

1 Herbarium insect pests of the Palermo botanical garden and focus on the use of  
2 semiochemicals for control *Lasioderma serricorne* F. (Coleoptera: Anobiidae)

3

4 Salvatore Guarino<sup>1</sup>, Sara Basile<sup>1</sup>, Marco Caimi<sup>2</sup>, Alfredo Carratello<sup>3</sup>, Barbara Manachini<sup>1</sup>, Ezio Peri<sup>1</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie e Forestali, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze*  
7 *Ed 5, 90128, Palermo, Italy*

8 <sup>2</sup>*GEA Srl Via Enrico Fermi, 1020019 Settimo Milanese (MI), Italy*

9 <sup>3</sup>*Centro Servizi Sistema Museale di Ateneo, Università degli Studi di Palermo Via Lincoln, 2*  
10 *90123 Palermo, Italy.*

11 **Abstract**

12 The herbaria are not only a scientific tool of great importance by preserving extinct, rare, endemic,  
13 and common plant species but also have importance as Cultural Heritage for their historical and  
14 aesthetical value. Herbaria can be infested by several insect pests feeding on dried plants, and their  
15 management it is often complicate and difficult although with chemical insecticides which can have  
16 negative drawbacks. This suggests a strong need of new alternative control tools such as the use of  
17 semiochemicals to develop an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) of pests in the herbaria. A survey  
18 of the entomological infestation of the Herbarium and its *exsiccata* of the Palermo Botanical Garden's  
19 Herbarium, one of the largest in the world, was carried out in order to identify the main taxa that  
20 determine the major damages. Several insects caused the damages on the *exsiccata*, but *Lasioderma*  
21 *serricorne* was the key pest of the herbarium. Consequently, experiments were conducted to evaluate  
22 and optimize the use of semiochemicals for monitoring and mass trapping *L. serricorne*. Different  
23 sex pheromone releasers (polyethylene tubes and patch types) were evaluated for their efficacy in  
24 terms of emission and insect captures. A food attractant, the *Capsicum annum* dried fruits powder,  
25 was also evaluated as synergist of the pheromone in the traps to evaluate it as mass trapping tool.  
26 Results indicated that polyethylene tubes determined a pheromone emission more constant with time  
27 and in parallel a higher number of insect catches in comparison with patch type releasers. Moreover,  
28 the use of *C. annum* fruit powder in the pheromone traps determined a significant increase of catches  
29 compared with the traps loaded with pheromone alone, suggesting the possibility to use this tool for  
30 mass trapping *L. serricorne* in the herbaria.

31

## 32 **1. Introduction**

33 Herbarium collections represent an enormous sampling of world flora and are a tool for studying  
34 biodiversity, consulting and disseminating botanical, cultural, herbal and food uses and traditions  
35 (Bebber et al., 2010; Gillespie and Gillespie, 2016; McAllister et al., 2018). There are 3095 active  
36 herbaria in the world, containing 387,513,053 botanical specimens (Thiers, 2019). The word  
37 “herbarium” combines two different definitions, albeit conceptually and historically linked to each  
38 other: firstly, a compendium that describes the vegetable kingdom (herbarium or *hortus siccus*);  
39 secondly, a building that host one or more collections of dry plant samples called *exsiccata* (Moggi,  
40 2012a). Herbarium collections preserve extinct, rare, endemic, and common plant species. The  
41 herbaria are scientific tool of great importance. When a new species is described, specimens of this  
42 plant, the *types*, are deposited in a herbarium and serve as reference for the identification of this  
43 species. Comparison with herbarium specimens or types allows confirming the species identification.  
44 Their proper conservation is important also in the perspective of further studies thanks to the new  
45 biomolecular techniques based on DNA investigations (Moggi, 2012c; Pezzella, 1993, Mossetti,  
46 1990, Bini Maleci et al., 1993).

47 For all the above reasons the herbaria have not only a historical-scientific value but also importance  
48 as real Cultural Heritage (Amadei et al., 2007, Martellos et al., 2012; Harrison, 2015; McAllister et  
49 al 2018). In fact besides of their role as information botanical sources, the herbaria have been  
50 transformed into cultural heritage, testimony to the history of discovery and expansion of society in  
51 its territory, similarly to other natural heritages (Martellos et al., 2011; Isolani et al., 2012; Manachini  
52 et al., 2013; Piccinini et al., 2016; Walther 2018).

53 The Palermo Botanical Garden's Herbarium (hereafter named PAL as in the Index Herbariorum,  
54 Thiers, 2018), also known as *Herbarium Mediterraneum Panormitanum*, was founded at the  
55 beginning of the 18th century. PAL is part of the Botanical Garden (Fig. 1a) and attracts many visitors  
56 all year round. Its collections (vascular plants, ferns, mosses, liverworts, algae, fungi and lichens)  
57 come from Sicily (Italy) as well as many other parts of the world, including Australia, Africa, Central

58 and South America, and Europe. PAL contains an interesting collection of dried fruits (Carpoteca),  
59 seeds (Spermoteca), wood samples (Xiloteca), and numerous fruits preserved in alcohol, dating back  
60 to the early 20th century. PAL contains over 600,000 *exsiccata* specimens. A large number of the  
61 *exsiccata* were donated in 2008 by the famous botanist Prof. W. Greuter, ex Director of Botanical  
62 Garden of Berlin (Germany) to the University of Palermo. Greuter's *herbarium* (Fig. 1b) collection  
63 consists of more than 180,000 *exsiccata* collected in several Mediterranean countries (e.g. France,  
64 Algeria, Greece, Italy, Crete). The collection, considering its historical and scientific importance, was  
65 collocated in the *Gymnasium*, a part of the historical building of the Botanical Garden of Palermo.  
66 Recently, the scientific curator of PAL reported damages on several *exsiccata*, probably due to attack  
67 of insects or other arthropods. The level of damages recorded on *exsiccata* ranged from slight to  
68 severe (Fig. 2 a-c). However, a scientific survey on pests responsible of these damages was not carried  
69 out, till now.

70 Insect pest problems afflict the majority of herbaria (Drobnik, 2008; Roma-Marzio et al., 2017), and  
71 are considered the most common biotic factor of deterioration of museum objects made by wood,  
72 papers, tissues and other dry organic matters (Querner, 2015). In the herbaria, the *exsiccata* represent  
73 a potential food source for a variety of insect pests that can determine damages with their feeding,  
74 burrowing and defecating activities (Linnie, 1994). Scan literature is available on pest infesting  
75 herbaria (Hall, 1988; Retief and Nicholas, 1988; Drobnik, 2008; Roma-Marzio et al., 2017). The main  
76 insects reported affecting herbaria are: *Stegobium paniceum* L. and *Lasioderma serricorne* F.  
77 (Coleoptera: Anobidae), *Trogoderma granarium* (Coleoptera: Dermestidae), *Plodia interpunctella*  
78 (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), *Liposcelis* spp. (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), *Lepisma saccharina* L.  
79 (Zygentoma: Lepismatidae), *Blattella germanica* L. and *Periplaneta americana* L. (Dictyoptera:  
80 Blattidae) (Hall, 1988; Drobnik, 2008). Among them, anobids are particularly severe pests also in  
81 commercial stored products such as herbal tea, spices, tobacco (Ashworth 1993; Buchelos and  
82 Levinson 1993, Mahroof and Phillips 2008a, b, 2011). Their aggressiveness toward dried plants, such  
83 as herbs and dried fruit caused the most severe damage in the herbaria (Retief and Nicholas, 1988,

84 Ashworth 1993). Thus, the control strategies of insects infesting the herbaria rely mainly on the  
85 protocols used against stored product pests infesting warehouses, similarly to what adopted in other  
86 cultural heritage contexts (Phillips and Throne, 2010; Pinniger, 2014, Querner, 2015). However, to  
87 date, the major methods adopted by herbarium curators to manage pests, are: 1) to freeze the bags  
88 were *exsiccata* are stored, 2) to use chemical products (like aspara-dichlorobenzene and camphor), 3)  
89 a combination of freezing and chemical products (Roma-Marzio et al., 2017).

90 The use of chemical insecticides has in several cases determined adverse drawbacks as environmental  
91 hazard and development of resistance in many insects, risks to the operators and incompatibility with  
92 the conservation and restoration of the herbarium collections (Linnie et al., 1990; Roma-Marzio et  
93 al., 2017). Moreover, *exsiccata* must be free from toxic compounds as they should be available for  
94 studying in anytime from all different researcher and users as adverse insecticide direct effects on the  
95 health on the curators was documented (Linnie et al., 1990).

96 There is an urgent need to assess new and alternative methods to the chemical pest control feasible  
97 for their use in herbaria. Integrated pest management (IPM) is receiving increasing interest in Cultural  
98 Heritage contexts (Trematerra and Pinniger, 2018). IPM is a term originally adopted to describe the  
99 development of pest control methods in agriculture, that do not rely merely on the regular and  
100 systematic use of pesticides. The main tactics of IPM are monitoring, discouraging pests, modifying  
101 the environment and targeting treatments.

102 The monitoring step is often neglected in herbaria but has a crucial importance. The right  
103 identification of the key pest/s is essential to target the control methods and gives information on  
104 where and when a particular pest is present. The insect monitoring can be conducted by visual  
105 inspection, chromotropic traps, sticky traps and pheromone traps.

106 The use of pheromones and other semiochemicals, such as food attractant, for monitoring and  
107 contrasting insect pests is highly recommendable for developing bio-rational control methods (Fields  
108 and White, 2002; Phillips and Throne, 2010; Trematerra 2012) following the IPM strategy (Welch et  
109 al., 2015). Pheromones baited traps are very sensitive tools and are highly specific to target species

110 (Savoldelli and Trematerra, 2011; Trematerra 2012). Pheromone traps could have tremendous  
111 potential as a tool for monitoring insect infestations in museum collections (Querner, 2015) and  
112 herbaria (Hall, 1988). However, to our best knowledge, very few investigations have been conducted  
113 on herbaria. The pheromones commercially available for the insect infesting the herbaria are  
114 restricted to few species. Specific studies on the use of pheromone in herbaria is crucial to evaluate  
115 their efficacy in controlling the pests. The capacity to attract insects into the trap is strictly related to  
116 the emission rate of semiochemicals during time, largely related to the dispenser types used (Kehat  
117 et al., 1994). These aspects need to be then carefully evaluated in order to optimize the pheromone  
118 use in herbaria.

119 In addition to increase the pheromone captures and explore the possibility to use a mass trapping  
120 technique, pheromone traps should be implemented with a food attractant (Spochacz et al, 2018).

121 Therefore the objectives of this study are:

- 122 1. Survey of the main pests present in the *Herbarium* of Palermo and identification of the key  
123 pest.
- 124 2. Evaluation of the emission in the time of two pheromone releasers for the key pest.
- 125 3. Evaluation of the efficacy of two pheromone releasers in terms of number of specimens  
126 captured.
- 127 4. Evaluation of the efficacy of a combination of pheromone and food attractant calculated as  
128 number of individuals of key pest captured.

129

130

## 131 **2. Material and methods**

132

133

### 134 **2.1. Survey of entomological infestation**

135

136 The research was carried out in the herbarium of Historical Garden of Palermo (PAL) in particular in  
137 the collection of prof. Greuter (Fig. 1b). The collections of *exsiccata* are stored and protected in  
138 double transparent polyethylene bags, ideal for freezing, storing and shipping *exsiccata* (Fig. 1b). A  
139 survey on the pests causing the damages (Fig 2 a-c) to the collections of the PAL and the level of  
140 infestation was done through visual check. Inspection was done to the environment with particular  
141 focus on shelves and the bags where the *exsiccata* are stored. Samples were taken from the content  
142 of the bags (n=24) and directly from *exsiccata* (n=26). All arthropods were identified using a  
143 stereomicroscope (Zeiss SteREO Discovery. V12). Only the relevant pests were identified to the  
144 genus or species level.

145

## 146 **2.2. Pheromone releasers evaluation**

147 Based on the results of the survey of entomological infestation, the major pest infesting the PAL was  
148 *Lasioderma serricorne* (F.) (Coleoptera: Anobiidae). Therefore specific trap bioassays were  
149 conducted on this coleoptera.

150 Experiments were conducted in order to assess two different *L. serricorne* pheromone releaser in  
151 terms of adult insects captured and emission rate. The pheromone releaser tested were: 1)  
152 Polyethylene tube, 0.5-ml tubes loaded with a cyclohexane solution (100 µl) containing 4 mg of 4-6-  
153 dimethyl-7-hydroxy-nonan-3-one afterward named serricornin (purity grade 97.9%, Bedoukian,  
154 Dambury, USA) and 2) Patch type releaser, made by laminated tissue containing adhesive glue where  
155 the serricornin (4 mg) was mixed.

156

### 157 **2.2.1 Pheromone trap experiments**

158 Trapping experiments were conducted in PAL, placing the two releaser type in delta anobid traps  
159 (560 x 119mm; provided by GEA srl, Settimo Milanese, Italy). Nine traps were used in total,  
160 according the following treatments: three traps lured with polyethylene tube dispensers, three traps  
161 lured with patch type releaser and three traps left unloaded as control. Traps were positioned  
162 approximately 1.80 m from the floor and at least 5 m distant from other trap. The position of the  
163 traps was randomly assigned. Traps were inspected weekly from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> August 2018 in order to  
164 count the number of adult *L. serricornis* captured. The position of the traps was clock rotated after  
165 each inspection to avoid position bias.

166

### 167 **2.2.2 Pheromone emission rate**

168 In this experiment the pheromone emission from polyethylene dispenser and patch type was  
169 compared. The serricornin emission from the two releasers were collected by headspace, specifically  
170 by using the solid phase micro-extraction (SPME) method (Pawliszyn 1997). SPME is an equilibrium  
171 process involving headspace and the polymeric fiber stationary phase. The stationary phases used as  
172 coatings were poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS, 100  $\mu\text{m}$ ). A manual SPME holder from the same  
173 manufacturer was used for injections. Fibers were conditioned in a gas chromatograph injector port  
174 as recommended by the manufacturer at 250 °C for 30 min.

175 For the headspace collections, the pheromone releaser were placed by forceps into 22 ml glass vials,  
176 which were sealed with a poly(tetrafluoroethylene) silicon septum-lined cap (Supelco, Bellefonte,  
177 PA, USA). As internal standard, 1  $\mu\text{l}$  of a hexane solution of decane (200 ng  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$ ) was added.  
178 Subsequently an SPME needle was then inserted through the septum and volatiles were absorbed on  
179 the exposed fiber for 2 hours at room temperature (22 °C). Headspace collections were replicated  
180 three times for each releaser type. In order to assess the pheromone emission curve, collection were  
181 carried out respectively at day 0, 3, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, from the releasers opening. Experiments  
182 were replicated three times for each releaser type and time of sampling, for a total of 48 samples.



183 In order to perform the chemical analysis of the VOCs collected, the loaded fiber was, immediately  
184 after the end of the sampling time, desorbed in the gas chromatograph inlet port for 2 min. Coupled  
185 gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analyses of the headspace collections from the two  
186 glue types were performed on an Agilent 6890 GC system interfaced with an MS5973 quadruple  
187 mass spectrometer was injected onto a DB5-MS column in splitless mode. Injector and detector  
188 temperatures were 260°C and 280°C respectively. Helium was used as the carrier gas. The GC oven  
189 temperature was set at 40°C for 5 min, and then increased by 10°C/min to 250°C. Electron impact  
190 ionization spectra were obtained at 70 eV, recording mass spectra from 40 to 550 amu. All the analysis  
191 were carried out in the laboratories of the Department of Agricultural, Food And Forest Sciences,  
192 University of Palermo (Italy).

193

### 194 **2.3. Mass Trapping tests**

195

196 In order to assess the efficacy of a food attractant to increase the number of insects captured in  
197 pheromone traps, was tested a chili (*Capsicum annuum* L. cv Pequin) powder as pheromone synergist.  
198 The chilli powder (2g) was weighted using a precision balance and placed inside the trap together  
199 with the pheromone releaser. For this test polyethylene vials dispensers were used as provided a  
200 higher number of insects captured (see result section). The trapping experiment was designed as  
201 follows: three traps loaded with chilli powder + pheromone, three traps loaded with pheromone alone  
202 and three traps left unloaded as control. The experiment was carried out from the 5<sup>th</sup> September to  
203 the 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2018. Similarly to pheromone trap experiments, the traps were inspected weekly and  
204 the number of captured insect was counted. The position of the traps was clock rotated after each  
205 inspection to avoid position bias.

206

207

### 208 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

209

210 The pheromone emission from the two releaser tested at each day from their opening was compared  
211 by t-test for independent samples. The number of catches of the pheromone trap experiment and of  
212 mass trapping test was root square transformed and analysed by using a one-way ANOVA, followed  
213 by Tukey test. All the statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 7.0 for Window (Statsoft  
214 2001, Vigonza, PD, Italy).

215

216

### 217 **3. Results**

218

#### 219 **3.1 Survey of entomological infestation**

220

221 Table 1 reports the number of entire specimens of insects collected in the bags according to the  
222 botanical family. Fifteen botanical families were sampled (Table 1). The anobiid beetles were the  
223 most abundant insects, recorded in all botanical families. The majority of anobiidae was represented  
224 by *Lasioderma serricorne* F. (Fig. 3a). That might be considered the key pest of this herbarium for  
225 number of individuals present and damages related. Among the other species recorded, the book louse,  
226 *Liposcelis* spp. (Fig. 3b) was also abundant particularly in the botanical families of Asteraceae,  
227 Brassicaceae, Cesalpinaceae, Lamiaceae (Table 1). The firebrat, *Thermobia domestica* Packard (Fig.  
228 3c) and the silverfish, *Lepisma saccharina* L. were also recorded in Pinaceae, Scrophulariaceae,  
229 Solanaceae and Euphorbiaceae. Some Formicidae species were also infesting Rosaceae,  
230 Euphorbiaceae and Lamiaceae. Finally, hymenoptera parasitoids were recorded mainly in the bags  
231 containing the families Asparagaceae, Asteraceae, Brassicaceae, Cesalpinaceae, Lamiaceae (Table  
232 1). Similar results were recorded on *exsiccata* where *L. serricorne* was sampled at adult and larvae  
233 stages (Fig. 4). In particular, the adults were more abundant in *Celtis australis* L. (Cannabaceae) and  
234 *Pinus nigra* Arnold (Pinaceae). In contrast, the largest number of larvae was found in *Aspladium*

235 *trichomanes* L. (Aspleniaceae), *Taraxacum* sp. (Asteracea) and in the two Brassicaceae species (Fig.  
236 4).

237

## 238 **3.2 Pheromone releasers evaluation**

239

### 240 **3.2.1 Pheromone trap experiments**

241 The results of the trap catches using different serricornin releasers are shown in Figure 5. The analysis  
242 of variance revealed differences in the number of adults captured between the blocks ( $F_{2,33} = 16.09$ ,  
243  $P = 0.001$ , ANOVA followed by Tukey test). The traps loaded with polyethylene dispenser captured  
244  $15.33 \pm 5.71$  (mean  $\pm$  SE) adults *L. serricornis* per trap per week, a number statistically greater than  
245 the traps loaded with patch dispenser and control traps,  $1.33 \pm 0.41$  (mean  $\pm$  SE) and  $0.58 \pm 0.28$   
246 (mean  $\pm$  SE) ( $P < 0.01$ , ANOVA), respectively. Not statistically differences were recorded in the  
247 number of capture in the control traps and the traps loaded with the patch dispenser

248

### 249 **3.2.2 Pheromone emission rate**

250 The pheromone emission rate in terms of ng/hr from the releasers is reported in figure 6. Overall the  
251 highest amount of serricornin was emitted at the opening of the releaser for both of the releasers types.  
252 Moreover no statistical differences were recorded in the amount of pheromone emitted in the first 3  
253 days . In Fact the release rate at day 0 was  $5129.28 \pm 174.26$  (polyethilen releaser) vs  $6719.81 \pm$   
254  $1242.10$  (pacth releaser) ng/hr (mean  $\pm$  SE)] and day 3 [ $2680.87 \pm 1242,100$  vs  $2423.76 \pm 348.27$   
255 ng/hr (mean  $\pm$  SE)] from the opening. The amount of pheromones decreased for both of the dispensed  
256 during the time but the amount of serricornin emitted from the polyethylene dispenser was higher  
257 than the one emitted from the patch respectively at day 10 [ $1538.21 \pm 110.06$  ng/hr vs  $843.35 \pm 219.45$   
258 ng/hr (mean  $\pm$  SE),  $t = 2.83$ ,  $df = 4$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ], day 20 [ $458.95 \pm 10.46$  ng/hr vs  $133.43 \pm 77.51$  ng/hr  
259 (mean  $\pm$  SE),  $t = 3.53$ ,  $df = 4$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ], day 30 [ $380.13 \pm 106.94$  ng/hr vs  $74.36 \pm 37.40$  ng/hr (mean  
260  $\pm$  SE),  $t = 3.53$ ,  $df = 4$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ], day 40 [ $155.58 \pm 12.18$  ng/hr vs  $40.90 \pm 12.06$  ng/hr (mean  $\pm$  SE),

261  $t = 8.00$ ,  $df = 4$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ] and day 50 [ $95.79 \pm 5.95$  ng/hr vs  $35.76 \pm 19.73$  ng/hr (mean  $\pm$  SE),  $t =$   
262  $2.91$ ,  $df = 4$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ]. However the serricornin emission rate decrease dramatically for both of the  
263 releaser types after two months (fig. 5).

264

265

### 266 **3.3 Mass Trapping tests**

267

268 The pheromone traps complemented with chilli powder significantly trapped a higher number of adult  
269 *L. serricornis* in comparison with traps loaded with pheromone alone (Fig. 7). These data are  
270 confirmed also by the analysis of variance that revealed differences in the number of adults captured  
271 between the blocks ( $F_{2,33} = 12.40$ ,  $P = 0.001$ , ANOVA followed by Tukey test). Traps complemented  
272 with chilli powder captured a mean of  $9.50 \pm 1.83$  adults while the trap with pheromone alone trapped  
273 about half of the adults ( $4.48 \pm 1.34$ ;  $P < 0.05$ , ANOVA). Larvae of *L. serricornis* were also observed  
274 in the traps with chilli powder (data not shown).

275

#### 276 **4. Discussion**

277 The control of herbarium pests is of primary concern to all herbarium curators, especially in tropical,  
278 sub tropical and hot regions of the world where ideal conditions for pest infestations occur.

279 The findings of this study highlight that in the PAL Herbarium there is a strong presence of insect  
280 pests, that are feeding and damaging the *exsiccata*. The majority of specimens collected during the  
281 survey were insects typically associated with indoor environments and especially with dry organic  
282 materials. The most abundant infesting insects found in PAL belonging to the Coleoptera Anobidae  
283 in particular *L. serricorne*, that can be considered the key pest of PAL herbarium, and to Psocoptera  
284 Liposcelidae mainly represented by the genus *Liposcelis*. This psocid is a primitive insect, commonly  
285 found in dry food such as: herbal tea, grains, flour, and meal; cereal-based products; and in residences,  
286 barns, and warehouses (Nayak et al., 1998). In the Cultural Heritage context is primarily pest of paper  
287 materials (Pinniger and Meyer 2015; Querner, 2015). Thus in the herbarium the psocid can attack not  
288 only the dry plants, but also the paper sheets supporting the *exsiccata* that can be source of food. It is  
289 also reported to feed also on fungi, pollen, decaying organic materials, dead animals and plant remains  
290 (Nayak et al., 1998). In addition besides causing damage by feeding, they are a nuisance in large  
291 numbers and can contaminate, directly or indirectly, the *exsiccata*. There are few studies about the  
292 presence of psocid pests in herbaria (Broadhead, 1950; Retief, 1988; Forman, L., Bridson. D., 1989;  
293 Turner, 1999, Green 2014). For example *Liposcelis bostrychophilus* Badonnel was found to be the  
294 key pest in the Natal Herbarium (Retief et al., 1995) in Durban (South Africa) where the climate is  
295 warm and humid, similarly to Palermo environment. Hot, humid areas, such as those found along  
296 many tropical and semitropical coastlines, are ideal for the growth of the psocid *Liposcelis* spp.. Thus  
297 under ideal conditions in herbaria located in warm and humid area, populations of these wingless,  
298 parthenogenic insect pests can raise very rapidly and cause damage to books, specimens and  
299 herbarium packaging (Retief et al., 1995). The presence of this psocid should be seen as a warning  
300 that conditions are ripe for infestation by other, more destructive insect pests and then their presence  
301 should be viewed by curators with care. For example, the anobid *L. serricorne* was reported as a pest

302 of Museum and Herbarium, together with the presence of the secondary pest *Liposcelis* spp., that can  
303 exploit warm and humid conditions, and take advantage of the global warming due to its tolerance to  
304 heat (Li et al., 2018).

305 So it is not surprising that in PAL the key pest was *L. serricornis*, also known as cigarette or tobacco  
306 beetle. Overall this anobid species, together with the related *Stegobium paniceum* L. is defined as the  
307 most common pest in museums that house dried food (Trematerra and Pinniger, 2018). This species  
308 is particularly polyphagous so it can be found in various types of storage and processing facilities  
309 such as mills, retail stores and tobacco warehouses (Buchelos 1981; Levinson and Buchelos 1988;  
310 Mahroof and Phillips 2011). *Lasioderma serricornis* showed, in our findings, all its highly polyphagia  
311 infesting all 15 botanical families. In addition the curator of PAL recorded many insect damages in  
312 almost all botanical families, probably, considering the high level of populations of *L. serricornis*.  
313 The high level of damage determined in herbaria from anobids species has been reported in other  
314 cases (Scoppola and Scarici, 1998; Drobnik, 2008). In particular, damages from *L. serricornis*, were  
315 also recorded in the National Herbarium of Pretoria (South Africa) (Retief and Nicholas, 1988). In  
316 our study, the related anobid *S. paniceum* was almost absent although was reported as key pest in  
317 several North Europe Herbaria (Gilberg and Brokerhof 1991; Rumball and Pinniger 2003; Drobnik,  
318 2008).

319 The control of *L. serricornis* in herbaria is problematic for the restricted use of insecticides and the  
320 difficulties to apply other control methods such as using high or extremely low temperature, high  
321 concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>. Consequently the use of pheromones and food attractants for monitoring and  
322 mass trapping *L. serricornis* can be a recommendable alternative. Cigarette beetle females produce a  
323 sex pheromone made by serricornin (4,6-dimethyl-7-hydroxynonan-3-one) and/or  
324 anhydroserricornin (2,6-diethyl-3,5-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran), attracting male beetles  
325 (Chuman et al., 1985). This pheromone is already commonly used for monitoring this pest in various  
326 types of storage and processing facilities (Buchelos 1981; Levinson and Buchelos 1988; Mahroof and  
327 Phillips 2011).

328 The data obtained in this study encourage the use of semiochemicals as useful tools for the  
329 management of *L. serricornis* in herbaria. The study conducted using two different pheromone  
330 releasers evidenced that the number of individuals captured is, as expected, strictly related to the  
331 pheromone emission. In the specific the polyethylene releaser emitted a higher amount of serricornin  
332 from 10<sup>th</sup> to the 50<sup>th</sup> day from the releaser opening in comparison with the patch releaser. These data  
333 can explain the higher number of captures observed overall in the traps loaded with the polyethylene  
334 dispensers rather than in the traps loaded with the patch dispenser, albeit the field test were conducted  
335 for circa 30 days. The higher emission rates observed in polyethylene dispenser determines a larger  
336 trap's active space, thereby increasing captures as suggested by Cardè et al. (2017). Athanassiou et  
337 al. in a recent study (2018), suggested a threshold release rate required for the attraction of *L.*  
338 *serricornis*. The rate was approximately 0.3 µg/h (Athanassiou et al., 2018) the same rate recorded  
339 after 30 days in our study. The relatively low numbers of captures recorded in the traps loaded with  
340 the patch releaser could be determined by a not-uniformity in the pheromone emission that quickly  
341 drops after the dispenser opening. These data suggested that the best releaser for pheromone traps in  
342 herbaria is the polyethylene dispenser and it should be substituted every 30 days.

343 Finally, in our experiment was evident that the chili powder enhances the number of insect captured  
344 in the traps acting as a co-attractant. The response to chili powder is determined by the volatiles  
345 emitted from this materials that elicit strong attraction to *L. serricornis* individuals by mimicking a  
346 suitable feeding and oviposition site, as suggested from Marhoof and Phillips (2007). In fact both of  
347 sexes were captured, and also larvae were recorded, demonstrating the enhancing of the efficacy of  
348 the trap.

349 Similarly, a past study conducted from Marhoof and Phillips (2008) evidenced that chili volatiles can  
350 increase the number of captured *L. serricornis* in pheromone traps. It is a known fact that the efficacy  
351 of pheromone lures may be enhanced by combination with host odours (Landolt and Phillips, 1997).  
352 The data obtained confirm the possibility to use a pheromone trap synergized by a food attractant  
353 such as the chili powder, for both have a more sensitive tool for detecting *L. serricornis* infestation,

354 useful in case of detecting early insect infestation and moreover to have a more efficient tool in case  
355 of mass trapping. This latter case is particularly recommendable in the herbaria environment where  
356 other control techniques are strongly limited for their negative consequences and for practical and  
357 conservation reasons.

358

## 359 **5. Conclusions**

360 The herbarium of the Botanical Garden of Palermo contains a large number of plants of great  
361 scientific and cultural importance. The survey carried out in this study allowed to verify the presence  
362 of a variety of insect pests infesting the *exsiccata*, with the anobid *L. serricorne* being the key pest  
363 and psocid *Liposcelis* sp. the secondary opportunistic pest. This was a crucial first step for the  
364 development of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) in this herbarium. Furthermore, the experiments  
365 testing semiochemicals for monitoring and mass trapping *L. serricorne* allowed to improve our  
366 knowledge on this tools in herbaria. The results of the monitoring campaign and of the mass trapping  
367 were reported to the Director of the *Herbarium* to encourage the adoption of an IPM program as an  
368 invaluable preventive conservation tools.

369



370 **Acknowledgements**

371 We thank the Director of Botanical Garden of Palermo prof. Rosario Schicchi, for allowing us to  
372 investigate the herbarium collections. Miss Grazia Di Fiore, is also acknowledged for his precious  
373 technical advice. This research was carried out within the framework of the  
374 PJ\_RIC\_FFABR\_2017\_009795.

375

376

377 **References**

- 378 Amadei L., Bedini G., Garbari F., Pistolesi G., Erbari conservare piante attraverso i secoli. Ministero  
379 dell'Istruzione dell'Università e della Ricerca. Grafiche Cappelli, Firenze (2007).
- 380 Ashworth, J. R.. The biology of *Lasioderma serricorne*. Journal of Stored Products Research (1993)  
381 29 (4), 291-303.
- 382 Athanassiou, C., Bray, D. P., Hall, D. R., Phillips, C., Vassilakos, T. N., Factors affecting field  
383 performance of pheromone traps for tobacco beetle, *Lasioderma serricorne*, and tobacco moth,  
384 *Ephestia elutella*. Journal of Pest Science (2018) 1-11.
- 385 Bebber, D. P., Carine, M. A., Wood, J. R., Wortley, A. H., Harris, D. J., Prance, G. T., Scotland, R.  
386 W., Herbaria are a major frontier for species discovery. Proceedings of the National Academy  
387 of Sciences (2010) 107(51), 22169-22171.
- 388 Bini Maleci L., Mariotti Lippi M., Vannuzzi M., L'erbario dell'Arcispedale di Santa Maria Nuova  
389 conservato nel Museo Botanico di Firenze. In "150 Herbarium Centrale Italicum", Atti del  
390 Convegno, Firenze (1993) 137-143.
- 391 Broadhead, E., A revision of the genus *Liposcelis Motschulsky* with notes on the position of this genus  
392 in the order Corrodentia and on the variability of ten *Liposcelis* species. Transactions of the Royal  
393 Entomological Society of London (1950) 101: 13-388.
- 394 Buchelos C. T., Coleoptera populations at flour mills and related areas. Annales Institute  
395 phytopathological Benaki (1981). 13, 629.

396 Buchelos C. T., Levinson A. R., With and without pheromone addition, for monitoring and mass-  
397 trapping of *Lasioderma serricornis* F. (Coleoptera., Anobiidae) in insecticide-free tobacco stores.  
398 Journal of Applied Entomology (1993) 116(1-5), 440-448.

399 Cardé, R. T., Bau, J., Elkinton, J. S., Comparison of Attraction and Trapping Capabilities of Bucket-  
400 and Delta-Style Traps With Different Pheromone Emission Rates for Gypsy Moths (Lepidoptera:  
401 Erebidiae): Implications for Understanding Range of Attraction and Utility in  
402 Surveillance. Environmental entomology (2017) 47(1), 107-113.

403 Chuman, T., Mochizuki, K., Mori, M., Kohno, M., Kato, K., Noguchi, M., *Lasioderma* chemistry  
404 sex pheromone of cigarette beetle (*Lasioderma serricornis* F.). Journal of Chemical Ecology  
405 (1985), 11(4), 417-434.

406 Drobnik, J., Modern techniques of herbarium protection . Scripta Facultatis Rerum Naturalium  
407 Environmental Changes Universitatis Ostraviensis (2008), 186, 243-246.

408 Fields, P. G., White, N. D., Alternatives to methyl bromide treatments for stored-product and  
409 quarantine insects. Annual review of entomology (2002) 47(1), 331-359.

410 Forman, L., Bridson. D., Pests and treatments. The Herbarium Handbook: Royal Botanic Gardens  
411 Kew. (1989): 13-19.

412 Gilberg M., Brokerhof A., The Control of Insect Pests in Museum Collections: The Effects of Low  
413 temperature on *Stegobium paniceum* L., the Drugstore Beetle. Journal of the American Institute  
414 for Conservation (1991) 30(2): 197–201.

415 Gillespie, D., Gillespie, B., The potential for the use of herbarium specimens to determine the host  
416 plants of *Ceutorhynchus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). The Canadian Entomologist  
417 (2016) 148(4), 493-498. doi:10.4039/tce.2015.82.

418 Green, P. W. C., Volatile compounds from *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) and  
419 their environment and their effects on settling behaviour. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology  
420 (2014) 57: 81-89.

421 Hall, A. V., Pest control in herbaria. *Taxon*, (1988) 885-907.

422 Harrison R., Beyond “Natural” and “Cultural” Heritage: Toward an Ontological Politics of Heritage in  
423 the Age of Anthropocene. *Heritage & Society* (2015) Vol. 8 No. 1: 24–4.

424 Heath, R. R., Mitchell, E. R., & Tovar, J. C., Effect of release rate and ratio of (Z)-11-hexadecen-1-ol  
425 from synthetic pheromone blends on trap capture of *Heliothis subflexa* (Lepidoptera:  
426 Noctuidae). *Journal of chemical ecology* (1990) 16(4), 1259-1268.

427 Hickin, N., Psocoptera. *Bookworms* (1985): 54-59. Sheppard Press. London.

428 Isolani B, Manachini B., De Ranieri S., I beni naturali diventano beni culturali. In *Sistemi biologici e*  
429 *beni culturali Palermo*. Eds Regione Siciliana - Assessorato dei beni Culturali, Ambientali e I.S.  
430 - CRPR. : Regione siciliana (2012) 68-89.

431 Kehat, M., Anshelevich, L., Dunkelblum, E., Fraishtat, P., & Greenberg, S. (1994). Sex pheromone  
432 traps for monitoring the codling moth: effect of dispenser type, field aging of dispenser,  
433 pheromone dose and type of trap on male captures. *Entomologia experimentalis et applicata*,  
434 70(1), 55-62.

435 Landolt, P. J., and Phillips, T. W., Host plant influences on sex pheromone behaviour of phytophagous  
436 insects. *Annual review of entomology* (1997) 42(1), 371-391.

437 Levinson, A. R. and Buchelos. C. Th., Population dynamics of *Lasioderma serricorne* F. (Col.,  
438 Anobiidae) in tobacco stores with and without insecticidal treatments: a three year survey by  
439 pheromone and unbated traps. *Journal of Applied Entomology* (1988) 106, 201-211.

440 Li, M., Li, X. J., Lü, J. H., & Huo, M. F. (2018). The effect of acclimation on heat tolerance of  
441 *Lasioderma serricorne* (Fabricius)(Coleoptera: Anobiidae). *Journal of thermal biology*, 71, 153-  
442 157.

443 Linnie, M. J., Vance, D., Friedman, B. A., Milburn, M., Bowdler, D., Professional notes: Conservation:  
444 Pest control in museums-the use of chemicals and associated health problems. *Museum*  
445 *Management and Curatorship* (1990): 419-433.

446 Linnie, M. J., Pest control in natural history museums: A world survey. *Journal of Biological Curation*  
447 (1994) 1(5), 43-58.

448 Mahroof, R.M., Phillips, T.W., Orientation of the cigarette beetle, *Lasioderma serricorne* (F.)  
449 (Coleoptera: Anobiidae) to plant-derived volatiles. *Journal of Insect Behavior* (2007) 20, 99-115.

450 Mahroof, R.M., Phillips, T.W., Responses of stored-product Anobiidae to pheromone lures and plant-  
451 derived volatiles. *Journal of Applied Entomology* (2008) 132, 161-167.

452 Manachini B., Billeci N., Palla F., Exotic insect pests: the impact of the Red Palm Weevil on natural  
453 and cultural heritage in Palermo (Italy). *Journal of Cultural Heritage* (2013) vol. 145: 177-182

454 Phillips, T. W., Hasan, M. M., Aikins, M. J., Mahroof, R., Fumigation and IPM alternatives for  
455 arthropod pests of museums. *Journal of Entomological and Acarological Research*, (2011) Ser.  
456 II, 43(2), 205-210.

457 Martellos, S., Attorre, F., De Felici, S., Cesaroni, D., Sbordoni, V., Blasi, C., Nimis, P. L., Plant  
458 sciences and the Italian National biodiversity network. *Plant Biosystems-An International*  
459 *Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology* (2011) 145(4), 758-761.

460 Martellos S., Cuccuini P., Calosso B., Barbagli F., Nimis P. L., Gli erbari come beni culturali. *Herbaria*,  
461 (2012) 639-642.

462 McAllister, C. A., McKain, M. R., Li, M., Bookout, B., Kellogg, E. A., Specimen-based analysis of  
463 morphology and the environment in ecologically dominant grasses: the power of the  
464 herbarium. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, (2018) 374(1763), 20170403.

465 Mitchell, E.R., Heath, R.R., Pheromone trapping system for the velvet bean caterpillar (Lepidoptera:  
466 Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* (1986) 79:289-292.

467 Moggi G., Definizione e significato dell'erbario. *Herbaria* (2012a) 33-48.

468 Moggi G., Origine ed evoluzione storica dell'Erbario. *Herbaria* (2012c) 3-32.

469 Mossetti U., Catalogo dell'Erbario di Ulisse Aldrovandi: i campioni ritrovati negli erbari di Giuseppe  
470 Monti e Ferdinando Bassi. In *Webbia* (1990) 44(1): 151-164.

471 Nayak, M. K., Collins, P. J., Reid, S. R. ., Efficacy of grain protectants and phosphine against *Liposcelis*  
472 *bostrychophila*, *L. entomophila*, and *L. paeta* (Psocoptera: Liposcelidae). Journal of Economic  
473 Entomology (1998) 91:1208–1212.

474 Pawliszyn J., Solid phase microextraction theory and practice (SPME). Wiley-VCH, New York (1997)

475 Pezzella S., Gli erbari: I primi libri di medicina (le virtù curative delle piante). Grifo, Perugia (1993)

476 Phillips, T.W., Throne, J.E., Biorational approaches to managing stored-product insects. Annual  
477 Review of Entomology (2010) 55, 375-397.

478 Piccinini S., Graeff L., Mangan P., Social memory and cultural heritage: scientific practices  
479 transmission in a Brazilian herbarium. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi. Ciências  
480 Humanas, Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi (2016) 11 (2), pp.521-533.

481 Pinniger, D., Meyer A., Integrated pest management in cultural heritage. Archetype, London (UK)  
482 (2015)

483 Querner, P., Insect pests and Integrated Pest Management in museums, libraries and historic buildings.  
484 Insects, special issue Integrated Pest Management (2015) 6 (2), 595e607.

485 Retief E., Nicholas A., The cigarette beetle *Lasioderma serricorne* (F.) (Coleoptera: Anobiidae): a  
486 serious herbarium pest. Bothalia (1988) 18, 97-99.

487 Retief, E., Nicholas, A., Baijnaith, H., The psocid *Liposcelis bostrychophilus* Badonnel (Psocoptera:  
488 Liposcelidae): an occasional herbarium pest. Bothalia (1995) 25(2), 247-253.

489 Roma-Marzio, F., Peruzzi, L., and Bedini, G., Personal private herbaria: a valuable but neglected  
490 source of floristic data. The case of Italian collections today. Italian Botanist (2017) 3, 7.

491 Rumball N. and Pinniger D., Use of temperature to control an infestation of biscuit or drugstore beetle  
492 *Stegobium paniceum* (L.) (Coleoptera: Anobiidae) in a large Economy Botanic Collection.  
493 Collection Forum (2003) 18(1–2): 50–58.

494 Savoldelli, S., Trematerra, P., Mass-trapping, mating-disruption and attracticide methods for managing  
495 stored-product insects: success stories and research needs. *Stewart Postharvest Review* (2011)  
496 7(3), 1-8.

497 Scoppola A., Scarici E., *La conservazione delle piante: (guida alla realizzazione di un erbario).*  
498 Università degli Studi della Tuscia. Dipartimento di agrobiologia e agrochimica. (1998) Erbario.  
499 ([www.erbario.unitus.it/scaricatore.asp?c=4m0uulatvywimwgl6eii4845t](http://www.erbario.unitus.it/scaricatore.asp?c=4m0uulatvywimwgl6eii4845t) access on 27<sup>th</sup> february  
500 2019)

501 Spochacz, M., Chowański, S., Walkowiak-Nowicka, K., Szymczak, M., Adamski, Z.,. Plant-Derived  
502 Substances Used Against Beetles–Pests of Stored Crops and Food–and Their Mode of Action: A  
503 Review. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* (2018) 17(5), 1339-1366.

504 Thiers B. M., *The World’s Herbaria 2018: A Summary Report Based on Data from Index Herbariorum.*  
505 *Index Herbariorum* (2019), 3, 1-18.

506 Trematerra, P.,. Advances in the use of pheromones for stored-product protection. *Journal of Pest*  
507 *Science* (2012) 85, 285-299.

508 Trematerra, P., Savoldelli, S., The use of water traps and presence of spermatophores to evaluate  
509 mating disruption in the almond moth, *Ephestia cautella*, during exposure to synthetic sex  
510 pheromone. *Journal of Pest Science* (2013) 86, 227-233.

511 Trematerra, P., Pinniger, D. (2018). *Museum Pests–Cultural Heritage Pests.* In *Recent Advances in*  
512 *Stored Product Protection* (pp. 229-260). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

513 Turner, B.D. , *Psocids as pests: the global perspective.* *Int. Pest Control*, 41 (1999), pp. 185-18

514 Walther M., *Where research becomes cultural heritage* (2018). [https://www.ethz.ch/en/news-and-](https://www.ethz.ch/en/news-and-events/eth-news/news/2018/05/cultural-heritage.html)  
515 [events/eth-news/news/2018/05/cultural-heritage.html](https://www.ethz.ch/en/news-and-events/eth-news/news/2018/05/cultural-heritage.html) (access on 19th February 2019).

516 Welch, B., Revelez, M. A., Dowler, R. C., *Integrated Pest Management for the Angelo State Natural*  
517 *History Collections: an Approach for Small Collections.* *CRIUS* (2015) 3(1).



519 **Figure captions**

520 Fig. 1. a) *Gymnasium* of the Palermo Botanical Garden, b) Greuter's *herbarium* collection located  
521 in the *Gymnasium*.

522  
523 Fig. 2. Different level of dammages on Greuter's herbarium *exsiccata* due to insect infestation from  
524 slight to severe (a-c): a) *Persoonia mollis*, b) *Bauhinia natalensis*, c) *Taraxacum* sp.

525  
526 Fig. 3. a) *Lasioderma serricorne* b) *Liposcelis* spp., c) *Thermobia domestica*

527  
528 Fig. 4. Larvae and adults of *Lasioderma serricorne* collected on the *exsiccata* of different botanical  
529 species listed in x-axis.

530  
531 Fig. 5. Mean (+SE) release rates of serricornin from lures after one hour, measured by trapping  
532 volatiles at 22 °C. Asterisks indicate significant differences for  $P < 0.05$  (t-test).

533  
534 Fig. 6. Mean (+SE) number of *L. serricorne* captured weekly by each trap, lured with different  
535 pheromone dispensers. Different letters indicate significant differences between lures combination  
536 across the 4 weeks of the experiment (Tukey's test,  $P < 0.05$ ).

537  
538 Fig. 7. Mean (+SE) number of *L. serricorne* captured weekly by each trap, lured with different  
539 pheromone and pheromone + chilli powder. Different letters indicate significant differences  
540 between lures combination across the 4 weeks of the experiment (Tukey's test,  $P < 0.05$ ).