



PDF Download  
3656650.3656748.pdf  
27 January 2026  
Total Citations: 1  
Total Downloads: 222

 Latest updates: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3656650.3656748>

POSTER

## Adaptive End-User Development for Social Robotics

GIACOMO VAIANI, University of Pisa, Pisa, PI, Italy

Open Access Support provided by:

University of Pisa

Published: 03 June 2024

[Citation in BibTeX format](#)

AVI 2024: International Conference on  
Advanced Visual Interfaces 2024  
June 3 - 7, 2024  
Arenzano, Genoa, Italy

# Adaptive End-User Development for Social Robotics

Giacomo Vaiani

University of Pisa, Computer Science Dept.

CNR-ISTI, HIIS Laboratory

Pisa, Italy

giacomo.vaiani@phd.unipi.it

## ABSTRACT

This article outlines an approach to democratizing interactions between humans and robots, focusing on the development of a user-friendly solution for the Pepper humanoid robot. It emphasizes a multimodal End-User Development programming style to make robotic systems more accessible and adaptable for individuals with limited technical skills. By incorporating multimodal programming, smart systems, system adaptability, and emphasizing social interactions, this research aims to refine the interface between humans and robots, enhancing user engagement and acceptance. The envisioned system integrates the Trigger-Action Programming paradigm with vocal authoring to overcome expressiveness limitations and facilitate a more intuitive user experience.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI)**; • **Computer systems organization** → *External interfaces for robotics*.

## KEYWORDS

End-User Development, Human-Robot Interaction

### ACM Reference Format:

Giacomo Vaiani. 2024. Adaptive End-User Development for Social Robotics. In *International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces 2024 (AVI 2024)*, June 03–07, 2024, Arenzano, Genoa, Italy. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3656650.3656748>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Robots are becoming increasingly integrated into daily life, displaying more social and human-like behaviors. A recent forecast predicts a \$6 billion humanoid robot market by 2035, targeting labor and elder care shortages [1]. In the sphere of social robotics, particularly where end-users may not possess extensive programming expertise, it becomes imperative to democratize robot-user interactions. This entails rendering robotic systems user-friendly and adaptable by those with minimal technical proficiency, thus endorsing the End-User Development (EUD) paradigm. Such an approach empowers users to tailor and modify robot functionalities intuitively, catering to their individual needs [18].

---

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

AVI 2024, June 03–07, 2024, Arenzano, Genoa, Italy

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-1764-2/24/06

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3656650.3656748>

This article presents a doctoral research project on Adaptive Human-Computer Interaction to be executed at the HIIS-CNR laboratory<sup>1</sup>, focusing on developing a multimodal EUD application for a Pepper humanoid robot<sup>2</sup>. Initial studies have assessed the current EUD development status, pinpointing prevalent challenges, potential solutions and requirements. Thus, this project aims to augment the EUD framework by addressing these gaps, thereby broadening the application scope of social robots.

## 2 REQUIREMENTS

Aiming to develop an innovative and comprehensive EUD solution, construction efforts of the envisioned system will focus on specific requirements. These have been identified through an extensive literature review and will be further validated by a detailed subsequent user study.

**Multimodal programming.** The implementation of a multimodal interface is perceived to offer significant benefits. Research [23][29][31] has illustrated that a multimodal strategy can enable more natural, intuitive, and efficient user interactions. This approach can diminish the complexity and ambiguity inherent in communication, thus enhancing user engagement, acceptance, and accessibility by catering to a wide range of preferences and requirements. Additionally, a multimodal interface can improve the robustness and reliability of user interactions, overcoming limitations or failures of single-mode interfaces and facilitating superior management of activities in dynamic and heterogeneous environments.

**Smart Systems.** The advancement of intelligent systems, proficient in anticipating user actions through initial insights or information garnered from planned activities, is recognized as a promising research trajectory poised to substantially refine End-User Development. This proposition not only resonates with [2] emphasis on the imperative for robotic systems to assimilate learning from their environments for the orchestration of more sophisticated tasks but also underscores the importance of staying abreast of the latest technological evolution, notably in the domains of artificial intelligence and user programming preferences [15]. The goal is to develop a system that enables users to start tasks from the ground up and suggests adaptable actions. This system will leverage built-in primitives and insights from user interactions to improve recommendations according to the user's programming habits. It also aims to help users foresee and fix programming mistakes.

**System Adaptability.** Tailoring the system to accommodate the unique habits and capabilities of its users constitutes an essential requirement, transcending the mere adherence to EUD principles as

---

<sup>1</sup><https://giove.isti.cnr.it/>

<sup>2</sup><https://www.aldebaran.com/it/pepper>

outlined by [18]. Such adaptability is crucial for enhancing the user-friendliness of the system, with adaptive programming methodologies serving as instrumental in assisting users to both anticipate and manage errors, thereby aligning with their varying proficiencies. Furthermore, as validated by [28], social environments entail a wide range of participants, significantly expanding the diversity of user variables and complicating the prediction of user profiles and preferences. Thus, future research could focus on creating robots that offer personalized interactions to individual users. Moreover, incorporating the previously mentioned learning and AI technologies could significantly enhance the system’s adaptability.

**Emphasizing Social Interactions.** In the deployment of robots in social settings, it is crucial to emphasize their intrinsic properties to enhance their capabilities in recognizing and adapting to human emotions. Consider, for instance, the use of robots in the field of rehabilitation. In this context, the significance of emotional and empathetic proximity during patients’ interaction with the robot cannot be overstated, as noted by [11], underscoring the necessity for a robot’s empathetic engagement over more conventional digital interfaces like tablets or computers. Fostering empathetic connections would enhance aspects related to rehabilitation performance, given that it is well-established that motivating patients requires a situational approach based on the character and emotional needs of them [9]. Consequently, a robot capable of identifying challenges and adjusting its behavior or demonstrating empathy would greatly benefit therapeutic outcomes.

Integrating enhanced social interaction with adaptive learning systems, as previously discussed, enables the robot to remember and reference past emotional states, leading to more nuanced interactions in future encounters. This approach is analogous to retail experiences where the value customers find in being remembered is evident [3][7]. It is proposed that this mechanism could similarly boost user engagement across all social contexts. Ultimately, creating a robot with enhanced sensitivity is expected to increase user acceptance, addressing issues widely reported in the literature [22][26].

### 3 ENVISIONED SYSTEM

The envisioned system will be based on a multimodal EUD programming modality. On one hand, it will employ the Trigger-Action Programming (TAP) paradigm. As illustrated by [17], TAP enables the customization of humanoid robot behavior through simple, event-driven rules, making it accessible for non-expert users to tailor interactions within dynamic environments. However, TAP faces limitations in expressiveness for complex behaviors and user difficulties in correctly interpreting program behaviors, often leading to errors during task creation when trigger-action distinctions are unclear [14]. Moreover, users may find it challenging to identify and debug errors due to the often implicit and non-linear nature of rule interactions [4].

To address these issues, the system’s multimodality will be achieved by integrating TAP with a vocal authoring mode. As demonstrated by the study on Tabula [23], incorporating vocal programming can overcome TAP’s expressiveness limitations by providing a more natural and intuitive means for specifying complex behaviors. Vocal

programming allows for more intuitive and natural expression of intentions, potentially enhancing expressiveness through a richer and more flexible language capable of capturing complex nuances and specific conditions not easily represented through TAP interfaces. Furthermore, vocal interactions may offer immediate feedback on programmed actions, improving understanding and facilitating the debugging process through vocal suggestions or confirmations.

Echoing [23], this programming solution allows users to create tasks linking events or conditions (triggers) to responses (actions). According to [17] definitions, a *trigger* initiates robot actions, stemming from user interactions, environmental shifts, or technological signals. An *action* is the robot’s reaction to a trigger, leveraging its capabilities from communication to movement and more, either as simple tasks or complex sequences. This system converts user-defined rules into robot-executable code, enabling tailored interactions without conventional programming. Therefore, triggers and actions are primitives defined by the developer during the system design phase. However, as we will see, the system can also suggest tasks or rules based on adaptations to the user’s habits or needs.

### 4 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

By offering a multimodal programming interface, intelligent systems, adaptability, and a focus on social dynamics, the described system aims to make user interactions smoother and more intuitive. Intelligent systems could anticipate user needs and recommend tasks, reflecting the importance of environmental learning. Adapting to user habits and emphasizing emotional connections are expected to significantly increase user engagement. Employing a TAP paradigm with vocal authoring, the system addresses issues of expressiveness and interaction, facilitating a more user-friendly EUD experience. This approach intends to enable users of various abilities to more effectively utilize the technology, broadening its applicability and acceptance across different applications.

In collaboration with the CNR Institute of Neuroscience<sup>3</sup>, Socially Assistive Robots (SARs) emerge as a significant application of our project, particularly in social assistance. SARs’ capacity for meaningful social interactions can greatly benefit individuals’ emotional and physical health [12]. Their use spans elderly care [19][21], education [10][30], rehabilitation [8][25], support for disabilities [13][27], and cognitive therapies [16][20]. Particularly, SARs address personnel shortages in cognitive rehabilitation, crucial for scaling therapy availability—a challenge exacerbated in Italy by service disparities [6] and the care needs of international migrants [5], signaling a global demand for more skilled workers. Thus, SARs complement human therapists, improving therapy access [24].

Moving forward, the project’s critical initial step involves stakeholder engagement to examine the previously discussed requirements. This is essential, as [28] underscores, for fully understanding interface needs in complex social domains and deploying autonomous robots effectively, necessitating a deep knowledge of end-users, their requirements, and environmental complexities. Additionally, the project’s design approach is human-centric, with plans for iterative refinement until the prototype achieves satisfactory utility and acceptance.

<sup>3</sup><http://www.in.cnr.it/index.php/it/>

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gopika Ajaykumar, Maureen Steele, and Chien-Ming Huang. 2021. A Survey on End-User Robot Programming. *Comput. Surveys* 54, 8 (Oct. 2021), 164:1–164:36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3466819>
- [2] Barbara Rita Barricelli, Fabio Cassano, Daniela Fogli, and Antonio Piccinno. 2019. End-user development, end-user programming and end-user software engineering: A systematic mapping study. *Journal of Systems and Software* 149 (March 2019), 101–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2018.11.041>
- [3] Sharon E. Beatty, Morris Mayer, James E. Coleman, Kristy Ellis Reynolds, and Jungki Lee. 1996. Customer-sales associate retail relationships. *Journal of Retailing* 72, 3 (Sept. 1996), 223–247. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359\(96\)90028-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359(96)90028-7)
- [4] Will Brackenburg, Abhimanyu Deora, Jillian Ritchey, Jason Vallee, Weijia He, Guan Wang, Michael L. Littman, and Blase Ur. 2019. How Users Interpret Bugs in Trigger-Action Programming. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300782>
- [5] Marco Canevelli, Ilaria Cova, Giulia Remoli, Ilaria Bacigalupo, Emanuela Salvi, Giorgia Maestri, Alessia Nicotra, Martina Valletta, Antonio Ancidoni, Francesco Sciancalepore, Silvia Cascini, Anna Maria Bargagli, Simone Pomati, Leonardo Pantoni, Nicola Vanacore, ImmiDem Study Network, and ImmiDem Study Group. 2022. A nationwide survey of Italian Centers for Cognitive Disorders and Dementia on the provision of care for international migrants. *European Journal of Neurology* 29, 7 (2022), 1892–1902. [https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.15297\\_eprint](https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.15297_eprint); <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/ene.15297>
- [6] Marco Canevelli, Alessandra Di Pucchio, Fabrizio Marzolini, Flavia Mayer, Marco Massari, Emanuela Salvi, Ilaria Palazzesi, Eleonora Lacorte, Ilaria Bacigalupo, Teresa Di Fiandra, and Nicola Vanacore. 2021. A National Survey of Centers for Cognitive Disorders and Dementias in Italy. *Journal of Alzheimer's disease: JAD* 83, 4 (2021), 1849–1857. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-210634>
- [7] J-G Cegarra-Navarro and M-T Sánchez-Polo. 2008. Linking the individual forgetting context with customer capital from a seller's perspective. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 59, 12 (Dec. 2008), 1614–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2602491>; Publisher: Taylor & Francis\_eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2602491>
- [8] Nathalia Cespedes, Marcela Munera, Catalina Gomez, and Carlos A. Cifuentes. 2020. Social Human-Robot Interaction for Gait Rehabilitation. *IEEE transactions on neural systems and rehabilitation engineering: a publication of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society* 28, 6 (June 2020), 1299–1307. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2020.2987428>
- [9] Derwin K. Chan, Chris Lonsdale, Po Y. Ho, Patrick S. Yung, and Kai M. Chan. 2009. Patient motivation and adherence to postsurgery rehabilitation exercise recommendations: the influence of physiotherapists' autonomy-supportive behaviors. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 90, 12 (Dec. 2009), 1977–1982. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2009.05.024>
- [10] Daniel P. Davison, Frances M. Wijnen, Vicky Charisi, Jan van der Meij, Vanessa Evers, and Dennis Reidsma. 2020. Working with a Social Robot in School: A Long-Term Real-World Unsupervised Deployment. In *2020 15th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI)*. 63–72. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9484296> ISSN: 2167-2148.
- [11] Frederique de Vignemont and Tania Singer. 2006. The empathic brain: how, when and why? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 10, 10 (Oct. 2006), 435–441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.08.008>
- [12] David Feil-Seifer and Maja J. Mataric. 2011. Socially Assistive Robotics. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* 18, 1 (March 2011), 24–31. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2010.940150> Conference Name: IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine.
- [13] Ruben Fuentes-Alvarez, Alejandro Morfin-Santana, Karlo Ibañez, Isaac Chairez, and Sergio Salazar. 2023. Energetic optimization of an autonomous mobile socially assistive robot for autism spectrum disorder. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI* 9 (Jan. 2023), 1053115. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2022.1053115>
- [14] Justin Huang and Maya Cakmak. 2015. Supporting mental model accuracy in trigger-action programming. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing (UbiComp '15)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 215–225. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2750858.2805830>
- [15] K. A. Ingraham, C. D. Remy, and E. J. Rouse. 2022. The role of user preference in the customized control of robotic exoskeletons. *Science Robotics* 7, 64 (March 2022), eabj3487. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.abj3487>
- [16] Min Hun Lee, D. Siewiorek, A. Smailagic, Alexandre Bernardino, and S. Badia. 2020. Designing Personalized Interaction of a Socially Assistive Robot for Stroke Rehabilitation Therapy. *ArXiv* (July 2020). <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Designing-Personalized-Interaction-of-a-Socially-Lee-Siewiorek/d3d312fa50743a5bfe2037ee39398e766f2aefd>
- [17] Nicola Leonardi, Marco Manca, Fabio Paternò, and Carmen Santoro. 2019. Trigger-Action Programming for Personalising Humanoid Robot Behaviour. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300675>
- [18] Henry Lieberman, Fabio Paternò, Markus Klann, and Volker Wulf. 2006. End-User Development: An Emerging Paradigm. In *End User Development*, Henry Lieberman, Fabio Paternò, and Volker Wulf (Eds.). Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-5386-X\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-5386-X_1)
- [19] Daniel Macis, Sara Perilli, and Cristina Gena. 2022. Employing Socially Assistive Robots in Elderly Care. *Adjunct Proceedings of the 30th ACM Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization* (July 2022), 130–138. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3511047.3537687> Conference Name: UMAP '22: 30th ACM Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization ISBN: 9781450392327 Place: Barcelona Spain Publisher: ACM.
- [20] Marco Manca, Fabio Paternò, Carmen Santoro, Eleonora Zedda, Chiara Braschi, Roberta Franco, and Alessandro Sale. 2021. The impact of serious games with humanoid robots on mild cognitive impairment older adults. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 145 (Jan. 2021), 102509. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2020.102509>
- [21] George Mois, Mimi U. Trinh, Afnaan F. Afsar Ali, Lizandra Garcia Lupi Vergara, and Wendy A. Rogers. 2023. Design Considerations for a Socially Assistive Robots in the Home to Support Older Adults. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting* 67, 1 (Sept. 2023), 542–548. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21695067231194324>
- [22] Irena Papadopoulou, Steve Wright, Christina Koulouglioti, Sheila Ali, Runa Lazarino, Angel Martín-García, Cristina Oter-Quintana, Christiana Kouta, Elena Rousou, Katalin Papp, Radka Krepinska, Valerie Tothova, Maria Malliarou, Paraskevi Apostolara, Małgorzata Lesińska-Sawicka, Małgorzata Nagorska, Miroslava Liskova, Line Nortvedt, Lise-Merete Alpers, Sylvia Biglete-Pangilinan, Ma Florinda Oconer-Rubiano, Wireporn Chaisetsampan, Nutchana Wickit, Akhtar-Ebrahimi Ghassemi, Ezzat Jafarjalal, Akile Zorba, Andrea Kuckert-Wöstheinrich, Rabin Malla, Tomiko Toda, Özlem Akman, Candan Öztürk, Teresa Puvimanasinghe, Tahereh Ziaian, Orit Eldar-Regev, and Sara Nissim. 2023. Socially assistive robots in health and social care: Acceptance and cultural factors. Results from an exploratory international online survey. *Japan journal of nursing science: JJNS* 20, 2 (April 2023), e12523. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jjns.12523>
- [23] David Porfirio, Laura Stegner, Maya Cakmak, Allison Sauppé, Aws Albarghouthi, and Bilge Mutlu. 2023. Sketching Robot Programs On the Fly. In *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 584–593. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3568162.3576991>
- [24] Sarah M. Rabbitt, Alan E. Kazdin, and Brian Scarsellati. 2015. Integrating socially assistive robotics into mental healthcare interventions: applications and recommendations for expanded use. *Clinical Psychology Review* 35 (Feb. 2015), 35–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2014.07.001>
- [25] Dennis Raigoso, Nathalia Céspedes, Carlos A. Cifuentes, Antonio J. del Ama, and Marcela Múnica. 2021. A Survey on Socially Assistive Robotics: Clinicians' and Patients' Perception of a Social Robot within Gait Rehabilitation Therapies. *Brain Sciences* 11, 6 (June 2021), 738. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11060738>
- [26] Miguel Sarabia, Noel Young, Kelly Canavan, Trudi Edginton, Yiannis Demiris, and Marcela P. Vizcaychipi. 2018. Assistive Robotic Technology to Combat Social Isolation in Acute Hospital Settings. *International Journal of Social Robotics* 10, 5 (Nov. 2018), 607–620. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-017-0421-z>
- [27] David Silvera-Tawil, Susan Bruck, Yi Xiao, and DanaKai Bradford. 2022. Socially-Assistive Robots to Support Learning in Students on the Autism Spectrum: Investigating Educator Perspectives and a Pilot Trial of a Mobile Platform to Remove Barriers to Implementation. *Sensors* 22, 16 (Aug. 2022), 6125. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s22166125>
- [28] Laura Stegner, David Porfirio, Mark Roberts, and Laura M. Hiatt. 2024. Considerations for End-User Development in the Caregiving Domain. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.17836> arXiv:2402.17836 [cs].
- [29] Hang Su, Wen Qi, Jiahao Chen, Chenguang Yang, Juan Sandoval, and Med Amine Laribi. 2023. Recent advancements in multimodal human-robot interaction. *Frontiers in Neurobotics* 17 (2023), 1084000. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbot.2023.1084000>
- [30] Rianne Van Den Bergh, Josje Verhagen, Ora Oudgenoeg-Paz, Sanne Van Der Ven, and Paul Leseman. 2019. Social Robots for Language Learning: A Review. *Review of Educational Research* 89, 2 (April 2019), 259–295. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654318821286>
- [31] Marcelo Worsley, David Barel, Lydia Davison, Thomas Large, and Timothy Mwit. 2018. Multimodal Interfaces for Inclusive Learning. In *Artificial Intelligence in Education (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Carolyn Penstein Rosé, Roberto Martínez-Maldonado, H. Ulrich Hoppe, Rose Luckin, Manolis Mavrikis, Kaska Porayska-Pomsta, Bruce McLaren, and Benedict du Boulay (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, 389–393. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93846-2\\_73](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93846-2_73)