

BRIDGES  
Italy Montenegro series n.1  
Rome 2019

INTERCONNECTIONS IN THE MEDITERRANEAN  
THROUGH TIME:  
MONTENEGRO AND ITALY

edited by  
Lucia Alberti



BRIDGES  
ITALY MONTENEGRO SERIES N. 1

INTERCONNECTIONS IN THE MEDITERRANEAN  
THROUGH TIME:  
MONTENEGRO AND ITALY

Proceedings of the Bilateral Round Table held at the  
University of Montenegro (23<sup>rd</sup> September 2016)

edited by  
*Lucia Alberti*

BRIDGES  
ITALY MONTENEGRO SERIES N. 1

*Collana di monografie del*

DSU | Dipartimento  
Scienze Umane e Sociali  
Patrimonio Culturale

*del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche*

DIRETTORE SCIENTIFICO

*Lucia Alberti*

COMITATO D'ONORE

S.E. *Sanja Vlahović*, Ambasciatrice del Montenegro in Italia

S.E. *Luca Zelioli*, Ambasciatore d'Italia in Montenegro

*Gilberto Corbellini*, Direttore Dip. Scienze Umane e Sociali, Patrimonio Culturale CNR

*Virginia Coda Nunziante*, Responsabile Ufficio Relazioni Internazionali CNR

COMITATO SCIENTIFICO

*Paolo Acanfora, Umberto Gentiloni, Milena Melfi, Rita Tolomeo*

COMITATO DI REDAZIONE

*Marco Arizza, Sara Di Marcello*

SEGRETERIA DI REDAZIONE

*Tiziana Ciciotti*



Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche

Funded by a grant from the Italian  
Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation



Under the patronage of Embassy of Montenegro in Italy



Under the patronage of the Embassy of Italy in Montenegro



With the sponsorship of Terna Cnra Gora d.o.o.



Grafica e impaginazione  
*Marco Arizza*

Grafica di copertina  
*Bruna Di Palma*

The English texts were revised by *Doniert Evely*

© Cnr Edizioni, 2019  
P.le Aldo Moro 7, Roma  
bookshop@cnr.it  
www.edizioni.cnr.it  
ISBN 978 88 8080 355 3

Every effort was made by the individual authors, in compliance with editorial instructions, to acquire such permissions as might have been required to use material. Should something have escaped attention, we would be very grateful to be informed of this, and will ensure that the appropriate acknowledgements are made in any reprinting of this work.

The bridge's outline shown on the cover is the Millennium Bridge at Podgorica, Montenegro (see pag. 17, Fig. 2).



## INDEX

Forewords <i>Sanja Vlahović</i> <i>Luca Zelioli</i>	7
Building bridges. An introduction <i>Lucia Alberti</i>	11
Clash of cultures or melting pot? Some identity issues in the southern Balkans and Crete: material cultures during the 2 <sup>nd</sup> millennium BC <i>Lucia Alberti</i>	19
Kadmos the Phoenician and the Illyrian landscape. Some recent mythological approach <i>Sergio Ribichini</i>	49
Linking different traditions and influences: some remarks on archaeological evidence from the Montenegro territory in the Roman period <i>Carla Sfameni</i>	67
The civic identity of the elite in the Roman settlements of south-eastern Dalmatia <i>Olga Pelcer-Vujačić</i>	101
Religion and Cults in Roman Doclea <i>Tatjana Koprivica</i>	117
Intertextuality of a museum collection: the case of collections in the homeland museums of Bar and Ulcinj <i>Dragana Kujović</i>	139

Italian contribution to Bar development over the first two decades of the 20 <sup>th</sup> century <i>Slavko Burzanović</i>	147
ICT challenges, European policies and archaeological research projects in the Adriatic Sea area <i>Paola Moscari</i>	173
Professional cooperation between Montenegro and Italy on the implementation of Italian software in the processing of the archival material from Kotor Historical Archives <i>Snežana Pejović</i>	197
Abstracts	221

*Un legame che è passato indenne  
attraverso periodi tumultuosi della storia europea,  
che non hanno tuttavia alterato i vincoli  
di amicizia e stima fra i nostri due popoli \**

SERGIO MATTARELLA  
*President of the Italian Republic,  
on the occasion of the inauguration of the  
interconnection Italy – Montenegro, 15/11/2019*

Cooperation and friendship between Montenegro and Italy has existed for centuries, thanks to the Adriatic Sea that has always represented the bridge between our nations, cultures and economies. The two countries established diplomatic relations 140 years ago, in 1879; and were connected over the aether in 1904, when Italian scientist Guglielmo Marconi set up a wireless telegraphy link between Bari in Italy and Antivari (Bar) in Montenegro. He won a Nobel Prize five years later. Friendship flourished further when the Montenegrin beautiful princess married the Italian future-King Vittorio Emanuele III of Savoy, so becoming the Italian Queen Elena of Montenegro. It is thanks to Italian partnerships that the port of Bar began construction in 1905: it remains today one of the largest ports in the Adriatic.

New times have brought new models of cooperation. Our countries have cooperated for decades in different areas and have accomplished impressive results through economic, cultural and scientific cooperation. The existence of the Adriatic Region encourages the promotion of economic growth and intensifies cooperation between our countries in their efforts to become more competitive in the international environment. Italy has always been not only supportive, but also a very rich and generous resource of expertise and knowledge for our country. The two countries have gained an excellent level of cooperation, not only in political matters, but also in quite different spheres, such as energy, culture and science. Joint projects will further strengthen the framework of cooperation and of trust between our peoples and

---

\* 'A bond that has passed unharmed through turbulent periods of European history, leaving unchanged the links of friendship and esteem between our two peoples'.



countries, and will make the Adriatic not only a place fostering a dynamic exchange of goods but also nurturing a strong network of knowledge and information, one that will be able to compete with even the most developed regions of the world.

Cooperation of Montenegro with the CNR officially started in 2013, with the signing of the Agreement of Cooperation with the Montenegrin Ministry of Science. I was honoured, as Minister of Science at the time, to put my name to the agreement along with Professor Luigi Nicolais, former President of CNR. It is more than clear that this friendship and cooperation with CNR will endure, under the chair of Professor Massimo Inguscio, thanks to enthusiasm of scientists and researchers from both sides, particularly Dr Lucia Alberti, Dr Tatjana Koprivica and Dr Slavko Burzanović. Recent agreements on cultural cooperation between the CNR and the Montenegrin Ministry of Culture will offer important opportunities to the Montenegrin scientific community and will continue to build new bridges of collaboration between our countries.

Sanja Vlahović  
*Ambassador of Montenegro in Italy*

I have been always fascinated by history and archaeology, disciplines that study human interconnections at specific periods and through time. The reader can therefore imagine how honoured I was when offered the opportunity to write a foreword for this collection of papers under the title 'Interconnections in the Mediterranean through Time: Montenegro and Italy'. I am also particularly glad to see how fruitful the collaboration between the Italian CNR - Institute of Heritage Sciences and the Historical Institute of the University of Montenegro continues to be.

Reading through the various contributions, we can better discover the deep and noteworthy past shared by the two shores of the Adriatic Sea. These interconnections have taken various forms: at times violent conquest, on other occasions peaceful trade and cultural exchanges. 'Hybridization processes', to quote from Lucia Alberti, seem to have always been shaping the Balkans, by nature a 'bridge' between the rest of Europe and Asia.

We are reminded of the myth of Kadmos, the Phoenician prince who supposedly brought the alphabet to the Greeks and then, together with his son Illyrios, shaped the birth of Illyrian peoples. Our time-travelling continues with a succinct but fascinating description of those Municipia, some still thriving (like modern Risan, former Risinium, with its beautiful mosaics), once part of the Roman province of Praevalitana, more or less corresponding to present-day Montenegro. In some cases, we do not even know the precise name of the urban centre: a timely reminder that so much is still to be researched and studied in this country.

We are then offered an interesting glimpse to the process of 'Romanization' that transformed the inhabitants of the Balkans, especially their elites, into citizens of the Empire. Thanks mainly to epigraphy, it is possible to reconstruct, at least to some extent, the specific 'civic identity' of those Romanized elites. An identity, as Olga Pelcer-Vujačić tells us in her essay, 'shaped by their mostly Italic origins, as well involving indigenous features': a process that apparently ended up stimulating, so to say, a peculiar sort of 'Romanness'.

One cannot talk of any human culture, even more so an ancient one, without referring to its 'religious side'. In this respect, the religious tradition emerges most strongly from the archaeological evidence in Doclea, the administrative capital of Roman Praevalitana. Romans never left matters to chance: after conquest through arms, they normally undertook a winning

over of ‘hearts and souls’ of the conquered, by integrating them as fast as possible. Among the ways of achieving this end, one employed was religion, in particular the spreading of the Imperial Cult: Doclea, from the Flavian age on, is a case in point. Various other cults, reflecting the polytheistic society of the age, were also practiced in this large and important town. Some were of Illyrian origin, reshaped in a Romanized form (probably, we are told in the paper, the Mercury cult, which seems well established in Doclea); others came from abroad, as the finding of a Jewish grave suggests, testifying of the cosmopolitan dimension of this ancient capital.

Other contributions touch upon different dimensions of these ‘interconnections’: the intertextuality of a museum collection; the use of ICT in archaeological projects in the Adriatic area; the Italian-Montenegrin cooperation in the digitalization of a damaged archival fond, related to the records of Governors for Kotor and Albania of the Venetian Republic, at the Kotor Historical Archives.

The ‘interconnections’ between Italy and Montenegro have endured through the centuries. Professor Burzanović, catapulting us into early 20<sup>th</sup> century, offers an intriguing picture of a group of ‘Italian capitalists’ investing in the development of the city of Bar, designing its port with the idea of linking it, mainly via railways, to the Danube River (a sort of reverse ‘road and belt initiative’ ante litteram?). In any case, this paper ‘bridges’ the past to the present. Nor can I forget that Italy and Montenegro have recently renewed their ‘interconnections’ through a colossal physical link: on November 15<sup>th</sup> 2019 the submarine power cable (423 km in length) joining the two countries (and therefore the EU with the Western Balkans) was inaugurated. The infrastructure, built by an Italian company (Terna, which is also supporting the publication of this volume), is in a way the latest in a long, near unbroken, chain of exchanges and interactions shared between the two shores of the Adriatic Sea, part of the Roman Mare Nostrum already in antiquity.

Interconnections produce prosperity, in terms of shared values, culture, new ideas, economic development; in the Ionian-Adriatic region, they really can be seen as the result of long-lasting habits and affinities.

Luca Zelioli  
*Ambassador of Italy to Montenegro*

## BUILDING BRIDGES. AN INTRODUCTION

*Lucia Alberti*

CNR - Istituto di Scienze del Patrimonio Culturale (ISPC)

lucia.alberti@cnr.it

*I ponti gli piacevano, uniscono separazioni,  
come una stretta di mano unisce due persone.  
I ponti cuciono strappi, annullano vuoti,  
avvicinano lontananze.*

Mauro Corona, *La casa dei sette ponti*, Milano, 2012<sup>1</sup>

This volume marks the first in a new monographic series, *Bridges*, whose aim is to publish the results of the bilateral projects the National Research Council of Italy undertakes with various foreign scientific institutions. The series can be further organized into differing sub-sections, related to the countries involved.

The present publication – the first volume in the *Bridges: Italy Montenegro series* – gives an account of the numerous joint-research projects that since 2015 the CNR has conducted with Montenegrin institutions belonging to the Ministry of Sciences and Ministry of Culture of Montenegro. The main topics are related to cultural heritage studies dealing with matters both physical and intangible, with particular reference to the more innovative methodologies and technologies. Already in an advanced stage of preparation is the second volume in this series. Edited by Carla Sfamini and Tatjana Koprivica, it concerns the history of the Italian involvement in the archaeology of Montenegro from the 19<sup>th</sup> century to the present day.

The history of the CNR participation in Montenegro is very recent. In 2013, a first scientific agreement was signed by the former CNR President, Professor Luigi Nicolais,

---

<sup>1</sup> 'He liked bridges, they unify separate entities, as a handshake joins two persons. Bridges sew up torn holes, fill in empty gaps, and bring far-off things nearer'.

with Professor Sanja Vlahović, then Minister of Science of Montenegro and actually Ambassador of Montenegro in Rome. The agreement, realized thanks to the passionate activity of the then Ambassador of Italy at Podgorica, Vincenzo Del Monaco, anticipated that even more formal and high-level agreements would be signed by the two countries in the following years.

Following the 2013 first agreement, the first call for a joint proposal was opened immediately in 2014: as is the CNR tradition, this envisaged a very simple but effective scheme, in which Italian and foreign research groups cooperated on a common program, with reciprocal visits and exchanges. In this way, the participants have the opportunity to deepen their knowledge of each other and so to evaluate the chances of developing common scientific projects in the future.

That is what exactly happened with the first bilateral project, dealing in cultural heritage studies. Entitled ‘Mediterranean Cultural Heritage: Italy and Montenegro – Perceptions and Perspectives’, it was carried out in 2015-2016 by the Historical Institute of the University of Montenegro (HIM-UoM) and the former Institute for Ancient Mediterranean Studies of the National Research Council of Italy (CNR-ISMA; today subsumed into the newly created Institute for Heritage Sciences – CNR-ISPC).

In June 2015, the CNR-ISMA team visited Montenegro for the first time, to demonstrate to the researchers of the Historical Institute how the CNR Institutes deal with cultural heritage studies. Under the guidance of their Montenegrin colleagues, they had also the great opportunity to visit the most important archaeological and historical sites of Montenegro, intending to identify sites for future possible joint researches. In the same year, the Montenegrin team visited Rome and the Institute, in a further exchange of research methodologies and approaches.

At the end of the second year, a closing workshop was held at the Rectorate of the University of Montenegro in Podgorica, where the two teams presented the results of the first two years of activities, proposing then some perspectives for the future (Fig. 1). The present publication is the account of this workshop, showing the communal effort made by the two groups to communicate and to find a common path. Even if both the HIM-UoM and the CNR-ISMA may be considered as humanistic institutions, they, we could say, were chronologically distanced, because they were concerned with different historical periods and used different research methodologies. The Italian team is more familiar with Bronze Age to Late Antiquity archaeology, using often new technologies applied to cultural heritage. The Montenegrin team similarly is more expert in medieval and modern history, using often archival researches as the core of their scientific activities. But in a few years, the desire to communicate and collaborate has produced a new and virtual scientific ‘bridge’ over the



Fig. 1 The participants at the workshop held in the Rectorate of the Montenegro University in Podgorica, on the occasion of the first bilateral project between the Historical Institute of the University of Montenegro (HIM-UoM) and the former Institute for Ancient Mediterranean Studies of the National Research Council of Italy (CNR-ISMA) (23<sup>rd</sup> September 2016).

Adriatic Sea, ensuring an increase of traffic in exchanges, projects and publications and so creating common ground where all the respective competencies have value.

The present volume mirrors this initial phase of our collaboration, the phase of ‘getting to know each other’, in which the different researchers set out their stalls in their clear efforts to find shared interests for collaboration. The papers are very different; they are here organized, more or less, following a chronological and thematic sequence.

But there is one element characterizing all the contributions, namely the cross-cultural approach that emerges in every, albeit so different, piece of research. From Antiquity to Contemporaneity, there is a focus on the similarities and contrasts attendant on the meeting and exchanges between practitioners of different material culture and cultures. Many of the published results promote the creation of new and more fluid concepts of identity, ones constantly in the process of transformation.

The first paper, by Lucia Alberti, deals with the search for identity of ancient human groups and what possibilities we have as researchers of detecting ancient identities through material culture analysis. Focused on southern Aegean cultural relations during the mid-2<sup>nd</sup> millennium BC, the paper is actually introduced by a short account of the first travels and archaeological researches of Sir Arthur Evans at the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. Evans's name and celebrity is indissolubly linked with the 'discovery' of the palace at Knossos, but before his activities in Crete, he visited, lived and conducted excavations in the Balkans, traversing also Montenegro and visiting the Roman town of Doclea. More specifically, the paper describes in some detail the case-study of the detected changes in the Knossos material culture of the mid-15<sup>th</sup> century BC, with specific reference to the so-called 'warrior graves', and the question of mainlanders controlling Knossos then.

The second paper, by Sergio Ribichini, concerns the myths related to Kadmos and his travels in Illyria, the territory more or less covering present Albania and Montenegro. After a precise examination of the Greek myths, the paper goes through the Illyrian names, places and landscapes, all connected with the prince Kadmos and his descendants, illustrating the different versions of the Kadmos and Harmonia myth – something very well known in Illyrian history. Recent studies have brought to light new data on the 'greekness' of the Adriatic area and the places connected with Kadmos, giving particular emphasis on his death and burial place as understood in the 5<sup>th</sup> century BC. The paper testifies how the mythical data can help reconstruct, on one hand, the Greek perception of the Balkans and, on the other, the Illyrian perception of a Greek myth. The Greeks through the hero's narrative could justify their colonialist movement into the Adriatic, and the Illyrians, at the same time, could glorify their origins by connecting themselves to such a magnificent ancestor.

The paper by Carla Sfameni is an updated account of the Roman archaeological remains in Montenegro. After the Romanization process involving the creation of provinces and *municipia*, in Late Antiquity Roman Dalmatia was divided into two provinces, Dalmatia and Praevalitana, to which latter territory modern Montenegro belongs. Recent studies suggest that during the Roman period Montenegro was a territory with a high level of cross-cultural exchanges, even if, unfortunately, the knowledge of its archaeological remains is still partial. Three main Roman cities are known up to now: Doclea in inner Montenegro, Risan on the coast, and Municipium S on the borders with Serbia. Doclea is presented in detail with its imposing ancient walls and the main monuments: the *forum*, a *basilica*, temples, *thermae*, a *domus* and the later remains of three medieval churches. The evidence coming from the Montenegrin countryside still remains very poor, even if traces of Roman villas, scattered mosaics and tombs have been found especially on the coast between Budva and Bar.



Olga Pelcer-Vujačić explores the difficult topic of perceived identity in the Roman settlements of south-eastern Dalmatia, analysing how the Roman conquest produced changes in the indigenous identities and in the civic elites. Through a detailed analysis of the epigraphic evidence, the paper underlines the different nuances of the Romanization as evidenced by names and careers. From the Docleates tribal aristocracy, who used Latin for their inscriptions but had not yet fully Romanized their names, to local family names in which the Roman elements are slowly being insinuated. If some settlements present a predominance of specific names, as the name *Flavii* in Doclea, others, as Municipium S, testify to no strict ethnic division, with mixed marriages, and Illyrian names present in Roman families and *vice versa*. Yet others, as Risinium (Risan) on the coast, have a high percentage of families coming in from the Italian peninsula. The analysis offers new insights for the reconstruction of individual and group dynamics between immigrant and indigenous persons in the Roman and Late Antiquity periods.

Tatjana Koprivica presents traces of religion and cultic activities detectable at Doclea, the second town of Roman Dalmatia. The author reconstructs a very rich religious framework, collecting both epigraphic evidence and archaeological remains, some of them not anymore extant and recovered from archival sources. Thanks to textual references and sculptural fragments at Doclea, it is possible to prove the lengthy existence of the Imperial Cult, one of the well-conceived methods of the Romans in promoting political propaganda. Other important deities worshipped at Doclea were the Capitoline Triad of Jupiter, Juno and Minerva, and other divinities such as Roma, Diana, Neptune, and Mercury. Other traces testify to the town's cosmopolitan character: an altar, now lost, mentions the Greek deity Ananke, and a Jewish tomb from one of the Late Antiquity cemeteries of Doclea constitutes one of the few Jewish monuments preserved within the Balkan peninsula.

With the paper of Dragana Kujović, we face the issue of the museological display of Ottoman ethnographic items. In a philosophical and semantic/semiotic attempt to decode the language employed in museum exhibitions, the author unveils the different ways of reading and interpreting such a display. The various items, in both their physical aspect and their symbolic connotation, are silent contributors to an unwritten text, by which the curator, setting them in some concrete context, may offer to the viewer a multiplicity of possible meanings and readings. Comparing the Homeland museums of Bar and Ulcinj, the same objects assume different meanings when presented in different contexts. The cross and the crescent, usually interpreted as Catholic and Muslim symbols, can be used and interpreted differently, depending on the allusions and comparisons drawn from different realities and narratives.



The paper of Slavko Burzanović introduces the history of the relationships between the Kingdom of Italy and the Principality of Montenegro at the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, giving a fresh and interesting account of the commercial activities carried out in the area of Bar by Italian capitalists. The Compagnia di Antivari, financed by Italian banks and factories and by private investors, started a very ambitious project to build the new town of Bar on the southern coast of Montenegro. In a period of less than ten years, the new town was planned and a modern harbour, the quays, berthing channels at Lake Skadar, a railway, new buildings like the Marina Hotel, storehouses and processing facilities for tobacco plants were all established. New radiotelegraphy stations were established both in Bar and in Bari, connecting Montenegro to the European Telegraph Network. However, changes in the political situation prevented the project from being completed: some buildings like the theatre were never realized at all.

Paola Moscati illustrates the more recent trends in archaeological computing, with specific attention to the projects carried out in the Mediterranean and, in particular, in the Adriatic area, where already in the early 1990s there had been realized the first GIS-based project. Many of the achieved and of the yet in progress activities are urban and landscape projects employing extensive use of GIS, remote sensing and 3D reconstruction: examples are the Burnum, the Potenza valley, and the Doclea projects. Recent technological progress, as in the use of sophisticated sonars and robotic underwater archaeology and in innovative data-recording procedures for the reconstruction of ancient architecture, has accelerated considerably. Advances have been accomplished in the processes of classification and recording of archaeological artefacts and in the construction of European digital infrastructures involving both coasts of the Adriatic Sea. The topic of data sharing in science and education is also presented, with specific reference to the open-access policy and the experience of the international journal *Archeologia e Calcolatori*.

Snezana Pejović offers a paper that reconstructs the steps of a digitization project realized by Italian and Montenegrin archivists alongside ICT personnel. The project executed the digitization of a very important archival fond kept in the Kotor Historical Archives, part of the National Archives of Montenegro. The fond contains administrative, diplomatic, political and military documents in different languages, belonging to the period of the Venetian rule in Istria and Dalmatia. Through the implementation and translation of the ICT tools, communication between two archival traditions, the Italian and the Montenegrin, and between different languages and alphabets was successfully carried out. Accordingly, the 30,000 original and very damaged documents can be kept safely untouched in the archive and instead be consulted online in high resolution.

The present book, as said before, is the expression of our first collaboration, aimed at building a common methodological ground. The effort was successful and by 2016, the two teams started a closer and larger collaboration: namely an important project for the re-study and enhancement of the Roman town of Doclea. Located a few kilometres from the capital Podgorica, Doclea is one of the more important ancient settlements for Montenegro, being considered by the local population as a place of cultural identity, linking their history with that of Italy and the ancient Mediterranean. Significantly Doclea, as cited also in this volume, was one of the sites we first visited in 2015, when it was proposed by our Montenegrin colleagues as one possible fruitful place for collaboration. Since then, many projects on Doclea have followed: establishing a Joint Archaeological Laboratory (Archeo-Lab 2017-2018), a Great Relevance Program of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation of Italy (MAECI, 2018-2020), and a future new bilateral program (2020-2021). Further, at the specific request of the Montenegrin authorities, many other projects and activities have got under way and are in progressing, involving other cultural sites of Montenegro too.

To conclude, I would like to thank the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation of Italy (MAECI), which organization under the Program of Great Relevance is financing this volume. I warmly thank also the society Terna Crna Gora d.o.o., which contributed to the realization of this book, and that constantly follows our activities with great and beneficial interest.



Fig. 2 The Millennium Bridge at Podgorica, Montenegro (photo by Ivan Laković).

With our work, we strongly believe that we are indeed building, year after year, an ever more solid ‘bridge’ across the Adriatic Sea (Fig. 2). A bridge that is not only made of scientific activities and results, but one that is constructed from personal and friendly human connections, so contributing to new links, exchanges, openings and thoughts within our two countries, that gaze at each other from not so very far away.

*Un ponte esiste quando le due sponde si amano.*  
(Antoni Regulski, *Aforismi*)<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> ‘A bridge exists when the two banks love each other’.