assigned to the protein structure and again two grids were generated with AutoGrid 196 [34]. The established dimensions were  $50 \times 50 \times 50$  Å entered within the binding site 197 for the focused one, while the other encompassed the entire protein (blind). Docking 198 experiments were performed using the genetic algorithm [35, 36] with 250 trials and a 199 population of 500 individuals. The maximum number of generations and evaluations 200 was set to 10.000.000 and 25.000.000, respectively. The other parameters were kept as 201 defaults. 202

#### 2.8. Molecular Dynamics

Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations were performed using Desmond 204 (Schrödinger Suite Release 2022-3) [37] and the TIP3P solvent model [38] was 205 employed. The ligand-receptor complex was placed in an orthorhombic water box, 206 which extended 10.0 Å, and the box volumes were minimized and neutralized by 207 adding ions (Na+ or Cl-). The OPLS4 force field was chosen. MD simulations were 208 conducted for a duration of 500 ns in the NPT ensemble, with the maintenance of a 209 constant temperature (300.0 K) using the Nosé-Hoover thermostat [39], while the 210 Martyna-Tobias-Klein barostat method [40] was used for the pressure (1.01325 bar). 211 Generated trajectories were subjected to clustering based on RMSD using 212 Schrödinger's trj\_cluster.py script [41] and subsequently analyzed through MMGBSA 213 analysis using the thermal mmgbsa.py script integrated within Desmond [37]. 214

#### 2.9. SH-SY5Y cell cultures and treatments

The SH-SY5Y cell line was kindly provided by Dr. Cinzia Mallozzi (ISS, Rome 216 Italy) [42], and maintained in culture in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium 217 (DMEM)/nutrient mixture F-12 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), supplemented with 218 10% FBS (GIBCO Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY), 1% Glutamine, 1%, Penicillin-219 Streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 37° C in a humidified incubator with 220 5% CO2. To study AHR nuclear translocation, cells were plated in 100 mm diameter 221 dishes (1x10<sup>6</sup> cells), maintained in culture conditions for 48 h, and stimulated for 222 different time lengths [15 minutes (min), 30 min, 2 h, 6 h] with 100 µM of EDA 223 (Merk/Sigma-Aldrich) or INDI, (Merck/Sigma-Aldrich) 1µM. For CYP1a1 and NRF2 224 protein expression analysis, cells were treated for 24 h with EDA 100  $\mu$ M or INDI 1 $\mu$ M. 225 To inhibit the AHR nuclear translocation, cells were treated with  $1\mu$ M AHR antagonist 226 III GNF351 (Merck/Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min before the addition of EDA or vehicle 227 alone (DMSO). 228

#### 2.10. Protein extract preparation and western blotting

Cytosolic and nuclear protein extracts from SH-SY5Y cell line untreated or treated 230 for AHR nuclear translocation or AHR inhibition experiments were obtained using a 231 Nuclear extraction kit (#ab113474; Abcam), as outlined in the manufacturer's protocol. 232 Briefly, cell samples were washed in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and 233 centrifuged for 5 min at 1,000 rpm. Then, cells were resuspended in an extraction buffer 234 on ice for 10 min and centrifuged for 1 min at 12,000 rpm. After centrifugation, the 235 cytosolic and nuclear fractions were collected and stored at -80°C for western blot 236 analysis. Quantification of protein loading content was carried out using a 237 bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal 238 amounts of proteins (40 µg) were resolved on SDS-PAGE using gradient (4-12%) pre-239 casted gels (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific) and transferred onto a nitrocellulose 240 membrane using the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). 241 Nitrocellulose membranes were blotted overnight (ON) at 4°C using anti-AHR mAb 242 (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-CYP1A1 mAb (1:200, Santa Cruz 243

203

215

Biotechnology), anti-NRF2 mAb 1:500 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-GAPDH mAb 244 (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-Actin mAb (1:2000, Santa Cruz 245 Biotechnology). anti-Lamin B1 mAb (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). After 246 washings in Tris-buffered saline (TBS), membranes were incubated with horseradish 247 peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse Ab (1:5000; BioRad Laboratories) for 1 h at RT. 248 Immunoreactive bands were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence 249 reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and exposed on a BioRad ChemiDoc XRS system. 250 Densitometric analyses of Western Blot experiments were performed using NIH 251 ImageJ software (https://imagej.net/ij/) or BioRad ChemiDoc XRS system. 252

#### 2.11. Drug treatments on fish

Wild-type and transgenic embryos were subjected to drug exposure at 8 hours 254 post-fertilization (hpf). The chorion of each single embryo was manually perforated 255 with a small needle before exposure to each treatment. EDA and GNF351 were 256 dissolved in fish water at the reported concentrations, changing the medium after 24 h 257 in the two-day treatments. After the treatments, larvae were euthanized with an 258 overdose of Tricaine and their trunks were manually dissected using needles. After 259 several washes in PBS, pooled trunk tissues were solubilized in Tissue Extraction 260 Buffer (Thermofisher, Italy) added with Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitors 261 (Thermofisher, Italy). For fish transiently expressing the XRE-reporter transgene we 262 first removed the luciferase coding sequence from the PXRE3G5-FL plasmid [43] and 263 cloned the EGFP coding sequence by HindIII and EcoRI digestion and ligation. We 264 next microinjected one-cell stage embryos with 500 pg/embryo and proceeded with the 265 treatment as described above. 266

#### 2.12. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistics 26.0 software. A 268 two-way ANOVA test for repeated measures was applied for comparisons over time, 269 while paired Student's t-test was used for comparisons between two groups. Results 270 are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). p values of less than 0.05 271 were considered statistically significant and are expressed as \* for p < 0.05, \*\* for p < 272 0.01 and \*\*\* for p < 0.001. 273

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Edaravone increases the expression of AHR-related target genes in primary mouse OPCs 275

Targeted transcriptome analysis was performed to analyze genes and pathways 276 that were differentially regulated in primary OPCs with or without EDA treatment 277 (100  $\mu$ M, 14 h). The incubation period was chosen based on the results obtained in 278 preliminary experiments, which showed that shorter incubation times (2-8 h) were not 279 sufficient to induce a substantial modulation of gene expression. As shown in Figure 280 1, 1132 genes were significantly modulated by EDA treatment compared to control 281 samples (ANOVA, p<0.05). 282

253

267



Figure 1. Effect of EDA treatment on OPC transcriptome. Purified OPCs were incubated with284EDA 100  $\mu$ M or vehicle alone (DMSO) for 14h. RNA was extracted, reverse transcribed and285subjected to targeted transcriptome analysis. Treatment with EDA regulated the expression of286249 genes ranging in ± 1.5 fold-change with a P-value < 0.05. The Volcano plot shows statistical</td>287significance (P-value) versus magnitude of change (fold change); red and green dots represent288the up- and down-regulated genes, respectively. The image was edited using BioRender.com.289

Among these, 249 genes with a fold change ranging between  $\pm$  1.5-fold of the mean 290 reads assigned per million mapped reads (RPM) values between EDA-treated and 291 control samples were selected for further analysis. Raw transcriptomics data are 292 supplied as supplementary material (Spreadsheet S1). Gene function was assigned 293 using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, 294 NIH) [http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/]. Table 1 displays the functional classification of 295 the significantly up-regulated (n=57) and down-regulated (n=192) genes in biological 296 pathways. 297

Tab	le 1. Biological	l pathways mo	st significantly r	nodulated by	Edaravone treatment in OPCs.	298

	Category	Term	Count	%	p-value
UP	REACTOME_PATHWAY	Cytochrome P450 - arranged by substrate type	3	5,5	1,1E-2
-REGL	REACTOME_PATHWAY	Synthesis of epoxy (EET) and dihydroxyeicosatrienoic acids (DHET)	2	3,6	1,5E-2
JLATE	REACTOME_PATHWAY	Synthesis of (16-20)-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acids (HETE)	2	3,6	1,8E-2
Ð	REACTOME_PATHWAY	Phase I - Functionalization of compounds	3	5,5	2,3E-2
H	KEGG_PATHWAY	Phagosome	5	2,9	4,7E-2
D	KEGG_PATHWAY	PI3K-Akt signaling pathway	7	4,1	5 E-2
UL.	REACTOME_PATHWAY	Mitotic Prometaphase	8	4,7	1,3E-3
n- Ated	REACTOME_PATHWAY	Metabolism of water-soluble vitamins and cofactors	5	2,9	1,4E-2
	REACTOME_PATHWAY	Metabolism of vitamins and cofactors	6	3,5	1,5E-2

REACTOME_PATHWAY	Nucleotide catabolism	3	1,7	3,8E-2
REACTOME_PATHWAY	Organelle biogenesis and maintenance	6	3,5	4,5E-2
REACTOME_PATHWAY	M Phase	8	4,7	4,9E-2
WIKIPATHWAYS	Translation factors	4	2,3	6,4E-3
WIKIPATHWAYS	Focal adhesion: PI3K-Akt-mTOR signaling pathway	7	4,1	3,7E-2

The analysis revealed that EDA treatment significantly enhanced the expression 299 of three genes involved in cytochrome p450 (CYP) activity: aryl-hydrocarbon receptor 300 repressor (Ahrr), cytochrome P450 family 1 subfamily A member 1 (Cyp1a1) and B 301 member 1 (*Cyp1b1*). All these genes are known key targets of the AHR pathway, being 302 *Cup1a* and *Cup1b* involved in the cellular detoxification response [44]. We validated 303 this finding through additional experiments performed by qPCR, which demonstrated 304 a significant increase in the expression levels of Ahrr, Cyp1a1 and Cyp1b1 after 305 treatment of OPCs with EDA at concentrations of 30  $\mu$ M and 100  $\mu$ M (Figure 2). 306



Figure 2. Validation of the effect of EDA treatment on AHR-related transcript expression in<br/>OPCs. OPCs were treated with EDA 30 $\mu$ M, 100  $\mu$ M or vehicle (DMSO) alone for 14h. Total RNA<br/>was extracted and reverse transcribed and the expression of the selected genes was evaluated<br/>using qPCR. Data are expressed as  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  relative to the housekeeping gene *Gapdh*. Bars represent<br/>the mean ±SEM of 5 independent experiments. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 with paired<br/>Student's T-test. The image was edited using BioRender.com.308

Collectively, we could infer that among different primary targets, EDA is 314 responsible for AHR pathway activation in mouse OPCs. 315

#### 3.2. Edaravone is predicted to be an AHR ligand

To verify the hypothesis that EDA activates the AHR signaling pathway by 317 directly binding to AHR, we investigated the potential binding mode through docking 318 studies, using INDI and leflunomide, known AHR agonists, as reference compounds. 319 The AutoDock and Glide software tools [29, 32] were used to carry out both focused 320 and blind docking for all compounds, leveraging the Cryo-EM structure that was 321 recently published [24]. Next, the best docking poses of Glide complexes were chosen 322 to perform binding energy calculations using the MM-GBSA protocol. The MM-GBSA 323 rescoring analysis was carried out to eliminate false positive predictions. The results of 324 these analyses consistently indicated that EDA, along with the two reference 325 compounds, binds to AHR at the same site as the complexed INDI (Figure 3). 326

307



Figure 3. Prediction of EDA-AHR binding mode by molecular docking. (a) Superimposition of<br/>docking results on AHR of EDA in green, INDI in cyan and leflunomide in magenta using<br/>Autodock software. (b) Superimposition of docking results on AHR of EDA in green, INDI in<br/>cyan and leflunomide in magenta using Glide software. The image was edited using<br/>BioRender.com.327<br/>328

In particular, the two software tools identified identical orientations for INDI and 332 EDA, except for the orientation of the benzene ring in EDA. In contrast, the two 333 software poses of leflunomide docked it within the binding pocket, but with different 334 orientations. As shown in Table 2, EDA exhibits higher docking energies (-7.55 kcal/mol Glide and -5.97 kcal/mol AutoDock) and binding free energy (dG bind, -45.03 kcal/mol) compared to the two agonists, yet still within satisfactory ranges. 337

Compound	XP-GScore Glide XP Kcal/mol	MMGBSA_dGbind Prime Kcal/mol	Binding Energy (BE) Autodock Kcal/mol
Indirubin	-11.33	-67.57	-9.08
Leflunomide	-9.074	-51.34	-7.17
Edaravone	-7.55	-45.03	-5.97

Table 2. Scores obtained from the different docking tools.

To assess the stability of the AHR-EDA complex, a MD study was conducted for 500 ns, employing the docking-derived binding pose from the Glide software as the starting input. The dynamics confirmed the binding between EDA and AHR but unveiled that EDA frequently undergoes binding transitions within the pocket shifting slightly from the binding identified by docking (Figure S1). 343

# 3.3. Edaravone induces AHR nuclear translocation and AHR target gene expression in the344SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line345

To validate the docking prediction and assess whether EDA-mediated AHR 346 pathway induction could be conserved in a human experimental model, we assessed 347 the ability of EDA to induce nuclear translocation of AHR and subsequent expression 348 of endogenous AHR target genes in the neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y, which 349 represents a relevant cellular model for investigating this signaling pathway [45]. Cells 350 were treated with EDA 100 µM for 15 min, 30 min, 2 h and 6 h. Cell lysates were then 351 collected and subjected to fractionation into cytosolic and nuclear fractions. Western 352 Blot results showed that AHR protein levels significantly decreased in cytosolic-353 containing fractions within 2 h of EDA treatment, while increasing AHR protein levels 354 were detected in the nuclear fractions over 6 h of EDA treatment (Figure 4). 355



Figure 4. EDA induction of AHR nuclear translocation in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line. SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells were incubated with EDA 100  $\mu$ M for 358 15 min, 30 min, 2h and 6h. The cytosolic and nuclear fractions were separated and the 359 expression level of AHR in each fraction was evaluated by Western Blot analysis. 360 GAPDH and LAMINB1 were used for protein content normalization in cytosol and 361 nuclei, respectively. Bars represent the mean ±SEM of 4 experiments. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, 362 \*\*\*p<0.001 with 2-way ANOVA analysis for repeated measures. The image was edited 363 using BioRender.com. 364

356

368

369 370

371

372

373 374

375

In addition, the expression of *AHRR* and *CYP1A1* genes was examined at both 365 transcript and protein levels. SH-SY5Y cells were incubated with EDA 30 and 100  $\mu$ M 366 for 14 h, using INDI, the known AHR endogenous ligand, as positive control. 367



Figure 5. EDA-induction of AHR target genes in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line. (a). SH-SY5Y 376 cells were incubated with EDA 30 µM, EDA 100 µM, INDI 1 µM or DMSO alone for 14h. Total 377 RNA was extracted and the expression of AHRR and CYP1A1 transcripts was evaluated using 378 qPCR. Data are expressed as 2-ACt relative to the housekeeping gene GAPDH. (b,c). SH-SY5Y cells 379 were treated with EDA 100  $\mu$ M, INDI 1  $\mu$ M or DMSO alone for 24h and CYP1A1 (b) and NRF2 380 (c) protein expression was investigated by Western Blot. Data are expressed as the ratio between 381 AHR and GAPDH reference. Bars represent the mean ±SEM of 3 experiments. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, 382 \*\*\*p<0.001 using paired Student's T-test. The image was edited using BioRender.com. 383

12 of 20

EDA significantly increased AHRR and CYP1A1 transcript levels (Figure 5a), as well as CYP1A1 protein levels (Figure 5b). As NRF2 is a key downstream target of AHR 385 [46], we next evaluated EDA activity on NRF2 expression in our experimental model. 386 Western Blot data showed a significant up-regulation of NRF2 in the nuclear fraction 387 after treatment of SH-SY5Y with EDA at a concentration of 100  $\mu$ M for 24 hours 388 compared to unstimulated cells (Figure 5c). Our findings demonstrated that, in 389 response to EDA, AHR is activated and translocates from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, 390 where it induces the expression of its target genes. 391

# 3.4. Edaravone promotes AHR and NRF2 pathways activation and Olig2 transgene expression in zebrafish larvae

To confirm EDA activity on the AHR pathway in an *in vivo* model, we measured 394 the expression levels of the *cyp1a1* zebrafish orthologue in EDA-treated larvae. Eight 395 hpf embryos were exposed to EDA at 10 and 30 µM or DMSO for 24 and 48 h and cyp1a 396 transcript levels were determined by qPCR. As shown in Figure 6a, EDA induced a 397 significant up-regulation of *cyp1a* in treated larvae. To further confirm that EDA was 398 specifically inducing the AHR pathway at a transcriptional level, we transiently 399 overexpressed a plasmid containing three xenobiotic responsive elements (XRE) 400 upstream of the eGFP coding sequence [43]. 401



Figure 6. EDA promotes AHR and NRF2 pathway activation in zebrafish larvae. (a) cyp1a 406 transcript expression in zebrafish larvae at 56 hpf treated with vehicle (DMSO), EDA 10 and 30 407  $\mu$ M, for 24 and 48 h. (b) Representative Western Blot for the eGFP reporter protein on fish trunk 408 whole lysates from control DMSO and EDA-treated Tg(8x AORE:EGFP)<sup>ia201</sup> larvae at 56 hpf. Fish 409 were treated for 48 consecutive hours. For both gene expression and Western Blot analysis, data 410 are expressed as the mean ±SEM of 4 biological replicates (10 larvae per replicate). (c, d). 411 Representative Western Blot for Nrf2 and Gclc proteins on fish trunk whole lysates from control 412 DMSO and EDA-treated larvae at 56 hpf. Data are expressed as the mean ±SEM of 6 biological 413 replicates (10 larvae per replicate). \*p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.001 with paired Student's T-test. The image 414was edited using BioRender.com. 415

Treatment of fish embryos transiently expressing the reporter cassette at 8 hpf 416 with EDA (30 µM) for 24 hours led to increased GFP fluorescence (Figure S2). 417

392

393

402

To further investigate and corroborate the antioxidant response elicited *in vivo* by 418 EDA, we first treated a recently generated Nrf2 pathway reporter fish [47] with EDA 419 for 48 h and evaluated by Western Blot the expression levels of the reporter gene (GFP). 420 Compared to age-matched DMSO-treated fish, EDA-treated fish exhibited higher, 421 although at the margin of statistical significance (p=0.07), GFP protein levels when 422 compared to control fish (Figure 6b). We next evaluated in the same EDA-treated fish 423 and DMSO controls the expression levels of the transcription factor Nrf2 and the 424 glutamate cysteine ligase catalytic subunit (Gclc), which is the rate-limiting enzyme in 425 the synthesis of glutathione and a NRF2 downstream target [48]. As shown in Figure 6 426 (c, d), both Nrf2 and Gclc protein levels were significantly upregulated in EDA-treated 427 fish when compared to age-matched controls. As accumulating evidence indicates that 428 AHR and NRF2 are involved in oligodendrocyte development and myelination 429 processes [49, 50], we also analyzed the effects of EDA on the induction of 430 specification oligodendrocyte lineage using the previously described 431 *Tg*(*Olig2:eGFP*)<sup>*vu*12</sup> line [51]. 432

As shown in Figure 7, treatment of 8 hpf  $Tg(Olig2:eGFP)^{vu12}$  transgenic fish with 30 433  $\mu$ M EDA for 48 hours induced a significant increase in reporter protein expression 434 (GFP). Collectively, these results confirmed that EDA treatment triggers *in vivo* the 435 activation of the AHR and NRF2 signaling axis and fosters Olig2+ oligodendrocyte 436 lineage expansion. 437



Figure 7. EDA treatment induces reporter expression in Olig2 transgenic fish. Representative439Western Blot for the eGFP reporter protein on fish trunk whole lysates from control DMSO and440EDA-treated  $Tg(Olig2:eGFP)^{vul2}$  transgenic fish. Data are expressed as the mean ±SEM of 3441biological replicates (10 larvae per replicate). \*\*p<0.01 with paired Student's T-test. The image</td>442was edited using BioRender.com.443

# 3.5. Edaravone-mediated induction of CYP genes is dampened by the AHR antagonist GNF-351 in SH-SY5Y cells and zebrafish

We next verified whether the up-regulation of genes associated with the AHR 446 pathway could be prevented by the administration of the competitive AHR antagonist 447 GNF-351, which exhibits effective antagonism against a wide range of AHR ligands 448 [52]. SH-SY5Y cells were treated with EDA (30  $\mu$ M and 100  $\mu$ M) in the presence or 449 absence of the GNF-351 1 $\mu$ M for 14 h. The dose of 1 $\mu$ M was selected based on 450 preliminary dose-response experiments (data not shown). As shown in Figure 8a, co-451 treatment with GNF-351 completely prevented the EDA-dependent increase of AHRR 452 and CYP1A1 transcript levels. In agreement with these observations, we also co-treated 453 fish larvae with 30  $\mu$ M EDA and 5  $\mu$ M GNF-351 for 24 h and evaluated the expression 454 levels of the target genes *cyp1a* and *ahrr*. Figure 8b shows that inhibition of AHR by 455 GNF-351 was able to prevent the EDA-dependent upregulation of the target genes 456

438

444



*cyp1a* and *ahrr*. Based on these findings, we can state that the upregulation of AHR 457 target genes is directly mediated by the impact of EDA on AHR activity. 458

Figure 8. AHR inhibition curtails EDA-mediated AHR target genes upregulation *in vitro* and *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Bar graphs show the gene expression levels detected by qPCR on RNA obtained from SH-SY5Y cells (a) and zebrafish larvae (b). Cells were treated with DMSO, EDA 30  $\mu$ M and 100  $\mu$ M and/or GNF-351 1  $\mu$ M for 24 h. B. Zebrafish larvae at 8 hpf were treated with DMSO, EDA 30467 $\mu$ M in the presence or absence of GNF-351 1 $\mu$ M for 24 h and 48 h. The mean ±SEM of 3469experiments is shown. \*\*\*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 \*\*\* p<0.001 with paired Student's T-test. The image</td>470 $\mu$ A71471

#### 3.6. GNF-351 competes for the same AHR binding site with Edaravone

Next, we wanted to assess whether EDA and GNF-351 can efficiently and directly 473 interact with the same ligand binding pocket of AHR through docking and molecular 474 dynamics studies. Both AutoDock and Glide confirmed binding of GNF-351 in the 475 same pocket of EDA, but with a lower energy (-8.16 kcal/mol and -10.55 kcal/mol, 476 respectively), confirming the higher activity and affinity of the antagonist. The two 477 software identified similar interactions, including pi-pi stacking with His 291 and Phe 478 324, aromatic H-bond with Ser 346 and pi-pi stacking with Phe 295 for AutoDock and 479 aromatic H-bond with Ser 320 for Glide (Figure S3). To assess binding stability, MD 480 was performed, confirming GNF-351's stable binding to AHR. Throughout more than 481 30% of dynamics, H-bond interactions were observed with Ser 365 (95%), Phe 295 482 (42%) and Tyr 322 (30%), along with pi-pi stacking with Tyr 322 (76%), Phe 295 (50%) 483 and His 291 (34%) (Figure S4). During simulation GNF-351 exhibits stabilization within 484 the pocket and undergoes movement relative to the identified docking (Figure S5). The 485 average MMGBSA calculation throughout the dynamic is -95.209 ± 5.106 kcal/mol, once 486 more demonstrating a lower value compared to EDA. This further confirms the higher 487 affinity of GNF-351 for AHR within the identical pocket occupied by EDA. 488

4. Discussion

EDA is a free radical scavenger and antioxidant agent with neuroprotective and 490 remyelinating properties. Uncovering direct molecular targets that mediate its 491 biological activity is critical to understanding the full therapeutic potential of the drug. 492

By performing in vitro, in vivo and in silico experiments, our current study493establishes that EDA is a novel agonist of the transcription factor AHR and induces an494AHR-dependent expression of known target genes.495

AHR was first characterized as a ligand-induced transcriptional regulator 496 involved in the adaptive response for xenobiotic detoxification [53]. Accumulating 497 evidence strongly supports AHR's relevant role in an array of physiological processes, 498 like cellular homeostasis, cell development and immune response [54]. AHR is 499 activated by environmental contaminants, naturally occurring compounds and 500 endogenous metabolites. Following ligand binding, AHR translocates into the nucleus, 501 forms a dimer with the nuclear translocator ARNT and stimulates the transcription of 502 target genes carrying xenobiotic responsive elements (XREs) in the promoter region, 503 such as CYP1 family genes and the repressor AHRR, which counteracts AHR-504 dependent gene expression. 505

Using targeted transcriptomic analysis and qPCR, we observed a significant 506 increase in the expression of genes related to the AHR pathway (*CYP1A1, CYP1B1, 507 AHRR*) in mouse OPCs and human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells after treatment with 508 EDA. Additionally, we showed that EDA was able to promote the expression of AHR 509 target genes and induce reporter activity in transient XRE:eGFP overexpressing 510 zebrafish larvae. 511

CYP1A1 gene expression is primarily regulated by the AHR, thus establishing this512gene as a distinctive marker of AHR pathway activation [55]. The complete inhibition513of CYP1A1 induction in neuroblastoma cells and cyp1a in zebrafish by the AHR514antagonist GNF-351 strongly supports the hypothesis that AHR activation is515instrumental for EDA-induced CYP pathway stimulation.516

In support of the assumption that EDA acts as an AHR ligand, our *in silico* studies 517 predicted a favorable and stable energy profile of the drug within the binding pocket 518 over time. The evidence that EDA and GNF-351 bind to the same AHR pocket suggests 519 a competitive antagonism between the two ligands. Notably, GNF-351 has an 520 advantage in this competition due to its higher binding affinity compared to EDA, as 521 also pointed out. The finding that EDA promoted AHR nuclear translocation in SH-522 SY5Y cells reinforces the idea that AHR activation may occur in the presence of direct 523 ligand binding, excluding non-genomic mechanisms previously reported for some 524 compounds in the activation of AHR target genes [56]. 525

Our research also showed that EDA effectively enhances *NRF2* expression in both 526 SH-SY5Y cells and zebrafish larvae. This result supports the involvement of NRF2 527 signaling in the drug's antioxidant activity, as previously demonstrated in various 528 models of neurodegenerative diseases [2, 11- 13]. Given that NRF2 is a target gene of 529 AHR, bearing at least one functional XRE sequence in its promoter [46], and is also 530 activated through ROS generated by CYP1A1 [57], we postulate that EDA's activity is 531 possibly mediated through the AHR- NRF2 pathway. The complex crosstalk between 532 these two signaling pathways leads to the induction of cytoprotective genes encoding 533 detoxificating and antioxidant enzymes that may explain many of the effects already 534 described for the drug [58]. 535

We observed that EDA activates the AHR pathway during the differentiation of 536 purified mouse OPCs in vitro and in developmental oligodendrogenesis in zebrafish 537 (24-56 hpf). We also showed that in zebrafish larvae EDA not only activates the AHR-538 NRF2 pathway but also increases Olig2 transgene expression. This aligns with recent 539 findings indicating that proper modulation of the AHR signal is essential for 540 oligodendrocyte development in zebrafish models [59], although, at odds with this 541 work, we found that AHR- NRF2 pathway activation by EDA increases reporter 542 expression in the Olig2:GFP transgenic line. The apparent contrasting effects reported 543

by Martins and colleagues on AHR pathway induction and oligodendrogenesis may 544 be ascribed to additional secondary effects produced by tetrachlorodibenzo-para-545 dioxin when compared to those of EDA. Alternatively, underexplored mechanisms of 546 EDA action may be dominant over the previously described negative effect of AHR 547 activation on the oligodendroglial population expansion. To support the first scenario, 548 the key role of AHR in oligodendrocyte differentiation and myelination was already 549 elucidated through the analysis of AHR knockout models [49, 60] and subsequently 550 strengthened by the finding that AHR activation increases sphingolipid levels and 551 axon myelination [61]. Therefore, the combination of our data with evidence from the 552 literature leads us to suggest AHR as the target responsible for the pro-myelinating 553 effect of EDA [3, 19-21] likely due to the expansion of the oligodendroglial lineage. 554

Ensuring the proper modulation of AHR signaling is crucial for maintaining 555 cellular homeostasis. The inactivation or overactivation of the AHR pathway has been 556 demonstrated to contribute to the dysregulation of proinflammatory and 557 neurodegenerative mechanisms in several neurological diseases [62]. Notably, a recent 558 study by Tsaktanis et al. [63] found a decrease in AHR agonistic activity in the serum 559 of MS patients, showing a correlation with disease progression. 560

EDA, along with other drugs already in use in the clinic [64, 65], emerges as an 561 ideal AHR agonist, as it triggers the favorable aspects of AHR activation without the 562 undesired side effects observed with dioxin-like chemical pollutant derivatives. While 563 recognizing the need for further studies to establish the mechanistic link between AHR 564 activation and NRF2 pathway induction, as well as its correlation with increased 565 expression of the downstream Olig2 transgene, we envisage that the identification of 566 AHR as a key molecular target of EDA will pave the way for more informed design of 567 new molecules with improved AHR binding activity and affinity, which might be 568 considered for the screening of pro-myelinating compounds. 569

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: 570 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: Superposition of the EDA-AHR docking pose with the 571 clusters obtained from the molecular dynamics of EDA with AHR.; Figure S2: Edaravone-572 induced expression of a xenobiotic responsive element (XRE)-driven reporter gene; Figure S3: 573 Overlapping poses of the docking of GNF-351 with AHR; Figure S4: 2D representation of the 574 bonds above 30% that GNF-351 makes with AHR during the 500 ns of molecular dynamics; 575 Figure S5: Superimposing docking results and molecular dynamics of GNF-351 with AHR. Table 576 S1: List of Taqman inventoried assays used for gene expression experiments; Spreadsheet S1: 577 Raw transcriptomics data. 578

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.V., S.O., E.A., E.M. and C.A.; methodology, M.S.B.,579C.S., A.F., M.M., R.M. and M.C.M.; software, C.V. and S.O.; validation, C.V., E.M. and C.A.;580formal analysis, C.V., S.O., M.S.B. and C.S.; investigation, C.V., S.O, E.M and C.A.; resources,581C.V., S.O. and E.M. ; data curation, C.V., S.O., E.A., E.M and C.A.; writing—original draft582preparation, C.A.; writing—review and editing, E.A., E.M. and C.A.; visualization, C.V., S.O.,583M.S.B., E.A. and E.M.; supervision, C.A.; project administration, C.A.; funding acquisition, E.M.584and C.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.585

Funding: This study was supported by FISM-Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla (grant cod.5862017/R/2 to CA), by intramural funding of Istituto Superiore di Sanità (Fasc. NEU23) and by the587University of Padova to E.M. (PRID2021-MORO\_BIRD2121\_02). The funders had no role in588study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for589publication.590

Institutional Review Board Statement: The experimental procedures related to the use of CD1591Swiss mice were conducted following the Council Directive 86/609/EC and Decree 116/92592(Authorization n. 87/2017-PR - 09/23/2018 issued by the Service for Biotechnology and Animal593Welfare of the "Istituto Superiore di Sanità" and by the Italian Ministry of Health. All procedures594involving zebrafish embryos and larvae were performed according to the Italian Ministry of595Health and the Local Institutional Review Board of the University of Padova (OPBA) (protocol596code 312/2022-PR of 05/15/2022).597

**Data Availability Statement:** All the data and simulations supporting the findings of this study 598 are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. 599

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to Dr. Davide Murrau from the Institute for Genetic 600 and Biomedical Research (IRGB), The National Research Council (CNR), Monserrato, Cagliari, 601 Italy, for his technical and informatic support. 602

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

604

603

- 1. Cho, H.; Shukla, S. Role of Edaravone as a Treatment Option for Patients with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. 605 Pharmaceuticals 2020, 14, 29, doi:10.3390/ph14010029. 606
- 2. Michaličková, D.; Kübra Öztürk, H.; Hroudová, J.; Ľupták, M.; Kučera, T.; Hrnčíř, T.; Kutinová Canová, N.; Šíma, M.; Slanař, 607 O. Edaravone Attenuates Disease Severity of Experimental Auto-Immune Encephalomyelitis and Increases Gene Expression 608 of Nrf2 and HO-1. Physiol Res 2022, 147-157, doi:10.33549/physiolres.934800. 609
- Bakhtiari, M.; Ghasemi, N.; Salehi, H.; Amirpour, N.; Kazemi, M.; Mardani, M. Evaluation of Edaravone Effects on the 3. 610 Differentiation of Human Adipose Derived Stem Cells into Oligodendrocyte Cells in Multiple Sclerosis Disease in Rats. Life 611 Sciences 2021, 282, 119812, doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2021.119812. 612
- 4 Li, B.; Yu, D.; Xu, Z. Edaravone Prevents Neurotoxicity of Mutant L166P DJ-1 in Parkinson's Disease. J Mol Neurosci 2013, 613 51, 539–549, doi:10.1007/s12031-013-0022-8. 614
- Liu, X.; Shao, R.; Li, M.; Yang, G. Edaravone Protects Neurons in the Rat Substantia Nigra Against 6-Hydroxydopamine-5. 615 Induced Oxidative Stress Damage. Cell Biochem Biophys 2014, 70, 1247–1254, doi:10.1007/s12013-014-0048-8. 616
- Jiao, S.-S.; Yao, X.-Q.; Liu, Y.-H.; Wang, Q.-H.; Zeng, F.; Lu, J.-J.; Liu, J.; Zhu, C.; Shen, L.-L.; Liu, C.-H.; et al. Edaravone 6. 617 Alleviates Alzheimer's Disease-Type Pathologies and Cognitive Deficits. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2015, 112, 5225–5230, 618 doi:10.1073/pnas.1422998112. 619
- 7. Wang, X.; Zhou, L.; Chen, X.; Li, R.; Yu, B.; Pan, M.; Fang, L.; Li, J.; Cui, X.; Yao, M.; et al. Neuroprotective Effect and Possible 620 Mechanism of Edaravone in Rat Models of Spinal Cord Injury: A Protocol for a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Syst 621 Rev 2023, 12, 177, doi:10.1186/s13643-023-02306-1. 622
- Oosthoek, M.; Lili, A.; Almeida, A.; Van Loosbroek, O.; Van Der Geest, R.; De Greef-van Der Sandt, I.; Van Bokhoven, P.; 8. 623 Sikkes, S.A.M.; Teunissen, C.E.; Vijverberg, E.G.B. ASURE Clinical Trial Protocol: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Proof-624 of-Concept Study Aiming to Evaluate Safety and Target Engagement Following Administration of TW001 in Early 625 Alzheimer's Disease Patients. J Prev Alz Dis 2023, doi:10.14283/jpad.2023.107. 626
- 9. Watanabe, K.; Tanaka, M.; Yuki, S.; Hirai, M.; Yamamoto, Y. How Is Edaravone Effective against Acute Ischemic Stroke and 627 Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis? J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr. 2018, 62, 20–38, doi:10.3164/jcbn.17-62. 628
- 629 10. Olufunmilayo, E.O.; Gerke-Duncan, M.B.; Holsinger, R.M.D. Oxidative Stress and Antioxidants in Neurodegenerative Disorders. Antioxidants 2023, 12, 517, doi:10.3390/antiox12020517. 630
- 11. Liu, Z.; Yang, C.; Meng, X.; Li, Z.; Lv, C.; Cao, P. Neuroprotection of Edaravone on the Hippocampus of Kainate-Induced 631 Epilepsy Rats through Nrf2/HO-1 Pathway. Neurochemistry International 2018, 112, 159-165, 632 doi:10.1016/j.neuint.2017.07.001. 633
- 12. Shou, L.; Bei, Y.; Song, Y.; Wang, L.; Ai, L.; Yan, Q.; He, W. Nrf2 Mediates the Protective Effect of Edaravone after 634 Chlorpyrifos-induced Nervous System Toxicity. Environmental Toxicology 2019, 34, 626–633, doi:10.1002/tox.22728. 635
- 13. Zhang, L.; Guo, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhao, L.; Ma, Z.; Li, T.; Liu, J.; Sun, M.; Jian, Y.; Yao, L.; et al. Edaravone Reduces Aβ-Induced 636 Oxidative Damage in SH-SY5Y Cells by Activating the Nrf2/ARE Signaling Pathway. Life Sciences 2019, 221, 259–266, 637 doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2019.02.025. 638
- 14. Jangra, A.; Kwatra, M.; Singh, T.; Pant, R.; Kushwah, P.; Ahmed, S.; Dwivedi, D.; Saroha, B.; Lahkar, M. Edaravone Alleviates 639 Cisplatin-Induced Neurobehavioral Deficits via Modulation of Oxidative Stress and Inflammatory Mediators in the Rat 640 Hippocampus. European Journal of Pharmacology 2016, 791, 51-61, doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2016.08.003. 641
- Okuyama, S.; Morita, M.; Sawamoto, A.; Terugo, T.; Nakajima, M.; Furukawa, Y. Edaravone Enhances Brain-Derived 15. 642 Neurotrophic Factor Production in the Ischemic Mouse Brain. Pharmaceuticals 2015, 8, 176–185, doi:10.3390/ph8020176. 643
- 16. Ding, Y.; Zhu, W.; Kong, W.; Li, T.; Zou, P.; Chen, H. Edaravone Attenuates Neuronal Apoptosis in Hippocampus of Rat 644 Traumatic Brain Injury Model via Activation of BDNF/TrkB Signaling Pathway. Arch Med Sci 2021, 17, 514-522, 645 doi:10.5114/aoms.2019.89849.
- Yang, Y.; Yi, J.; Pan, M.; Hu, B.; Duan, H. Edaravone Alleviated Propofol-Induced Neural Injury in Developing Rats by 17. 647 BDNF/TrkB Pathway. J Cell Mol Med 2021, 25, 4974–4987, doi:10.1111/jcmm.16422. 648
- 18. Wu, H.-T.; Yu, Y.; Li, X.-X.; Lang, X.-Y.; Gu, R.-Z.; Fan, S.-R.; Fang, X.; Bai, J.-P.; Lan, R.; Qin, X.-Y. Edaravone Attenuates 649 H2O2 or Glutamate-Induced Toxicity in Hippocampal Neurons and Improves AlCl3/D-Galactose Induced Cognitive 650 Impairment in Mice. NeuroToxicology 2021, 85, 68–78, doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2021.05.005. 651

- Eleuteri, C.; Olla, S.; Veroni, C.; Umeton, R.; Mechelli, R.; Romano, S.; Buscarinu, Mc.; Ferrari, F.; Calò, G.; Ristori, G.; et al.
   A Staged Screening of Registered Drugs Highlights Remyelinating Drug Candidates for Clinical Trials. Sci Rep 2017, 7,
   45780, doi:10.1038/srep45780.
- Takase, H.; Liang, A.C.; Miyamoto, N.; Hamanaka, G.; Ohtomo, R.; Maki, T.; Pham, L.-D.D.; Lok, J.; Lo, E.H.; Arai, K.
   Protective Effects of a Radical Scavenger Edaravone on Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells against Oxidative Stress.
   Neuroscience Letters 2018, 668, 120–125, doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2018.01.018.
- Luo, W.; Xu, H.; Xu, L.; Jiang, W.; Chen, C.; Chang, Y.; Liu, C.; Tian, Z.; Qiu, X.; Xie, C.; et al. Remyelination in Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorder Is Promoted by Edaravone through MTORC1 Signaling Activation. Glia 2023, 71, 284–304, doi:10.1002/glia.24271.
- Colombo, E.; Olla, S.; Minnelli, C.; Formato, A.; Veroni, C.; Corbisiero, S.; Pericolo, M.; Siguri, C.; Mobbili, G.; Agresti, C.; et
   al. Synthesis and Characterization of Edaravone Analogues as Remyelinating Agents and Putative Mechanistic Probes.
   Molecules 2023, 28, 6928, doi:10.3390/molecules28196928.
- Agresti, C.; D'Urso, D.; Levi, G. Reversible Inhibitory Effects of Interferon-Gamma and Tumour Necrosis Factor-Alpha on Oligodendroglial Lineage Cell Proliferation and Differentiation in Vitro. Eur J Neurosci 1996, 8, 1106–1116, 665 doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.1996.tb01278.x.
- Gruszczyk, J.; Grandvuillemin, L.; Lai-Kee-Him, J.; Paloni, M.; Savva, C.G.; Germain, P.; Grimaldi, M.; Boulahtouf, A.; Kwong, H.-S.; Bous, J.; et al. Cryo-EM Structure of the Agonist-Bound Hsp90-XAP2-AHR Cytosolic Complex. Nat Commun 668 2022, 13, 7010, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-34773-w. 669
- Lu, C.; Wu, C.; Ghoreishi, D.; Chen, W.; Wang, L.; Damm, W.; Ross, G.A.; Dahlgren, M.K.; Russell, E.; Von Bargen, C.D.; et al. OPLS4: Improving Force Field Accuracy on Challenging Regimes of Chemical Space. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2021, 17, 4291–4300, doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.1c00302.
- Friesner, R.A.; Banks, J.L.; Murphy, R.B.; Halgren, T.A.; Klicic, J.J.; Mainz, D.T.; Repasky, M.P.; Knoll, E.H.; Shelley, M.;
   Perry, J.K.; et al. Glide: A New Approach for Rapid, Accurate Docking and Scoring. 1. Method and Assessment of Docking
   Accuracy. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 1739–1749, doi:10.1021/jm0306430.
- Halgren, T.A.; Murphy, R.B.; Friesner, R.A.; Beard, H.S.; Frye, L.L.; Pollard, W.T.; Banks, J.L. Glide: A New Approach for Rapid, Accurate Docking and Scoring. 2. Enrichment Factors in Database Screening. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 1750–1759, doi:10.1021/jm030644s.
- Banks, J.L.; Beard, H.S.; Cao, Y.; Cho, A.E.; Damm, W.; Farid, R.; Felts, A.K.; Halgren, T.A.; Mainz, D.T.; Maple, J.R.; et al. 679 Integrated Modeling Program, Applied Chemical Theory (IMPACT). J Comput Chem 2005, 26, 1752–1780, 680 doi:10.1002/jcc.20292.
- Friesner, R.A.; Murphy, R.B.; Repasky, M.P.; Frye, L.L.; Greenwood, J.R.; Halgren, T.A.; Sanschagrin, P.C.; Mainz, D.T. Extra Precision Glide: Docking and Scoring Incorporating a Model of Hydrophobic Enclosure for Protein–Ligand Complexes. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 6177–6196, doi:10.1021/jm0512560.
- Genheden, S.; Ryde, U. The MM/PBSA and MM/GBSA Methods to Estimate Ligand-Binding Affinities. Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery 2015, 10, 449–461, doi:10.1517/17460441.2015.1032936.
- Li, J.; Abel, R.; Zhu, K.; Cao, Y.; Zhao, S.; Friesner, R.A. The VSGB 2.0 Model: A next Generation Energy Model for High Resolution Protein Structure Modeling. Proteins 2011, 79, 2794–2812, doi:10.1002/prot.23106.
- Morris, G.M.; Huey, R.; Lindstrom, W.; Sanner, M.F.; Belew, R.K.; Goodsell, D.S.; Olson, A.J. AutoDock4 and 689 AutoDockTools4: Automated Docking with Selective Receptor Flexibility. J Comput Chem 2009, 30, 2785–2791, 690 doi:10.1002/jcc.21256.
- Gasteiger, J.; Marsili, M. A New Model for Calculating Atomic Charges in Molecules. Tetrahedron Letters 1978, 19, 3181–
   3184, doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(01)94977-9.
- Sallem, M.A.S.; Sousa, S.A.J.D. AutoGrid: Towards an Autonomic Grid Middleware. In Proceedings of the 16th IEEE
   International Workshops on Enabling Technologies: Infrastructure for Collaborative Enterprises (WETICE 2007); IEEE: Evry
   Cedex, France, 2007; pp. 223–228.
- Moitessier, N.; Englebienne, P.; Lee, D.; Lawandi, J.; Corbeil, C.R. Towards the Development of Universal, Fast and Highly Accurate Docking/Scoring Methods: A Long Way to Go. British J Pharmacology 2008, 153, doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0707515.
- Meng, X.-Y.; Zhang, H.-X.; Mezei, M.; Cui, M. Molecular Docking: A Powerful Approach for Structure-Based Drug Discovery. CAD 2011, 7, 146–157, doi:10.2174/157340911795677602.
   699 700
- Bowers, K.J.; Chow, D.E.; Xu, H.; Dror, R.O.; Eastwood, M.P.; Gregersen, B.A.; Klepeis, J.L.; Kolossvary, I.; Moraes, M.A.;
   Sacerdoti, F.D.; et al. Scalable Algorithms for Molecular Dynamics Simulations on Commodity Clusters. In Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE SC 2006 Conference (SC'06); IEEE: Tampa, FL, November 2006; pp. 43–43.
- Jorgensen, W.L.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J.D.; Impey, R.W.; Klein, M.L. Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1983, 79, 926–935, doi:10.1063/1.445869.
- Hoover, W.G. Canonical Dynamics: Equilibrium Phase-Space Distributions. Phys. Rev. A 1985, 31, 1695–1697, 706 doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.31.16.
- 40. Martyna, G.J.; Tobias, D.J.; Klein, M.L. Constant Pressure Molecular Dynamics Algorithms. The Journal of Chemical Physics 708 1994, 101, 4177–4189, doi:10.1063/1.467468. 709

- 41. Frey, B.J.; Dueck, D. Clustering by Passing Messages Between Data Points. Science 2007, 315, 972–976, 710 doi:10.1126/science.1136800. 711
- Mallozzi, C.; Pepponi, R.; Visentin, S.; Chiodi, V.; Lombroso, P.J.; Bader, M.; Popoli, P.; Domenici, M.R. The Activity of the Striatal-enriched Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase in Neuronal Cells Is Modulated by Adenosine A 2A Receptor. Journal of Neurochemistry 2020, 152, 284–298, doi:10.1111/jnc.14866.
- 43. Morel, Y.; Mermod, N.; Barouki, R. An Autoregulatory Loop Controlling CYP1A1 Gene Expression: Role of H 2 O 2 and NFI. Molecular and Cellular Biology 1999, 19, 6825–6832, doi:10.1128/MCB.19.10.6825.
   716
- 44. Nebert, D.W.; Dalton, T.P.; Okey, A.B.; Gonzalez, F.J. Role of Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor-Mediated Induction of the CYP1 717 Enzymes in Environmental Toxicity and Cancer. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2004, 279, 23847–23850, 718 doi:10.1074/jbc.R400004200. 719
- Imran, S.; Ferretti, P.; Vrzal, R. Different Regulation of Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor-Regulated Genes in Response to Dioxin in Undifferentiated and Neuronally Differentiated Human Neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y Cells. Toxicology Mechanisms and Methods 2015, 25, 689–697, doi:10.3109/15376516.2015.1070227.
- Miao, W.; Hu, L.; Scrivens, P.J.; Batist, G. Transcriptional Regulation of NF-E2 P45-Related Factor (NRF2) Expression by the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor-Xenobiotic Response Element Signaling Pathway. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2005, 280, 20340–20348, doi:10.1074/jbc.M412081200.
- Badenetti, L.; Manzoli, R.; Rubin, M.; Cozza, G.; Moro, E. Monitoring Nrf2/ARE Pathway Activity with a New Zebrafish Reporter System. IJMS 2023, 24, 6804, doi:10.3390/ijms24076804.
- Sekhar, K.R.; Crooks, P.A.; Sonar, V.N.; Friedman, D.B.; Chan, J.Y.; Meredith, M.J.; Starnes, J.H.; Kelton, K.R.; Summar, S.R.;
   Sasi, S.; et al. NADPH Oxidase Activity Is Essential for Keap1/Nrf2-Mediated Induction of GCLC in Response to 2-Indol-3 Yl-Methylenequinuclidin-3-Ols. Cancer Res 2003, 63, 5636–5645.
- Shackleford, G.; Sampathkumar, N.K.; Hichor, M.; Weill, L.; Meffre, D.; Juricek, L.; Laurendeau, I.; Chevallier, A.; Ortonne, N.; Larousserie, F.; et al. Involvement of Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor in Myelination and in Human Nerve Sheath Tumorigenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2018, 115, doi:10.1073/pnas.1715999115.
- Nellessen, A.; Nyamoya, S.; Zendedel, A.; Slowik, A.; Wruck, C.; Beyer, C.; Fragoulis, A.; Clarner, T. Nrf2 Deficiency Increases Oligodendrocyte Loss, Demyelination, Neuroinflammation and Axonal Damage in an MS Animal Model. Metab Brain Dis 2020, 35, 353–362, doi:10.1007/s11011-019-00488-z.
- Shin, J.; Park, H.-C.; Topczewska, J.M.; Mawdsley, D.J.; Appel, B. Neural Cell Fate Analysis in Zebrafish Using Olig2 BAC Transgenics. Methods Cell Sci 2003, 25, 7–14, doi:10.1023/B:MICS.0000006847.09037.3a.
- Smith, K.J.; Murray, I.A.; Tanos, R.; Tellew, J.; Boitano, A.E.; Bisson, W.H.; Kolluri, S.K.; Cooke, M.P.; Perdew, G.H.
   Identification of a High-Affinity Ligand That Exhibits Complete Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Antagonism. J Pharmacol Exp
   Ther 2011, 338, 318–327, doi:10.1124/jpet.110.178392.
- 53. Avilla, M.N.; Malecki, K.M.C.; Hahn, M.E.; Wilson, R.H.; Bradfield, C.A. The Ah Receptor: Adaptive Metabolism, Ligand Diversity, and the Xenokine Model. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2020, 33, 860–879, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrestox.9b00476.
   743
- 54. Rothhammer, V.; Quintana, F.J. The Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor: An Environmental Sensor Integrating Immune Responses 744 in Health and Disease. Nat Rev Immunol 2019, 19, 184–197, doi:10.1038/s41577-019-0125-8. 745
- 55. Ye, W.; Chen, R.; Chen, X.; Huang, B.; Lin, R.; Xie, X.; Chen, J.; Jiang, J.; Deng, Y.; Wen, J. AhR Regulates the Expression of 746 Human Cytochrome P450 1A1 (CYP1A1) by Recruiting Sp1. The FEBS Journal 2019, 286, 4215–4231, doi:10.1111/febs.14956. 747
- 56. Großkopf, H.; Walter, K.; Karkossa, I.; Von Bergen, M.; Schubert, K. Non-Genomic AhR-Signaling Modulates the Immune
   748 Response in Endotoxin-Activated Macrophages After Activation by the Environmental Stressor BaP. Front. Immunol. 2021,
   749 12, 620270, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.620270.
- Stading, R.; Chu, C.; Couroucli, X.; Lingappan, K.; Moorthy, B. Molecular Role of Cytochrome P4501A Enzymes in Oxidative Stress. Current Opinion in Toxicology 2020, 20–21, 77–84, doi:10.1016/j.cotox.2020.07.001.
- Wang, G.; Zeng, X.; Gong, S.; Wang, S.; Ge, A.; Liu, W.; Ge, J.; He, Q. Exploring the Mechanism of Edaravone for Oxidative
   Stress in Rats with Cerebral Infarction Based on Quantitative Proteomics Technology. Evid Based Complement Alternat
   Med 2022, 2022, 8653697, doi:10.1155/2022/8653697.
- Martin, N.R.; Patel, R.; Kossack, M.E.; Tian, L.; Camarillo, M.A.; Cintrón-Rivera, L.G.; Gawdzik, J.C.; Yue, M.S.; Nwagugo,
   F.O.; Elemans, L.M.H.; et al. Proper Modulation of AHR Signaling Is Necessary for Establishing Neural Connectivity and
   Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cell Development in the Embryonic Zebrafish Brain. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 2022, 15, 1032302,
   doi:10.3389/fnmol.2022.1032302.
- Juricek, L.; Carcaud, J.; Pelhaitre, A.; Riday, T.T.; Chevallier, A.; Lanzini, J.; Auzeil, N.; Laprévote, O.; Dumont, F.; Jacques, 760
   S.; et al. AhR-Deficiency as a Cause of Demyelinating Disease and Inflammation. Sci Rep 2017, 7, 9794, doi:10.1038/s41598-761
   017-09621-3.
- Majumder, S.; Kono, M.; Lee, Y.T.; Byrnes, C.; Li, C.; Tuymetova, G.; Proia, R.L. A Genome-Wide CRISPR/Cas9 Screen 763 Reveals That the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Stimulates Sphingolipid Levels. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2020, 295, 764 4341–4349, doi:10.1074/jbc.AC119.011170. 765
- Grishanova, A.Y.; Perepechaeva, M.L. Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor in Oxidative Stress as a Double Agent and Its Biological and Therapeutic Significance. IJMS 2022, 23, 6719, doi:10.3390/ijms23126719.
   767

- 63. Tsaktanis, T.; Beyer, T.; Nirschl, L.; Linnerbauer, M.; Grummel, V.; Bussas, M.; Tjon, E.; Mühlau, M.; Korn, T.; Hemmer, B.;
  768 et al. Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Plasma Agonist Activity Correlates With Disease Activity in Progressive MS. Neurol
  769 Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2021, 8, e933, doi:10.1212/NXI.00000000000933.
  770
- Rothhammer, V.; Kenison, J.E.; Li, Z.; Tjon, E.; Takenaka, M.C.; Chao, C.-C.; Alves De Lima, K.; Borucki, D.M.; Kaye, J.;
   Quintana, F.J. Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Activation in Astrocytes by Laquinimod Ameliorates Autoimmune Inflammation
   in the CNS. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2021, 8, e946, doi:10.1212/NXI.0000000000946.
- O'Donnell, E.F.; Saili, K.S.; Koch, D.C.; Kopparapu, P.R.; Farrer, D.; Bisson, W.H.; Mathew, L.K.; Sengupta, S.; Kerkvliet, N.I.;
   Tanguay, R.L.; et al. The Anti-Inflammatory Drug Leflunomide Is an Agonist of the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor. PLoS ONE
   2010, 5, e13128, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013128.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. 780