



## Hazardous element inertisation in vitrified silicate ceramics: Effect of different matrices

S. Conte<sup>a,\*</sup>, C. Molinari<sup>a</sup>, M. Ardit<sup>b</sup>, L. Mantovani<sup>c</sup>, M. Tribaudino<sup>d</sup>, G. Cruciani<sup>b</sup>, M. Dondi<sup>a</sup>, C. Zanelli<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CNR-ISSMC, Institute of Science, Technology and Sustainability for Ceramics, Via Granarolo 64, 48018 Faenza, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Physics and Earth Sciences Department, University of Ferrara, Via Saragat 1, 44122 Ferrara, Italy


<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemical, Life and Environmental Sustainability Sciences, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 11/a, 43124 Parma, Italy

<sup>d</sup> Earth Sciences Department, University of Turin, Via Verdi 8, 10124 Turin, Italy

### HIGHLIGHTS

- Circular economy in ceramic industry: effective recycling of various industrial waste.
- Quantitative evaluation of immobilisation efficiency for 11 hazardous elements.
- Vitrified ceramics: high immobilisation efficiency, depending on composition.
- Poor retention of Mo in ceramic bodies, related to oxyanionic complexes.

### GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Red stoneware  
Porcelain stoneware  
Element mobility  
Immobilisation efficiency  
Ceramisation process

### ABSTRACT

The ceramic industry is a production sector that can efficiently recycle its own processing residues, achieving a reuse index of almost 100%. Recently, the range of waste from other industrial sectors that can be used as secondary raw materials in ceramic bodies has expanded. However, such an expansion potentially introduces hazardous components. This study aimed to quantitatively assess the efficiency of inertising hazardous elements (HEs) through ceramisation. The ceramics were characterised through XRPD, SEM-EDS and leaching tests to determine their leaching behaviour and the mechanisms of element immobilisation in neoformation phases during the ceramisation process. The results indicate high immobilisation efficiency for Ba, Co, Cr, Cu, Pb, Sb, Sn and Zn. However, Mo is the main element of concern owing to its poor retention in ceramic bodies. This is likely due to the formation of oxyanionic complexes that are difficult to immobilise in silicate matrices. In addition, the ceramic bodies exhibit substantial differences that appear to be associated with variations in pseudo-structural components and the degree of polymerisation of their vitreous phase.

\* Correspondence to: CNR-ISSMC, Via Granarolo 64, 48018 Faenza, Italy.

E-mail address: [sonia.conte@issmc.cnr.it](mailto:sonia.conte@issmc.cnr.it) (S. Conte).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134657>

Received 16 January 2024; Received in revised form 13 May 2024; Accepted 17 May 2024

Available online 20 May 2024

0304-3894/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been increasing pressure to accelerate the transition to circular economy, particularly in established sectors such as ceramics, where waste recycling is the most feasible option [1-3]. However, ceramic production is also a valuable option for mineral waste valorisation. The large volumes of raw materials used and the high-temperature treatment involved in the process can effectively stabilise the waste in the ceramic matrix [4,5]. Nevertheless, these heat treatments can also induce phase transformations that may affect the efficiency of stabilisation of certain elements [6,7].

The substitution of natural raw materials with mineral waste may introduce elements, some of which are potentially hazardous, that are only present in trace amounts in conventional formulations. This can be a conscious choice, as in the case of screen and cathode-ray tube recycled glasses containing Ba, Sr and Pb [8-10] or sludge from galvanising/plating plants containing Cr, Ni and Zn [11-13]. In some cases, unintentional additions occur due to contamination by heavy metals, such as in the case of dredged harbour sediments [14-16] or spent foundry sands [11,17,18].

The effectiveness of inertising hazardous elements (HEs) depends on the ceramic matrix in which the waste is added, in addition to the process conditions [4,6,7]. There are two categories of ceramic bodies with different stabilisation mechanisms: largely vitrified and largely recrystallised bodies. The former category results in dense products with negligible porosity, whereas the latter category results in less dense and more porous products. Within the vitrified product category, the most important types are *porcelain stoneware* and *red stoneware*, which alone account for a large portion of the global production of ceramic tiles [19, 20]. Porcelain stoneware and red stoneware have an estimated annual production of about 200 million tons per year, making them an important potential source for mineral waste recycling [21-24].

Research on HEs stabilisation has mainly focused on recrystallised and porous products, such as those used in the production of clay bricks and wall tiles [25-28]. Only a few studies have addressed largely vitrified ceramic bodies. These case studies examine the recycling of specific waste types, such as recycled glass [8,9,29,30,31], metallurgical slags [32-34], heavy metal-rich sludges [13,35,36], waste from titania production [37] and Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) ashes [32, 38-44]. MSWI ashes are a special case owing to their high levels of chlorine and sulfur [45,46], which can considerably disrupt the stabilisation process of heavy metals [47-53].

Another area of research focuses on the development of glass ceramics that contain a substantial amount of waste material while also controlling the leaching behaviour of HEs ([5,54-57], among many others). However, the compositions and thermal processes required for this approach differ from those used in industrial ceramics. The goal is to first achieve a molten state and then a controlled crystallisation, obtaining highly crystallised products. For these reasons, these contributions are not directly useful for our purposes.

Although many studies have been conducted to understand the leaching behaviour of HEs in silicate matrices (e.g. [6,46,51,53,58]), there is no comprehensive evaluation specifically on aluminosilicate vitreous compounds, such as those found in widely vitrified ceramic products. Silicate ceramics are generally effective in immobilising Ba, Cd, Ni and Zn but may not be sufficient for Mo, As and Cr, presumably due to oxy-anionic complexes, and for Pb and Cu. The leaching behaviour of aluminosilicate glasses can be greatly influenced by differences in their chemical composition and structure [59-63]. Therefore, the release of HEs introduced with a given waste in porcelain stoneware or in red stoneware may be different, with dissolution kinetics that may vary depending on the vitreous matrices' pseudo-structural components and degree of polymerisation. However, the literature on leaching of HEs from ceramic matrices does not provide information on glass composition.

The sustainability of the ceramic industry heavily relies on its

capacity to enhance resource efficiency and transition to a circular economy. These objectives are achieved in ceramic production by increasing waste recycling. The main challenge is controlling the fate of HEs that may be present in waste materials. This study aimed to investigate the leaching behaviour of HEs contained in vitrified bodies, namely, red stoneware and porcelain stoneware, which are the most popular materials in tile-making. Furthermore, the glassy phase is believed to have the most interaction with the phases containing HEs, making it crucial for their stabilisation. The HEs considered in this study were Ba, Co, Cr, Cu, Mo, Pb, Sb, Sn, Sr, V and Zn. These elements may be found in residues that are used (or potential candidates) as secondary raw materials in ceramic tiles.

For the purpose of comparison, we also studied the equivalent of red stoneware with a largely amorphous matrix. This represents the case of ceramic products fired at relatively low temperatures to yield porous products with low carbon footprints. In addition, we investigated the effect of inorganic compounds, namely, Cl and S, which are volatilised during firing and added in the form of untreated MSWI bottom ash (BA), on the stabilisation of heavy metals.

## 2. Experimental

Four batches were designed according to the industrial recipes to obtain one vitrified and two largely vitrified ceramic products (i.e. a red stoneware and two different porcelain stoneware bodies) and one largely unreacted body (low-fired brick). Specifically, the two porcelain stoneware batches are represented by a classic body (GPO) and a 'glass-ceramic stoneware' (GSTO), taking into account new batches designed under the circular economy concept recycling glass cullet [9,29,30, 64-76]. GPO and GSTO were formulated with the same raw materials, i.e. ball clay, kaolin, sodic feldspar and quartz sand, in different proportions. Furthermore, a silica-lime-soda glass (SLS), currently used in tile-making, was utilised in the GSTO formulation. The red stoneware (GR) and the low-fired brick (NR) were made at 100% with the same red clay (Table 1 for batch formulation and Table S1, supplementary material, for the chemical-mineralogical composition of raw materials). The different firing schedules, between GR and NR, enabled the yield of distinct finished products.

The chemical composition of the raw batches was determined through X-ray fluorescence by wavelength-dispersive spectrometry (XRF-WDS, Axios-Panalytical; 4-kW Rh tube). The main components are reported in Table 1, whereas both major and minor oxides are given in Table S2 as supplementary information. The accuracy of determination was better than 3% relative, whereas the precision for major constituents was between 1% and 2% (relative) and that for the minor constituents was in the range of 2-3% (threes replicates). The four reference

**Table 1**  
Formulation and chemical composition of the raw batches.

	Unit	GPO	GSTO	GR	NR
Ball clay	wt%	30	40	-	-
Kaolin	wt%	5	-	-	-
Red clay	wt%	-	-	100	100
Quartz sand	wt%	15	13	-	-
Sodic feldspar	wt%	50	27	-	-
Soda lime glass	wt%	-	20	-	-
SiO <sub>2</sub>	wt%	70.62	70.15	62.44	62.44
TiO <sub>2</sub>	wt%	0.66	0.73	0.80	0.80
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	wt%	17.69	15.02	16.16	16.16
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	wt%	1.20	0.99	6.68	6.68
MgO	wt%	0.52	0.79	2.38	2.38
CaO	wt%	0.75	2.68	1.32	1.32
MnO	wt%	0.02	0.02	0.17	0.17
Na <sub>2</sub> O	wt%	3.28	4.31	1.45	1.45
K <sub>2</sub> O	wt%	1.41	1.39	2.70	2.70
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	wt%	0.23	0.20	0.12	0.12
Loss on ignition, Lol	wt%	3.63	3.73	5.77	5.77

bodies were tested at the laboratory scale. For the porcelain stoneware batches GPO and GSTO, a wet grinding process was adopted: all raw materials were ball-milled in a porcelain jar using dense alumina balls as grinding media, 40% water (by weight of the slip) and 0.3% deflocculant (sodium tripolyphosphate, by weight of dry batch) for 15 min. The slips were oven-dried and then de-agglomerated (1-mm mesh hammer mill). Unlike the previous samples, the red clay batches (GR and NR) were dry-de-agglomerated in a porcelain mortar until all the powder passed through a 500- $\mu\text{m}$  sieve. Upon obtaining the reference batches, an artificial laboratory waste was designed to have a single material containing the HEs considered in this study to simplify batch preparation. This material is not intended to simulate a specific type of waste but to constitute a case study with various HEs occurring simultaneously, as often occurs with residues added to ceramic materials. The concentrations of HEs were selected high enough to make the determination of eluates robust, taking into account the degree of dilution of artificial waste (AW) in the ceramic body and the usual concentrations of eluates from ceramic materials. Starting from a ceramic sludge and a Si–Na glass used as matrix, the following reagents were added:  $\text{BaCO}_3$ ,  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ ,  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{CuO}$ ,  $\text{MoO}_3$ ,  $\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{SnO}_2$ ,  $\text{SrCO}_3$ ,  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$ ,  $\text{ZnO}$  and  $\text{PbO}$ . Sludge and glass were selected because they have a composition comparable with that of the ceramic matrices where the AW would be inserted and because the sludge already contains  $\text{ZnO}$ . The sludge and the reagents already had a fine particle size (<100  $\mu\text{m}$ ), whereas the glass, before being added, was wet-milled for 10 min in a porcelain jar using dense alumina balls as grinding media (Magellano, Ceramics Instruments) and then oven-dried. The obtained mixture (containing ceramic sludge, glass and reagents) was homogenised by mixing it in a polyethylene bottle for 2 h in a turbula, yielding the so-called AW. Simultaneously, a real waste-containing HEs (Cr, Cu, Zn, Pb) was also taken into consideration to explore the effect of Cl and S, present in relatively high amounts, on the inertisation of HEs. Specifically, the bottom fraction of the MSWI ash coming from the Ferrara (Italy) incinerator was chosen. This bottom ash (BA) was dry-ground using a hammer mill with a 200- $\mu\text{m}$  mesh to avoid reactions in contact with water. The chemical compositions of the AW and BA were determined through XRF-WDS and are listed in Table 2. At this point, the AW and BA were separately added to the starting batches. The powders of the reference bodies were divided into three lots to obtain a waste-free body (without addition), a body with addition of 10 wt% BA and another one with 10 wt% of AW. By this way, 12 batches were obtained (four reference bodies  $\times$  three formulations), labelled

**Table 2**  
Chemical composition of the artificial waste and MSWI bottom ash.

wt%	Artificial waste			Bottom ash		
$\text{SiO}_2$	49.07	$\pm$	0.74	33.39	$\pm$	0.50
$\text{TiO}_2$	0.51	$\pm$	0.02	0.88	$\pm$	0.03
$\text{ZrO}_2$	2.36	$\pm$	0.07	0.02	$\pm$	0.00
$\text{SnO}_2$	1.56	$\pm$	0.05	0.01	$\pm$	0.00
$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$	14.84	$\pm$	0.22	8.82	$\pm$	0.13
$\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$	1.25	$\pm$	0.04	0.11	$\pm$	0.00
$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	1.13	$\pm$	0.02	7.72	$\pm$	0.12
$\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3$	1.36	$\pm$	0.04	0.00	$\pm$	0.00
$\text{MgO}$	0.88	$\pm$	0.03	2.92	$\pm$	0.09
$\text{CaO}$	5.96	$\pm$	0.11	31.75	$\pm$	0.57
$\text{MnO}$	0.87	$\pm$	0.03	0.20	$\pm$	0.01
$\text{CoO}$	1.06	$\pm$	0.03	0.00	$\pm$	0.00
$\text{CuO}$	0.92	$\pm$	0.03	0.28	$\pm$	0.01
$\text{ZnO}$	3.38	$\pm$	0.10	0.44	$\pm$	0.01
$\text{SrO}$	0.88	$\pm$	0.03	0.00	$\pm$	0.00
$\text{BaO}$	1.71	$\pm$	0.05	0.13	$\pm$	0.00
$\text{PbO}$	1.10	$\pm$	0.03	0.37	$\pm$	0.01
$\text{Na}_2\text{O}$	2.54	$\pm$	0.05	2.57	$\pm$	0.05
$\text{K}_2\text{O}$	2.32	$\pm$	0.04	1.11	$\pm$	0.02
$\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$	1.37	$\pm$	0.04	0.01	$\pm$	0.00
$\text{MoO}_3$	0.99	$\pm$	0.03	0.00	$\pm$	0.00
Cl	0.19	$\pm$	0.01	1.31	$\pm$	0.04
S	0.14	$\pm$	0.00	1.27	$\pm$	0.04
LoI	3.60			6.70		

with the acronyms of the waste, e.g. series GPO/GPO-BA/GPO-AW. The 12 mixtures were homogenised by mixing them in a polyethylene bottle for 1 h in a three-dimensional mixer (Turbula). Then, they were humidified (5 wt% of water for red clay batches and 8 wt% for porcelain stoneware) and manually granulated (1-mm sieve). The powders were compacted with a hydraulic press (40 MPa) into  $110 \times 55 \times 5$  mm tiles, oven-dried in an electric oven at  $105 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} \pm 5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  overnight and characterised for springback (i.e.  $100(L_p - L_m)/L_m$ , where  $L_p$  denotes the length of the pressed tile and  $L_m$  is the length of the mould) and green and dry bulk density (weight/volume ratio) (Table 3). Porcelain stoneware and red stoneware powder compacts were fast-fired (60-min cold-to-cold cycle) in an electric chamber kiln (Nannetti, Italy) at different maximum temperatures ( $T_{\text{max}}$ ): 1210  $^\circ\text{C}$ , 1220  $^\circ\text{C}$  and 1120  $^\circ\text{C}$  for the GPO, GSTO and GR series, respectively. Otherwise, the unreacted body series (NR/NR-BA/NR-AW) was slow-fired in an electric aspirated kiln (Nannetti KL40/12 S) at 820  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 12 h.

The technological properties determined after firing the samples at different  $T_{\text{max}}$  were (Table 3): linear firing shrinkage (i.e.  $100(L_m - L_f)/L_m$  where  $L_f$  denotes the length of the fired tiles and  $L_m$  denotes the length of the mould), water absorption and bulk density (ASTM C373). The chemical compositions are listed in Table S2, supplementary materials

The phase composition of the fired products was quantitatively assessed through X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD). Data collection was performed at room temperature using a Bruker D8 Advance Da Vinci diffractometer (available at the Physics and Earth Sciences Dept., University of Ferrara) operating in Bragg–Brentano geometry and equipped with a Cu-anode X-ray tube, Ni-filter to suppress the  $\text{Cu-K}\beta$  component and a LynxEye XE silicon strip detector (2.585 $^\circ$  of window size) set to discriminate the  $\text{Cu-K}\alpha_{1,2}$  radiation. Each sample powder was scanned in a continuous mode in the 5–90 $^\circ$  2 $\theta$  angular range with a step size of 0.02 $^\circ$  2 $\theta$  and a counting time of 2 s per step. A knife perpendicular to the sample was placed at a suitable distance from the sample surface to reduce air-induced scattering. Before the XRPD measurements, each sample was mixed with 20 wt% corundum (NIST alumina powder SRM 676) as an internal standard for the quantification of the crystalline phases and XRD amorphous content and then ground using an agate mortar and pestle [77,78]. Qualitative phase analysis was conducted using the EVA software version 6 (Bruker). The collected XRPD patterns were modelled using the fundamental parameter Rietveld approach (TOPAS v.5, Bruker). Because the certified weight percentage of crystalline corundum SRM 676 was 91.75 ( $\pm$  1.52) wt%, the weight fraction of the crystalline corundum used as an internal standard to obtain the correct value of the amorphous fraction of the investigated samples was maintained at 18.35 wt% during the refining procedure (i.e. the corundum was treated as a spiked phase with a crystalline phase fraction of 20 wt%  $\times$  0.9175 = 18.35 wt%). All the identified phases were modelled by performing multi-phase refinements. Known instrumental parameters (e.g. goniometer radius, slit sizes, X-ray tube geometric parameters) were used to calculate the instrumental contribution to the peak profiles, the zero-error correction was fixed at the value determined using the NIST Si standard (SRM 640e), and refinements included a sample displacement correction and a Chebyshev polynomial curve to model the background. The Rietveld refinement plot of some of the collected XRPD patterns is reported as a supplementary material (Fig. S1).

To study the microstructure of the fired bodies, samples of approximately 0.5  $\times$  0.5 cm were cut and embedded in resin, polished and then examined using a Jeol 6400 scanning electron microscope equipped with an Oxford energy-dispersive spectrometer (SEM-EDS). EDS investigation of specific areas of interest was conducted to gain better understanding of element diffusion. The analytical conditions were 20-kV and 1.2-mA current,  $\sim$ 1-mm beam diameter and 60-s counting time; several analytical points and chemical maps per sample were performed. Images were obtained using both back-scattered and secondary electron detectors.

**Table 3**

Technological properties of unfired and fired products: unfired = springback (SPBK), green bulk density (GBD), dry bulk density (DBD); fired = firing temperature (Firing T), firing shrinkage (FS), bulk density (BD), water absorption (WA), \*warped.

Tile commercial classification ISO13006	Property		Unfired			Fired			
			SPBK	GBD	DBD	Firing T	FS	BD	WA
			unit	cm/m	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	°C	cm/m	g/cm <sup>3</sup>
<b>Large vitrified Group BIa</b>	GPO	mean	0.47	2.107	1.959	1210	5.8	2.425	0.39
		±	0.01	0.016	0.014		0.3	0.029	0.05
	GPO-BA	mean	0.48	2.090	1.956	1210	5.3	2.330	0.36
		±	0.02	0.013	0.007		0.2	0.002	0.13
	GPO-AW	mean	0.50	2.088	1.941	1210	6.0	2.376	0.15
		±	0.01	0.012	0.009		0.2	0.020	0.09
	GSTO	mean	0.45	2.065	1.914	1220	4.5 *	2.280	0.11
		±	0.01	0.068	0.073		0.3	0.016	0.09
	GSTO-BA	mean	0.46	2.092	1.970	1220	2.6 *	2.189	0.12
		±	0.02	0.006	0.004		0.4	0.007	0.05
	GSTO-AW	mean	0.47	2.088	1.950	1220	2.1 *	2.079	0.09
		±	0.01	0.008	0.022		0.3	0.014	0.01
<b>Semi-vitrified Group BIb</b>	GR	mean	0.48	2.135	2.062	1120	4.0	2.247	6.22
		±	0.02	0.023	0.010		0.3	0.009	0.19
	GR-BA	mean	0.47	2.133	2.082	1120	2.5	2.151	8.06
		±	0.01	0.007	0.067		0.2	0.003	0.12
	GR-AW	mean	0.49	2.135	2.054	1120	4.4	2.237	6.60
		±	0.01	0.006	0.008		0.6	0.003	0.10
<b>Porous Group BIII</b>	NR	mean	0.50	2.140	2.057	820	- 0.4	1.967	13.33
		±	0.02	0.013	0.014		0.1	0.015	0.34
	NR-BA	mean	0.48	2.136	2.061	820	- 0.3	1.962	13.66
		±	0.01	0.010	0.007		0.1	0.025	0.74
	NR-AW	mean	0.47	2.138	2.059	820	- 0.3	1.967	13.52
		±	0.01	0.011	0.012		0.1	0.022	0.55

Leaching tests were conducted on all the fired samples to evaluate the mobility of the HEs with a liquid/solid ratio of 10 and a particle size < 4 mm, as required by the EN 12457-2:2002 standard, in both water (pH ranging from 8 to 11) and acetic acid 5% w/w (pH 5). The samples were manually crushed and sieved to achieve a grain size lower than 4 mm. To better discriminate the material properties, the obtained fragments were sieved at 2 mm showing different fractions between 2 and 4 mm (fraction higher than 2 mm: GPO-GSTO~80%; GR~50%; NR~40%). A fraction representative of the whole samples (sieved at 4 mm) was kept in rotation for 24 h, after which the eluate was filtered at 40 µm and analysed using an Agilent 5100 inductively coupled plasma equipped with an optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, analysis details in [Table S3 supplementary materials](#)) without dilution.

Data elaboration was performed to contrast the leaching data with the total amount of every HE present in the ceramic body. The *fraction mobilised* ( $f_{HE}$ ) denotes the fraction of HEs that can be released to the total HE amount ( $f_{HE} = \xi_{leached}/\xi_{total}$ , where  $\xi_{leached}$  and  $\xi_{total}$  are the relative HE weight fractions in the leachate and ceramic bulk, respectively). Another parameter used to quantify the degree of retention of toxic elements in silicate ceramics is the *efficiency of immobilisation* ( $\epsilon_{HE}$ ). It expresses the percentage of a given HE that is not mobilised during the leaching test of the waste-bearing ceramic bulk, calculated as  $\epsilon_{HE} = (\xi_{total} - \xi_{leached})/\xi_{total} * 100$ . The  $\xi_{leached}$  values are calculated from the measured HE concentrations in the leachate solution and then normalised with respect to the extraction volume determined by a specific procedure. The *hazard quotient* ( $HQ_{HE}$ ) is calculated as  $HQ_{HE} = \xi_{leached}/\xi_{limit}$ . This parameter shows how close the released HE amount ( $\xi_{leached}$ ) is to the regulatory limit established for inert materials, EU (Dir. 1999/31/EC).  $HQ_{HE}$  values above unity indicate that the permissible limit is exceeded.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Ceramic matrix: phase composition of the ceramic bodies

[Fig. 1](#) shows the phase composition of the 12 samples obtained after firing (see also [Table S4 in supplementary material](#)). GPO exhibits the

classical porcelain stoneware phase composition, consisting of a low content of feldspar remnants (plagioclase and K-feldspar), residual quartz and neof ormation mullite, embedded in an abundant amorphous phase [\[79\]](#).

The porcelain stoneware GSTO, which contains 20 wt% of SLS waste glass in the starting formulation, has a lower amount of quartz and mullite and a higher percentage of plagioclase than GPO. This is due to the peralkaline chemistry of the GSTO body ([Fig. 2](#)), related to the presence of glass rich in Ca and Na. This chemistry enhances the stability of feldspars at the expense of mullite formation and quartz persistence, as observed in the literature [\[80-83\]](#). Both GPO and GSTO have residual plagioclase. In addition, it can be inferred that neof ormation plagioclase is present in GSTO. Both batches used the same starting albite as flux. To determine if the plagioclase in the fired tiles is residual or neof ormation, the crystallo-chemical relationships described by Morrison et al. [\[84\]](#) can be applied. According to the refined unit-cell parameters (Equations 1a and 1b [\[84\]](#)), the plagioclase phase in GPO maintains an albitic stoichiometry after firing, whereas the plagioclase phase in GSTO, with a Ca/Na ratio of ~2, represents a more anorthitic term. The crystallisation of anorthite is compatible with the corresponding calcium content introduced by the SLS glass waste. As regards the other phases detected in the GSTO body, cristobalite was already reported for porcelain stoneware bodies containing waste glass [\[85,86\]](#), whereas clinopyroxene was never previously reported, presumably because of its low amount.

NR and GR were produced from the same raw materials but were fired under different schedules, which resulted in differences in their mineralogical compositions. NR was fired at a relatively low temperature of 820 °C, which led to limited phase reactions. These reactions included the breakdown of calcite and incomplete decomposition of phyllosilicates (illite and mica), which partially transformed into plagioclase and K-feldspar. Quartz and feldspars remained stable under the firing conditions. Thus, most of the crystalline phases were residual, whereas the amorphous/disordered phase mainly resulted from the breakdown of clay minerals. These mineralogical features are consistent with the composition of typical scarcely reacted ceramic bodies, such as certain types of bricks [\[87,88\]](#).




Fig. 1. Phase composition of the fired batches.




Fig. 2. Chemical compositions of the investigated ceramic bodies in the SiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>O ternary phase diagrams (for the diagram construction, see [83]).

The heat treatment of GR at 1120 °C resulted in a complex set of transformations. This process involved the melting of feldspars and illite-mica as well as the incorporation of amorphous by-products derived from the breakdown of these minerals in a melt, which generates an abundant vitreous phase in the finished product. Furthermore, new crystalline phases such as spinel, melilite, clinopyroxene and mullite formed. Spinel could be a defective structure of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase, likely representing the metastable precursor of mullite [89,90].

Indeed, the considerable presence of its precursor (~3 wt%) would also explain the low content of mullite, justified by the relatively low firing temperature (1120 °C). Melilite formation at low thermal regimes is a common occurrence [87,88]. The presence of clinopyroxene, likely an Al-rich augite solid solution based on the refined unit-cell parameters (Equations 4a to 4d [84]), is consistent with the amounts of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO and CaO (Table 1). The high vitreous-phase content in the final body classifies the GR batch as a semi-vitrified product.

The samples can be classified based on their technological properties, according to the commercial classification of the ceramic products (ISO 13006 standard). The fired samples confirm that GPO and GSTO, with water absorption < 0.5%, correspond to largely vitrified ceramics (B1a). GR, with water absorption of 6–8%, can be classified as a semi-vitrified product (B11b), whereas NR, with water absorption ~13%, falls within the field of porous ceramics (B111) (Table 3).

As regards the impact of waste introduction on phase transformations, it is noteworthy that the addition of 10 wt% of BA or AW resulted in similar trends, with a decrease in quartz and mullite compared with the reference batches. As previously observed for the SLS glass, BA and AW have lower silica content and higher level of alkali and alkaline earth elements than other raw materials. Consequently, their introduction led to ceramic bodies with a peralkaline composition, as shown in Fig. 2. For GPO, which originates from a highly peraluminous body, the waste-containing batches shifted their chemical composition towards the meta-aluminous field. Peralkaline compositions promote the persistence of feldspars, particularly plagioclase, as evidenced by the higher content in the waste-bearing batches. However, such a composition is unfavourable for mullite stability and often detrimental to quartz.

As regards the other neof ormation phases, such as cristobalite, clinopyroxene, spinel and melilite, the presence of waste did not cause any substantial variation in their amount. The content of the amorphous phase increased in the presence of waste owing to its fluxing nature. However, the mineralogical observations made so far could not be extended to the NR bodies, which remained a substantially unreacted material even after the waste incorporation.

In-depth mineralogical analyses were crucial to confirm the formation of crystalline/amorphous phases capable of hosting HEs. Many detected phases can accommodate different HEs under specific conditions [6], as discussed in Section 3.3.

### 3.2. Microstructure and physical features of the ceramic bodies

Figs. 3–5 (and S2–S5, supplementary materials) illustrate the microstructure of the fired bodies as observed through SEM back-scattered electrons. The benchmark bodies GPO, GSTO, GR and NR exhibit the typical features of their respective ceramic types (Fig. 3). GPO and GSTO have a compact microstructure with relics of quartz and

feldspars, commonly referred to as ‘skeleton’ of porcelain stoneware. Light-scattering particles, mainly composed of iron, and rounded isolated pores and irregularly shaped pores are also present. The firing process results in the formation of tiny mullite and clinopyroxene crystals, usually a few micrometres in size, which are not clearly visible without etching [82,91]. Crystals and pores are present within an abundant non-crystalline matrix (a viscous phase at high temperature). This matrix promotes the sintering process by wetting the mineral particles and reducing the voids, which increases the bulk density of the ceramic body. The main difference between GPO and GSTO is the porosity, which is reflected in the bulk density (Table 3). GPO is characterised by a lower volume of pores, which are also smaller in size (ranging from a few up to ~20 µm). Contrarily, GSTO exhibits a bimodal pore distribution, with small pores (around 10–20 µm) coexisting with relatively large pores (up to ~70 µm in diameter). This is the effect of 20-wt% SLS glass in the starting batch, which leads to an accelerated vitrification (high content of amorphous phase, Fig. 1) associated with reduced densification efficiency (Table 3). Similar effects have been observed in other batches containing waste or strong fluxes, such as biomass ash [81,92–94], borate-bearing batches [80] and glassy waste in amount > 10 wt% [24,70,75,82,91].

The microstructure of the NR and GR bodies reflects the phase evolution induced by the heat treatment. The low-fired NR batch exhibits characteristics of a porous body with a negligible degree of vitrification. Specifically, it has a ‘crystalline’ aspect, with sharp-edged crystals predominating. These crystals represent the starting assemblage of the red clay used, composed of quartz, feldspars, calcite and iron oxide grains dispersed in a fine-grained matrix containing clay minerals and porosity. The amorphous phase inferred from XRPD analyses does not represent a vitreous phase obtained by cooling a melt, as in the case of porcelain stoneware, but rather the product of clay minerals breakdown. The high-magnification micrograph shown in Fig. S2 (left) highlights the presence of structural relicts of clay minerals.

Meanwhile, firing the GR body at an increased temperature resulted in a significant loss of angularity of the crystalline grains and to a more compact structure (Fig. 3). This was due to the occurrence of a liquid phase (Fig. S2-right) that reduced porosity and incorporated residual minerals into a vitreous matrix.

The incorporation of waste exerted varying effects on the microstructure of the benchmark bodies, with AW being the most effective,






Fig. 3. Microstructure of the benchmark fired bodies: 1 = Fe oxides; 2 = quartz; 3 = clay minerals; 4 = feldspars; 5 = glass.



**Fig. 4.** Microstructure of fired bodies containing artificial waste. 1 = Fe oxides (zircon in GR-AW); 2 = quartz; 3 = clay minerals; 4 = feldspars; 5 = glass; 6 = heavy element diffusion.



**Fig. 5.** Microstructure of fired bodies containing bottom ash. 1 = Fe oxides; 2 = quartz; 3 = clay minerals; 4 = feldspars; 5 = glass; 6 = heavy element diffusion.

particularly in GPO and GSTO. Their microstructure (Fig. 4) is not disrupted and can be easily compared with that of the reference bodies (Fig. 3). This suggests a good reactivity of the waste and effective element diffusion. GR and particularly NR are less reacted, so that aggregates of unreacted particles of AW, rich in all the HEs, were sometimes observed.

The case of BA was distinct due to the presence of metallic aggregates (Fe-rich alloys, a known component of BA), which were visible even at a low magnification (Fig. S3, supplementary material). The degree of interaction between the BA and the ceramic bodies appeared to be temperature-dependent, as evidenced by melting and element diffusion during firing. In the largely vitrified bodies, a certain degree of BA

melting could be observed. This could lead to the diffusion of heavy elements. However, in GR and NR, there was no considerable exchange between the ceramic matrix and the waste (Fig. 5 and S4-left).

In general, the BA inclusions present in the ceramic matrices exhibited minimal reactivity, even when the ceramic body was fired at high temperatures. These inclusions consist of particles composed of a glassy matrix, HT mineral phases, and metallic or alloy aggregates, which are the same features found in the BA [95]. For instance, a piece of unreacted material from the BA can be observed in GPO (Fig. 5 and S3). The 1-mm fragment consists of an aluminosilicate matrix with Fe-, Cr- and Mn-rich areas (the brighter ones). Rimming this inclusion, a large void is present, which likely resulted from the degassing of

hydrated or carbonate phases during the ceramic process. In GSTO, which was fired at 1220 °C and had the highest melt content at HT favouring the interaction with the BA, partially reacted metallic inclusions are visible (Fig. 5 and S4-right). The diffusion of elements from BA into the ceramic matrix appears to be restricted to the area adjacent to the aggregates, resulting in the dendritic shape typical of BA. These structures are chemically Fe-rich silicates, indicating a high melting temperature and subsequent cooling rates without reaching thermodynamic equilibrium. In the NR ceramic body, BA residues are completely unreacted, with well-defined boundaries and no evidence of element mixing with the ceramic matrix (Fig. S4-left). In the sample GR, we found both unreacted BA particles and elongated neof ormation crystals of wollastonite (Fig. 5 and S5, supplementary materials).

Regarding the physical features of the ceramic bodies, the data in Table 3 indicate that the addition of waste did not significantly affect the open porosity, which is determined by water absorption. The only notable change is an increase in porosity in the GR-BA sample, but it still falls under the category of semi-vitrified body. However, highly vitrified samples containing waste exhibit a clear decrease in BD (Table 3). The BD of ceramic products is affected by the total porosity variation. A decrease in BD indicates a higher level of closed porosity, which is actually visible in the SEM micrographs (Figs. 4–5). This phenomenon is caused by the waste, which has a fluxing behaviour [81,83].

It can be concluded that although no particular technological problems have been encountered in the manufacture of ceramic products containing BA, the waste was not completely integrated into the ceramic matrix. The persistence of unreacted grains is likely related to their grain size and the refractoriness of certain components in the BA. As explained in the experimental section, the BA was dry-ground, which may have resulted in the presence of relatively large aggregates that only partially reacted during the short ceramic firing time (~1 h for vitrified materials). Furthermore, despite being composed of ~25-wt% amorphous phase and low-melting minerals (~40 wt%), such as calcite, ettringite and portlandite, certain components of BA, such as melilites and spinels, exhibit high refractoriness [95]. In addition, the substantial heterogeneity of BA makes the prediction of its behaviour extremely challenging.

### 3.3. Leaching behaviour

The leaching tests were conducted simultaneously in water and in acetic acid solution. The eluates from the former were basic (pH 8–11), whereas those from the latter were acidic (pH 5). The leaching solutions were selected to mimic natural environmental conditions that ceramic materials may undergo during landfilling and to better discriminate the leaching behaviour of HEs. Actually, the reduction of pH resulting from acid rain promotes cation dissolution and may enhance element mobilisation. Acetic acid was selected as it has already been used in the ISO 6486–1 standard for evaluating the leaching of Pb and Cd in ceramic materials. The leaching results for ceramic bodies containing the AW are reported and discussed here. The results of the leaching from the BA-bearing bodies are reported in the supplementary materials (Fig. S6) and must be considered with caution. Microstructural observations suggest that leaching results cannot be solely attributed to the specific incorporation of any of the HEs in the crystalline and/or amorphous phases. This is due to the low degree of interaction between the BA and the ceramic matrices, as previously discussed.

The leaching behaviour was evaluated on the fired bodies, ensuring that the results were not affected by any volatilisation of HEs during the firing process. After applying a correction for loss on ignition, no evidence of volatilisation was observed for Ba, Cu, Pb, Sb and Sn when comparing the concentration in fired bodies with that in unfired bodies. The contents of Co, Cr, Mo, Sr and Zn may experience a slight decrease after firing, so that a minimal volatilisation of HEs cannot be completely ruled out.

#### 3.3.1. Leaching in water and in an acidic environment

To compare the effect of different ceramic matrices on the immobilisation of HEs, the leaching data of the bodies containing the AW are plotted in the binary diagrams in Fig. 6. The elemental concentrations measured in the eluates are listed in Tables S5 and S6 (supplementary materials). Notably, the tests conducted with water as the eluate are affected by the pH increase (Table S5, supplementary materials) caused by metal dissolution. Contrarily, acetic acid maintained a constant pH. The leaching dataset for the GPO-AW and GSTO-AW bodies exhibited similar trends in both basic and acidic environments (Fig. 6 A–B). The extraction of elements generally increased as the pH decreased. Specifically, only acidic solutions mobilised Pb and Co, whereas the extraction of Zn and Cu was enhanced at low pH. The former effect was induced by the formation of  $\text{PbCO}_3/\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ , which are insoluble in the alkaline solutions achieved in the water-based leaching tests [96, 97]. A similar effect can be argued for Zn and Cu, as the formation of insoluble species is expected in alkaline conditions [96–98]. Essentially, GPO-AW exhibited a greater release of Ba (in water), Sr and Co than GSTO-AW. It has been hypothesised that Sr and Ba are more effectively immobilised in GSTO due to the crystallisation of an anorthite-rich phase during firing (Fig. 1 and Table S4). This may be due to the incorporation of Ba [99] and Sr [100,101] at the extra-framework site of the plagioclase crystal structure. In addition,  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  may be enriched in the aluminosilicate amorphous phase as charge compensators, enabling  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  to be part of the tetrahedral glass framework. The higher content of the amorphous phase in GSTO-AW than in GPO-AW is consistent with the more effective immobilisation of Ba and Sr. As regards cobalt, it can be hosted in the clinopyroxene formed in GSTO, which allows for a more efficient retention test [102]. However, Pb and Cu were mobilised to a greater extent in GSTO-AW than in GPO-AW, possibly due to the different glassy phase (Fig. S7), where  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$  are incorporated with a low oxygen coordination number [103, 104]. For the bodies made with the red clay, NR-AW and GR-AW (Fig. 6 C–D), the water and acetic acid solution-based tests exhibited similar trends. This confirmed a higher extraction of all the HEs in the acidic medium, particularly Co–Zn–Pb–Cu, as previously discussed.

In general, the extraction of HEs is more pronounced in NR-AW than in GR-AW. This is particularly evident in the case of Sr, V and Mo, which are completely mobilised in NR-AW in both environments. The distinctive behaviour of NR is attributed to the very low degree of reaction of the ceramic body. In fact, the higher firing temperature of GR enabled the formation of a melt and different crystalline phases able to host HEs, such as clinopyroxene, which can host  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and  $\text{V}^{3+}$  in octahedral coordination [6]; spinel, which can accommodate  $\text{Cu}^+$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and  $\text{V}^{4+}$  at its tetrahedral site; and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Cr}^{3+}$  and  $\text{V}^{3+}$  at its octahedral site [6,105,106].

Fig. 6 (E to H) presents a comparison of the two porcelain stoneware and the red stoneware. With respect to GPO-AW and GSTO-AW, there was a greater release of all HEs for GR-AW. The exceptions were Zn and Cu in water at very low concentrations (elements that could be accommodated in clinopyroxene and/or spinel of GR-AW) and Ba at acid pH (possibly hosted in melilite). The generally lower retention of GR-AW appears to be at odds with the considerable occurrence of neof ormation crystalline phases capable of hosting HEs, as previously described. One potential explanation for this discrepancy is the different compositions of the glassy phase, which is more depolymerised in the GR bodies (see NBO/T parameter in Table S7, supplementary materials). However, the grain size of the leached samples must also be considered. The EN 12457–2:2002 standard for the leaching test specifies samples with a grain size < 4 mm but does not provide any indication of the grain-size distribution. All fired bodies were treated in the same manner (dry-crushing using a hammer) to obtain suitable samples for leaching. However, due to the more compact structure of porcelain stoneware, it was more challenging to crush the material, which resulted in a coarser grain-size distribution than that of the red stoneware, which had a significant fine fraction (see experimental section). A finer grain-size






Fig. 6. Fraction mobilised in water (left) and acetic acid (right) for the artificial waste-bearing bodies.

distribution is associated with a higher surface area, and because the leaching process is a surface reaction, it is likely that the higher mobilisation of HEs from the GR-AW body is related to its particle-size distribution.

Neither Sn nor Sb were mobilised in any of the test conditions, with the exception of two samples that exhibited a very low Sb release, both in water (fraction mobilised 0.002 for GPO-AW and 0.01 for NR-AW). Despite the high refractoriness of the oxides, both ions are insoluble over the entire pH range investigated, thus preventing the identification of differences in immobilisation mechanisms [107,108].

### 3.4. Ceramisation and element stabilisation

To outline general trends in the effectiveness of element stabilisation through the ceramisation process, this section also considers data related to the benchmark bodies (which also contains some amount of HEs, albeit at very low concentrations) and those containing BA (to assess possible effects of Cl and S, which occur at relatively high concentrations).

The mobilised fraction of HEs is plotted in Fig. 7 as a function of the amount of amorphous phase (and thus of the increasing temperature) for the unreacted body NR, the vitrified GR and the largely vitrified

GPO–GSTO. Two distinct behaviours can be identified: a group of HEs exhibiting a clear correlation between the fraction of the element mobilised and the amount of amorphous phase and another group with a less pronounced relationship. Co, Cr, Mo, Sr and V belong to the first group, exhibiting an inverse correlation between the two variables and similar ranges of fraction mobilised in basic and acidic solutions. The data indicate a direct relationship with the NBO/T of the vitreous phase in ceramic bodies: the lower the degree of polymerisation (higher NBO/T), the higher the fraction mobilised (Fig. S8, supplementary materials). This is consistent with the hypothesis that  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  is more effectively retained as a charge compensator in highly polymerised glassy phases (and something similar may occur with  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ). In the case of Cr, Mo and V, which are most likely present as oxyanions, it can be postulated that such complexes would be more easily released from less polymerised glasses. However, it should be noted that a correlation between leaching and NBO/T is not universally recognised [109].

The second group is composed of Ba, Cu, Pb and Zn, which exhibit the expected difference in the fraction of elements mobilised in basic (low or none) and acidic (high) environments. There is no clear trend as a function of the amorphous phase content. However, an inverse correlation with NBO/T can be observed (Fig. S9, supplementary materials): the fraction mobilised is greater when the degree of




Fig. 7. Fraction mobilised in water (dots) and in acetic acid solution (triangles) of the benchmark bodies, artificial waste-bearing bodies and bottom ash-bearing bodies, plotted as a function of the amorphous phase content.

polymerisation is higher. This phenomenon can be attributed to the presence of  $Ba^{2+}$  (and similarly  $Pb^{2+}$ ) as GNM in porcelain stoneware. In addition, the lack of K-feldspar neo-formation precludes Ba (or Pb) from the most effective opportunity for incorporation into crystalline structures. The leaching behaviours of Zn and Cu appear to be somewhat correlated with the glass network modifiers. The higher is the fraction mobilised, the lower is the amount of GNM (Fig. S10, supplementary materials). This suggests that the latter may contribute to the stabilisation of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  in low oxygen coordination number in aluminosilicate glasses [110]. Finally, Sb was not mobilised, except for two samples with a very low release ( $f_{Sb} = 0.002$  and  $0.01$  for GPO-AW and NR-AW, respectively). This corroborates the findings of previous studies, which reported  $f_{Sb} = 0.001\%$  at  $850\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  [17] up to  $0.01\%$  at a temperature  $> 1300\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  [111].

A comparison of the ion concentrations in water with the different types of waste indicated a systematically lower mobilised fraction from the bodies containing BA, whose higher concentrations of Cl and S have not induced a greater release of HEs than AW. However, the results of the leaching tests in acetic acid solution showed a higher mobilised fraction from the bodies containing BA, except for Ba and Sr. The differences observed in the behaviours of BA and AW were likely influenced by the presence of distinct HE-bearing phases, as previously reported in the literature [103-105].

The efficiency of immobilisation in water is plotted as a function of

the HEs concentration in ceramic batches in Fig. 8, where the results of this study were compared with the literature data relative to non-reactive, recrystallised and largely vitrified ceramic bodies. In general, silicate ceramics exhibit a high efficiency of HEs immobilization in water. This is particularly true for the elements Ba, Co, Cu, Pb, Sb, Sn and Zn.

*Barium* is typically stabilised in highly vitrified matrices, although there are instances of retention rates between 98% and 99% and only one sample below this value (GSTO  $\epsilon_{Ba} \sim 95\%$  at a low BaO concentration,  $0.05\text{ wt}\%$ ). These efficiencies are only marginally inferior under acidic conditions. This corroborates some previously documented cases of relatively low immobilisation observed when BaO is  $> 0.1\%$  in the ceramic material [112]. These unsatisfactory cases may result from the absence of the most effective mechanisms for barium stabilisation. These mechanisms include the formation of feldspars during firing, which can accommodate  $Ba^{2+}$  in the crystalline structure [99], and the binding to the tetrahedral glass framework as a charge compensator when alkali and Ca are not sufficient to balance the available  $Al^{3+}$  [113].

*Chromium* is immobilised with high efficiency in largely vitrified bodies ( $\epsilon_{Cr} \geq 99.4\%$ ), confirming the results of the literature (Fig. 8). Red stoneware exhibits slightly lower performance than porcelain stoneware. Leaching in acid solution resulted in a slightly lower degree of immobilisation than in water. A warning signal was given by the scarcely reacted body (NR), regardless of the  $Cr_2O_3$  content, which had




Fig. 8. Immobilisation efficiency in water plotted as a function of the HEs concentration (oxide wt%) in benchmark bodies, artificial waste-bearing bodies and bottom ash-bearing bodies. The results of this study are compared with the data collected by Ardit et al. [6] relative to non-reactive, recrystallized and largely vitrified ceramic bodies.

poor immobilisation efficiency ( $\epsilon_{Cr}$  82–88%). Thus, the amorphous phase present in the samples fired at 820 °C had a substantially lower Cr retention capacity than in aluminosilicate glasses formed in stoneware fired above 1100 °C (GR samples).

*Cobalt* exhibits complete immobilisation under basic conditions, regardless of the type of ceramic matrix. This is consistent with previously reported data indicating its high efficiency ( $\epsilon_{Co}$ ~99.7%) also for non-vitrified ceramics fired at 950 °C [12]. However, the acetic acid solution test indicated a slight loss of Co retention, the values of which range from 88% to 97% in porcelain stoneware and approximately 80% in red stoneware.

*Copper* is stabilised with high efficiency in vitrified bodies ( $\epsilon_{Cu}$  ≥ 98%), as previously demonstrated in other studies (Fig. 8). The degree of immobilisation is slightly more pronounced in red stoneware than in porcelain stoneware, which is likely associated with the presence of hosting phases (i.e. clinopyroxene and/or spinel). Low efficiency was observed for the CuO concentrations below 0.01% in the ceramic matrix and could be affected by the greatest experimental uncertainty. However, Cu is leached much more under acidic conditions, regardless of the degree of vitrification.

*Lead* is completely immobilised in vitrified ceramic matrices ( $\epsilon_{Pb}$ ~100%) at both low and high PbO concentrations, fully confirming what has already been observed in the literature. Some Pb was released from waste-free porcelain stoneware bodies under acidic conditions, i.e. at extremely low PbO concentrations.

*Molybdenum* is a critical case where there is a low- to -very -low immobilisation efficiency under both basic and acidic conditions. Furthermore, the degree of Mo stabilisation decreases with the degree of vitrification. Porcelain stoneware bodies with AW exhibit  $\epsilon_{Mo}$ ~88%, whereas vitrified GR-AW  $\epsilon_{Mo}$ ~52% and NR-AW exhibit  $\epsilon_{Mo}$ ~1%. These data confirm the state of the art picture (Fig. 8) and extend it to MoO<sub>3</sub> concentrations at approximately 0.1%. The difficulties in Mo stabilisation may be attributed to the formation during firing (below the detection limit of XRD) of alkaline and alkaline earth molybdates with high solubility in water [6,114].

*Sr* is efficiently immobilised in porcelain stoneware, particularly for SrO concentrations below 0.1% in the ceramic bodies. It appears that favourable for Sr retention are the formation of plagioclase during firing and the ability to host Sr<sup>2+</sup> in glass as a charge compensator for the Al<sup>3+</sup>-Si<sup>4+</sup> substitution among glass network formers. However, the Sr retention was considerably lower for the body made of red clay and when leaching was carried out under acidic conditions. These observations appeared to be somewhat at odds with the findings of previous studies, which indicated a high Sr immobilisation ( $\epsilon_{Sr}$  > 99.3%) regardless of the pH and SrO concentrations [37,38,115].

*Vanadium* immobilization efficiency on the ceramic matrix exhibits a clear differentiation. It is notably high for porcelain stoneware ( $\epsilon_V$  > 99%) but considerably lower for red clay bodies, particularly those fired at low temperatures. This pattern is observed across a range of pH values in leaching solutions. This corroborates the findings of previous studies on V leaching from ceramics, which have documented a high degree of immobilisation under acidic conditions [38,116] but a comparatively lower performance in water [117].

*Zinc* is retained in vitrified ceramic bodies with high efficiency ( $\epsilon_{Zn}$  close to 100%), with the exception of a single case ( $\epsilon_{Zn}$ ~98%) associated with a very low concentration in the body (ZnO < 0.01%). However, Zn<sup>2+</sup> can be released under acidic conditions. The main stabilisation mechanism in vitrified bodies is probably the incorporation within the glassy phase, where Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions are tetrahedrally bonded to oxygens with short bond distances.


The hazard quotient ( $HQ_{HE}$ ) is plotted in Fig. 9 for different HEs and types of silicate ceramics. In most of the cases analysed, the calculated values are relatively low, indicating that the concentrations of the released HEs are within the limits set by regulatory authorities for inert materials. This is particularly true for Cu, Zn and Pb, as well as Ba, although with a slightly higher hazard quotient (0.18 on average). A

different situation was observed for Sb, Cr and particularly Mo, which show values exceeding the threshold set by the regulation, especially for NR-AW. This picture is not dissimilar to that sketched in the literature, indicating that Mo is the most challenging case [6].

#### 4. Conclusion

This study aimed to evaluate the practicality of employing ceramisation for inertising HEs potentially originating from secondary raw materials, which are increasingly used in the industrial ceramic sector. To this end, an artificial waste (AW) and a real bottom ash (BA) containing different HEs were incorporated into distinct types of silicate ceramics: vitrified, highly vitrified and largely unreacted. Quantitative mineralogical analyses of the fired ceramic bodies confirmed the formation of crystalline/amorphous phases capable of hosting different HEs, with the majority occurring in vitrified and largely vitrified bodies. Contrarily, the unreacted one, fired at a low temperature (820 °C), exhibited the prevalence of residual phases. The microstructural study provided insights into the reactivity of the two waste materials during the firing process. The AW effectively interacted with the ceramic matrices, leading to the incorporation of HEs in the neof ormation phases. Conversely, the interaction between the BA and the ceramic bodies appeared to be relatively low. The persistence of unreacted or minimally reacted BA particles was mainly attributed to the refractoriness of its constituents. Furthermore, the strong chemical and mineralogical heterogeneities of this waste (where the same element can be present in many different forms) made the interpretation of its behaviour challenging. For these reasons, the leaching data on BA-based ceramic bodies reflect the nature of the waste rather than its interaction with the ceramic matrices. Nevertheless, some general trends can be identified from the obtained results.

- Vitrified ceramic bodies exhibited high immobilisation efficiency for Ba, Co, Cr, Cu, Pb, Sb, Sn and Zn. This was also observed for Sr and V but limited to highly vitrified bodies.
- Mo is the only HE considered here that exhibited poor retention in ceramic matrices.
- A greater release of Co, Cu, Pb and Zn was observed in acidic environments, as compared with a neutral or alkaline environment, in the leaching tests conducted in water. This was mainly due to the predominance of water-soluble forms at the pH values of the acetic acid test.
- The degree of immobilisation of Co, Cr, Mo, Sr and V was enhanced by increasing the degree of vitrification and the firing temperature of the ceramic bodies. This appeared to be associated with the resulting greater polymerisation of the vitreous phase for several reasons. First, Sr<sup>2+</sup> can act as a charge compensator and thus contribute to the insertion of Al<sup>3+</sup> into the tetrahedral network, making it somewhat more difficult to leach out. Second, it can be postulated that Cr, Mo and V, which are presumed to exist in oxyanion form, are more readily leached from samples fired at lower temperatures owing to their apparent reduced binding to the glass network formers.
- In the case of Ba, Cu, Pb and Zn, it was observed that immobilisation was less efficient (mostly in an acidic environment) as the degree of polymerisation of the glassy phase increased. The reason for the behaviour of Ba (probably also Cu and Pb) could be that it is not bound to the tetrahedral network and is relegated among the glass network modifiers. This is due to the fact that alkali and other alkaline earth elements are more selectively involved as charge compensators in aluminosilicate vitreous phases. Zinc may be more loosely retained because although in tetrahedral coordination with oxygen, it is not part of the glass network formers.
- The mobilised fraction of Ba, Cu, Pb and Zn in water (according to EN 12457-2:2002) was consistently well below the limit required for inert waste. Cr, Sb and Mo exceeded the limit. The cases for Cr were represented by the unreacted bodies fired at low temperature, which



**Fig. 9.** Hazard quotient in benchmark bodies, artificial waste-bearing bodies and bottom ash-bearing bodies. The violet line represents  $HQ = 1$ ; all points on the top of this line correspond to HE concentrations in the eluates that exceeded the limits established by Regulation 2003/33/EC for inert materials.

showed much lower immobilisation efficiency than the vitrified and highly vitrified matrices (85.2% vs. 99.8%, respectively). This could be related to the oxidation of  $Cr^{3+}$  leading to the formation of  $[Cr^{6+}O_4]^{2-}$  complexes, which are easier to mobilise. For Sb, although characterised by high immobilisation efficiency (~99%), two samples exceeded the legal limits. As aforementioned, Mo was the main element of concern. It has been suggested that these criticalities were likely to be associated with the formation of oxyanionic complexes, including  $[Mo^{6+}O_4]^{2-}$ ,  $[Mo^{5+}O_4]^{3-}$  and  $[Sb^{5+}O_4]^{3-}$ . These complexes are challenging to immobilise in silicate matrices.

This study yielded successful results in the immobilisation of HEs through the ceramisation process, with the majority of these results observed in vitrified (red stoneware) and highly vitrified (porcelain stoneware) ceramic products. In particular, the immobilisation efficiency of porcelain stoneware is higher than that of red stoneware, whereas the differences observed between the porcelain stoneware matrices appeared to reflect the variation in the amount and degree of polymerisation of the vitreous phase. The potential impact of this study includes a paradigm shift from the empirical approach commonly employed in waste recycling to a new batch design strategy. Similar to all academic research, this study provides the basic knowledge needed before moving on to higher TRL. We believe that this work, and others made in this perspective, will help address eco-design towards safer and knowledge-based practices.

### Environmental implication

The study of the ceramisation process is crucial for assessing the immobilisation of HEs, particularly in the ceramic industry, which is increasingly adopting recycling practices according to circular economy principles. The work provides a quantitative analysis of the process, evaluating the effectiveness of incorporating secondary raw materials (containing hazardous constituents) into vitrified and highly vitrified silicate ceramic bodies. Valuable insights are provided on how to mitigate environmental risks associated with the introduction of potentially harmful elements. This comprehension is essential for improving waste recycling in the ceramic industry and promoting sustainable practices in accordance with the goals of circular economy.

### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Mario Tribaudino:** Writing – review & editing, Resources, Funding acquisition. **Giuseppe Cruciani:** Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. **Michele Dondi:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. **Chiara Zanelli:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Investigation, Conceptualization. **Chiara Molinari:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation. **Matteo Ardit:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Conceptualization. **Sonia Conte:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Luciana Mantovani:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Investigation.

### Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

### Acknowledgements

This work was financed by the Italian Ministry of Education (MUR), Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca, through Progetti di Ricerca di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale, Bando 2017 (Prot. 2017L83S77) MiReLaP – “Mineral reactivity, a key to understanding large-scale processes: from rock-forming environments to solid waste recovering/lithification” (CUP B54I19000250001). MA and GC acknowledge the financial support provided by MUR through the project “Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022”.

### Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at [doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134657](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134657).

## References

- [1] Andreola, F., Lancellotti, I., Manfredini, T., Barbieri, L., 2020. The circular economy of agro and post-consumer residues as raw materials for sustainable ceramics. *Int J Appl Ceram Technol* 17 (1), 22–31.
- [2] Dondi, M. (2022). The ceramic tile industry in the challenge of sustainability through resource efficiency. *Proceedings of the 17th World Congress on Ceramic Tile Quality, QUALICER 2022, Castellón (Spain), 20–21 June 2022*, 29 pp.
- [3] García-Muñina, F.E., González-Sánchez, R., Ferrari, A.M., Settembre-Blundo, D., 2018. The paradigms of Industry 4.0 and circular economy as enabling drivers for the competitiveness of businesses and territories: the case of an Italian ceramic tiles manufacturing company. *Soc Sci* 7 (12), 255.
- [4] Karayannis, V.G., Karapanagioti, H.K., Domopoulou, A.E., Komilis, D.P., 2017. Stabilization/solidification of hazardous metals from solid wastes into ceramics. *Waste Biomass Valoriz* 8, 1863–1874.
- [5] Ponsot, I., Falcone, R., Bernardo, E., 2013. Stabilization of fluorine-containing industrial waste by production of sintered glass-ceramics. *Ceram Int* 39 (6), 6907–6915.
- [6] Ardit, M., Zanelli, C., Conte, S., Molinari, C., Cruciani, G., Dondi, M., 2022. Ceramisation of hazardous elements: benefits and pitfalls of the inertisation through silicate ceramics. *J Hazard Mater* 423, 126851.
- [7] Coronado, M., Blanco, T., Quijorna, N., Alonso-Santurde, R., Andrés, A. (2015a). Types of waste, properties and durability of toxic waste-based fired masonry bricks. In *Eco-Efficient Masonry Bricks and Blocks* (pp. 129–188). Woodhead Publishing.
- [8] Andreola, F., Barbieri, L., Corradi, A., Lancellotti, I., Falcone, R., Hreglich, S., 2005. Glass-ceramics obtained by the recycling of end of life cathode ray tubes glasses. *Waste Manag* 25 (2), 183–189.
- [9] Raimondo, M., Zanelli, C., Matteucci, F., Guarini, G., Dondi, M., Labrincha, J.A., 2007. Effect of waste glass (TV/PC cathodic tube and screen) on technological properties and sintering behaviour of porcelain stoneware tiles. *Ceram Int* 33, 615–623.
- [10] Yao, Z., Ling, T.C., Sarker, P.K., Su, W., Liu, J., Wu, W., et al., 2018. Recycling difficult-to-treat e-waste cathode-ray-tube glass as construction and building materials: a critical review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 81, 595–604.
- [11] Mymrin, V., Borgo, S.C., Alekseev, K., Avanci, M.A., Rolim, P.H., Argenda, M.A., et al., 2020. Galvanic Cr-Zn and spent foundry sand waste application as valuable components of sustainable ceramics to prevent environment pollution. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 107 (3), 1239–1250.
- [12] Pérez-Villarejo, L., Martínez-Martínez, S., Carrasco-Hurtado, B., Eliche-Quesada, D., Ureña-Nieto, C., Sánchez-Soto, P.J., 2015. Valorization and inertization of galvanic sludge waste in clay bricks. *Appl Clay Sci* 105, 89–99.
- [13] Vilarinho, I.S., Carneiro, J., Pinto, C., Labrincha, J.A., Seabra, M.P., 2021. Development of coloured stoneware bodies through the incorporation of industrial Cr/Ni electroplating sludge. *Sustainability* 13 (4), 1999.
- [14] Alvarez-Guerra, M., Alvarez-Guerra, E., Alonso-Santurde, R., Andrés, A., Coz, A., Soto, J., et al., 2008. Sustainable management options and beneficial uses for contaminated sediments and dredged material. *Fresenius Environ Bull* 1 (10A), 1539–1553.
- [15] Mesrar, L., Benamar, A., Duchemin, B., Brasselet, S., Bourdin, F., Jabrane, R., 2021. Engineering properties of dredged sediments as a raw resource for fired bricks. *Bull Eng Geol Environ* 80 (3), 2643–2658.
- [16] Slimanou, H., Baziz, A., Bouzidi, N., Quesada, D.E., Tahakourt, A., 2022. Thermal, physical, mechanical and microstructural properties of dredged sediment-based ceramic tiles as substituent of kaolin. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 29 (18), 26792–26809.
- [17] Alonso-Santurde, R., Andrés, A., Viguri, J.R., Raimondo, M., Guarini, G., Zanelli, C., et al., 2011. Technological behaviour and recycling potential of spent foundry sands in clay bricks. *J Environ Manag* 92 (3), 994–1002.
- [18] Furlani, E., Tonello, G., Aneghi, E., Maschio, S., 2012. Preparation and characterization of sintered ceramics made with spent foundry olivine sand and clay. *Ceram Int* 38 (4), 2619–2625.
- [19] Dondi, M., 2018. Feldspathic fluxes for ceramics: sources, production trends and technological value. *Resour, Conserv Recycl* 133, 191–205.
- [20] Dondi, M., Raimondo, M., Zanelli, C., 2014. Clays and bodies for ceramic tiles: reappraisal and technological classification. *Appl Clay Sci* 96, 91–109.
- [21] Jones, L., Gutiérrez, R.U., 2023. Circular ceramics: mapping UK mineral waste. *Resour, Conserv Recycl* 190, 106830.
- [22] Lewicka, E., 2020. Rational use of selected mining by-products in the ceramic industry in Poland. *Gospod Surowcami Miner* 36, 59–76.
- [23] Silva, R.V., De Brito, J., Lynn, C.J., Dhir, R.K., 2017. Use of municipal solid waste incineration bottom ashes in alkali-activated materials, ceramics and granular applications: a review. *Waste Manag* 68, 207–220.
- [24] Zanelli, C., Conte, S., Molinari, C., Soldati, R., Dondi, M., 2021. Waste recycling in ceramic tiles: a technological outlook. *Resour, Conserv Recycl* 168, 105289.
- [25] Liu, T., Xie, Y., Guo, X., Zhang, J., Zhu, L., Luo, Z., et al., 2021. The role and stabilization behavior of heavy metal ions in eco-friendly porous semi-vitrified ceramics for construction application. *J Clean Prod* 292, 125855.
- [26] Samara, M., Lafhaj, Z., Chapiseau, C., 2009. Valorization of stabilized river sediments in fired clay bricks: Factory scale experiment. *J Hazard Mater* 163, 701–710.
- [27] Sikalidis, C., Mitrakas, M., 2006. Utilization of electric arc furnace dust as raw material for the production of ceramic and concrete building products. *J Environ Sci Health Part A* 41 (9), 1943–1954.
- [28] Simão, F.V., Chambart, H., Vandemeulebroeke, L., Cappuyns, V., 2021. Incorporation of sulphidic mining waste material in ceramic roof tiles and blocks. *J Geochem Explor* 225, 106741.
- [29] Bernardo, E., Esposito, L., Hreglich, S., Rambaldi, E., Timellini, G., Tucci, A., 2008. Tailored waste based glasses as secondary raw materials for porcelain stoneware. *Adv Appl Ceram* 107 (6), 322–328.
- [30] Lin, K.L., 2007. Use of thin film transistor liquid crystal display (TFT-LCD) waste glass in the production of ceramic tiles. *J Hazard Mater* 148 (1–2), 91–97.
- [31] Lin, K.L., Lee, T.C., Hwang, C.L., 2015. Effects of sintering temperature on the characteristics of solar panel waste glass in the production of ceramic tiles. *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag* 17 (1), 194–200.
- [32] Jordán, M.M., Montero, M.A., Pardo-Fabregat, F., 2021. Technological behaviour and leaching tests in ceramic tile bodies obtained by recycling of copper slag and MSW fly ash wastes. *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag* 23 (2), 707–716.
- [33] Ter Teo, P., Anasyida, A.S., Basu, P., Nurulakmal, M.S., 2014. Recycling of Malaysia's EAF electric arc furnace (EAF) slag waste into heavy-duty green ceramic tile. *Waste Manag* 34 (12), 2697–2708.
- [34] Ter Teo, P., Anasyida, A.S., Kho, C.M., Nurulakmal, M.S., 2019. Recycling of Malaysia's EAF steel slag waste as novel fluxing agent in green ceramic tile production: Sintering mechanism and leaching assessment. *J Clean Prod* 241, 118144.
- [35] Vilarinho, I.S., Lopes, A.L., Carneiro, J., Pinto, C., Labrincha, J.A., Seabra, M.P., 2021. A new added-value application for steel wire drawing mill scale waste in stoneware ceramic products. *Metals* 11 (4), 661.
- [36] Zhu, R., Ma, G., Cai, Y., Chen, Y., Yang, T., Duan, B., et al., 2016. Ceramic tiles with black pigment made from stainless steel plant dust: Physical properties and long-term leaching behavior of heavy metals. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 66 (4), 402–411.
- [37] Contreras, M., Martín, M.I., Gázquez, M.J., Romero, M., Bolívar, J.P., 2014. Valorisation of ilmenite mud waste in the manufacture of commercial ceramic. *Constr Build Mater* 72, 31–40.
- [38] Baruzzo, D., Minichelli, D., Bruckner, S., Fedrizzi, L., Bachiorrini, A., Maschio, S., 2006. Possible production of ceramic tiles from marine dredging spoils alone and mixed with other waste materials. *J Hazard Mater* 134 (1–3), 202–210.
- [39] Haiying, Z., Youcai, Z., Jingyu, Q., 2007. Study on use of MSWI fly ash in ceramic tile. *J Hazard Mater* 141 (1), 106–114.
- [40] Lin, D.F., Wang, W.J., Chen, C.W., Lin, K.L., 2021. Applying mixture of municipal incinerator bottom ash and sewage sludge ash for ceramic tile manufacturing. *Materials* 14 (14), 3863.
- [41] Rambaldi, E., Esposito, L., Andreola, F., Barbieri, L., Lancellotti, I., Vassura, I., 2010. The recycling of MSWI bottom ash in silicate based ceramic. *Ceram Int* 36 (8), 2469–2476.
- [42] Schabbach, L.M., Andreola, F., Barbieri, L., Lancellotti, I., Karamanova, E., Rangelov, B., et al., 2012. Post-treated incinerator bottom ash as alternative raw material for ceramic manufacturing. *J Eur Ceram Soc* 32, 2843–2852.
- [43] Silva, R.V., de Brito, J., Lynn, C.J., Dhir, R.K., 2019. Environmental impacts of the use of bottom ashes from municipal solid waste incineration: a review. *Resour, Conserv Recycl* 140, 23–35.
- [44] Yuan, Q., Robert, D., Mohajerani, A., Tran, P., Pramanik, B.K., 2022. Utilisation of waste-to-energy fly ash in ceramic tiles. *Constr Build Mater* 347, 128475.
- [45] Gerassimidou, S., Velis, C.A., Williams, P.T., Castaldi, M.J., Black, L., Komilis, D., 2021. Chlorine in waste-derived solid recovered fuel (SRF), co-combusted in cement kilns: a systematic review of sources, reactions, fate and implications. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 51 (2), 140–186.
- [46] Zhu, J., Wei, Z., Luo, Z., Yu, L., Yin, K., 2021. Phase changes during various treatment processes for incineration bottom ash from municipal solid wastes: a review in the application-environment nexus. *Environ Pollut* 287, 117618.
- [47] Chiang, K.Y., Wang, K.S., Lin, F.L., 1997. The effect of inorganic chloride on the partitioning and speciation of heavy metals during a simulated municipal solid waste incineration process. *Toxicol Environ Chem* 64 (1–4), 109–126.
- [48] Verbinnen, B., Billen, P., Van Caneghem, J., Vandecasteele, C., 2017. Recycling of MSWI bottom ash: a review of chemical barriers, engineering applications and treatment technologies. *Waste Biomass-Valoriz* 8 (5), 1453–1466.
- [49] Wang, K.S., Chiang, K.Y., Chu, W.T., 1997. Fate and partitioning of heavy metals affected by organic chloride content during a simulated municipal solid waste incineration process. *J Environ Sci Health Part A* 32 (7), 1877–1893.
- [50] Wang, K.S., Chiang, K.Y., Lin, S.M., Tsai, C.C., Sun, C.J., 1999. Effects of chlorides on emissions of toxic compounds in waste incineration: study on partitioning characteristics of heavy metal. *Chemosphere* 38 (8), 1833–1849.
- [51] Wang, S.J., He, P.J., Xia, Y., Lu, W.T., Shao, L.M., Zhang, H., 2016. Role of sodium chloride and mineral matrixes in the chlorination and volatilization of lead during waste thermal treatment. *Fuel Process Technol* 143, 130–139.
- [52] Wu, B., Wang, D., Chai, X., Takahashi, F., Shimaoka, T., 2016. Characterization of chlorine and heavy metals for the potential recycling of bottom ash from municipal solid waste incinerators as cement additives. *Front Environ Sci Eng* 10 (4), 1–9.
- [53] Zhao, C., Lin, S., Zhao, Y., Lin, K., Tian, L., Xie, M., et al., 2022. Comprehensive understanding the transition behaviors and mechanisms of chlorine and metal ions in municipal solid waste incineration fly ash during thermal treatment. *Sci Total Environ* 807, 150731.
- [54] Lu, X., Ning, X.A., Lee, P.H., Shih, K., Wang, F., Zeng, E.Y., 2017. Transformation of hazardous lead into lead ferrite ceramics: crystal structures and their role in lead leaching. *J Hazard Mater* 336, 139–145.
- [55] Moroni, M., Brigida, C., Poli, S., Valle, M., 2004. Fixing chromium and lead in ceramic materials: a petrological approach to inertization and recycling of toxic industrial waste. *Period di Mineral* 73, 99–111.

- [56] Romero, M., Hernández-Crespo, M.S., Rincón, J.M., 2009. Leaching behaviour of a glassy slag and derived glass ceramics from arc plasma vitrification of hospital wastes. *Adv Appl Ceram* 108 (1), 67–71.
- [57] Song, W., Cao, J., Wang, Z., Geng, X., Lu, J., 2021. Glass-ceramics microstructure formation mechanism for simultaneous solidification of chromium and nickel from disassembled waste battery and chromium slag. *J Hazard Mater* 403, 123598.
- [58] Coronado, M., Segadães, A.M., Andrés, A., 2015. Using mixture design of experiments to assess the environmental impact of clay-based structural ceramics containing foundry wastes. *J Hazard Mater* 299, 529–539.
- [59] Harvey, K.B., Litke, C.D., 1984. Model for leaching behavior of aluminosilicate glasses developed as matrices for immobilizing high-level wastes. *J Am Ceram Soc* 67 (8), 553–556.
- [60] Leturcq, G., Berger, G., Advocat, T., Fillet, C., Halgand, C., Vernaz, E., 1997. Chemical durability of aluminosilicate glasses containing low solubility chemical elements. *MRS Online Proc Libr OPL* 506.
- [61] Menzler, N.H., Mörtel, H., Weißmann, R., Balek, V., 1999. Examination of two glass compositions for the vitrification of toxic products from waste incineration. *Glass Technol* 40 (2), 65–70.
- [62] Newlands, K.C., Foss, M., Matchei, T., Skibsted, J., Macphee, D.E., 2017. Early stage dissolution characteristics of aluminosilicate glasses with blast furnace slag- and fly-ash-like compositions. *J Am Ceram Soc* 100 (5), 1941–1955.
- [63] Sengupta, P., Fanara, S., Chakraborty, S., 2011. Preliminary study on calcium aluminosilicate glass as a potential host matrix for radioactive  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ —an approach based on natural analogue study. *J Hazard Mater* 190 (1–3), 229–239.
- [64] Ajanaku, K.O., Pal, M., Das, S.K., 2015. Differences in vitrification behaviour of flint and opaque scrap glass containing porcelainized stoneware body. *CFI Ceram Forum Int* 92, 31–34.
- [65] Andreola, F., Barbieri, L., Karamanova, E., Lancellotti, I., Pelino, M., 2008. Recycling of CRT panel glass as fluxing agent in the porcelain stoneware tile production. *Ceram Int* 34, 1289–1295.
- [66] Bernardo, E., Dattoli, A., Bonomo, E., Esposito, L., Rambaldi, E., Tucci, A., 2011. Application of an industrial waste glass in “glass-ceramic stoneware”. *Int J Appl Ceram Technol* 8, 1153–1162.
- [67] Bernardo, E., Esposito, L., Rambaldi, E., Tucci, A., Hreglich, S., 2008. Recycle of waste glass into “glass-ceramic stoneware”. *J Am Ceram Soc* 91, 2156–2162.
- [68] de Oliveira Piccolo, P., Zaccaron, A., Teixeira, L.B., de Moraes, E.G., Montedo, O. R., K., de Oliveira, A.P., N., 2022. Development of translucent ceramic tiles from modified porcelain stoneware tile paste. *J Build Eng* 45, 103543.
- [69] Matteucci, F., Dondi, M., Guarini, G., 2002. Effect of soda-lime glass on sintering and technological properties of porcelain stoneware tiles. *Ceram Int* 28, 873–880.
- [70] Mustafi, S., Ahsan, M., Dewan, A.H., Ahmed, S., Khatun, N., Absar, N., 2012. Effect of waste glass powder on physico-mechanical properties of ceramic tiles. *Bangladesh J Sci Res* 24 (2), 169–180.
- [71] Pontikes, Y., Christogerou, A., Angelopoulos, G.N., Rambaldi, E., Esposito, L., Tucci, A., 2005. Use of scrap soda-lime-silica glass in traditional ceramics. *Glass Technol* 46, 200–206.
- [72] Rambaldi, E., Carty, W.M., Tucci, A., Esposito, L., 2007. Using waste glass as a partial flux substitution and pyroplastic deformation of a porcelain stoneware tile body. *Ceram Int* 33, 727–733.
- [73] Rambaldi, E., Tucci, A., Esposito, L., 2004. Glass recycling in porcelain stoneware tiles: firing behaviour. *CFI Ceram Forum Int* 81, 32–36.
- [74] Tarvornpanich, T., Souza, G.P., Lee, W.E., 2005. Microstructural evolution on firing soda-lime-silica glass fluxed whitewares. *J Am Ceram Soc* 88, 1302–1308.
- [75] Tucci, A., Esposito, L., Rastelli, E., Palmonari, C., Rambaldi, E., 2004. Use of soda-lime scrap-glass as a fluxing agent in a porcelain stoneware tile mix. *J Eur Ceram Soc* 24 (1), 83–92.
- [76] Tucci, A., Rambaldi, E., Esposito, L., 2006. Use of scrap glass as raw material for porcelain stoneware tiles. *Adv Appl Ceram* 105, 40–45.
- [77] Gualtieri, A.F., Riva, V., Bresciani, A., Maretto, S., Tamburini, M., Viani, A., 2014. Accuracy in quantitative phase analysis of mixtures with large amorphous contents. The case of stoneware ceramics and bricks. *J Appl Crystallogr* 47, 835–846.
- [78] Snyder, R.L., Bish, D.L., 1989. Quantitative analysis. In: Snyder, R.L., Bish, D.L. (Eds.), *Modern powder diffraction*. De Gruyter, Berlin, pp. 101–144.
- [79] Conte, S., Zanelli, C., Ardit, M., Cruciani, G., Dondi, M., 2020. Phase evolution during reactive sintering by viscous flow: disclosing the inner workings in porcelain stoneware firing. *J Eur Ceram Soc* 40 (4), 1738–1752.
- [80] Brasileiro, C.T., Conte, S., Contartesi, F., Melchhiades, F.G., Zanelli, C., Dondi, M., et al., 2021. Effect of strong mineral fluxes on sintering of porcelain stoneware tiles. *J Eur Ceram Soc* 41 (11), 5755–5767.
- [81] Conte, S., Buonamico, D., Magni, T., Arletti, R., Dondi, M., Guarini, G., et al., 2022. Recycling of bottom ash from biomass combustion in porcelain stoneware tiles: effects on technological properties, phase evolution and microstructure. *J Eur Ceram Soc* 42 (12), 5153–5163.
- [82] Conte, S., Molinari, C., Ardit, M., Cruciani, G., Dondi, M., Zanelli, C., 2023. Porcelain versus porcelain stoneware: so close, so different. sintering kinetics, phase evolution, and vitrification paths. *Materials* 16 (1), 171.
- [83] Conte, S., Zanelli, C., Molinari, C., Guarini, G., Dondi, M., 2020. Glassy wastes as feldspar substitutes in porcelain stoneware tiles: Thermal behaviour and effect on sintering process. *Mater Chem Phys* 256, 123613.
- [84] Morrison, S.M., Downs, R.T., Blake, D.F., Prabhu, A., Eleish, A., Vaniman, D.T., et al., 2018. Relationships between unit-cell parameters and composition for rock-forming minerals on Earth, Mars, and other extraterrestrial bodies. *Am Mineral* 103 (6), 848–856.
- [85] Haque, M.J., Munna, A.H., Rahman, S., Rahman, M.A., 2021. Fabrication and performance analysis of porcelain stoneware tiles incorporated with labware glass cullet. *Trans Indian Ceram Soc* 80 (1), 64–70.
- [86] Njindam, O.R., Njoya, D., Mache, J.R., Mouafou, M., Messan, A., Njopwouo, D., 2018. Effect of glass powder on the technological properties and microstructure of clay mixture for porcelain stoneware tiles manufacture. *Constr Build Mater* 170, 512–519.
- [87] Dondi, M., Guarini, G., Raimondo, M., 1999. Trends in the formation of crystalline and amorphous phases during the firing of clay bricks. *Tile brick Int* 15 (3), 176–183.
- [88] Heimann, R.B., Maggetti, M., 2019. The struggle between thermodynamics and kinetics: phase evolution of ancient and historical ceramics. *EMU Notes Mineral Vol. 20* (2019), 233–281. Chapter 6.
- [89] Iqbal, Y., Lee, W.E., 2000. Microstructural evolution in triaxial porcelain. *J Am Ceram Soc* 83 (12), 3121–3127.
- [90] McConville, C.J., Lee, W.E., 2005. Microstructural development on firing illite and smectite clays compared with that in kaolinite. *J Am Ceram Soc* 88 (8), 2267–2276.
- [91] Pérez, J.M., Romero, M., 2014. Microstructure and technological properties of porcelain stoneware tiles moulded at different pressures and thicknesses. *Ceram Int* 40 (1), 1365–1377.
- [92] Acchar, W., Dultra, E.J.V., Segadães, A.M., 2013. Untreated coffee husk ashes used as flux in ceramic tiles. *Appl Clay Sci* 75–76, 141–147.
- [93] Faria, K.C.P., Holanda, J.N.F., 2016. Thermal behavior of ceramic wall tile pastes bearing solid wastes. *J Therm Anal Calorim* 123 (2), 1119–1127.
- [94] Schettino, M.A., Holanda, J.N., 2015. Processing of porcelain stoneware tile using sugarcane bagasse ash waste. *Process Appl Ceram* 9 (1), 17–22.
- [95] Mantovani, L., De Matteis, C., Tribaudino, M., Boschetti, T., Funari, V., Dinelli, E., et al., 2023. Grain size and mineralogical constraints on leaching in the bottom ashes from municipal solid waste incineration: a comparison of five plants in northern Italy. *Front Environ Sci* 11, 587.
- [96] Glasby, G.P., Schulz, H.D., 1999. EH, pH diagrams for Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu and As under seawater conditions: application of two new types of EH, pH diagrams to the study of specific problems in marine geochemistry. *Aquat Geochem* 5, 227–248.
- [97] Hermann, R., Neumann-Mahlkau, P., 1985. The mobility of zinc, cadmium, copper, lead, iron and arsenic in ground water as a function of redox potential and pH. *Sci Total Environ* 43, 1–12.
- [98] Huang, H.H., 2015. The Eh-pH diagram and its advances. *Metals* 2016 (6), 23.
- [99] Viswanathan, K., Harneit, O., 1989. Solid solution and unmixing in the feldspar system, albite (NaAlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) - celsian (BaAl<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>). *Eur J Mineral* 1 (2), 239–248.
- [100] Tribaudino, M., Benna, P., Bruno, E., 1993. I-1 -I2/c phase transition in alkaline earth feldspars along the CaAl<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>-SrAl<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> join: thermodynamic behaviour. *Phys Chem Miner* 20, 221–227.
- [101] Tribaudino, M., Benna, P., Nestola, F., Meneghini, C., Bruno, E., 2005. Thermodynamic behaviour of the high temperature phase transition P – I along the join An-SrF (CaAl<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> – SrAl<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>). *Phys Chem Miner* 32, 314–321.
- [102] Mantovani, L., Tribaudino, M., Dondi, M., Zanelli, C., 2015. Synthesis and color performance of CaCoSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> pyroxene, a new ceramic colorant. *Dyes Pigments* 120, 118–125.
- [103] Zhao, S., Wen, Q., Zhang, X., Liu, B., Zhang, S., 2021. Migration, transformation and solidification/stabilization mechanisms of heavy metals in glass-ceramics made from MSWI fly ash and pickling sludge. *Ceram Int* 47 (15), 21599–21609.
- [104] Zou, W., Zhang, W., Pi, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Chen, Y., 2022. Effect of sintering temperature on solidification and migration of non-volatile and volatile heavy metals in glass-ceramic. *Ceram Int* 48 (4), 5040–5053.
- [105] Liao, C., Tang, Y., Liu, C., Shih, K., Li, F., 2016. Double-Barrier mechanism for chromium immobilization: a quantitative study of crystallization and leachability. *J Hazard Mater* 311, 246–253.
- [106] Liu, L., Dai, J., Zhang, Z., Liu, S., Sun, Y., 2021. Mechanism and prediction of Cr and Zn immobilization from industrial residues by glass-ceramics. *ACS EST Eng* 1 (9), 1323–1332.
- [107] Huse, C.I., Kelsall, G.H., 1984. Potential-pH diagrams for the Sn/H<sub>2</sub>O-Cl system. *Electrochim Acta* 29, 1459–1464.
- [108] Vink, B.W., 1996. Stability relations of antimony and arsenic compounds in the light of revised and extended Eh-pH diagrams. *Chem Geol* 130, 21–30.
- [109] Ellison, A.J.G., Mazer, J.J., Ebert, W.L. (1994). *Effect of glass composition on waste form durability: a critical review*. Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois, USA, Report No. ANL-94/28, 148 p.
- [110] Piscicelli, P., Crisucci, S., Karamanov, A., Pelino, M., 2001. Chemical durability of glasses obtained by vitrification of industrial wastes. *Waste Manag* 21 (1), 1–9.
- [111] Bernardo, E., Varrasso, M., Cadamuro, F., Hreglich, S., 2006. Vitrification of wastes and preparation of chemically stable sintered glass-ceramic products. *J Non-Cryst Solids* 352 (38–39), 4017–4023.
- [112] Pinheiro, B.C.A., Holanda, J.N.F., 2013. Reuse of solid petroleum waste in the manufacture of porcelain stoneware tile. *J Environ Manag* 118, 205–210.
- [113] Le Losq, C., Neuville, D.R., Florian, P., Henderson, G.S., Massiot, D., 2014. The role of Al<sup>3+</sup> on rheology and structural changes in sodium silicate and aluminosilicate glasses and melts. *Geochim Et Cosmochim Acta* 126, 495–517.
- [114] Pinet, O., Grandjean, A., Frugier, P., Rabiller, H., Poissonnet, S., 2006. Leaching behavior of a polyphase glass-ceramic containment matrix. *J Non-Cryst Solids* 352 (28–29), 3095–3102.

- [115] Dondi, M., Guarini, G., Raimondo, M., Zanelli, C., 2009. Recycling PC and TV waste glass in clay bricks and roof tiles. *Waste Manag* 29 (6), 1945–1951.
- [116] Furlani, E., Tonello, G., Maschio, S., Aneggi, E., Minichelli, D., Bruckner, S., et al., 2011. Sintering and characterisation of ceramics containing paper sludge, glass cullet and different types of clayey materials. *Ceram Int* 37 (4), 1293–1299.
- [117] Cusidó, J.A., Soriano, C., 2011. Valorization of pellets from municipal WWTP sludge in lightweight clay ceramics. *Waste Manag* 31 (6), 1372–1380.