Journal of Instrumentation

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Performance of the local reconstruction algorithms for the CMS hadron calorimeter with Run 2 data

To cite this article: A. Tumasyan *et al* 2023 *JINST* **18** P11017

Published by IOP Publishing for Sissa Medialab

Received: *June 17, 2023*
Accepted: *August 23, 2023*
Published: *November 20, 2023*

Performance of the local reconstruction algorithms for the CMS hadron calorimeter with Run 2 data

The CMS collaboration

E-mail: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch

Abstract: A description is presented of the algorithms used to reconstruct energy deposited in the CMS hadron calorimeter during Run 2 (2015–2018) of the LHC. During Run 2, the characteristic bunch-crossing spacing for proton-proton collisions was 25 ns, which resulted in overlapping signals from adjacent crossings. The energy corresponding to a particular bunch crossing of interest is estimated using the known pulse shapes of energy depositions in the calorimeter, which are measured as functions of both energy and time. A variety of algorithms were developed to mitigate the effects of adjacent bunch crossings on local energy reconstruction in the hadron calorimeter in Run 2, and their performance is compared.

Keywords: Calorimeters; Data reduction methods

ArXiv ePrint: 2306.10355

https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/11/P11017

2023 JINST 18 P11017

Contents

1 Introduction

The hadron calorimeter (HCAL) plays a central role in the reconstruction of events recorded by the CMS detector [1]. Its main purpose is to identify both charged and neutral hadrons and measure their energies, and it serves an important role in identifying leptons and photons. Its hermetic design, with geometric coverage up to pseudorapidities of $|\eta| = 5.2$, and fine lateral segmentation aid in the estimation of missing transverse momentum, $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$.

In August 2015, the CERN LHC began delivering proton-proton (pp) collisions with a bunch-crossing spacing as short as 25 ns [2]; however, the recorded pulse shapes from energy depositions in the HCAL barrel (HB) and endcap (HE) detectors typically last longer than 25 ns. Approximately 85–90% of the integrated energy occurs within a 50 ns window. The energy deposited in the HB and HE from collisions from nearby bunch crossings, referred to as out-of-time pileup (OOTPU), can spoil the energy estimation from the collision of interest. We describe the performance of four different algorithms, referred to as local reconstruction algorithms, used to estimate the energy deposited in the detector elements of the HB and HE during Run 2 of the LHC (2015–2018). These four algorithms exhibit different levels of sophistication in their ability to mitigate the effects of OOTPU, as well as varying degrees of computational complexity. The tradeoff between the ability of the algorithms to suppress OOTPU and their computational performance is important when deploying them in the high-level trigger (HLT) [3], the second level of a two-tier trigger system consisting of a farm of processors running an online version of the full event reconstruction. The constraints from the HLT thus guide the design of the algorithms and play a key role in their evolution in both online and offline reconstructions.

2023 JINST 18 P11017

The paper is organized as follows: section 2 describes the CMS detector with a particular emphasis on the HCAL. Section 3 describes the four different local reconstruction algorithms used in the HB and HE, and section 4 evaluates their performance. This paper concludes with a summary in section 5.

2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and the HB and HE. The HB and HE are both brass and scintillator sampling calorimeters with pseudorapidity coverages of approximately $|\eta| < 1.3$ and $1.3 < |\eta| < 3.0$, respectively. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. In addition to the HB and HE, the HCAL subsystem also includes the HCAL outer (HO) detector and HCAL forward (HF) detector. The HO is composed of plastic scintillators located between the solenoid and the barrel muon system, and measures the energy from very energetic hadronic showers that are not fully contained within the HB and punch through the solenoid. The HF is a quartz-fiber Cherenkov calorimeter with steel absorbers located outside the solenoid, on both sides of CMS, at about ±11 m from the interaction point and extends the geometric coverage of the calorimeters to $|\eta| < 5.2$. Unlike signals in the HB and HE, the signals in the HF are contained entirely within a 25 ns window. A detailed description of the CMS detector and the coordinate system used is presented in ref. [1].

The HB has an approximately cylindrical structure that extends radially from $r = 1.806$ to 2.950 m, and consists of 36 wedges covering the full azimuthal angle (ϕ) range. The detector is divided into two cylindrical halves symmetrically about $z = 0$; on the positive side is the HB plus, and on the negative side is the HB minus. Each wedge is made up of 14 copper alloy absorber plates and 2 stainless steel plates at the inside and outside faces, alternating with 17 layers of plastic scintillator tiles. The thickness of the brass plates is 5 cm and that of the scintillating tiles is 3.7 mm, except the first tile which is 9.0 mm thick. The HB readout has a symmetric 72-fold segmentation along the ϕ direction, and it is evenly segmented into 32 projective divisions in the η direction (16 each for the HB plus and HB minus); thus, each projective unit in η–ϕ space (called a "tower") has a lateral dimension of 0.087×0.087, where ϕ is measured in radians.

The materials and structure of the HE are similar to those of the barrel system. There is one HE calorimeter on either side of the HB, denoted HE plus and HE minus. Each endcap consists of 18 wedges in the ϕ direction, and covers and closes one end of the barrel. The HE is constructed of plates, separated by staggered spacers, that are perpendicular to the beam axis. There are a total of 19 brass absorbing layers of width 8 cm in the HE, which provide as much as 9 interaction lengths of material for particles produced at the collision point. The projective towers in the HE have a lateral segmentation in η–ϕ space of 0.087×0.087 (0.17×0.17) for $|\eta| < 1.6$ ($|\eta| > 1.6$).

The readout of the HB and HE towers is subdivided radially into separate depths, each of which corresponds to a number of consecutive scintillator layers. The light produced in the plastic scintillating tiles from particles traversing that element of the detector is collected in wavelength-shifting (WLS) fibers, optically summed, and sent to the photodetectors and front-end electronics where it is converted into a digital electric signal for data processing. From the perspective of data

2023 JINST 18 P11017

processing, a detector element, or "channel", in the HB and HE can be uniquely identified by its location in η–ϕ space along with its depth. Integer indices for both η and ϕ (i_η and i_ϕ, respectively) are used to designate that location. The value of i_ϕ runs from 1 to 72, whereas i_η runs from +1 to +29 or −1 to −29 in the plus and minus sides, respectively. The depth segmentation of the HE was modified during a year-end technical stop between 2017 and 2018. Figure 1 shows a cross-sectional view of the HB and HE in the r–z plane, illustrating the depth segmentation in the HE before and after the 2017–2018 technical stop.



Figure 1. A cross sectional view of the HB and HE in the r–z plane. The i_η coordinates are labeled, and the depth segmentation is coded in different colors. The number of depths of the HE was increased before the 2018 data-taking period. The location of the front-end electronics (labeled "FEE") is also shown.

Hybrid photodiodes (HPDs) were used as the photodetectors in the HB and HE throughout Run 1, corresponding to the data-taking period from 2010 till 2012. The HPDs were chosen for their magnetic field tolerance, high gain and linear response over a large dynamic range. However, they also exhibit high-amplitude anomalous noise from electrical discharges and ion feedback [4]. As part of the HCAL Phase-1 upgrade [5], the HPDs in the HE were fully replaced by silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs) [6] during the 2017–2018 technical stop (the HB was upgraded in a similar fashion during the long shutdown after 2018). The SiPMs provide a high gain between 10^4 and 10^6, have good quantum efficiency between 20 and 40%, and operate well within a high magnetic field. The higher gain of SiPMs compared with HPDs, as well as their reduced size and power consumption, also allows for finer depth segmentation. In turn, increased depth segmentation improves shower energy resolution and helps mitigate the effects of radiation damage, since the most significant darkening of plastic scintillator occurs at high $|\eta|$ and depths closest to the collision point, and the lowered response in those affected channels can be calibrated out separately. Finally, the increased depth segmentation aids in the identification of in-time pileup, caused by additional pp interactions distinct from the collision of interest and within the same bunch crossing, since such pileup tends to deposit its energy in the shallower depths.

The analog signal from the photodetectors in the HB and HE is digitized by a charge integrator and encoder (QIE) analog-to-digital converter (ADC) chip [7], which integrates the charge from the photodetector over a 25 ns interval. This interval, which corresponds to the LHC bunch spacing, is called a "time sample" (TS). Typically, HPDs will provide about 5 fC of input charge to the QIE for 1 GeV of energy deposit in a single HB/HE channel [8], whereas SiPMs provide about 1300 fC for

2023 JINST 18 P11017

the same energy [5]. The charge is integrated by the QIE using a modified floating-point (pseudo-logarithmic) concept in which the input signal is divided into subranges with only a fraction of the signal being integrated for each subrange. This allows the QIE to maintain a large effective dynamic range, while keeping the uncertainty from digitization subdominant to the energy resolution. The internal timing of each channel is adjusted so that all channels observe a uniform arrival time within approximately 1 ns for particles originating at the nominal center of the channel.

During the 2017–2018 technical stop, the QIEs in the HE were also upgraded from a 7-bit encoding device (QIE8) to an 8-bit encoding device (QIE11); a 6-bit time-to-digital converter of the pulse arrival time was also included in the new QIE11. A maximum relative quantization error of 2% (1.4%) for the QIE8 (QIE11) in the upper ranges of input signal is achieved. Prior to 2018, 10 sequential TSs digitized by the QIEs were recorded in the data stream. The number was reduced to 8 TSs in 2018 in order to reduce the data volume, with minimal impact on the performance. In both cases, the sample of interest (SOI), defined as the TS where the triggered event is placed, corresponded to the fourth TS in the window.

3 Local reconstruction algorithms

The main purpose of the HCAL local reconstruction algorithms is to estimate the energy deposited in a given channel in the SOI. Similar algorithms are employed by the ECAL [9]. Understanding how the resulting pulse, as measured by the front-end electronics, is distributed as a function of time is critical. The intrinsic pulse shape in the HB and HE is affected by a number of factors, including the scintillation process in the tiles, the optical transmission in the WLS fibers, the photodetectors, and the QIE devices. Notably, the QIE introduces a "time slew" delay in the pulse shape that can be approximated as a logarithmic dependence on the total integrated charge Q with respect to the start of the TS,

$$\Delta_{\text{slew}} = 11.98 - 1.56 \ln(Q), \tag{3.1}$$

where Δ_{slew} and Q are in the units of ns and fC, respectively, although the real amplifier slew rate actually depends on the (unknown) instantaneous input current. The value of Δ_{slew} is constrained to be positive and less than 10 ns. The pulse shapes of SiPMs are different from HPDs, in part due to the higher gain.

The pulse shape can be extracted with a 1 ns resolution from both test beam data and *in situ* with pp collision data. The pulse shape with HPDs was measured with a 300 GeV pion test beam prior to LHC collisions [10], while the pulse shape with SiPMs was extracted from pp collision data using isolated bunch collisions. The extraction of the pulse shape was performed by adjusting the time settings of the QIE in 1 ns increments and measuring the pulses with different phases. Figure 2 shows the pulse shape as a function of time for high-energy depositions in the HE as measured by a SiPM, integrated over 1 ns and 25 ns bins. The pulse shape shown is an average over all channels in the HE.

Fluctuations in the pulse shape can be attributed to a variety of causes. The QIEs have a small nonzero energy reading even in the absence of a signal. Similarly, photodetectors exhibit increasing dark current on account of radiation damage. The sum of these two effects is referred to as the pedestal, whose average value and standard deviation are measured in dedicated pedestal



Figure 2. Average pulse shape for high-energy depositions in the HE. The red solid line is the pulse shape used in reconstruction algorithms with 1 ns granularity. The yellow filled histogram is constructed from the red shape by integrating over each 25 ns TS. The SOI corresponds to the TS from 75 to 100 ns.

runs, when beams are absent and where other subdetectors are not involved. The contribution of pickup noise to the QIEs from other subdetectors is negligible. Figure 3 shows the average value of the pedestal as a function of the integrated luminosity since the start of 2018 data taking for the HB and HE. The pedestal values differ in the two detectors primarily because of the different photodetectors used. Each horizontal line denotes the time range where the corresponding pedestal measurement is used in the energy reconstruction, and the vertical error bars indicate the average of the standard deviation of the pedestal distributions measured in individual channels. The pedestals used in the energy reconstruction are correlated in time, being identical every four TSs due to the rotation of the four capacitors in each QIE. At the start of 2018 data taking, the HE SiPMs had low dark current, so the pedestal values primarily come from the QIE, whose fluctuations are relatively small. However, by the end of 2018, the SiPM dark current introduced large fluctuations to the pedestal values.



Figure 3. The average value of the pedestal, as a function of the integrated luminosity, since the start of 2018 data taking for the HB (left) and HE (right). Each horizontal line denotes the time range where the corresponding pedestal measurement is used in the energy reconstruction, and the error bars indicate the average standard deviation of the pedestal.

The QIE also introduces a quantization uncertainty because of the finite resolution of its encoding. Finally, the finite number of photoelectrons collected introduces a Poisson uncertainty,

2023 JINST 18 P11017

which is approximated as $\sqrt{N_{\text{pe}}}$, since the number of photoelectrons is typically large ($N_{\text{pe}} \sim 32$ for a 1 GeV signal with SiPMs, whereas $N_{\text{pe}} \sim 6$ for a 1 GeV signal with HPDs).

The arrival time of the pulse shape can also vary for a variety of reasons, such as fluctuations in shower development. This has been measured in both test beam and collision data by fitting the appropriate template with a floating arrival time and amplitude (adjusted for the time slew) to individual channels and calculating the standard deviation of the distribution. The variation in the arrival time is larger at low energy; for energies $\gtrsim$5 GeV, the arrival times have a standard deviation of approximately 3 ns in the HE and 5 ns in the HB during the 2018 run. The HE has less variation than the HB because of the finer depth segmentation, which provides a more precise measurement of the shower development. Long-lived particles can also result in a delayed arrival time leading to mismeasured energy. Moreover, they may be especially sensitive to the OOTPU mitigation efforts that can differ between the trigger and offline reconstruction. Simulation studies are used to estimate the size of this effect in beyond the standard model searches [11–13].

3.1 Method 0

Prior to 2015, the LHC bunch-crossing spacing was kept at a minimum of 50 ns during normal data taking, so a simple sum of the charge in the SOI with the charge in its subsequent TS accurately reflects the energy initially deposited in the SOI. To improve the precision, the average pedestal is subtracted from the total, and it is then corrected by a multiplicative factor to account for the 10–15% of the energy outside of the two TSs. This algorithm, called Method 0 (M0), is unsuitable when the bunch-crossing spacing is 25 ns because of potentially large additional contributions from the bunch crossings directly neighboring the SOI.

Three new algorithms were eventually deployed at CMS over the subsequent years, all using pulse-shape templates to extract the energy from the SOI. During 2016–2017, an algorithm referred to as Method 2 (M2) was used in the offline reconstruction, whereas Method 3 (M3) was used for online reconstruction in the HLT. Both algorithms were superseded in 2018 in both online and offline reconstructions by an algorithm called "Minimization At HCAL, Iteratively" (MAHI). An algorithm referred to as Method 1 was also developed, but because of its oversimplification, such as ignoring the time slew, it never performed well enough to be used.

3.2 Method 2

The M2 algorithm estimates the energy in the SOI by minimizing a χ^2 defined as

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{j=-1}^{1} \frac{(t_j - \langle t \rangle)^2}{\sigma_t^2} + \frac{(\text{ped} - \langle \text{ped} \rangle)^2}{\sigma_{\text{ped}}^2} + \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\text{TS}}-1} \frac{(A_i - m_i(\vec{\mu}, \vec{t}, \text{ped}))^2}{\sigma_{\text{p},i}^2}. \tag{3.2}$$

Up to three separate fitted pulse shapes are used: one for the signal arriving in the SOI and one each for signals arriving in the adjacent TSs (SOI−1 and SOI+1). The fit extracts the pulse amplitudes, $\vec{\mu}$, and the corresponding times of arrival, $\vec{t}$, as well as the pedestal, where the elements of the vector correspond to each of the three pulses. The first term in the equation reflects a constrained fit for the arrival time of each pulse, t_j, which can be shifted from the expected mean, $\langle t \rangle$, with a penalty to the χ^2 equal to the square of the difference divided by the standard deviation of the arrival time for that channel, σ_t. The second term is a constrained fit for the pedestal, assumed to be a constant additive offset across all TSs; here $\langle \text{ped} \rangle$ and σ_{ped} are the expected mean and standard deviation of the pedestal for that channel, respectively. In the third term, A_i is the amplitude of the QIE measurement (in fC) of the i^{th} TS; $m_i(\vec{\mu}, \vec{t}, \text{ped})$ is the sum of the amplitudes of the fitted pulse shapes, $\vec{\mu}$, and pedestal in that TS; N_{TS} is the number of consecutive TSs recorded from the QIE, and $\sigma_{\text{p},i}$ is the combined uncertainty due to the pedestal, quantization error, and photostatistics, computed separately for each of the i measurements. The number of TSs, N_{TS}, was ten prior to 2018, and eight from 2018 onwards. In this paper, for the sake of consistency, eight TSs are used when comparing M2 and MAHI. The χ^2 is minimized with the MIGRAD algorithm as implemented in Minuit [14].

The M2 minimization is performed over two iterations. In the first iteration, the fit is performed with only a single pulse-shape template from the SOI. If contributions from OOTPU are small (typically when the signal pulse is large), fitting with one template gives a good result. However, if the χ^2 from the first iteration is larger than 15 and the sum of pedestal-subtracted charges in the SOI and in SOI+1 is less than 100 fC for HPDs or 25 000 fC for SiPMs (both corresponding to an energy around 20 GeV), a second iteration is performed using three pulse shapes. In order to reduce the overall CPU time, the algorithm runs only if the sum of charges in the SOI and in SOI+1 is greater than zero, and if the sum of all pedestal-subtracted charges is also greater than zero.

3.3 Method 3

The M3 algorithm was developed because M2 did not meet the computational timing requirement of the HLT. However, the increased pileup conditions in Run 2 would have resulted in significantly degraded performance in the HLT had M0 been kept as the default online reconstruction algorithm. Jet trigger rates, for instance, would have increased manyfold without the development of M3.

One simplifying technique of the method is to assume a fixed arrival time for the pulses (after corrections for the time slew); another is to restrict the QIE measurements under consideration to three TSs: SOI−1, SOI, and SOI+1. Stochastic uncertainties from various sources are also ignored. The problem can then be reduced from an iterative minimization algorithm to solving a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{\text{SOI}-1} \\ A_{\text{SOI}} \\ A_{\text{SOI+1}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_0(A_{\text{SOI}-1}) & 0 & 0 \\ f_1(A_{\text{SOI}-1}) & f_0(A_{\text{SOI}}) & 0 \\ f_2(A_{\text{SOI}-1}) & f_1(A_{\text{SOI}}) & f_0(A_{\text{SOI+1}}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{\text{SOI}-1} \\ \mu_{\text{SOI}} \\ \mu_{\text{SOI+1}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B \\ B \\ B \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.3}$$

where A_i and μ_i are the QIE measurements after pedestal subtraction and the amplitude of the pulse for the indexed TS, respectively. The functions f_0, f_1, and f_2 are the premeasured fractions of the pulse template contained in +0, +1, and +2 TS, respectively, and are functions of the amplitude of the pulse in the relevant SOI to correct for the corresponding time slew. The baseline, B, is the average of the QIE measurements after pedestal subtraction in all TSs excluding SOI and SOI+1. The value of B is not allowed to exceed three times the value of σ_{ped} to avoid bias due to large early or late pulses.

Figure 4 shows an illustration of how the algorithm works to extract the pulse shape in the SOI.

2023 JINST 18 P11017



Figure 4. An illustration of the M3 algorithm. Only three TSs from SOI−1 to SOI+1, indicated with dash lines, are used in the reconstruction. Pulse-shape templates for SOI−1, SOI, and SOI+1 are shown in blue, yellow, and pink, respectively. The gray shading shows the baseline.

3.4 Minimization at HCAL, iteratively

Although M2 and M3 use the same pulse-shape templates, the two algorithms are otherwise quite different, and the inconsistency between using M3 for online reconstruction and M2 for offline reconstruction introduces difficulties. For instance, threshold effects for jet-based triggers are worsened, and electron and photon identification algorithms, which require a low value of the ratio between hadronic and electromagnetic energies, become less reliable. The MAHI algorithm provides precise measurements of the HCAL energy in the presence of OOTPU, which are suitable for offline reconstruction while still meeting the timing demands of the HLT.

The MAHI algorithm constructs an 8×8 covariance matrix (corresponding to 8 TSs for each dimension) out of terms for the pulse-shape uncertainty ($\mathbf{D}^{\text{pulse}}$) and the noise ($\mathbf{D}^{\text{noise}}$). The noise term includes uncertainties due to QIE quantization, pedestals, and photostatistics. These uncertainties are added to the diagonal elements of the matrix, although correlations (and hence off-diagonal elements) will play an increasingly important role in Run 3, when the SiPM dark current is expected to increase. For each pulse template, one covariance matrix $\mathbf{D}_j^{\text{pulse}}$ is constructed, leading to a total of eight covariance matrices. The final covariance matrix $\mathbf{V}$ is constructed according to

$$\mathbf{V} = \sum_{j=0}^{7} \mu_j^2 \mathbf{D}_j^{\text{pulse}} + \mathbf{D}^{\text{noise}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where μ_j is the amplitude of the pulse arriving in TS_j.

Then, a non-negative least squares algorithm [15] is run to find μ_j, whose values are constrained to be positive, by minimizing

$$\chi^2 = \left[\sum_j \vec{P}_j \mu_j - \vec{d}\right]^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \left[\sum_j \vec{P}_j \mu_j - \vec{d}\right], \tag{3.5}$$

where $\vec{P}_j$ are the 8-element vectors that contain the contributions of the pulse templates to each TS, and $\vec{d}$ is the vector that contains the QIE measurements after pedestal subtraction. At the beginning, the covariance matrix is initialized with only the noise terms. After the first iteration, the covariance matrix is updated using the μ_j values that minimize the χ^2 value, and the next iteration begins. If the change in χ^2 between two iterations is less than 10^{-3} or the number of iterations goes beyond 500, the iteration stops. Typically, the number of iterations is less than 10. Thus, MAHI incorporates the information used in M2 and extends the number of pulse shapes under consideration (from 3 to 8) while still being able to run on the HLT within the time budget. For a typical event in the Run 2 data set with large hadronic activity, MAHI is $\mathcal{O}(10)$ times faster than M2, but still $\mathcal{O}(10)$ times slower than M3, independent of pileup.

The fit results of MAHI using 2018 pp collision data with ≈ 50 average interactions per proton bunch crossing are illustrated in figure 5. Representative fits at high and low energy and for both the HB (with HPD photodetector and QIE8 ADC) and HE (with SiPM photodetector and QIE11 ADC) are shown. The uncertainty band includes the QIE and SiPM leakage currents, photostatistics, and QIE quantization. When the energy deposition from the SOI is high and dominates over the other TSs, a single pulse shape provides a good fit; however, at lower energies, contributions from OOTPU are important and must be subtracted to provide a good estimate of the energy in the SOI.



Figure 5. Representative fit results from MAHI for high-energy (left) and low-energy (right) pulses, in the HB (upper) and the HE (lower). The recorded pedestal-subtracted charge in the QIE (converted to units of energy) is given by the points, while the filled histograms represent the fitted values for the various pulse shapes. The sum of the fitted energy for each pulse, labeled by its position relative to the SOI, is presented in the legend. The combined uncertainty from the pedestal, photostatistics, and QIE quantization is shown by the hatched areas.

4 Reconstruction performance

The performance of the various algorithms used to reconstruct HCAL energy can be evaluated in a number of ways. The removal of the OOTPU contribution has more significant effects at lower p_{T} and at higher $|\eta|$. This can be seen locally in the reconstruction of isolated charged hadrons, similar to the study in ref. [16]. Isolated tracks with momenta between 20 and 30 GeV are selected from a sample of events triggered by an electron or photon to avoid bias from the trigger. These

2023 JINST 18 P11017

tracks are extrapolated through the calorimeters, and the energy depositions in calorimeter channels within a radius of 35 cm are clustered, typically capturing more than 99% of the shower energy. The associated ECAL energies are required to be less than 2 GeV. Figure 6 shows the ratio of the clustered energy in the HCAL to the track momentum minus the clustered energy in the ECAL for the various algorithms. The solid lines each represent a Gaussian fit to the core of the distributions. The fits are dominated by the HCAL resolution; the standard deviation is comparable for M2 and MAHI, which both subtract the OOTPU. At large η, the M0 response is higher due to OOTPU contributions; moreover, the energy response of M0 exhibits more prominent, non-Gaussian tails.



Figure 6. The HCAL energy response of M0, M2, and MAHI, measured in an electron/photon-triggered data set using isolated tracks with $20 < p_{\text{track}} < 30$ GeV and either $|\eta_{\text{track}}| < 1.2$ (left) or $1.5 < |\eta_{\text{track}}| < 2.3$ (right). The vertical bars show the statistical uncertainty in the number of tracks in each bin. The measured energy resolution for each method in this sample is comparable.

The impact of OOTPU on the mean response is assessed directly in Monte Carlo simulation. Single pions are generated with PYTHIA version 2.242 [17] at the interaction point with various values of momenta and pseudorapidity. The interaction of particles with the CMS detector is modeled using the GEANT4 toolkit [18]. Reconstructed energy deposits are clustered in a cone of radius $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.2$ about the generated pion's trajectory, and the clustered energy is divided by the generated energy to determine the response. Charged pions with clustered energy in the ECAL exceeding 1 GeV are excluded. The ratios of the response in a sample with OOTPU to a sample without any pileup is shown as a function of pion energy in figure 7. The OOTPU generated in this sample corresponds to approximately 30 interactions per bunch crossing, typical of 2018 data, but there is no in-time pileup contribution simulated. The M0 algorithm exhibits a larger bias in its response at lower energies, which is worse at larger values of $|\eta|$. Neither M2 nor MAHI is able to achieve equal response at low energies because both algorithms are designed not to provide negative energies, which biases the average response in the positive direction.

The global performance of the HCAL energy reconstruction algorithms is evaluated in events containing a Z boson. Such events have very little intrinsic $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text{miss}}$, hence any reconstructed $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ can be attributed primarily to the detector resolution. The events are required to contain two isolated,

2023 JINST 18 P11017



Figure 7. Ratios of responses to simulated charged pions between a sample with OOTPU included to a sample with no pileup, as functions of the generated pion energy. The left plot shows the response for pions in the HB ($|\eta| < 1.3$), whereas the middle and right plots show the response for pions in the HE ($1.4 < |\eta| < 2.5$ and $2.5 < |\eta| < 3.0$).

oppositely charged muons with $p_T > 20$ and 10 GeV, respectively, with their reconstructed invariant mass satisfying $81 < M_{\mu\mu} < 101$ GeV. The p_T^{miss} is calculated as the negative vector sum of the energies in the individual calorimeter towers of the ECAL and HCAL (excluding HF and HO). The parallel and perpendicular components of the p_T^{miss} are computed as projections with respect to the Z boson $\vec{p}_T$ direction, similarly to ref. [19]. Figure 8 shows a comparison of the resolution of the parallel and perpendicular components of the recoil system between M0, M2, M3, and MAHI in 2018 data, with an average pileup around 30. The M2, M3, and MAHI algorithms demonstrate improved resolution in both components because of their ability to suppress OOTPU. Among these three algorithms, the marginally worse resolution of M3 was a motivation for switching to MAHI in 2018.



Figure 8. p_T^{miss} resolutions as a function of the Z boson p_T, measured in a data set triggered by two muons. The left (right) plot is the resolution of the component parallel (perpendicular) to the Z boson's p_T. Error bars reflect statistical uncertainties.

To demonstrate the improvements from using consistent local reconstruction algorithms online and offline, figure 9 shows the relative difference of p_T^{miss} in muon-triggered events between the

2023 JINST 18 P11017

online and offline reconstructions, along with fits with a Gaussian function and the corresponding σ. The $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ is calculated as the negative vector sum of the energies in the ECAL and HCAL (this time including HF, but still excluding HO) and the muon momenta. The average $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ in these events is approximately 40 GeV. In one case, M3 and M2 are used online and offline, respectively, whereas in the other case, MAHI is used for both. The agreement between online and offline $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ improves significantly when using MAHI consistently at both levels. The residual online-offline difference observed with MAHI is mostly attributable to the calorimeter calibrations, which, for both HCAL and ECAL, differ because the calibrations used in the HLT cannot be updated retroactively. There are also slight differences in the configurations of the MAHI algorithm. This same inconsistency of calibrations applies to the combination of M3 online and M2 offline as well. The additional penalty from using inconsistent algorithms is significant and further increases the differences between online and offline reconstructions, which can result in less efficient event triggering.



Figure 9. Relative difference of $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ in muon-triggered events between the online and offline reconstructions. Black: (online $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$(M3) − offline $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$(M2))/offline $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$(M2). Red: (online $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$(MAHI) − offline $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$(MAHI))/offline $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$(MAHI). The differences are fit to a Gaussian distribution and the fitted value of the standard deviation is shown.

5 Summary

Four local energy reconstruction algorithms for the CMS hadron calorimeter (HCAL) have been presented in this paper and their performance compared. When the bunch-crossing spacing is at least 50 ns, Method 0 performs well; however, a pulse-shape fitting algorithm must be used when

2023 JINST 18 P11017

the spacing is only 25 ns. The performance of Method 2 is strong under conditions of high out-of-time pileup, but its long reconstruction time makes it unusable in the high-level trigger. The use of a different algorithm, such as Method 3, to accommodate the time constraints of online reconstruction is possible, but the deleterious effects of mismatched algorithms make this solution undesirable. "Minimization at HCAL, Iteratively" is a pulse-shape fitting algorithm that readily suppresses out-of-time pileup and has good intrinsic energy resolution, and is also sufficiently fast to run in the high-level trigger. Hence, it was the preferred local energy reconstruction algorithm for HCAL by the end of Run 2.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (U.S.A.).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 884104, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science — EOS" — be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy — EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" — 390833306, and under project number 400140256 — GRK2497; the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program — ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 124845, K 124850, K 128713, K 128786, K 129058, K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460,

2023 JINST 18 P11017

K 143477, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF/MSIT) grant No. 2020R1C1C1005916 (Korea); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF "a way of making Europe", and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B05F650021 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (U.S.A.).

References

[1] CMS collaboration, *The CMS Experiment at the CERN LHC*, 2008 *JINST* **3** S08004.

[2] CMS collaboration, *Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 800 [arXiv:2104.01927].

[3] CMS collaboration, *The CMS trigger system*, 2017 *JINST* **12** P01020 [arXiv:1609.02366].

[4] CMS collaboration, *Identification and Filtering of Uncharacteristic Noise in the CMS Hadron Calorimeter*, 2010 *JINST* **5** T03014 [arXiv:0911.4881].

[5] J. Mans et al., *CMS Technical Design Report for the Phase 1 Upgrade of the Hadron Calorimeter*, CERN-LHCC-2012-015 (2012) [CMS-TDR-010].

[6] S. Gundacker and A. Heering, *The silicon-photomultiplier: fundamentals and applications of a modern solid-state photon detector*, *Phys. Med. Biol.* **65** (2020) 17TR01.

[7] T. Zimmerman and J.R. Hoff, *The Design of a charge integrating, modified floating point ADC chip*, *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits* **39** (2004) 895.

[8] CMS HCAL collaboration, *Design, performance, and calibration of CMS hadron-barrel calorimeter wedges*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **55** (2008) 159.

[9] CMS collaboration, *Reconstruction of signal amplitudes in the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter in the presence of overlapping proton-proton interactions*, 2020 *JINST* **15** P10002 [arXiv:2006.14359].

[10] CMS collaboration, *Performance of CMS Hadron Calorimeter Timing and Synchronization using Test Beam, Cosmic Ray, and LHC Beam Data*, 2010 *JINST* **5** T03013 [arXiv:0911.4877].

[11] CMS collaboration, *Search for long-lived particles using displaced jets in proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 012015 [arXiv:2012.01581].

[12] CMS collaboration, *Search for long-lived particles using nonprompt jets and missing transverse momentum with proton-proton collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 13$ *TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **797** (2019) 134876 [arXiv:1906.06441].

[13] CMS collaboration, *Search for long-lived particles with displaced vertices in multijet events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 092011 [arXiv:1808.03078].

[14] F. James and M. Roos, *Minuit: A System for Function Minimization and Analysis of the Parameter Errors and Correlations*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **10** (1975) 343.

[15] J. Cantarella and M. Piatek, *tsnnls: A solver for large sparse least squares problems with non-negative variables*, cs/0408029.

[16] CMS collaboration, *Calibration of the CMS hadron calorimeters using proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, 2020 *JINST* **15** P05002 [arXiv:1910.00079].

[17] T. Sjöstrand et al., *An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159 [arXiv:1410.3012].

[18] GEANT4 collaboration, *GEANT4 — a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250.

[19] CMS collaboration, *Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector*, 2019 *JINST* **14** P07004 [arXiv:1903.06078].

2023 JINST 18 P11017

The CMS collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A. Tumasyan[1]

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

W. Adam, J.W. Andrejkovic, T. Bergauer, S. Chatterjee, K. Damanakis, M. Dragicevic, A. Escalante Del Valle, P.S. Hussain, M. Jeitler[2], N. Krammer, L. Lechner, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, P. Paulitsch, J. Schieck[2], R. Schöfbeck, D. Schwarz, M. Sonawane, S. Templ, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz[2]

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish[3], T. Janssen, T. Kello[4], P. Van Mechelen

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

E.S. Bols, J. D'Hondt, A. De Moor, M. Delcourt, H. El Faham, S. Lowette, A. Morton, D. Müller, A.R. Sahasransu, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, S. Van Putte, D. Vannerom

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

B. Clerbaux, S. Dansana, G. De Lentdecker, L. Favart, D. Hohov, J. Jaramillo, K. Lee, M. Mahdavikhorrami, I. Makarenko, A. Malara, S. Paredes, L. Pétré, N. Postiau, L. Thomas, M. Vanden Bemden, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

D. Dobur, J. Knolle, L. Lambrecht, G. Mestdach, C. Rendón, A. Samalan, K. Skovpen, M. Tytgat, N. Van Den Bossche, B. Vermassen, L. Wezenbeek

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

A. Benecke, G. Bruno, F. Bury, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, I.S. Donertas, A. Giammanco, K. Jaffel, Sa. Jain, V. Lemaitre, K. Mondal, A. Taliercio, T.T. Tran, P. Vischia, S. Wertz

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves, E. Coelho, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, P. Rebello Teles

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, M. Alves Gallo Pereira, M. Barroso Ferreira Filho, H. Brandao Malbouisson, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato[5], E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira[6], D. De Jesus Damiao, V. Dos Santos Sousa, S. Fonseca De Souza, J. Martins[7], C. Mora Herrera, K. Mota Amarilo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, A. Santoro, S.M. Silva Do Amaral, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes[6], L. Calligaris, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei, E.M. Gregores, P.G. Mercadante, S.F. Novaes, Sandra S. Padula

2023 JINST 18 P11017

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov, A. Petrov, E. Shumka

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

S. Keshri, S. Thakur

Beihang University, Beijing, China

T. Cheng, Q. Guo, T. Javaid[8], M. Mittal, L. Yuan

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

G. Bauer[9], Z. Hu, S. Lezki, K. Yi[9,10]

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen[8], H.S. Chen[8], M. Chen[8], F. Iemmi, C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor, H. Liao, Z.-A. Liu[11], V. Milosevic, F. Monti, R. Sharma, J. Tao, J. Thomas-Wilsker, J. Wang, H. Zhang, J. Zhao

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos, Y. Ban, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, A. Levin, C. Li, Q. Li, X. Lyu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, X. Sun, D. Wang, J. Xiao, H. Yang

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

M. Lu, Z. You

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

N. Lu

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

X. Gao[4], D. Leggat, H. Okawa, Y. Zhang

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Z. Lin, C. Lu, M. Xiao

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, J. Fraga

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao, F. Ramirez, M. Rodriguez, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez

2023 JINST 18 P11017

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia
D. Giljanovic, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia
Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac, T. Sculac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia
P. Bargassa, V. Brigljevic, B.K. Chitroda, D. Ferencek, S. Mishra, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov[12], T. Susa

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
A. Attikis, K. Christoforou, S. Konstantinou, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka, A. Stepennov

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
M. Finger[12], M. Finger Jr.[12], A. Kveton

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador
E. Ayala

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador
E. Carrera Jarrin

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt
Y. Assran[13,14], S. Elgammal[14]

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
A. Lotfy, M.A. Mahmoud

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
S. Bhowmik, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, T. Lange, S. Nandan, C. Nielsen, J. Pata, M. Raidal, L. Tani, C. Veelken

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
P. Eerola, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland
S. Bharthuar, E. Brücken, F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, M. Lotti, L. Martikainen, M. Myllymäki, M.m. Rantanen, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland
P. Luukka, H. Petrow, T. Tuuva[†]

2023 JINST 18 P11017

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
C. Amendola, M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, V. Lohezic, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro[15], P. Simkina, M. Titov

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France
C. Baldenegro Barrera, F. Beaudette, A. Buchot Perraguin, P. Busson, A. Cappati, C. Charlot, F. Damas, O. Davignon, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, B.A. Fontana Santos Alves, S. Ghosh, R. Granier de Cassagnac, A. Hakimi, B. Harikrishnan, G. Liu, J. Motta, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, L. Portales, R. Salerno, U. Sarkar, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Tarabini, E. Vernazza, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France
J.-L. Agram[16], J. Andrea, D. Apparu, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, D. Darej, U. Goerlach, C. Grimault, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France
S. Beauceron, B. Blancon, G. Boudoul, A. Carle, N. Chanon, J. Choi, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, C. Dozen[17], H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, G. Grenier, B. Ille, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
G. Adamov, I. Lomidze, Z. Tsamalaidze[12]

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany
V. Botta, L. Feld, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, N. Röwert, M. Teroerde

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
S. Diekmann, A. Dodonova, N. Eich, D. Eliseev, M. Erdmann, P. Fackeldey, B. Fischer, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, F. Ivone, M.y. Lee, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov, Y. Rath, W. Redjeb, F. Rehm, H. Reithler, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, A. Stein, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo[18], L. Vigilante, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany
C. Dziwok, G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad[19], O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, A. Nowack, O. Pooth, A. Stahl, T. Ziemons, A. Zotz

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
H. Aarup Petersen, M. Aldaya Martin, J. Alimena, Y. An, P. Asmuss, S. Baxter, M. Bayatmakou, H. Becerril Gonzalez, O. Behnke, S. Bhattacharya, F. Blekman[20], K. Borras[21], D. Brunner, A. Campbell, A. Cardini, C. Cheng, F. Colombina, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, G. Correia Silva, M. De Silva, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein,

L.I. Estevez Banos, O. Filatov, E. Gallo[20], A. Geiser, A. Giraldi, G. Greau, A. Grohsjean, V. Guglielmi, M. Guthoff, A. Jafari[22], N.Z. Jomhari, B. Kaech, M. Kasemann, H. Kaveh, C. Kleinwort, R. Kogler, M. Komm, D. Krücker, W. Lange, D. Leyva Pernia, K. Lipka[23], W. Lohmann[24], R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, M. Mendizabal Morentin, J. Metwally, A.B. Meyer, G. Milella, M. Mormile, A. Mussgiller, A. Nürnberg, Y. Otarid, D. Pérez Adán, E. Ranken, A. Raspereza, B. Ribeiro Lopes, J. Rübenach, A. Saggio, M. Savitskyi, M. Scham[25,21], V. Scheurer, S. Schnake[21], P. Schütze, C. Schwanenberger[20], M. Shchedrolosiev, R.E. Sosa Ricardo, D. Stafford, N. Tonon[†], F. Vazzoler, A. Velyka, A. Ventura Barroso, R. Walsh, Q. Wang, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, L. Wiens[21], C. Wissing, S. Wuchterl, Y. Yang, A. Zimermmane Castro Santos

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht, S. Albrecht, M. Antonello, S. Bein, L. Benato, M. Bonanomi, P. Connor, K. De Leo, M. Eich, K. El Morabit, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, M. Hajheidari, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann, H.R. Jabusch, G. Kasieczka, P. Keicher, R. Klanner, W. Korcari, T. Kramer, V. Kutzner, F. Labe, J. Lange, A. Lobanov, C. Matthies, A. Mehta, L. Moureaux, M. Mrowietz, A. Nigamova, Y. Nissan, A. Paasch, K.J. Pena Rodriguez, T. Quadfasel, M. Rieger, D. Savoiu, J. Schindler, P. Schleper, M. Schröder, J. Schwandt, M. Sommerhalder, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, A. Tews, M. Wolf

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

S. Brommer, M. Burkart, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, A. Dierlamm, A. Droll, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, J.O. Gosewisch, A. Gottmann, F. Hartmann[26], M. Horzela, U. Husemann, M. Klute, R. Koppenhöfer, M. Link, A. Lintuluoto, S. Maier, S. Mitra, Th. Müller, M. Neukum, M. Oh, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, N. Trevisani, R. Ulrich, J. van der Linden, R.F. Von Cube, M. Wassmer, S. Wieland, R. Wolf, S. Wunsch, X. Zuo

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, P. Assiouras, G. Daskalakis, A. Kyriakis, A. Stakia

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

M. Diamantopoulou, D. Karasavvas, P. Kontaxakis, A. Manousakis-Katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, K. Theofilatos, E. Tziaferi, K. Vellidis, I. Zisopoulos

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas, T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Gianneios, C. Kamtsikis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Strologas

2023 JINST 18 P11017

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
M. Csanád, K. Farkas, M.M.A. Gadallah[27], P. Major, K. Mandal, G. Pásztor, A.J. Rádl[28], O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
M. Bartók[29], C. Hajdu, D. Horvath[30,31], F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
G. Bencze, N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi[29], J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi, D. Teyssier

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
P. Raics, B. Ujvari[32], G. Zilizi

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary
T. Csorgo[28], F. Nemes[28], T. Novak

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
J. Babbar, S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, G. Chaudhary, S. Chauhan, N. Dhingra[33], R. Gupta, A. Kaur, A. Kaur, H. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kumar, P. Kumari, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh[34], A. Singla

University of Delhi, Delhi, India
A. Ahmed, A. Bhardwaj, A. Chhetri, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, S. Saumya

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India
S. Baradia, S. Barman[35], S. Bhattacharya, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Dutta, B. Gomber[36], M. Maity[35], P. Palit, G. Saha, B. Sahu[36], S. Sarkar

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India
P.K. Behera, S.C. Behera, S. Chatterjee, P. Kalbhor, J.R. Komaragiri[37], D. Kumar[37], A. Muhammad, L. Panwar[37], R. Pradhan, P.R. Pujahari, N.R. Saha, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar, S. Verma

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India
K. Naskar[38]

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India
T. Aziz, I. Das, S. Dugad, M. Kumar, G.B. Mohanty, P. Suryadevara

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India
S. Banerjee, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, S. Mukherjee, A. Thachayath

2023 JINST 18 P11017

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Bahinipati[39], A.K. Das, C. Kar, P. Mal, T. Mishra, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu[40], A. Nayak[40], P. Saha, S.K. Swain, S. Varghese, D. Vats[40]

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

A. Alpana, S. Dube, B. Kansal, A. Laha, S. Pandey, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi[41,42], E. Khazaie[42], M. Zeinali[43]

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Chenarani[44], S.M. Etesami, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari[a], Università di Bari[b], Politecnico di Bari[c], Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia[a,b], R. Aly[a,b,45], C. Aruta[a,b], A. Colaleo[a], D. Creanza[a,c], L. Cristella[a,b], B. D' Anzi[a,b], N. De Filippis[a,c], M. De Palma[a,b], A. Di Florio[a,b], W. Elmetenawee[a,b], F. Errico[a,b], L. Fiore[a], G. Iaselli[a,c], G. Maggi[a,c], M. Maggi[a], I. Margjeka[a,b], V. Mastrapasqua[a,b], S. My[a,b], S. Nuzzo[a,b], A. Pellecchia[a,b], A. Pompili[a,b], G. Pugliese[a,c], R. Radogna[a], D. Ramos[a], A. Ranieri[a], G. Selvaggi[a,b], L. Silvestris[a], F.M. Simone[a,b], Ü. Sözbilir[a], A. Stamerra[a], R. Venditti[a], P. Verwilligen[a]

INFN Sezione di Bologna[a], Università di Bologna[b], Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi[a], C. Battilana[a,b], D. Bonacorsi[a,b], L. Borgonovi[a], L. Brigliadori[a], R. Campanini[a,b], P. Capiluppi[a,b], A. Castro[a,b], F.R. Cavallo[a], M. Cuffiani[a,b], G.M. Dallavalle[a], T. Diotalevi[a,b], F. Fabbri[a], A. Fanfani[a,b], D. Fasanella[a,b], P. Giacomelli[a], L. Giommi[a,b], C. Grandi[a], L. Guiducci[a,b], S. Lo Meo[a,46], L. Lunerti[a,b], S. Marcellini[a], G. Masetti[a], F.L. Navarria[a,b], A. Perrotta[a], F. Primavera[a,b], A.M. Rossi[a,b], T. Rovelli[a,b], G.P. Siroli[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Catania[a], Università di Catania[b], Catania, Italy

S. Costa[a,b,47], A. Di Mattia[a], R. Potenza[a,b], A. Tricomi[a,b,47], C. Tuve[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Firenze[a], Università di Firenze[b], Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli[a], G. Bardelli[a,b], B. Camaiani[a,b], A. Cassese[a], R. Ceccarelli[a,b], V. Ciulli[a,b], C. Civinini[a], R. D'Alessandro[a,b], E. Focardi[a,b], G. Latino[a,b], P. Lenzi[a,b], M. Lizzo[a,b], M. Meschini[a], S. Paoletti[a], G. Sguazzoni[a], L. Viliani[a]

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, S. Meola[48], D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova[a], Università di Genova[b], Genova, Italy

M. Bozzo[a,b], P. Chatagnon[a], F. Ferro[a], E. Robutti[a], S. Tosi[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca[a], Università di Milano-Bicocca[b], Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia [a], G. Boldrini [a], F. Brivio [a,b], F. Cetorelli [a,b], F. De Guio [a,b], M.E. Dinardo [a,b], P. Dini [a], S. Gennai [a], A. Ghezzi [a,b], P. Govoni [a,b], L. Guzzi [a,b], M.T. Lucchini [a,b], M. Malberti [a], S. Malvezzi [a], A. Massironi [a], D. Menasce [a], L. Moroni [a], M. Paganoni [a,b], D. Pedrini [a], B.S. Pinolini[a], S. Ragazzi [a,b], N. Redaelli [a], T. Tabarelli de Fatis [a,b], D. Zuolo [a,b]

INFN Sezione di Napoli[a], Università di Napoli 'Federico II'[b], Napoli, Italy; Università della Basilicata[c], Potenza, Italy; Università G. Marconi[d], Roma, Italy

S. Buontempo [a], A. Cagnotta [a,b], F. Carnevali[a,b], N. Cavallo [a,c], A. De Iorio [a,b], F. Fabozzi [a,c], A.O.M. Iorio [a,b], L. Lista [a,b,49], P. Paolucci [a,26], B. Rossi [a], C. Sciacca [a,b]

INFN Sezione di Padova[a], Università di Padova[b], Padova, Italy; Università di Trento[c], Trento, Italy

P. Azzi [a], N. Bacchetta [a,50], M. Bellato [a], D. Bisello [a,b], P. Bortignon [a], A. Bragagnolo [a,b], R. Carlin [a,b], P. Checchia [a], T. Dorigo [a], F. Gasparini [a,b], U. Gasparini [a,b], G. Grosso[a], L. Layer[a,51], E. Lusiani [a], M. Margoni [a,b], J. Pazzini [a,b], P. Ronchese [a,b], R. Rossin [a,b], F. Simonetto [a,b], G. Strong [a], M. Tosi [a,b], S. Ventura [a], H. Yarar[a,b], M. Zanetti [a,b], P. Zotto [a,b], A. Zucchetta [a,b]

INFN Sezione di Pavia[a], Università di Pavia[b], Pavia, Italy

S. Abu Zeid [a,52], C. Aimè [a,b], A. Braghieri [a], S. Calzaferri [a,b], D. Fiorina [a,b], P. Montagna [a,b], V. Re [a], C. Riccardi [a,b], P. Salvini [a], I. Vai [a,b], P. Vitulo [a,b]

INFN Sezione di Perugia[a], Università di Perugia[b], Perugia, Italy

P. Asenov [a,53], G.M. Bilei [a], D. Ciangottini [a,b], L. Fanò [a,b], M. Magherini [a,b], G. Mantovani[a,b], V. Mariani [a,b], M. Menichelli [a], F. Moscatelli [a,53], A. Piccinelli [a,b], M. Presilla [a,b], A. Rossi [a,b], A. Santocchia [a,b], D. Spiga [a], T. Tedeschi [a,b]

INFN Sezione di Pisa[a], Università di Pisa[b], Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa[c], Pisa, Italy; Università di Siena[d], Siena, Italy

P. Azzurri [a], G. Bagliesi [a], V. Bertacchi [a,c], R. Bhattacharya [a], L. Bianchini [a,b], T. Boccali [a], E. Bossini [a,b], D. Bruschini [a,c], R. Castaldi [a], M.A. Ciocci [a,b], V. D'Amante [a,d], R. Dell'Orso [a], S. Donato [a], A. Giassi [a], F. Ligabue [a,c], D. Matos Figueiredo [a], A. Messineo [a,b], M. Musich [a,b], F. Palla [a], S. Parolia [a], G. Ramirez-Sanchez [a,c], A. Rizzi [a,b], G. Rolandi [a,c], S. Roy Chowdhury [a], T. Sarkar [a], A. Scribano [a], P. Spagnolo [a], R. Tenchini [a], G. Tonelli [a,b], N. Turini [a,d], A. Venturi [a], P.G. Verdini [a]

INFN Sezione di Roma[a], Sapienza Università di Roma[b], Roma, Italy

P. Barria [a], M. Campana [a,b], F. Cavallari [a], D. Del Re [a,b], E. Di Marco [a], M. Diemoz [a], E. Longo [a,b], P. Meridiani [a], G. Organtini [a,b], F. Pandolfi [a], R. Paramatti [a,b], C. Quaranta [a,b], S. Rahatlou [a,b], C. Rovelli [a], F. Santanastasio [a,b], L. Soffi [a], R. Tramontano [a,b]

INFN Sezione di Torino[a], Università di Torino[b], Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte Orientale[c], Novara, Italy

N. Amapane [a,b], R. Arcidiacono [a,c], S. Argiro [a,b], M. Arneodo [a,c], N. Bartosik [a], R. Bellan [a,b], A. Bellora [a,b], C. Biino [a], N. Cartiglia [a], M. Costa [a,b], R. Covarelli [a,b], N. Demaria [a],

2023 JINST 18 P11017

L. Finco[a], M. Grippo[a,b], B. Kiani[a,b], F. Legger[a], F. Luongo[a,b], C. Mariotti[a], S. Maselli[a], A. Mecca[a,b], E. Migliore[a,b], M. Monteno[a], R. Mulargia[a], M.M. Obertino[a,b], G. Ortona[a], L. Pacher[a,b], N. Pastrone[a], M. Pelliccioni[a], M. Ruspa[a,c], K. Shchelina[a], F. Siviero[a,b], V. Sola[a,b], A. Solano[a,b], D. Soldi[a,b], A. Staiano[a], C. Tarricone[a,b], M. Tornago[a,b], D. Trocino[a], G. Umoret[a,b], A. Vagnerini[a,b], E. Vlasov[a,b]

INFN Sezione di Trieste[a], Università di Trieste[b], Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte[a], V. Candelise[a,b], M. Casarsa[a], F. Cossutti[a], G. Della Ricca[a,b], G. Sorrentino[a,b]

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Dogra, C. Huh, B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak, M.S. Ryu, S. Sekmen, Y.C. Yang

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

H. Kim, D.H. Moon

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

E. Asilar, T.J. Kim, J. Park

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi, S. Han, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park, S.K. Park, J. Yoo

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H. S. Kim, Y. Kim, S. Lee

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, S. Ko, H. Kwon, H. Lee, S. Lee, B.H. Oh, S.B. Oh, H. Seo, U.K. Yang, I. Yoon

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang, D.Y. Kang, Y. Kang, D. Kim, S. Kim, B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee, Y. Lee, J.A. Merlin, I.C. Park, Y. Roh, D. Song, I.J. Watson, S. Yang

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha, H.D. Yoo

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

M. Choi, M.R. Kim, H. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait

T. Beyrouthy, Y. Maghrbi

2023 JINST 18 P11017

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia
K. Dreimanis, G. Pikurs, A. Potrebko, M. Seidel, V. Veckalns[54]

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania
M. Ambrozas, A. Juodagalvis, A. Rinkevicius, G. Tamulaitis

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
N. Bin Norjoharuddeen, S.Y. Hoh[55], I. Yusuff[55], Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico
J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez, M. León Coello, J.A. Murillo Quijada, A. Sehrawat, L. Valencia Palomo

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico
G. Ayala, H. Castilla-Valdez, I. Heredia-De La Cruz[56], R. Lopez-Fernandez, C.A. Mondragon Herrera, D.A. Perez Navarro, A. Sánchez Hernández

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico
C. Oropeza Barrera, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico
I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro
I. Bubanja, J. Mijuskovic[57], N. Raicevic

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
A. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, A. Awais, M.I.M. Awan, M. Gul, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan

AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland
V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
K. Bunkowski, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal
M. Araujo, D. Bastos, A. Boletti, P. Faccioli, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, T. Niknejad, M. Pisano, J. Seixas, J. Varela

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
P. Adzic[58], P. Milenovic

2023 JINST 18 P11017

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
M. Dordevic, J. Milosevic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain
M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, M. Barrio Luna, Cristina F. Bedoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Fernández Del Val, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, J. León Holgado, D. Moran, C. Perez Dengra, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, D.D. Redondo Ferrero, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, J. Sastre, L. Urda Gómez, J. Vazquez Escobar, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
J.F. de Trocóniz

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain
B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, C. Ramón Álvarez, V. Rodríguez Bouza, A. Soto Rodríguez, A. Trapote, C. Vico Villalba

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain
J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, C. Fernandez Madrazo, G. Gomez, C. Lasaosa García, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, P. Matorras Cuevas, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, J.M. Vizan Garcia

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka
M.K. Jayananda, B. Kailasapathy[59], D.U.J. Sonnadara, D.D.C. Wickramarathna

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka
W.G.D. Dharmaratna, K. Liyanage, N. Perera, N. Wickramage

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon[†], D. Barney, A. Bermúdez Martínez, M. Bianco, B. Bilin, A.A. Bin Anuar, A. Bocci, E. Brondolin, C. Caillol, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, N. Chernyavskaya, S.S. Chhibra, S. Choudhury, M. Cipriani, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, A. De Roeck, M.M. Defranchis, M. Deile, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, F. Fallavollita[60], A. Florent, L. Forthomme, G. Franzoni, W. Funk, S. Ghosh[61], S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill, F. Glege, L. Gouskos, E. Govorkova, M. Haranko, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, T. James, P. Janot, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler, N. Kratochwil, S. Laurila, P. Lecoq, E. Leutgeb, C. Lourenço, B. Maier, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A.C. Marini, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, D. Piparo, M. Pitt, H. Qu, T. Quast, D. Rabady,

A. Racz, G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, S. Scarfi, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, P. Sphicas[62], A.G. Stahl Leiton, S. Summers, K. Tatar, D. Treille, P. Tropea, A. Tsirou, D. Walter, J. Wanczyk[63], K.A. Wozniak, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua[64], L. Caminada[64], A. Ebrahimi, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, C. Lange, M. Missiroli[64], L. Noehte[64], T. Rohe

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad, K. Androsov[63], M. Backhaus, A. Calandri, K. Datta, A. De Cosa, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, F. Eble, M. Galli, K. Gedia, F. Glessgen, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Lustermann, A.-M. Lyon, R.A. Manzoni, L. Marchese, C. Martin Perez, A. Mascellani[63], F. Nessi-Tedaldi, J. Niedziela, F. Pauss, V. Perovic, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, B. Ristic, F. Riti, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, R. Seidita, J. Steggemann[63], D. Valsecchi, R. Wallny

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler[65], P. Bärtschi, C. Botta, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, K. Cormier, A. De Wit, R. Del Burgo, J.K. Heikkilä, M. Huwiler, W. Jin, A. Jofrehei, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, S.P. Liechti, A. Macchiolo, P. Meiring, V.M. Mikuni, U. Molinatti, I. Neutelings, A. Reimers, P. Robmann, S. Sanchez Cruz, K. Schweiger, M. Senger, Y. Takahashi

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C. Adloff[66], C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, P.K. Rout, P.C. Tiwari[37], S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

L. Ceard, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.s. Chen, H. Cheng, W.-S. Hou, R. Khurana, G. Kole, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen, H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas, V. Wachirapusitanand

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

D. Agyel, F. Boran, Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, I. Dumanoglu[67], E. Eskut, Y. Guler[68], E. Gurpinar Guler[68], C. Isik, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir[69], A. Polatoz, B. Tali[70], U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, E. Uslan, I.S. Zorbakir

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

G. Karapinar[71], K. Ocalan[72], M. Yalvac[73]

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Akgun, I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya[74], O. Kaya[75], S. Tekten[76]

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak[67], Y. Komurcu, S. Sen[77]

2023 JINST 18 P11017

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek, S. Cerci[70], V. Epshteyn, B. Hacisahinoglu, I. Hos[78], B. Isildak[79], B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu, C. Simsek, D. Sunar Cerci[70]

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

B. Grynyov

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

D. Anthony, J.J. Brooke, A. Bundock, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, M. Glowacki, J. Goldstein, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, B. Krikler, S. Paramesvaran, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, N. Stylianou[80], K. Walkingshaw Pass, R. White

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev[81], C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, C. Cooke, K.V. Ellis, K. Harder, S. Harper, M.-L. Holmberg[82], Sh. Jain, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, G. Salvi, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, S. Bonomally, J. Borg, C.E. Brown, O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, V. Cepaitis, G.S. Chahal[83], D. Colling, J.S. Dancu, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, J. Davies, M. Della Negra, S. Fayer, G. Fedi, G. Hall, M.H. Hassanshahi, A. Howard, G. Iles, J. Langford, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, M. Mieskolainen, D.G. Monk, J. Nash[84], M. Pesaresi, B.C. Radburn-Smith, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, R. Shukla, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, G.P. Uttley, L.H. Vage, T. Virdee[26], M. Vojinovic, N. Wardle, S.N. Webb, D. Winterbottom

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

K. Coldham, J.E. Cole, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, I.D. Reid

Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA

S. Abdullin, A. Brinkerhoff, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A.R. Kanuganti, B. McMaster, M. Saunders, S. Sawant, C. Sutantawibul, M. Toms, J. Wilson

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, C. Huerta Escamilla, A.E. Simsek, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

R. Chudasama, S.I. Cooper, D. Di Croce, S.V. Gleyzer, C.U. Perez, P. Rumerio[85], E. Usai, C. West

Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

A. Akpinar, A. Albert, D. Arcaro, C. Cosby, Z. Demiragli, C. Erice, E. Fontanesi, D. Gastler, S. May, J. Rohlf, K. Salyer, D. Sperka, D. Spitzbart, I. Suarez, A. Tsatsos, S. Yuan

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

G. Benelli, X. Coubez[21], D. Cutts, M. Hadley, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan[86], T. Kwon, G. Landsberg, K.T. Lau, D. Li, J. Luo, M. Narain, N. Pervan, S. Sagir[87], F. Simpson, W.Y. Wong, X. Yan, D. Yu, W. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

S. Abbott, J. Bonilla, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, G. Haza, F. Jensen, O. Kukral, G. Mocellin, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, B. Regnery, W. Wei, Y. Yao, F. Zhang

University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

M. Bachtis, R. Cousins, A. Datta, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, M.A. Iqbal, T. Lam, E. Manca, W.A. Nash, D. Saltzberg, B. Stone, V. Valuev

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

R. Clare, J.W. Gary, M. Gordon, G. Hanson, O.R. Long, N. Manganelli, W. Si, S. Wimpenny

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

J.G. Branson, S. Cittolin, S. Cooperstein, D. Diaz, J. Duarte, R. Gerosa, L. Giannini, J. Guiang, R. Kansal, V. Krutelyov, R. Lee, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, F. Mokhtar, M. Pieri, M. Quinnan, B.V. Sathia Narayanan, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, E. Vourliotis, F. Würthwein, Y. Xiang, A. Yagil

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA

L. Brennan, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, G. Collura, A. Dorsett, J. Incandela, M. Kilpatrick, J. Kim, A.J. Li, P. Masterson, H. Mei, M. Oshiro, J. Richman, U. Sarica, R. Schmitz, F. Setti, J. Sheplock, P. Siddireddy, D. Stuart, S. Wang

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, A. Latorre, J.M. Lawhorn, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T. Q. Nguyen, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, C. Wang, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

J. Alison, S. An, M.B. Andrews, P. Bryant, V. Dutta, T. Ferguson, A. Harilal, C. Liu, T. Mudholkar, S. Murthy, M. Paulini, A. Roberts, A. Sanchez, W. Terrill

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Hassani, G. Karathanasis, E. MacDonald, F. Marini, A. Perloff, C. Savard, N. Schonbeck, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner, N. Zipper

2023 JINST 18 P11017

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

J. Alexander, S. Bright-Thonney, X. Chen, D.J. Cranshaw, J. Fan, X. Fan, D. Gadkari, S. Hogan, J. Monroy, J.R. Patterson, J. Reichert, M. Reid, A. Ryd, J. Thom, P. Wittich, R. Zou

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA

M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, L.A.T. Bauerdick, D. Berry, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, K.F. Di Petrillo, J. Dickinson, I. Dutta, V.D. Elvira, Y. Feng, J. Freeman, A. Gandrakota, Z. Gecse, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, D. Guerrero, O. Gutsche, R.M. Harris, R. Heller, T.C. Herwig, J. Hirschauer, L. Horyn, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, T. Klijnsma, B. Klima, K.H.M. Kwok, S. Lammel, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, C. Madrid, K. Maeshima, C. Mantilla, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, J. Ngadiuba, D. Noonan, S. Norberg, V. Papadimitriou, N. Pastika, K. Pedro, C. Pena[88], F. Ravera, A. Reinsvold Hall[89], L. Ristori, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, I. Zoi

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, L. Cadamuro, P. Chang, V. Cherepanov, R.D. Field, E. Koenig, M. Kolosova, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, E. Kuznetsova[90], K.H. Lo, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, A. Muthirakalayil Madhu, N. Rawal, D. Rosenzweig, S. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Wang, Z. Wu

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, N. Bower, R. Habibullah, V. Hagopian, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, H. Prosper, O. Viazlo, M. Wulansatiti, R. Yohay, J. Zhang

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA

M.M. Baarmand, S. Butalla, T. Elkafrawy[52], M. Hohlmann, R. Kumar Verma, M. Rahmani, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA

M.R. Adams, R. Cavanaugh, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, D. S. Lemos, A.H. Merrit, C. Mills, G. Oh, T. Roy, S. Rudrabhatla, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, X. Wang, Z. Ye, J. Yoo

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

M. Alhusseini, K. Dilsiz[91], L. Emediato, G. Karaman, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili[92], J. Nachtman, O. Neogi, H. Ogul[93], Y. Onel, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras[94]

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

O. Amram, B. Blumenfeld, L. Corcodilos, J. Davis, A.V. Gritsan, S. Kyriacou, P. Maksimovic, J. Roskes, S. Sekhar, M. Swartz, T.Á. Vámi

2023 JINST 18 P11017

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA

A. Abreu, L.F. Alcerro Alcerro, J. Anguiano, P. Baringer, A. Bean, Z. Flowers, J. King, G. Krintiras, M. Lazarovits, C. Le Mahieu, C. Lindsey, J. Marquez, N. Minafra, M. Murray, M. Nickel, C. Rogan, C. Royon, R. Salvatico, S. Sanders, C. Smith, Q. Wang, G. Wilson

Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

B. Allmond, S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, A. Kalogeropoulos, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, K. Nam, D. Roy

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

E. Adams, A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, A. Bethani, Y.m. Chen, S.C. Eno, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, R.G. Kellogg, T. Koeth, Y. Lai, S. Lascio, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, C. Palmer, C. Papageorgakis, L. Wang, K. Wong

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

J. Bendavid, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, Y. Chen, M. D'Alfonso, J. Eysermans, C. Freer, G. Gomez-Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Kovalskyi, J. Krupa, Y.-J. Lee, K. Long, C. Mironov, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephans, J. Wang, Z. Wang, B. Wyslouch, T. J. Yang

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

R.M. Chatterjee, B. Crossman, J. Hiltbrand, B.M. Joshi, C. Kapsiak, M. Krohn, Y. Kubota, D. Mahon, J. Mans, M. Revering, R. Rusack, R. Saradhy, N. Schroeder, N. Strobbe, M.A. Wadud

University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA

L.M. Cremaldi

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

K. Bloom, M. Bryson, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, F. Golf, C. Joo, I. Kravchenko, I. Reed, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow[†], W. Tabb, A. Wightman, F. Yan, A.G. Zecchinelli

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA

G. Agarwal, H. Bandyopadhyay, L. Hay, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, M. Morris, D. Nguyen, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, Y. Haddad, Y. Han, A. Krishna, J. Li, J. Lidrych, G. Madigan, B. Marzocchi, D.M. Morse, V. Nguyen, T. Orimoto, A. Parker, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, Z. Chen, A. Gilbert, K.A. Hahn, Y. Liu, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, M. Velasco

2023 JINST 18 P11017

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA
R. Band, R. Bucci, M. Cremonesi, A. Das, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, K. Lannon, J. Lawrence, N. Loukas, L. Lutton, J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley, C. Mcgrady, K. Mohrman, C. Moore, Y. Musienko[12], R. Ruchti, A. Townsend, M. Wayne, H. Yockey, M. Zarucki, L. Zygala

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA
B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan, L.S. Durkin, C. Hill, M. Joyce, A. Lesauvage, M. Nunez Ornelas, K. Wei, B.L. Winer, B. R. Yates

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA
F.M. Addesa, H. Bouchamaoui, P. Das, G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, A. Frankenthal, B. Greenberg, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, G. Kopp, S. Kwan, D. Lange, A. Loeliger, D. Marlow, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, D. Stickland, C. Tully

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA
S. Malik

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA
A.S. Bakshi, V.E. Barnes, R. Chawla, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, D. Kondratyev, A.M. Koshy, M. Liu, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, G. Paspalaki, S. Piperov, A. Purohit, J.F. Schulte, M. Stojanovic[15], J. Thieman, A. K. Virdi, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA
J. Dolen, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA
D. Acosta, A. Baty, T. Carnahan, S. Dildick, K.M. Ecklund, P.J. Fernández Manteca, S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts, A. Kumar, W. Li, O. Miguel Colin, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Rotter, S. Yang, E. Yigitbasi, Y. Zhang

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA
A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, P. Parygin, E. Popova, R. Taus, G.P. Van Onsem

The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA
K. Goulianos

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA
B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, D. Jaroslawski, O. Karacheban[24], I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, H. Routray, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S.A. Thayil, S. Thomas, J. Vora, H. Wang

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA
H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, S. Fiorendi, T. Holmes, E. Nibigira, S. Spanier

2023 JINST 18 P11017

Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA
M. Ahmad, O. Bouhali[95], M. Dalchenko, A. Delgado, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon[96], H. Kim, S. Luo, S. Malhotra, R. Mueller, D. Overton, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA
N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, V. Hegde, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, I. Volobouev, A. Whitbeck

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA
E. Appelt, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, A. Melo, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, J. Viinikainen

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA
B. Cardwell, B. Cox, G. Cummings, J. Hakala, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, A. Li, C. Neu, C.E. Perez Lara

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA
P.E. Karchin

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
A. Aravind, S. Banerjee, K. Black, T. Bose, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, P. Everaerts, C. Galloni, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Herve, C.K. Koraka, A. Lanaro, R. Loveless, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, A. Mallampalli, A. Mohammadi, S. Mondal, G. Parida, D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi, W. Vetens, A. Warden

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
S. Afanasiev, V. Andreev, Yu. Andreev, T. Aushev, M. Azarkin, A. Babaev, A. Belyaev, V. Blinov[97], E. Boos, V. Borshch, D. Budkouski, M. Chadeeva[97], V. Chekhovsky, M. Danilov[97], A. Demiyanov, A. Dermenev, T. Dimova[97], I. Dremin, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, G. Gavrilov, V. Gavrilov, S. Gninenko, V. Golovtcov, N. Golubev, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Gribushin, Y. Ivanov, V. Kachanov, A. Kaminskiy, L. Kardapoltsev[97], V. Karjavine, A. Karneyeu, V. Kim[97], M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov, M. Kirsanov, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova[98], D. Konstantinov, V. Korenkov, A. Kozyrev[97], N. Krasnikov, A. Lanev, P. Levchenko[99], A. Litomin, O. Lukina, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Makarenko, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev[97,100], V. Murzin, A. Nikitenko[101,98], S. Obraztsov, A. Oskin, I. Ovtin[97], V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Petrushanko, V. Popov, O. Radchenko[97], V. Rusinov, M. Savina, V. Savrin, V. Shalaev, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, Y. Skovpen[97], S. Slabospitskii, V. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, E. Tcherniaev, A. Terkulov, O. Teryaev, I. Tlisova, A. Toropin, L. Uvarov, A. Uzunian, A. Vorobyev[†], N. Voytishin, B.S. Yuldashev[102], A. Zarubin, I. Zhizhin, A. Zhokin

[†] *Deceased*
[1] *Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia*
[2] *Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria*

2023 JINST 18 P11017

[3] *Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt*
[4] *Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium*
[5] *Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil*
[6] *Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil*
[7] *Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil*
[8] *Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China*
[9] *Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China*
[10] *Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA*
[11] *Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China*
[12] *Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN*
[13] *Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt*
[14] *Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt*
[15] *Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA*
[16] *Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France*
[17] *Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*
[18] *Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil*
[19] *Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey*
[20] *Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
[21] *Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany*
[22] *Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran*
[23] *Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany*
[24] *Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany*
[25] *Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany*
[26] *Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland*
[27] *Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt*
[28] *Also at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
[29] *Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*
[30] *Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary*
[31] *Now at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania*
[32] *Also at Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*
[33] *Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India*
[34] *Also at UPES - University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India*
[35] *Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India*
[36] *Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India*
[37] *Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India*
[38] *Also at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Mumbai, India*
[39] *Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India*
[40] *Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India*
[41] *Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany*
[42] *Now at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran*
[43] *Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*
[44] *Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran*
[45] *Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt*
[46] *Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy*
[47] *Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy*
[48] *Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy*
[49] *Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy*
[50] *Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA*
[51] *Also at Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy*
[52] *Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt*

2023 JINST 18 P11017

[53] Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
[54] Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia
[55] Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
[56] Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
[57] Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
[58] Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
[59] Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
[60] Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
[61] Also at Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
[62] Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
[63] Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
[64] Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
[65] Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
[66] Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
[67] Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey
[68] Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
[69] Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
[70] Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
[71] Also at Istanbul Gedik University, Istanbul, Turkey
[72] Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey
[73] Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlügü, Yozgat, Turkey
[74] Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
[75] Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
[76] Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
[77] Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
[78] Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
[79] Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
[80] Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
[81] Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
[82] Also at University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
[83] Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
[84] Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
[85] Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
[86] Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA
[87] Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
[88] Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
[89] Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA
[90] Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA
[91] Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
[92] Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
[93] Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
[94] Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey
[95] Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
[96] Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea
[97] Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
[98] Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
[99] Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
[100] Now at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
[101] Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
[102] Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

2023 JINST 18 P11017