# Modelling, Verifying and Testing the Contract Automata Runtime Environment with UPPAAL

Davide Basile

(COORDINATION 2024)

Istituto di Scienza e Tecnologie dell'Informazione "A. Faedo" Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche











### Overview

- Background: Contract Automata Runtime Environment, UPPAAL
- Formal model: abstractions, adequacy
- Formal analysis:
  - parameters tuning, statistical / exhaustive model checking
- Conclusion

### Contract Automata

- Contract automata are FSA enhanced with:
  - Partitioned alphabet of actions:
    - offers !a (A° ) and requests ?a (A<sup>r</sup> )
    - special idle action (- not in  $A^o \cup A^r$  )
  - rank : the number of services in the contract
  - States are list of basic states
  - Labels are list of actions and are constrained to be:
    - offers: (-, -, -, !a)
    - requests: (-, ?a, -, -)
    - matches: (-, ?a, -, !a) (only between two)
  - size(list) = rank
  - Orchestrator abstracted away



### Contract Automata Runtime Environment



Basile, D. and ter Beek, M.H. A runtime environment for contract automata. In FM 2023

https://github.com/contractautomataproject/CARE https://github.com/contractautomataproject/CARE/tree/master/src/spec/uppaal



### UPPAAL

- Toolbox for the verification of real-time systems
  - Dialect of stochastic priced timed automata (probabilistic choices, probability distributions for delays)
  - Communications: broadcast channels, shared variables
  - Exhaustive model checking of a dialect of CTL properties
- Statistical model checking:
  - statistically estimate the probability of a formula
  - to hold by running a sufficient number of simulations, based on parameters (precision, confidence)
  - Independent of the size of the state-space
- Templates, Test generation, Simulation, etc...



### Formal model: Network of UPPAAL Automata



### Formal model: Network of UPPAAL Automata



### Formal Model: Java TCP/IP Sockets

### • Asynchronous with FIFO buffers, blocking

```
Global Declarations
                                    Runnable Orchestrated Contract
                                                                                            Runnable Orchestrator
                                     void enqueue(int ide_sig)
                                                                                             void enqueue(int id,int ide_sig)
 int orc2services[N][queueSize];
                                                                                             ł
 int services2orc[N][queueSize]:
                                         int i:
                                                                                                 int i:
                                         int s=ide_sig;
                                                                                                 int s=ide_sig;
                                         for (i:=0;i<queueSize-1;i++)</pre>
                                                                                                 for (i:=0;i<queueSize-1;i++)</pre>
 //signals IDs
                                             services2orc[id][i]:=services2orc[id][i+1];
                                                                                                     orc2services[id][i]:=orc2services[id][i+1];
 const int ORC_CHECK=1:
 const int ORC_STOP=2;
                                         services2orc[id][queueSize-1]:=s;
                                                                                                 orc2services[id][queueSize-1]:=s;
 const int ORC_CHOICE=3;
                                                                                             }
 const int CHOICE_STOP=4;
                                     }
 const int ACK=5;
 const int ERROR=6;
                                                                                             int dequeue(int id)
                                     int dequeue()
 const int SKIP=7:
 const int CHOICES=8;
                                                                                                 int i:
                                         int i:
 const int SERVICE_CHOICE=9:
                                                                                                 for (i:=0;i<queueSize;i++)</pre>
                                         for (i:=0;i<queueSize;i++)</pre>
  const int ACTION=10;
 const int REQUEST=11;
                                                                                                     if (services2orc[id][i]!=nil)
                                             if (orc2services[id][i]!=nil)
 const int OFFER=12;
 const int TYPEOFFER=13:
                                                                                                         int e=services2orc[id][i];
                                                 int e=orc2services[id][i];
 const int TYPEMATCH=14:
                                                                                                         services2orc[id][i]=nil;
                                                 orc2services[id][i]=nil;
 const int PORT=15;
                                                                                                         return e;
                                                  return e;
  const int NOPAYLOAD=16:
  const int ADDRESS=17:
                                                                                                 return nil;
                                         return nil:
                                     ι
```

### Formal Model: Java TCP/IP Sockets

write !isFull() reset[id]! enqueue(REQUEST)

- Source locations: neither urgent nor committed
  - otherwise, there could be deadlocks
- Unbounded delays: timeout model

read isEmpty() reset[id]! =dequeue ()



### Formal Model: Java TCP/IP Sockets

write !isFull() reset[id]!

enaueue(REQUEST)

- Source locations: neither urgent nor committed
  - otherwise, there could be deadlocks
- Unbounded delays: timeout model



### CARE Model: abstractions



Other abstracted aspects:

- payload of communications,
- conditionals,
- match/offer



### Adequacy: Traceability

d1==choice && d2==action && !isFull() enqueue(ACK), d1=0,d2=0	(d1!=choice    d2!=action) &&!isFull() enqueue(ERROR), d1=0,d2=0 RunnableOrchestratedContract.java
RunnableOrchestratedContract.java	line 183
	d1==ORC_CHECK&&!isEmpty() d1=dequeue(), d2=dequeue()RunnableOrchestratedContract.javalines 102, 175,176
Ready !isEmpty() d1=dequeue() RunnableOrchestratedContract.java line 98	C d1==ORC_CHOICE

### Adequacy: Traceability



## Adequacy: Testing



- each transition that involves enqueuing or dequeuing messages produces test code for writing to or reading from a socket, respectively,
- when running a simulation, whenever a transition is fired, the corresponding test code is appended to the abstract test case being generated.

		_		~	
C model_testing_orc.xmi - OPPAAL					
File Edit View Tools Options Help					
📑 🖀 🍮 👌 🔍 🔍 🔍 👒 🖉 🖄	¥				
Editor Symbolic Simulator Concrete Simulator Verifier Test Cases					
Options					
Queries: E<>( alice.steps[0]==ORC_CHECK&& bob.steps[1]==ORC_CHECK&& a	✓ Search: Brea ∨ Tra	ace: Shor	- Ad	ł	
Depth: 20	Search: Ran 🗸 Tra	ace: Some	~ Ad	d	
Process: bob V Edge: All non-covered edges	✓ Search: Brea ✓ Tra	ace: Shor	Add		
Traces	Trace statistics				
Query Trace coverage: 56/132 Trace coverage: 70/132 Trace coverage: 58/132 Trace coverage: 6/132 Query Trace coverage: 56/132 Query	bob.steps[4]: 0(1) 5(1) bob.steps[5]: 0(1) bob.steps[6]: 0(1) 1(1) bob.steps[7]: 0(1) bob.steps[8]: 0(1) bob.steps[9]: 0(1) 1(1)				Abstract test
Trace coverage: 56/132	bob.steps[0]: 0(1) 1(1)				
	bob. steps [11] . Hestcase	-005.java	×		
	bob.steps[12]: 184	-			
	bob.steps[13]:185	//matu	-hin	a of	fferer 1
	bob.steps[14]:106	/ / IIIa cv		y or	
	Trace quality: 4107				
	187				
Total Coverage Save Test Cases	188				
	189	msg =	(St	ring	<pre> j) oin.readObject(); </pre>
	190	assert	tEqu	als	(msg, expected action);
	191				
	192				
	193	msa =	(St	rind	<pre>g) oin.readObject(): // reading pavload centralise</pre>
	194	assert	Ecu	als	(msg_expectedPavload):
	105	asser	сцяч	415	(mbg, expectedray road),
	106				
	195				
	197				
	198	oout.	vrit	eObj	<pre>ject(INSERT OFFER);</pre>
	199	oout.	flus	h();	;
	200				
	201				

### Adequacy: Testing

- Test generation from queries of the form E<>
  - encode specific simulation traces that are relevant to the specific orchestration employed in the tests
  - Additional variables utilized to encode the desired simulation in the query

Query
E<>( alice.steps[0]==ORC_CHECK&& bob.steps[1]==ORC_CHECK&& alice.steps[2]==CENTRALISED_OFFER&& alice.steps[3]==CENTRALISED_MATCH&& bob.steps[4]==CENTRALISED_OFFER&& alice.steps[5]==DICTATORIAL_CHOICE&& bob.steps[6]==DICTATORIAL_CHOICE&& alice.steps[7]==CENTRALISED_OFFER&& alice.steps[8]==DICTATORIAL_CHOICE&& bob.steps[9]==DICTATORIAL_CHOICE&& bob.steps[9]==DICTATORIAL_CHOICE&& bob.steps[1]==ORC_STOP&& bob.steps[11]==ORC_STOP)

#### Comment

testing the dictatorial centralised orchestration AlicexBob the executed trace is (!euro,-)(?coffee,!coffee)[(!euro,-)^\*] steps 7-9 may repeat 0 or more time at runtime, the trace needs to be adjusted for this

concrete test file : DictatorialCentralisedRunnableOrchestrationTest.java



#### Models

#### Concrete tests

#### model\_testing\_orc.xml

model\_testing\_roc.xml

model\_testing\_roc\_distributed\_offerer.xml

model\_testing\_roc\_distributed\_requester.xml

- *model\_testing\_orc.xml* used for testing the RunnableOrchestration
  - the runnable contracts are the testers
- model\_testing\_roc.xml used for testing the RunnableOrchestratedContract
  - the tester is only the orchestrator

#### 🗸 盲 test

java/io/github/contractautomata/care/runnableOrchestration

🗋 Alice.java

🗋 Bob.java

- DictatorialCentralisedRunnableOrchestratedContractTest.java
- DictatorialCentralisedRunnableOrchestrationTest.java
- DictatorialDistributedRunnableOrchestratedContractTest.java
- DictatorialDistributedRunnableOrchestratedContract\_DistributedMatchOfferer\_Test.java
- DictatorialDistributedRunnableOrchestratedContract\_DistributedMatchRequester\_Test.java
- MajoritarianCentralisedRunnableOrchestratedContractTest.java
- MajoritarianCentralisedRunnableOrchestrationTest.java
- MajoritarianDistributedRunnableOrchestrationTest.java
- 🗸 盲 resources
  - 🗋 Alice.data
  - 🗋 Alice2.data
  - 🗋 Bob.data
  - 🗋 Bob2.data
  - 🗋 Orchestration.data
  - 🗋 Orchestration2.data



### Adequacy: Testing

- the generated tests cover all transitions of the model and all interactions between the orchestrator and the services
- the code coverage indicates that the tests derived from the model cover a significant portion of the source code
- the model is not excessively abstract compared to the actual implementation.

		coverage		1. T. I.
leme	nt 🔺	Class, %	Method, %	Line, %
	io.github.contractautomata.care.runnable	100% (12/12)	84% (39/46)	83% (292/351)
	actions	100% (4/4)	100% (8/8)	92% (91/98)
	CentralisedOrchestratedAction	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (8/8)
	CentralisedOrchestratorAction	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	95% (22/23)
	OistributedOrchestratedAction	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	88% (39/44)
	📀 DistributedOrchestratorAction	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	95% (22/23)
	OrchestratedAction	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)
	OrchestratorAction	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)	100% (0/0)
$\sim$	🗖 choice	100% (4/4)	81% (13/16)	90% (57/63)
	OictatorialChoiceRunnableOrchest	100% (1/1)	100% (3/3)	100% (3/3)
	💿 DictatorialChoiceRunnableOrchest	100% (1/1)	75% (3/4)	83% (10/12)
	💿 MajoritarianChoiceRunnableOrche	100% (1/1)	100% (4/4)	94% (16/17)
	💿 MajoritarianChoiceRunnableOrche	100% (1/1)	60% (3/5)	90% (28/31)
	G AutoCloseableList	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	71% (5/7)
	RunnableOrchestratedContract	100% (2/2)	80% (8/10)	80% (69/86)
	RunnableOrchestration	100% (1/1)	80% (8/10)	72% (70/97)



## Analysis: modelling phase

- Validation through modelling:
  - an undetected issue in the source code was identified during the modelling phase, related to the majoritarian choice
  - The orchestrator was waiting for a message also from the services not involved in the choice
  - The issue was undetected because in all tests all services were involved in a choice

### Analysis: parameters tuning

- Delays in reading and writing, timeout, buffer size, probability weights
- Goals:
  - realistic modelling: low probability of filling the buffers, timeout, excessive delays
  - improved verification performances: reducing the state-space of the model for the exhaustive verification
- Probability weights (e.g., pchoice, paction,..) can be fine tuned to model an orchestration or a set of orchestrations

### Parameters tuning: buffer size

E[<=500; 10000](max: sum(i:int[0,N-1]) (sum(j:int[0,queueSize-1])(orc2services[i][j]!=nil)))</pre>

- Goal: prevent unnecessary growth in the state space whilst reducing the probability of filling the buffers
  - Default size of Java TCP/IP Sockets is 8 KB
- With buffer size=10, the formula evaluates to ~ 4.5
  - The buffer size can be safely reduced in the model

Pr[<=500] (<>(exists (i:id\_t) ror.isFull(i)))

- Evaluates to [0,0.00996915] with buffer size set to 5
  - The buffer size is set to 5 for the subsequent experiments

### Parameters tuning: timeout

- Selected configuration of rates and timeout
- Goals: low probability of timeout, high probability of terminating within a given timeframe, lower timeout threshold

Pr[<=500] (<> ror.Timeout)

Pr[<=500](<>ror.Terminated&&(forall (i:id\_t) ROC(i).Terminated))

• Exhaustive model checking: (#services, buffer size) either set to (4,5) or (5,3). The configuration (5,4) remained inconclusive.

### Formal verification

• Termination

• P - - > Q is a shortcut for A[](p imply A<>q)

• Absence of deadlocks

A[](not deadlock || (exists(i:id\_t) SocketTimeout(i).Timeout) || (ror.Terminated && (forall (i:id\_t) ROC(i).Terminated)))

- no error state is ever reached
- an error in the model has been detected and fixed by model checking this formula

### Formal verification

• Absence of orphan messages

Pr[<=500](<>!allEmpty()&&ror.Terminated&&(forall(i:id\_t)ROC(i).Terminated))

A[]((ror.Terminated && (forall (i:id\_t) ROC(i).Terminated)) imply allEmpty())

• No dummy execution

E[] (allEmpty() && !ror.Timeout)

### Formal Verification

• No interference in matches

### Formal Verification

Mismatching configurations

ror = RunnableOrchestration(MAJORITARIAN\_CHOICE,DISTRIBUTED\_ACTION); alice = RunnableOrchestratedContract(0,MAJORITARIAN\_CHOICE,DISTRIBUTED\_ACTION); bob = RunnableOrchestratedContract(1,MAJORITARIAN\_CHOICE,DISTRIBUTED\_ACTION); carl = RunnableOrchestratedContract(2,DICTATORIAL\_CHOICE,DISTRIBUTED\_ACTION); ast = SocketTimeout(0);bst = SocketTimeout(1);cst = SocketTimeout(2); system ror,alice,bob,carl,ast,bst,cst;

A<>((ror.Error && carl.Error)||ror.Timeout)

A[](!ror.Start)

### Conclusion

- Modelling, Verification and Testing of CARE
  - model-based testing and traceability for validating the adequacy of the model
  - Statistical model checking and exhaustive model checking for fine-tuning the parameters and perform the verification
- Future work:
  - automatic alignment of artifacts,
  - managing configurations (UPPEX).







### Conclusion

- Modelling, Verification and Testing of CARE
  - model-based testing and traceability for validating the adequacy of the model
  - Statistical model checking and exhaustive model checking for fine-tuning the parameters and perform the verification
- Future work:
  - automatic alignment of artifacts,
  - managing configurations (UPPEX).
- Thanks for your attention







