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#### Abstract

A new and concise fragment-based approach towards artificial (but "natural-based") complex polyphenols has been developed, exploiting the Ugi multicomponent reaction of phenol-containing simple substrates. The resulting library of compounds has been tested for the capacity to inhibit $\beta$-amyloid protein aggregation, as a possible strategy to develop new chemical entities to be used as a prevention or a therapy for Alzheimer's disease. Some of the members of the library have demonstrated, in Thioflavin assays, a highly promising activity in inhibiting aggregation for two $\beta$-amyloid peptides: $A \beta 1-42$ and the truncated $A \beta p E 3-42$.


## Introduction

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is the most prevalent neurodegenerative disorder. The hallmarks of AD are the extracellular plaques, derived from aggregation of $\beta$-amyloid peptides, and neurofibrillary tangles composed by hyperphosphorylated protein tau. Inhibition of $\beta$-amyloid protein aggregation represents one of the most promising targets in the development of pharmacological treatments for the prevention of Alzheimer's disease. ${ }^{1-3}$ Moreover, substances that strongly bind to $\beta$-amyloid proteins may be very useful diagnostic tools for an early detection of this disease. ${ }^{4}$ Among the various substances that have been found to bind to $\beta$ amyloids, natural polyphenols have emerged as a particularly promising class, being able to inhibit $\beta$-amyloid aggregation and disrupt preformed amyloid fibrils.-11 Hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions, and aromatic stacking are suggested to be the driving forces of the anti-amyloidogenic role of polyphenols. In addition, antioxidant activity may also be involved in the anti-amyloidogenic role. ${ }^{12}$ Figure 1 depicts some of the most active natural polyphenols.
However, these natural compounds have often poor pharmacokinetic properties. For example, pharmacokinetic results for curcumin and its metabolites suggested limited or very poor bioavailability; in particular, curcumin was present in
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Figure 1 Some natural polyphenols with $\beta$-amyloid anti-aggregation properties. very little amount in the cerebrospinal fluid. ${ }^{\underline{13}}$ In addition, several natural polyphenols contain a catechol or a pyrogallol type ring, making them highly susceptible to oxidation, thus strongly reducing their half-life in the body. $\underline{12,} \underline{14}$ Finally, chemical modifications of complex natural polyphenols is quite tricky, ${ }^{15}$ hampering the systematic synthesis of analogues that
might overcome the above quoted limitations and/or be endowed of higher potency.
Therefore, we reasoned that a fragment-based synthesis of natural-derived polyphenols, obtained by joining simple, monocyclic, phenol containing, building blocks, would be a very useful tool to assembly a huge number of molecular entities, allowing: a) optimization of pharmacodynamic properties; b) optimization of pharmacokinetic properties; c) the synthesis of structures that include pharmacophores directed towards alternative AD-related targets, with the aim to develop drugs able to simultaneously interact with different targets (multi-target strategy). ${ }^{15}$ If the synthetic sequence is smartly designed, in order to be quite short, and simple building blocks derived from renewable sources are exploited, the final optimized compounds could be easily accessible in an eco-friendly manner, thus making their potential use as nutraceutics definitely feasible.
Multicomponent reactions have emerged in the last 20 years as a powerful tool in drug discovery. They are intrinsically endowed with very high step economy and operational simplicity and are thus perfectly suited for a rapid generation of libraries characterized by several diversity inputs. Among them, the isocyanide-based Ugi reaction (U-MCR) ${ }^{16}$ is particularly useful, since it allows the simultaneous joining of 4 diversity inputs, represented by easily accessible compounds, as isocyanides, aldehydes, primary amines and carboxylic acids. Thus, also taking advantage of our previous experience both in the Ugi reaction, ${ }^{17-20}$ and in the assembly of naturalbased polyphenols, $\frac{21}{}$ we selected U-MCR at the key step in our fragment-based approach. The classical scaffold obtained by the Ugi reaction is a peptidomimetic structure. This is another added value of our approach, since also peptidomimetics are widely studied as potential inhibitors of $\beta$-amyloid aggregation. ${ }^{22}$
Using this synthetic methodology we were able to prepare a series of complex polyphenols containing 2 to 4 hydroxysubstituted aryl groups, most of them derived from renewable sources, and test them for their ability to inhibit in vitro $\beta$ amyloid aggregation. In this paper we report our preliminary observations, representing a first "proof of concept" for our approach.

## Results and discussion

## Synthesis

As depicted in Scheme 1, the Ugi reaction allows us to prepare a peptidomimetic structure 1 with 4 appendages. In our plan, from two to four of these appendages should contain a phenolic aryl group, tethered to the main scaffold through linkers of different lengths. In principle, our target compounds 1 could be accessed in just one step by employing, in the UMCR, components containing the free phenols. However, preliminary investigation has shown that the presence of free phenols had a negative effect on the yield and cleanliness of the multicomponent reaction. Thus we shifted to a slightly
longer (2 steps) sequence, employing suitably protected building blocks.


Scheme 1 General synthetic strategy
Many efforts were devoted to the selection of the best protecting group. Initially, because of the high atom economy and the easiness of final deblocking, we opted for a simple acetyl group. ${ }^{21}$ As shown in Scheme 2, a first Ugi reaction with only two phenol containing components (aniline $3^{23}, \underline{24}$ and aldehyde $\mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{2 5}}$ ) worked well, affording compound $\mathbf{2 a}$ in good yields. It was then smoothly deprotected by saponification to the diphenol 1a. However, the use of the acetyl as protecting group was soon demonstrated to be far from general. For example, simply using the protected phenol containing isocyanide $\mathbf{5}$, we failed to isolate any of the expected product 6. Similarly, all attempted Ugi reaction using diacetyl protected caffeic acid $\mathbf{7}$ were unsuccessful. We think that the aryl acetates are somehow unstable under the Ugi reaction and that the liberated phenols and acetic acid promote unwanted side reactions. Thus, only with protected hydroxyanilines and reactive isocyanide/carboxylic acids the reaction turned out to be feasible, strongly limiting diversity exploration.
Looking for a more stable protection we shifted to the dimethyl-tert-butylsilyl group (TBDMS). In this case the group proved to be fully stable under the Ugi conditions. However, the reactions tend to be rather sluggish. An improvement can be obtained by preforming the imine treating the aldehyde and the amine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the presence of dry $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Anyway, the isolated yield, in the case of compound 11, was only $25 \%$. Furthermore, the presence of more than two TBDMS groups renders the Ugi products rather insoluble in most solvents making their purification, as well as the assessment of purity by NMR or HPLC, troublesome. In particular, at NMR, broad signals due to slowly converting conformers are observed. Therefore, because of the poor atom economy, the slow reaction kinetics and the unsatisfactory chemico-physical properties, we decided to abandon this protecting group as well.
Our attention was then drawn by the allyl group for its high atom economy (similar to the acetyl), its expected stability
under the Ugi conditions, and the possibility to remove it under neutral conditions, thanks to palladium ( 0 ) catalysis. The Ugi reaction of substrates containing this group was thoroughly optimized. Scheme 3 shows a representative example. In particular we noticed that benzaldehydes bearing an allyloxy group in para position resulted less reactive (because of the electron-donating properties of allyloxy) than 4-acetoxybenzaldehyde 4 or benzaldehyde and that
degradation. Moreover, we lost some material during the work-up of the deprotection step. To avoid these problems, we decided to peracetylate the crude polyphenol, purify it by chromatography, and finally remove the acetyl groups. In this way, the crude polyphenols obtained after deacetylation, acid resin treatment, and filtration, were pure enough (HPLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR control) to be used as such for biophysical tests, without the need to extractive or chromatographic purifications that


Scheme 2 Synthesis of the first polyphenols using the Ac or TBDMS protecting groups.
substituted cinnamic acids such as protected ferulic and caffeic acids also brought about a slower kinetic. We found that the best solvent, in order to have reasonable reaction times and avoid the formation of Passerini side-products, was a 1:1 mixture of EtOH and trifluoroethanol. Moreover, it was advantageous to preform the imine in this solvent for 5 h , before adding the other reagents. The reactions typically last 48-72 h.
As for the deblocking step, we tried various Pd catalysts and scavengers. Eventually, the reaction was found to be more reproducible using a palladium (II) precursor, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, than with $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$. As scavenger, ammonium formate was the best, allowing an easy removal of its excess by a simple extraction under neutral conditions. In the case of compound 1c, which was initially used as model, chromatographic purification, followed by treatment with active coal, worked fine, affording a very pure product (procedure A). However, in other cases, especially when a catechol or a pyrogallol moiety were present, direct chromatographic purification of the final polyphenol was not fully satisfactory, due to partial
may be troublesome, because of the polyphenol polarity and/or for the possible partial degradation. This procedure (procedure B) is exemplified in Scheme 3 for the synthesis of compound 1d. Apart from some products synthesized in the initial part of this research, we later routinely used procedure B.

Scheme 4 depicts all the polyphenols $\mathbf{1 a - q}$ prepared so far, whereas Table 1 reports the procedure used in each case and the yields of various steps (except for compounds 1a,b prepared as described in Scheme 2). When procedure B was used, full characterization was carried out only on the acetylated compounds 2. As already stated above, the final phenols derived from deacetylation were pure enough for testing, as checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and HPLC (HPLC purity $\geq 96 \%$ in nearly all cases, except for 1d, $\mathbf{1 I}$ and $\mathbf{1 m}$ ( $92 \%$ )).
Concerning the Ugi reactions, we found out that its efficiency depends on the nature of components used. For example, it worked poorer using substituted anilines than with benzylamines or other aliphatic amines. Also protected ferulic and caffeic acids were somehow less reactive than simple acids
like propionic acid or benzoic acids. Allyl protected substituted benzaldehydes ( $p$-hydroxybenzaldehyde or vanillin) were less reactive than benzaldehyde, probably because of the electrondonating properties of the allyloxy group in para position. Finally, aliphatic isocyanides behaved better than the aromatic ones. In particular, during the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{1 k}$, the combination of 4 -allyloxybenzaldehyde, a bulky aromatic isocyanide and an aniline led to a poor yield in the Ugi reaction
(18\%). In this case, we obtained a moderate improvement using 4-pivaloyloxybenzaldehyde (31\%). We then used procedure B and isolated and characterized the mixed pivaloylacetyl compound $\mathbf{2 k}$.
Apart from the polyphenols listed in Scheme 4, other compounds targeted by us were not obtained in reasonable yields.


Scheme 3 Representative procedures for the preparation of polyphenols $\mathbf{1}$ via allyl ethers.

Table 1 Yields of polyphenol synthesis using the allyl protecting group

| Entry | Polyphenol | Yield of 14 | Procedure used ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Yield of 2 | Yield of 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1c | 69\% | A | $-^{\text {b }}$ | 80\% |
| 2 | 1d | 57\% (91\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | B | 76\% | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 3 | 1e | 72\% | A | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 59\% |
| 4 | 1 f | 73\% | B | 83\% | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 5 | 1g | 28\% | B | 59\% | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 6 | 1h | 22\% | A | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 74\% |
| 7 | 1 i | 33\% | A | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 68\% |
| 8 | 1j | 17\% | B | 64\% | $-^{\text {d }}$ |
| 9 | 1k | 31\% ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | B | 69\% | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 10 | 11 | 75\% | B | 58\% | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 11 | 1m | 59\% | B | 78\% | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 12 | 1n | 63\% | B | 69\% | $-{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 13 | 10 | 69\% | B | 74\% | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 14 | 1p | 71\% | B | 60\% | $-{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 15 | $1 q$ | 72\% | B | 75\% | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Scheme 3 and Experimental part. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ In procedure A, 14 was directly converted to $1 .{ }^{\text {c }}$ In brackets the yield calculated taking into account the recovered aldehyde. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ In procedure B, $\mathbf{2}$ was converted quantitatively into $\mathbf{1}$ by hydrolysis of acetates. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ In this case 4-pivaloyloxybenzaldehyde was used, and Ugi product was not 14a, but 15 (Scheme 4).
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For example, although triallylated gallic acid was a good substrate for the Ugi reaction, all attempts to deprotect it without extensive decomposition were unsuccessful. Similarly, when we saturated the double bond in caffeic acid derived polyacetate $\mathbf{2 f}$, deblocking of the acetyl group led to decomposition of the final product as well. We attribute this behaviour to the presence of a catechol or pyrogallol moiety,
which are prone to oxidation under basic conditions. In the case of caffeic acid adducts, the conjugation with the unsaturated amides makes the catechol less electron-rich and thus more stable to oxidation, but when the double bond is hydrogenated the catechol becomes too reactive.
Biochemical and biophysical assays


Scheme 4 Polyphenols prepared and their precursors.

The first biophysical analysis performed on the new polyphenols was the solubility in aqueous solution because the working condition is Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS) at pH 7.4 to mimic the physiological environment. All compounds showed complete solubility at working concentration ( $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in PBS containing $1 \%$ of DMSO (solubility-related data are reported in the S.I.). This percentage of DMSO does not damage cells and animals for future biological tests, and also
does not alter the aggregation of $\beta$-amyloids. In any case control blank experiments with samples containing 1\% DMSO in the buffer were always performed in parallel.
To investigate their ability to inhibit the amyloid aggregation, kinetics assays monitored by thioflavin-T were used to follow the formation of $\beta$-sheet rich structures, visualized then by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). $\underline{\underline{26}, \underline{27}}$


Figure 2 ThT Fluorescence in percentage respect to the control sample, after 24 h of aggregation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the concentration was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\beta$-amyloids and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for polyphenols in PBS + 1\% DMSO.

We explored the interaction of the new complex polyphenols with two particular $\beta$-peptides, $A \beta 1-42$ and $A \beta p E 3-42$. The fulllength $A \beta 1-42$ is one of the most abundantly identified in the brain deposits (together with $\mathrm{A} \beta 1-40$ and N -terminal truncated $A \beta$ peptides). A $\beta$ pE3-42 is a peptide $N$-terminal truncated at residue 3 (Glu) and further modified by cyclization of Glu (E) to pyroglutamic acid ( pE ). These structural modifications increase A $\beta$ pE3-42 aggregation propensity, its resistance to degradation of proteases, and display an enhanced cytotoxicity in comparison to $\mathrm{A} \beta 1-42^{\underline{28}, \underline{29}}$ as well as the ability to unfold the full-length into toxic aggregates. ${ }^{30}$
Before aggregation kinetics experiments, we used a natural polyphenol as a positive control to compare the efficacy of the new synthetic polyphenols. From the literature, ${ }^{31}$ epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) was the most potent natural polyphenol in inhibiting (in vitro) aggregation of $\beta$-amyloid proteins, although it was also reported that in vivo has a poor stability. ${ }^{12}$ After investigating the lowest concentration at which EGCG strongly inhibited amyloid aggregation, we chose to work at $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and to use this concentration also for our synthetic polyphenols.
We explored the interaction of the new complex polyphenols with $A \beta 1-42$ and $A \beta p E 3-42$ to verify their ability to inhibit $\beta$ amyloid aggregation. $\beta$-sheet content and aggregation process
at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in PBS ( $150 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ) and $1 \%$ DMSO were followed by fluorescence using Thioflavin T (ThT), a probe that detects the presence of $\beta$-sheets in the sample. Indeed, the aggregation of $\beta$-amyloids starts when they change their secondary structure from $\alpha$-helix (in the membrane environment) or coil (in basic environment) to $\beta$-sheet conformation. ${ }^{32}$ Then the aggregation proceeds forming small aggregates, called oligomers, until reaching larger aggregates such as long fibrils, which will later precipitate.
We used as reference $A \beta 1-42$ and $A \beta p E 3-42$ alone under the same conditions of the experiments carried out in presence of the new polyphenols. In Figure 2 it is reported, for all samples (except 1a and 1b), the ThT emission value after 24 h of aggregation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the case of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$, the first polyphenols prepared by us, we carried out the test on Aß1-42 alone and at higher concentrations. Only $\mathbf{1 b}$ showed moderate activity and we did not repeat the experiments at $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The relative data are reported in the S.I.
As we can see in panel A, all new polyphenols have some effect on A $\beta 1-42$ aggregation. $\mathbf{1 m}$, $\mathbf{1 f}$ and especially $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ even increase the $\beta$-sheets content of the peptide, so with these compounds also the fibrils formation grows. On the contrary, the best new polyphenol to inhibit the fibrillation process is $\mathbf{1 c}$. The degree of inhibition is also quite high for $\mathbf{1 h}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 l}$ and $\mathbf{1 n}$, and moderate for $\mathbf{1 d}, \mathbf{1 e}, \mathbf{1 k}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 p}$ and $\mathbf{1 q}$. However, with $\mathbf{1 c}$ also the kinetic of aggregation slows down (see Figure 3).
For ABpE3-42 (Figure 2, panel B) the results were different, the best inhibitor of the aggregation process being indeed $\mathbf{1 f}$, but also $\mathbf{1 e}, \mathbf{1 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 n}$ showed a good effect. Moderate inhibition was visible for $\mathbf{1 I}$ and $\mathbf{1 q}$. In both cases, epigallocatechin gallate (ECGC), the most active natural polyphenol, was still more effective in preventing amyloid aggregation at the same concentration. It is however worth noting that the presence of two pyrogallol moieties in ECGC make its stability under physiological conditions troublesome, whereas we have obtained a similar, albeit somehow lower, acitivity with much more stable polyphenols derived from ferulic acid ${ }^{33}(\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{1 i}, \mathbf{1 n})$, which are much more stable to oxidation and more promising from the pharmacokinetic point of view. Is interesting to note that for A $A 1-42$ there are different polyphenols with a good anti-aggregating effect (Inhibition>30\%), while for AßpE3-42, which is more prone to aggregation, more resistant to degradation and more toxic in comparison to $A \beta 1-42$, polyphenols with a good anti-aggregating effect are fewer.
To better understand the different inhibition mechanism of the most active molecules, we determined also the aggregation kinetics curve of ThT Fluorescence emission over time for $A \beta 1-42$ and $A \beta$ pE3-42 in presence of $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 f}$ (Figure 3 ). In the case of $A \beta 1-42,1 c$ slows down the fibril growth phase and reduces the amount of fibrils, while $\mathbf{1 f}$ slows down only the lag phase, but the amount of fibrils is similar to that of $A \beta 1-42$ alone as reported by the plateau value.


Figure 3 Kinetics of aggregation monitored by ThT Fluorescence emission. A) Kinetics curve for A $\beta 1-42$; B) Kinetics curve for $A \beta p E 3-42$. The concentration was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{A} \beta$ peptides and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for polyphenols in PBS + $1 \%$ DMSO, in yellow are reported the standard error for the curves of $A \beta$ alone.

On the contrary, in the case of A 1 pE3-42, 1c has almost no effect on the aggregation inhibition, while $1 f$ inhibits the maximum $A \beta$ assembly. $A \beta p E 3-42$ is very fast to aggregate in the initial stage: in fact, in our conditions, it is never possible to see a lag phase for this peptide. The aggregation pathway is different from that of the full-length peptide and results in the enhancement of the seed production that speeds the aggregation into more fragmented and less structured species. We think that $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 f}$ act at different levels during aggregation, inhibiting the formation of different structural species. $\mathbf{1 f}$ is able to inhibit the formation of oligomers that work as seed for the aggregation. In fact, it extends the lag phase in Aß1-42 but does not inhibit the fibril formation, whereas is able to strongly reduce the ABpE3-42 aggregation. So $\mathbf{1 f}$ is effective on AßpE3-42 because it inhibits the first phase of aggregation, the one forming the oligomers.
As shown in Figure 4, 1c is even capable to disrupt fibrils, once they have formed. So, addition of 1 c after 24 h (when the aggregation process in the absence of inhibitors is already complete) provokes a significant decrease of ThT fluorescence emission.
To confirm these data, we studied the morphology of $A \beta$ peptides aggregates in presence of those polyphenols that behaved best from ThT test. They were observed after 24 h of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the same ratio of the fluorescence experiments (Figure 5). In Figure 5, panel A, the morphology of $A \beta 1-42$ is reported, showing typical amyloid fibrils that appear as very entangled fibril bundles and also striated ribbons are visible. When $\mathbf{1 c}$ is added to $A \beta 1-42$ (panel $B$ ), fibrils bundles decrease and the thinner fibres appear less entangled. Moreover, the fibrils are somehow fragmented, due to the twist change along the fibre. When $A \beta 1-42$ and $1 f$ are mixed (panel C), fibril bundles and little spheroidal aggregates appear even if the fibrils have smaller diameters than AB1-42 alone. The quantity of $\beta$-sheet in this sample is not different from that of full-length alone (as showed in the ThT assay). This
alternative morphology depends on amyloid multi-step assembly pathways that is altered from the slowdown of the lag phase by $\mathbf{1 f}$. Looking at panel $D$, the morphology of $A \beta p E 3-$ 42 is shown with few bundles and short fibrils. The addition of $\mathbf{1 c}$ (panel E ), results in the decrease in the amount of fibrils but the morphology is very similar to that of the ABpE3-42 alone. As a matter of fact this polyphenol is not very effective in inhibiting the assembly of the pyroglutamate $\beta$-amyloid. Finally, in the presence of $\mathbf{1 f}$ (panel F), in agreement with the ThT assay, the number of fibrils and their length strongly decrease and many dispersed small spheroidal aggregates appears. Moreover, globular aggregates attached along the fibrils are visible. Also the morphology confirms the structural changes induced by the addition of the best new polyphenols. Several studies have indicated that hydrophobic forces,


Figure 4 Effect of addition of $\mathbf{1 c}$ to aggregated fibrils (AB1-42, monitored by ThT Fluorescence emission).
aromatic stacking, and electrostatic interactions stabilize the $A \beta$ structure. ${ }^{34}$ It was found that short fragments of $A \beta$ (QKLVFF) self-assemble and also bind specifically to full-length


Figure 5 Morphology of the species by TEM. A) $A \beta 1-42$ alone; B) $A \beta 1-42+1 \mathbf{c}$; C) $A \beta 1-42+1$ f; D) $A \beta p E 3-42$ alone; E) $A \beta p E 3-42+1 \mathbf{c}$; F) $A \beta p E 3-42+1 f$
peptides, supporting the hypothesis that $\pi-\pi$ interactions may play a central role in the molecular recognition and $A \beta$ selfassembly process. ${ }^{35}$ In the last years, various approaches to inhibit and reverse misfolding and aggregation of $\beta$-amyloid have been applied. One of these was the creation of short synthetic peptides capable of binding $A \beta$ but unable to become part of a $\beta$-sheet structure ( $\beta$-sheet breaker peptides) that destabilize the amyloidogenic $A \beta$ conformer and hence preclude amyloid formation. ${ }^{36}$ These $\beta$-sheet breaker peptides act by the binding of the central hydrophobic region of $A \beta$ protein (amino acids 17-21: LVFFA). Another approach was the use of polyphenolic compounds as $\beta$-sheet inhibitors. The possible mechanisms by which polyphenols destabilize $\beta$ amyloid aggregation still remain unclear, but several mechanisms have been proposed to date and structural similarities between various highly efficient inhibitors have been identified. It has become evident that the presence of phenolic rings with a few linkers and at least two hydroxy groups could favour effective non-covalent interactions with the fibril $\beta$-sheet structures and interfere with their elongation and/or assembly. ${ }^{33}$ Both the number of hydroxy groups and the positioning of these groups on the polyphenolic structure is important, however there is no clear understanding of the link between phenol positional substitution and corresponding anti-aggregation activity. Moreover, the planarity of the inhibitor is essential for increasing surface contact with $A \beta$ peptides. ${ }^{5}$
All of our polyphenols have a peptidomimetic structure, more than two aromatic rings essential for $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions
with hydrophobic amino acid residues of $A \beta$ and at least two hydroxyl groups to form hydrogen bonds with hydrophilic amino acid residues of $A \beta$. The resonance structure of polyphenols provides enough planarity to penetrate the $A \beta$ fibril hydrophobic grove, thus disturbing the fibril structure. ${ }^{37}$ On the basis of the results collected till now, we can try to correlate the observed activity with the various pharmacophores. As far as it concerns the groups derived from the isocyanide, we did not observe, for both peptides, a particular influence of the structure. It is noteworthy that the most active compounds so far have a tert-butyl group as the isocyanide derived one, suggesting that the presence of an aromatic ring in this position is not essential.
On the contrary, the structure of the residues derived from the carboxylic acid and the amine seems more important. Regarding the first one, best results have been obtained with cinnamic acid derivatives (caffeic and ferulic acid). For the pyroglutamate $\beta$-amyloid, we noted that polyphenols synthesized from caffeic acid (1e and 1f) are able to strong inhibit aggregation, whereas among polyphenols derived from ferulic acid, only $\mathbf{1 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 n}$ show good activity. On the other hand, for the full-length peptide we noticed in most cases (except for $1 \mathbf{m}$ ) a good inhibitory effect when the starting carboxylic acid is ferulic acid. The effect is good for $\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{1 i}, \mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1 n}$ and moderate for $\mathbf{1 d}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 p}$ and 1q. On the other hand, polyphenols where carboxylic acid is a benzoic acid ( $\mathbf{1 g} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{1 j}$ an $\mathbf{1 k}$ ) have no or little inhibitory effect on both peptides. A propionyl group (1h) resulted in no effect on the truncated peptide, but in a moderate activity on the full-length one. We
can conclude that ferulic acid is best for $A \beta 1-42$, whereas as caffeic acid is the best for $A \beta p E 3-42$, although, as shown by $\mathbf{1 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 n}$, also ferulic acid derivatives may inhibit this peptide.
The nature of the group derived from the amine component in the Ugi seems important for both peptides. Best results have been obtained with benzylamines or anilines, whereas a drop of activity was observed in the case of $\mathbf{1 m}$, having just one more carbon atom, or for 1d, where the benzyl/aryl group is replaced by a simple butyl. The benzyl groups seem better than the aryl ones (the most promising compounds, 1c and $\mathbf{1 f}$ have indeed a simple benzyl group), although it is remarkable that 4-hydroxyphenyl containing $\mathbf{1 i}$ is more active than $\mathbf{1 c}$ for the truncated peptide.
Finally, the group derived from the aldehyde has been so far less explored by us. However, in particular for the pyroglutamate $\beta$-amyloid peptide, we have noticed a remarkable influence of an additional methoxy group (compare compound 1c, containing the 4-hydroxyphenyl group, and $\mathbf{1 n}$, containing the 4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl group).
It is interesting to note that only $\mathbf{1 n}$ and $\mathbf{1 i}$ are able to inhibit the aggregation of both $A \beta 1-42$ and $A \beta p E 3-42$. This great variation of substituent effects on the two $\beta$-amyloid peptides likely depends on their intrinsic differences. Probably our polyphenols bind in different region of the chain by interacting with diverse residues and/or at distinct levels in the assembly mechanism that brings to the aggregation.

## Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this paper represents one of the first reports, to our knowledge, on the combinatorial synthesis of complex artificial (but "natural-based") polyphenols using a fragment-based approach and on the demonstration that some of these compounds are indeed able to inhibit or even disrupt $\beta$-amyloid aggregation. In fact, we tested the antiaggregation activity on two different $\beta$-amyloid peptides (A $\beta 1$ 42 and $A \beta p E 3-42$ ), normally present in AD brains, that have a different assembly pathway. For this reason, some polyphenols are more prone to inhibit the aggregation process of $A \beta 1-42$ than that of $A \beta p E 3-42$ and vice versa. This approach could allow the formulation of mixtures of active polyphenols to inhibit simultaneously the aggregation of both peptides and avoid the formation of more neurotoxic co-aggregates.
Clearly more insight into the mechanism by which our systems inhibit $\beta$-amyloid protein aggregation is needed, for example by using NMR spectroscopy or computational models. However, notwithstanding the still limited number of molecules tested, the results depicted in Figure 3 indicates that subtle variation in the structure of the appendages may have a strong impact on activity. Thus, the smart synthetic approach (based on Ugi MCR), that allows to assemble these polyphenols in 2 steps, by varying up to 4 diversity inputs, will strongly facilitate the fine tuning of the pharmacophores in order to increase potency and/or selectively target different sub-species of $\beta$-amyloid proteins. The incorporation of fragments with known anti-oxidant activity, such as ferulic
acid, may have other kind of beneficial effects on AD patients, as pointed out in a recent paper. ${ }^{38}$ Compared to the most active natural compounds (e.g. epigallocatechin gallate), our systems are expected to be metabolically much more stable (especially those, like 1c, not containing a catechol system), thus overcoming the main drawback of some natural polyphenols and making them better suited for in vivo experiments, that will soon be carried out.

## Experimental

NMR spectra were taken at r.t. in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO at 300 $\mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $75 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, using, as internal standard, TMS ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 0.000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) or the central peak of DMSO $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO; 2.506 ppm ) or the central peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 77.02 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$, or the central peak of DMSO $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ DMSO; 39.43 ppm ). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm ( $\delta$ scale). Peak assignments were made with the aid of gCOSY and gHSQC experiments. In ABX system, the proton $A$ is considered upfield and B downfield. $[\alpha]_{D}$ values are given in $10^{-1} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$. IR spectra were recorded as solid, oil, or foamy samples, with the ATR (attenuated total reflectance) technique. TLC analyses were carried out on silica gel plates and viewed at UV ( $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ or 360 nm ) and developed with Hanessian stain (dipping into a solution of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(21 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(31 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(469 \mathrm{~mL})$ and warming). $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ values were measured after an elution of $7-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. GC-MS analysis were recorded on HP-5890 series II HEWLETT PACKARD equipped with a HP-1 column ( $12 \mathrm{~m}, ~ \varnothing=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using He as carrier gas. MS were recorded on an electronic impact (EI, 70 eV ) HP-5971A detector. Chromatography condition: flow $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, injector temperature $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, method 1 (initial temperature $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, initial time 2 min , rate $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, final temperature $290{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); method 2 (initial temperature $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, initial time 2 min , rate $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, final temperature $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The data are reported as follow: retention time ( $R t, \min$ ), $m / z$ values and the abundance relative. Only $m / z>5$ are reported. HRMS: samples were analysed with a Synapt G2 QToF mass spectrometer. MS signals were acquired from 50 to $1200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ in either ESI positive or negative ionization mode. Column chromatography was done with the "flash" methodology by using 220-400 mesh silica. Petroleum ether $\left(40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ is abbreviated as PE. All reactions employing dry solvents were carried out under nitrogen. Extractions were always repeated three times and organic extracts were always dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered before evaporation to dryness.
Compounds 3, ${ }^{23}, \underline{24} 4, \underline{25} 5, \underline{39} 10, \underline{40} \mathbf{1 2 , 4}, \underline{42}$ 4-allyloxybenzoic acid, 43 $^{3}$ 4-allyloxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde, $\underline{44} \quad E-3,4$ bis(allyloxy)phenylpropenoic acid, $\frac{45}{}$ and 4pivaloyloxybenzaldehyde ${ }^{46}$ were prepared by the reported methods.
4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)aniline 9. A solution of $p$ aminophenol ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with imidazole $(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 18.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tertbutyldimethylsilyl chloride ( $2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring for 3 h at r.t. a purple suspension was obtained. It was treated
with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After evaporation and chromatography (PE / AcOEt 8:2), pure 9 ( $1.880 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) was obtained as a colorless liquid. The spectroscopic and analytical data were in agreement with those reported. ${ }^{47}$
4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl isocyanide 8. A solution of 4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)aniline 9 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with formic acid ( $203 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 5.38 mmol ), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and, finally, dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) ( 1.017 g , $4.93 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 3.5 h at r.t., the resulting suspension was treated with additional formic acid ( $51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DCC ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After further 1.5 h , the suspension was filtered through a celite cake washing with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After evaporation and chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ acetone $\left.95: 5\right), \mathrm{N}$ -(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy) phenyl)formamide ${ }^{47}$ was obtained ( $83 \%$ ). $172 \mathrm{mg}(0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ of this formamide was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(272 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trichloromethyl chloroformate (diphosgene) ( $46 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The temperature was allowed to reach $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 1 h and the mixture further stirred for 30 min . Then, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine, evaporated and chromatographed ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 70: 30$ ) to give pure 8 as a pearlaceous oil ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ from formamide). The spectroscopic and analytical data were in agreement with those reported. ${ }^{47}$
(E)-3-(4-(allyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylic acid 13. A solution of trans-ferulic acid ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 30.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry MeCN $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10.3 \mathrm{~g}, 74.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allylbromide $(8.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 102 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring for 18 h at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the resulting suspension was filtered through a celite cake washing with MeCN . After evaporation, the obtained allyl (E)-3-(4-(allyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylate was directly dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with 1 N KOH aqueous solution ( $62 \mathrm{~mL}, 61.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring for 24 h at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and evaporation to reduced volume, the crude was treated with 1 N NaOH aqueous solution and extracted with AcOEt. The aqueous phase was acidified with 12 N HCl (final $\mathrm{pH}=3$ ) and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated. The resulting crude was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give pure ( $E$ )-3-(4-(allyloxy)-3methoxyphenyl)acrylic acid as white solid ( $6.65 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ). The spectroscopic and analytical data were in agreement with those reported. ${ }^{45}$
4-allyloxyaniline. A solution of 4-nitrophenol $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 35.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(12.4 \mathrm{~g}$, 89.7 mmol ) and allylbromide ( $4.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 53.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring for 18 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the resulting suspension was filtered through a celite cake washing with MeOH . After evaporation, the crude was treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in order to completely remove the salts. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated. The obtained 1-(allyloxy)-4-nitrobenzene was directly dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with Fe powder $(16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 287.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(7.68 \mathrm{~g}, 143.6$
mmol) in deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(28 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 18 h at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the resulting black suspension was filtered through a celite cake washing with MeOH . After evaporation, the crude was treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated. The resulting crude 4 -allyloxyaniline (light brown oil) was used in the next step without further purification. The spectroscopic and analytical data were in agreement with those reported. ${ }^{48}$
4-allyloxyphenethylamine. A solution of tyramine $(1.50 \mathrm{~g}$, $11.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(22 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1)$ was treated at r.t. with triethylamine ( $1.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 11.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 2 h the solution was concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was poured into a mixture of $5 \%$ aq $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and 1 M HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated. The resulting N -Boc-tyramine was diluted in dry DMF ( 26 mL ) and treated with $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.70 \mathrm{~g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allylbromide ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring for 4 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was poured in saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated. Then, the crude was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with trifluoroacetic acid ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 3 h at r.t., the solution was evaporated to dryness, taken up with 1 M aqueous NaOH and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give pure 4-allyloxyphenethylamine as yellow oil ( 1.72 g , $88 \%$ from tyramine). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.33\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ $/ \mathrm{MeOH} 15: 1+1 \%$ of $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 156.8$, 133.1, 131.4, 129.4 (x2), 117.1, 114.4 (x2), 68.5, 49.3, 43.1, 38.4. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3373,3029,2926,2857,1715,1648,1610$, 1582, 1509, 1457, 1424, 1382, 1362, 1297, 1237, 1221, 1177, 1154, 1111, 1069, 1021, 996, 924, 818, 752, 644, 617. GC-MS (method 1) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 7.17 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) $148\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]^{+}, 7.4\right), 107$ (17), 91 (7.2), 79 (6.4), 78 (7.4), 77 (13), 55 (7.6), 52 (7.5), 51 (9.7), 42 (5.0), 41 (100), 39 (35). The other spectroscopic and analytical data were in agreement with those reported. ${ }^{49}$
3-allyloxybenzylamine. A solution of 3 -allyloxybenzyl alcohol ${ }^{50}$ $(2.66 \mathrm{~g}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(55 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and mesyl chloride $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring for 4 h at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solvent was evaporated and the obtained mesylate was directly dissolved in dry DMF ( 23 mL ) and treated $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 33.8$ mmol). After stirring for 3 days at r.t., the mixture was poured in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine $(\times 5)$ and evaporated. The crude was purified by chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ from $8: 2$ to $7: 3$ ) to give pure 3-allyloxybenzyl azide as pale yellow oil ( 2.63 g , $86 \%$ from 3-allyloxybenzyl alcohol). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.29$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 8: 2$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 7.29(\mathrm{mc})(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCH}) ; 6.93-6.86$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCH}$ ); $6.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J} 10.5,17.2,5.3(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 17.2(\mathrm{~d}), 1.5(\mathrm{q}), \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}) ; 5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 10.5$ (d), 1.5 (q), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}) ; 4.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J} 5.3(\mathrm{~d}), 1.5(\mathrm{t})$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 4.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : 158.9, 136.9 (arom. quat.), $133.1\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 129.9,120.6$, 114.6, $114.5(\mathrm{ArCH}), 117.8\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 54.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3064,2925,2870,2094,1649,1599,1586,1489$, $1448,1424,1342,1263,1157,1098,1027,994,927,878,854$,

783, 762, 695, 650. GC-MS (method 1) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 5.02 \mathrm{~min}, m / z(\%) 189$ (1.2) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 120$ (5.5), 92 (6.2), 91 (6.7), 79 (5.5), 78 (9.2), 77 (8.1), 65 (20), 64 (6.8), 63 (10), 51 (9.5), 50 (6.2), 41 (100), 39 (46), 38 (7.0). A solution of this azide ( $2.63 \mathrm{~g}, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 40 mL ) cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $15.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. When the gas evolution ceased, the mixture was warmed up to r.t. and stirred for 2 h . Then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1 mL, 55.6 mmol ) was added and the reaction was further stirred for 2 h at r.t. After evaporation, the mixture was treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated to give crude 3-allyloxybenzylamine, that was not purified, but used as such for the Ugi reaction. It was just controlled at ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, that showed a purity $>95 \%$. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 8.1) ; 6.92-6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 6.85-$ 6.77 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); $6.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J} 10.5,17.3(\mathrm{~d}), 5.3(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; 5.45 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 17.3$ (d), 1.5 (q), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}$ ); $5.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 10.5$ (d), 1.5 (q), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}) ; 4.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J} 5.3$ (d), 1.5 (t), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); $3.84\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ ).
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-allyloxyphenyl)formamide. A solution of 4allyloxyaniline $\frac{51}{51}(499 \mathrm{mg}, 3.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with formic acid ( $152 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and, finally, dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) ( $760 \mathrm{mg}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 2 h at r.t., the resulting suspension was filtered through a celite cake washing with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \%$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After evaporation and chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 7: 1\right)$, N -(4allyloxyphenyl)formamide was obtained ( $564 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as yellow solid. M.p.: 50.9-52.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.45$ (PE / AcOEt 1:1). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (two conformers in about $1: 1$ ratio are visible): $8.50(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 11.6, \mathrm{CHO}$ of 1 conformer); $8.34(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 1.8,0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}$ of 1 conformer); $7.59(0.5 \mathrm{H}$, broad $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}$ of 1 conformer); $7.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0, \mathrm{ArCH}$ of 1 conformer); 7.12 ( 0.5 H , broad s , NH of 1 conformer); 7.03 (1 H, d, J 9.0, ArCH of 1 conformer); 6.91 (1 H, d, J 9.0, ArCH of 1 conformer); 6.89 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0$, ArCH of 1 conformer); 6.05 ( 1 H , ddt, J 10.517 .2 (d), 5.3 (t), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 5.46-5.36 (1 H, m, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH})$; 5.33-5.26 (1 H, m, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}) ; 4.55-4.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 163.3,159.3$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 156.4, 155.5, 130.2, 129.8 (arom. quat.), 133.0, 132.9 $\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 121.7,121.2,115.6,114.9(\mathrm{ArCH}), 117.8,117.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.0,68.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) . \operatorname{IR}: v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3297,3269,3208$, 3144, 3106, 3084, 3020, 2977, 2941, 2925, 2869, 2803, 2771, 1657, 1644, 1612, 1547, 1507, 1465, 1426, 1409, 1391, 1370, 1340, 1327, 1303, 1254, 1229, 1177, 1151, 1123, 1111, 1062, 1013, 1002, 940, 931, 873, 839, 822, 749, 737, 709, 648, 633. GC-MS (method 2) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 7.88 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) 177 (43) [M] ${ }^{+}, 137$ (8.5), 136 (100), 109 (11), 108 (99), 81 (6.4), 80 (50), 65 (8.6), 63 (5.2), 54 (5.5), 53 (26), 52 (16), 41 (37), 39 (27). m/z (ESI+) $178.0867\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 178.0868.
4-allyloxyphenyl isocyanide. A solution of N -(4allyloxyphenyl)formamide ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.734 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(470 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cooled at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(103 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. After stirring for 1 h at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the cold mixture was poured in saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and
evaporated. The crude was purified by chromatography (PE / $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 15:1) to give pure 4-allyloxyphenyl isocyanide as green oil ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (PE / Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O} 15: 1$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.9, \mathrm{ArCH}) ; 6.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.9, \mathrm{ArCH}) ; 6.03$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J} 10.5,17.3$ (d), 5.3 (t), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); $5.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 17.3$ (d), 1.5 (q), $C H=C H H) ; 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 10.5$ (d), 1.5 (q), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}) ; 4.55\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J} 5.3(\mathrm{~d}), 1.5(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(75$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): 162.5 ( NC ), 158.8, 119.6 (broad) (arom. quat.), $132.3\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 127.7,115.3(\mathrm{ArCH}), 118.3\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $69.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3675,3082,2986,2901,2123,1735$, $1648,1605,1584,1502,1456,1423,1409,1383,1298,1247$, 1230, 1192, 1164, 1109, 1067, 1048, 1015, 995, 928, 830, 739, 700, 647, 618. GC-MS (method 2) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 5.67 \mathrm{~min}, m / z(\%) 159$ (92) [M] ${ }^{+}, 158$ (19), 144 (19), 132 (7.0), 131 (8.0), 130 (19), 119 (29), 103 (5.9), 102 (11), 91 (11), 90 (12), 76 (7.7), 75 (8.6), 64 (19), 63 (13), 41 (100), 39 (19). $m / z(E S I+) 160.0769\left(M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ON}$ requires 160.0762.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-(2-(2-(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl)formamide. Known 1-(2-(2-(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-4-nitrobenzene was prepared according to literature procedures. ${ }^{52, \underline{53}}$ This compound (760 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(33 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with Fe powder ( $1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 22.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $607 \mathrm{mg}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 2 h at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the resulting black suspension was filtered through a celite cake washing with MeOH . After evaporation, the crude was treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated. The resulting crude 3-(2-(2(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)aniline was directly treated with ethyl formate ( 4 mL ) and stirred at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 days. After evaporation, the crude was purified by chromatography (PE / AcOEt 1:1) to give pure $N$-(4-(2-(2(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl)formamide as brown oil (506 mg 67\% from 1-(2-(2-(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-4nitrobenzene). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.24$ (PE / AcOEt 1:1). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )(two conformers in about $1: 1$ ratio are visible): 8.50 (0.5 H, d, J 11.6, CHO of 1 conformer); 8.33 ( $0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 1.8, \mathrm{CHO}$ of 1 conformer); 7.46 ( 0.5 H , broad s, NH of 1 conformer); 7.43 (1 H, d, J 9.0, ArCH of 1 conformer); 7.12 ( 0.5 H , broad s, NH of 1 conformer); 7.01 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0, \mathrm{ArCH}$ of 1 conformer); 6.91 ( 1 H , d, J 9.0, ArCH of 1 conformer); $6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0, \mathrm{ArCH}$ of 1 conformer); 5.92 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J} 10.4,17.2$ (d), 5.7 (t), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 5.28 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 17.2$ (d), 1.5 (q), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}) ; 5.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 10.5$ (d), $1.5(\mathrm{q}), \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}) ; 4.15-4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 4.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}$ 5.7(d), $\left.1.5(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.89-3.83\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 3.76-$ $3.69\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; $3.67-3.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta=163.1,159.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 156.4,155.4,130.4,129.9$ (arom. quat.), $134.3\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 121.5,121.1,115.4,114.6$ ( ArCH$), 117.2\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.0,70.6,69.5,69.2,67.5,67.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3676,3274,3130,3071,2871,1669$, 1602, 1536, 1509, 1455, 1412, 1351, 1290, 1234, 1176, 1127, 1090, 1062, 994, 923, 872, 827, 726, 643, 633. GC-MS (method 1) $t_{R} 9.19 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) $163\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAllyl}\right]^{+}, 1.0\right) 108$ (8.7), 87 (8.8), 85 (9.2), 80 (8.1), 65 (9.7), 53 (7.0), 45 (9.5), 44 (5.4), 43 (21), 41 (100), 39 (10). $m / z(E S I+): 266.1392\left(M+H^{+}\right)$. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 266.1392 .

N-(4-(2-(2-(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl) isocyanide. A solution of $\quad \mathrm{N}$-(4-(2-(2(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl)formamide (374 mg, 1.41 mmol) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(590 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.23$ mmol ) and cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then diphosgene (103 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.85$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. After stirring for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the cold mixture was poured in saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated. The crude was purified by chromatography (PE / AcOEt 8:2) to give pure $N$-(4-(2-(2(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl) isocyanide as yellow oil (307 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.34$ (PE / AcOEt 8:2). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : 7.30 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0, \mathrm{ArCH}$ ); 6.89 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0, \mathrm{ArCH}$ ); $5.92(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddt, J 10.4, 17.2 (d), 5.7 (q), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 5.28 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 17.2$ (d), 1.6 (q), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}$ ); 5.19 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J} 10.5$ (d), 1.2 (q), $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}$ ); 4.17-4.11 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); $4.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J} 5.7$ (d), 1.3 ( t$)$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; 3.89-3.85 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); 3.75-3.69 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; 3.66-3.60 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $162.5(\mathrm{NC}), 159.1,119.3$ (broad) (arom. quat.), $134.6\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 127.6, $155.2(\mathrm{ArCH}), 117.1\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.2,70.8,69.5,69.3$, $67.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3676,3078,2871,2122,1741,1646$, 1605, 1585, 1504, 1453, 1423, 1394, 1352, 1298, 1252, 1194, 1164, 1127, 1108, 1058, 995, 923, 883, 832, 724, 681, 641. GCMS (method 1) $t_{R} 7.50 \mathrm{~min}, m / z(\%) 159$ (1.1), 102 (6.9), 85 (7.1), 73 (6.0), 71 (5.2), 45 (11), 43 (18), 41 (100), 39 (13). $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI+): $248.1288\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 248.1287.
( $R, S$ )- $N$-(4-Acetoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-acetoxyphenyl)-2-(tert-
butylamino)-2-oxoethyl)propionamide 2a. A solution of aldehyde $4^{25}(228 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry methanol $(6.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with amine $3^{\underline{23}, \underline{24}}(302 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, propionic acid ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and tert-butyl isocyanide ( $225 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at r.t. for 5 h . Then the solvent was evaporated and the crude purified by chromatography (PE / AcOEt 1:1) to give pure 2a as a slightly brown solid ( 665 mg , $73 \%$ ). M.p. $=144.6-146.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.29$ (PE / AcOEt 40:60). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 7.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.5, \mathrm{ArCH}$ from aldehyde); 6.92 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.5$, ArCH from aldehyde); 7.05-6.85 ( 2 H , broad m, ArCH from amine) (NOTE: the other 2 ArCH from amine give a very broad signal from 7.50 to 7.00), 5.99 $(1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.25\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.12-2.00$ $\left.\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.34\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}\right), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 174.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $169.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $168.8(C=O), 168.7(C=O), 150.5,150.0,137.2,132.3$ (quat.), 131.5, 131.3, 121.8, $121.4(\mathrm{ArCH}), 64.3(\mathrm{CH}), 51.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \text {, }}\right.$ $28.5\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 9.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3339,3234,3078,2976,1759,1681,1636,1551$, 1504, 1459, 1418, 1390, 1366, 1305, 1270, 1251, 1209, 1186, 1166, 1160, 1105, 1099, 1044, 1012, 958, 941, 910, 859, 848, 813, 784, 775, 744, 735, 722, 656, 632. m/z (ESI+): 455.2180 $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 455.2182.

## ( $R, S$ )- $\mathbf{N}$-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)- $\mathbf{N}$-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-(4-

hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)propionamide 1a. A solution of compound 2a ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 2.25 mL ) was treated, at r.t., with 1 M acqueous $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.78 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.78$ mmol). The solution became yellow. After 20 h , the reaction not being yet complete, other $0.39 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of LiOH solution were added. After other 20 h , the reaction was worked out with a 1
$\mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solution and extracted with AcOEt. After evaporation of the organic phase, two consecutive chromatographies (first PE / AcOEt 3:7; then $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 93:7) afforded pure 1a as a white solid ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). M.p. $=$ 203.4-204.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.24$ (PE / AcOEt $\left.30: 70\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, $\left.50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $9.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 9.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s, \mathrm{OH}) ; 7.28$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); $6.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.5, \mathrm{ArCH}$ from aldehyde); $6.49(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, J 8.5, ArCH from aldehyde); 6.70-6.45 ( 2 H , broad m, ArCH from amine) (NOTE: the other 2 ArCH from amine give a very broad signal from 7.30 to 6.80$), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.01-1.81(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.23\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}\right), 0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d 6,50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 172.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 156.1$, $155.8,131.5,131.1$ (quat.), 130.9, 126.1, 114.5, 114.2 ( ArCH ), $63.0(\mathrm{CH}), 49.9\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 9.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3274,3234,2969,1661,1614,1593$, 1511, 1452, 1393, 1365, 1258, 1221, 1174, 1096, 1044, 1023, 960, 845, 816, 780, 740, 633. m/z (ESI+): $371.1976\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 371.1971. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $99.5 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-N-(4-((tert-
butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-N-(2-((4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)amino)-2-oxo-1-
phenylethyl)benzamide 11. A solution of amine 9 ( 224 mg , 1.00 mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated at r.t. with benzaldehyde ( $102 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(100$ mg ) and stirred overnight. After filtration of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporation, the residue was taken up in MeOH ( 5.0 mL ), added with freshly activated powdered $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(50 \mathrm{mg})$, and finally treated with acid $10(252 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and isocyanide 8 ( $234 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 17 h , the mixture was filtered, evaporated to dryness and chromatographed (PE / AcOEt 60:40) to give pure 11 as a yellow-brown solid (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ). M.p: $=156.7-157.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.58$ (PE / AcOEt 6:4). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 8.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 9.0, $\operatorname{ArH}$ ), 7.32-7.18 ( $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.85(2 \mathrm{H}$, broad d, J= 7.7 Hz , ArH), $6.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $6.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.96,0.92,0.91$ $\left(3 \times 9 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right) ; 0.16,0.11,0.08\left(3 \times 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)\right.$. $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}\right): 171.1,168.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 156.9, $154.6,152.3,135.0,134.5,131.50,131.2$ (quat.), 131.4 (x2), 130.8 ( x 2 ), 130.2 ( x 2 ), 128.6, 128.5 ( x 2 ), 121.7 ( x 2 ), 120.2 ( x 2 ), $120.0(\mathrm{x} 2), 119.1(\mathrm{x} 2)(\mathrm{ArCH}), 67.4(\mathrm{CH}), 25.69,25.64,25.56$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 18.38\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 18.24,18.19,18.14 \text { (quat. } \mathrm{C} t-\mathrm{Bu}\right) \text {, }, ~(1)}\right.$ $-4.48(x 2),-4.54\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3260,3201,3075,2957$, 2930, 2896, 2858, 1689, 1617, 1604, 1551, 1505, 1472, 1462, 1410, 1389, 1362, 1341, 1253, 1201, 1166, 1103, 1080, 1052, 1006, 966, 908, 831, 803, 777, 764, 735, 716, 698, 666, 638, 623. $m / z(E S I+): 797.4187\left(M+H^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{3}$ requires 797.4201.

## ( $R, S$ )-4-Hydroxy-N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-N-(2-((4-

hydroxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxo-1-phenylethyl)benzamide 1b. A solution of compound $\mathbf{2 a}(117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 1.2 mL ) was treated, at r.t., with 1 M acqueous $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.59 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution became orange. After 22 h the solution was evaporated, taken up with MeOH , and treated with previously washed Amberlyst 15 acid resin until $\mathrm{pH}=7$. The resin was filtered off and the solution
evaporated to dryness and chromatographed (PE / AcOEt 4:6 + $2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) to give pure $\mathbf{1 b}$ as a white solid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). M.p. $=$ $177.7-178.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.34$ (PE / AcOEt 40:60 + 2\% EtOH). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 9.98,9.68,9.24(3 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~s}$, OH ), 7.40 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.0, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.24-7.10(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}+\mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.3, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.0, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.7, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $6.33(2 \mathrm{H}$, broad d, J= 8.4, ArH), $6.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH})$. $\delta_{C}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 169.8,168.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 158.1,155.4$, 153.1, 135.2, 132.0, 131.9, 130.8 (quat.), 130.2 ( $\times 4$ ), 127.8 ( $x$ 2), 127.7, 127.0 ( $\times 2$ ), 120.6 ( $\times 2$ ), 115.0 ( $\times 2$ ), 114.2 ( $\times 2$ ), 114.0 ( x 2 ) $(\mathrm{ArCH}) 64.9(\mathrm{CH}) . \mathrm{IR}: \mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3275,3234,1665,1607$, 1509, 1440, 1365, 1223, 1167, 1103, 1081, 831, 761, 729, 698, 626. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ESI}+): 455.1604\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 455.1607. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $96 \%$.
General procedure for the preparation of polyphenols $1 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{hi}$ through allylated derivatives $14 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}$ (Method A). A solution of the appropriate aldehyde (1 equiv) in dry EtOH and trifluoroethanol in 1:1 ratio ( 0.26 M ) was treated with the amine ( 1.1 equiv) and molecular sieves ( $3 \AA, 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 5 h , the acid ( 1.1 equiv), and the isocyanide ( 1.1 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2-4 days, then filtered with celite on a sintered funnel, washed with AcOEt, concentrated and purified by chromatography. A 0.1 M solution of the Ugi product in MeCN under nitrogen atmosphere, was treated with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( 0.025 equiv for allyl group) and ammonium formate ( 2.2 equiv for allyl group) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h in a sealed flask. Then, the crude was diluted with AcOEt, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. After evaporation, the residue was eluted from a column of silica gel with the suitable eluent.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-Benzyl-N-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-(4-
hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-
methoxyphenyl)acrylamide 1c. Following the general procedure A, a mixture of aldehyde 12 ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid 13 ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $t$-butyl isocyanide ( $87 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3 Å molecular sieves ( 32 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 50:50) compound 14c was obtained pure as white foam ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $\mathbf{1 4 c}$ ( 255 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium formate ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 1.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 2 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up and purification (chromatography with PE / AcOEt 3:4, followed by treatment with active coal) compound $\mathbf{1 c}$ was obtained pure as white solid ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). M.p. $=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with decomposition. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.19$ (PE / AcOEt 50:50). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, $\left.90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 9.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s, NH), 7.41 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.0, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.20-6.87 ( 9 H , m, ArH, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.80-6.60 ( 1 H , broad signal, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.76 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.1, \mathrm{H}$ meta to OMe ), 6.67 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7, \mathrm{H}$ ortho to OH ), $6.00(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s, CHN), $4.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 16.7, \mathrm{CHHPh})$, $4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 16.7, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 3.78\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d 6,25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) (note: at this temperature, 2 conformers are visible and thus most signals are doubled): 169.6, 169.2, 167.1, 166.9 ( $C=0$ ), 156.9, 156.8, 148.4, 147.7, 147.6, 140.1, 139.4, 130.0 (quat.), 141.7 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 130.4, 127.8, 127.4, 126.8, 126.4, 126.2, 126.1,
122.3, 121.9, 116.3, 114.9, 110.6 ( ArCH ), $115.4(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH})$, 62.8, $60.2(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.5,55.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.2\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 48.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 28.3,28.1\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. IR: $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3283,2967,1641$, 1589, 1511, 1452, 1429, 1364, 1265, 1203, 1171, 1123, 1080, 1030, 976, 947, 891, 865, 837, 814, 726, 696. m/z (ESI+): $489.2396\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 489.2389. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $99 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-Benzyl-N-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-phenyl-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylamide 1 . Following the general procedure A , a mixture of benzaldehyde ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine ( $76 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $E$ )-3-(3,4-bis(allyloxy)phenyl)acrylic acid ( $179 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $t$ butyl isocyanide ( $78 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(28 \mathrm{mg})$ was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 75:25) compound 14e was obtained pure as white foam ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $\mathbf{1 4 e}$ ( 200 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium formate ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 2 h at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up and purification (two consecutive chromatograhies with PE / AcOEt from 60:40 to 50:50 and a filtration with celite on a sintered funnel) compound 1 e was obtained pure as pale yellow solid ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ). M.p. $=120.0$ $-121.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (PE / AcOEt 50:50). $\delta_{H}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (note: the OH protons give a very broad signal around 9 ppm$)$ : $7.61(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, $7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=15.2, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.30-7.03(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}$ ortho to OH and $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.79, $6.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ syst., J $8.3, H$ para to OH and meta to $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.65 ( 1 H , broad d, J 15.2, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH})$, $6.12(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s, CHN ), 4.91, $4.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ syst., J $\left.17.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 1.24\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6,25$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (note: at this temperature, 2 conformers are visible and thus most signals are doubled, although one conformer is prevailing, only the peaks of major conformer are reported): 168.9, 167.1 ( $C=0$ ), 147.6, 145.3, 139.5, 136.9, 128.2 (quat.), 142.5 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 128.9 (x2), 128.1 ( x 2 ), 127.8 ( x 2 ), 127.4, $126.2,125.8(x 2), 120.7,115.4,114.1(\mathrm{ArCH}), 115.1(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH})$, $60.6(\mathrm{CHN}), 54.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 48.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 28.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{ATR}): v=3276,3064,2969,1640,1578,1513$, 1451, 1413, 1363, 1278, 1192, 1112, 1080, 1032, 975, 948, 893, 845, 810, 753, 730, 696, $617 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI-): 457.2143 ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}$). $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 457.2127. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $100 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((4-hydroxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)- propanamide 1 h . Following the general procedure $A$, a mixture of aldehyde 12 ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4 -allyloxyaniline ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), propionic acid ( $87 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-allyloxyphenyl isocyanide ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 50 mg ) was stirred for 5 days at r.t. After usual work-up, the crude was diluted with AcOEt, washed with HCl 1 N to remove the excess of amine. Then, the crude was purified ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:2) obtaining compound $\mathbf{1 4 h}$ as white foam ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ). Then a mixture of 14 h ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01$ mmol ) and ammonium formate ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 2 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up and purification (from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ / AcOEt 3:4 to AcOEt with $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) compound $\mathbf{1}$ h was obtained as red solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). A final treatment with
active coal gave $\mathbf{1 h}$ as a pale yellow solid. M.p. $=158.0-160.0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ AcOEt 3:4). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$d 6,90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Note: the 3 phenolic OH exchange with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the solvent giving a broad signal around 4.90 ppm): $9.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 9.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.8, \mathrm{H}$ ortho to CH ), 7.0-6.80 ( 2 H , broad signal, $H$ ortho to N ), 6.86 ( 2 H, d, J 8.4, H ortho to NH), 6.67 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.8, \mathrm{H}$ meta to CH ), $6.50(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4, \mathrm{H}$ meta to N and to NH$), 6.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH})$, $1.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J} 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(75$ MHz, DMSO-d6, $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): 173.1, 168.8 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 157.5 , 157.0 , 153.3, 130.7, 130.2, 124.5 (quat.), 131.7 (x2), 131.2 ( $\times 2$ ), 120.15 ( $\times 2$ ), 115.0 ( $\times 2$ ), 114.8 ( $\times 4$ ) ( ArCH ), 63.6 (CHN), 27.6 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 9.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR: $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{max}} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3268,3202,3005,1650,1602$, 1584, 1533, 1471, 1379, 1360, 1260, 1205, 1173, 1099, 1043, 1001, 965, 845, 815, 631. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ESI}+)^{2} 407.1605\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 407.1607. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $98 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(4-
hydroxyphenyl)acrylamide 1 i . Following the general procedure A , a mixture of aldehyde $12(94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}), 4-$ allyloxyaniline ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid 13 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64$ mmol ), $t$-butyl isocyanide ( $72 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 29 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 3:2) compound 14i was obtained pure as yellow foam ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $14 \mathrm{i}(88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium formate ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 2 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up and purification (the crude was triturated with PE/AcOEt) compound $1 \mathbf{i}$ was obtained pure as white solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). M.p. $=150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with decomposition. $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.10$ (PE / AcOEt 50:50). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): 9.42 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), $9.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 15.3, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.88 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}$ ortho to OMe ), $6.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 8.4, H meta to OH ), 6.76-6.67 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}$ meta and para to OMe), 6.70-6.40 ( 2 H , very broad signal, $H$ meta to OH ), $6.50(4$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4, \mathrm{H}$ ortho to OH ), $5.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHN}), 5.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 15.3, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.70\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.24\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$. $\delta_{H}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 169.7,165.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 156.3,156.0$, 148.3, 147.5, 132.0, 130.7, 126.2 (quat.), 140.6 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 132.0 ( x 2 ), 131.2 ( x 2 ), 126.1, 120.2, 114.5 ( x 4$), 112.2$ ( ArCH ), $116.6(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 63.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.1\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. IR: $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3269,2966,2930,1662,1640,1592$, 1511, 1450, 1388, 1366, 1258, 1216, 1161, 1121, 1030, 1009, 976, 936, 885, 840, 814, 791, 742, 723, 693, 645, 610. m/z (ESI+): $491.2187\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 491.2182. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $98.5 \%$.
General procedure for the preparation of acetylated polyphenols $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ and 15 (Method B). A solution of the appropriate aldehyde ( 1 equiv) in dry EtOH and trifluoroethanol in 1:1 ratio ( 0.26 M ) was treated with the amine ( 1.1 equiv) and molecular sieves ( $3 \AA, 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 5 h , the acid ( 1.1 equiv), and the isocyanide ( 1.1 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2-4 days, then filtered with celite on a sintered funnel, washed with AcOEt and concentrated. The residue was treated with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with AcOEt. The
organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated. The crude was purified by chromatography. A 0.1 M solution of the Ugi product in MeCN under nitrogen atmosphere, was treated with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.025$ equiv for allyl group) and ammonium formate ( 2.2 equiv for allyl group) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed flask ( $2-5 \mathrm{~h}$ ). Then, the crude was diluted with AcOEt, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. After evaporation, the residue was directly dissolved in 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 0.1 M ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. Then, the mixture was poured in 2 N HCl (final $\mathrm{pH}=2$ ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic extracts were washed with saturated brine and evaporated, then the crude was purified by chromatography.

## ( $R, S$ )-(E)-3-(4-Acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-

acetoxyphenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-N-
butylacrylamide 2d. Following the general procedure $B$, a mixture of aldehyde 12 ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $n$-butylamine ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid 13 ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $t$-butyl isocyanide ( $135 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 50 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 75:25 + 1\% EtOH) compound 14d was obtained pure as yellow oil ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%, 91 \%$ based on the recovery of unreacted aldehyde). Then a mixture of 14 d ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium formate ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 5 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 3.7 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 7:3+3\% EtOH) compound 2d was obtained pure as white foam ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.28$ (PE / AcOEt 7:3 + 3\% EtOH). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, J 15.3, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.47 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4$ ), $7.15-7.01(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.78$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \operatorname{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), $6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$, $3.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.57-3.32(\mathrm{mc}=3.46)\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.32$, $2.31\left(2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.55-1.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}), 1.37(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$, 1.22-0.95 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and CHH ), $0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7.2$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 169.3,168.9(\times 2), 166.9$ ( $C=0$ ) $151.3,150.6,140.9,134.3,133.4$ (quat.), 142.8 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 130.5 ( $\times 2$ ), 123.2, 121.9 ( $\times 2$ ), 120.4, 111.6 ( ArCH ), $118.0(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 61.5(\mathrm{CH}), 58.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.7\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 46.1\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.1,20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, $20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3676,3295,3056$, 2965, 2930, 2877, 2856, 1768, 1742, 1684, 1640, 1608, 1582, 1542, 1508, 1486, 1473, 1454, 1431, 1407, 1392, 1364, 1349, 1311, 1302, 1288, 1268, 1259, 1246, 1208, 1194, 1166, 1123, 1066, 1045, 1032, 1012, 979, 951, 937, 918, 906, 889, 865, 842, 815, 801, 792, 753, 737, 731, 709, 688, 633, 620. m/z (ESI+): $539.2758\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 539.2757.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-(1-(4-Acetoxyphenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-
oxoethyl)-3-(3,4-diacetoxyphenyl)- N -benzylacrylamide $\quad 2 \mathrm{f}$. Following the general procedure $B$, a mixture of aldehyde 12 ( $596 \mathrm{mg}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine ( $445 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (E)-3-(3,4-bis(allyloxy)phenyl)acrylic acid ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $t$-butyl isocyanide ( $460 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 200 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 2:1) compound $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ was obtained pure as white foam ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ ( $710 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium
formate ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 7.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 2 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 8 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (the crude was triturated with AcOEt and the mother liquor was purified by chromatography PE / $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:2+ $2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) pure compound $\mathbf{2 f}$ was obtained as white solid ( 602 $\mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$. M.p. $=189.0-190.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.70\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH} 15: 1) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.4$, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.38 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4$ ), 7.25-7.10 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.02-6.92 (4 H, m), 6.66 ( $1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.4, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), $6.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH})$, 5.67 ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 17.5, \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.66$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 17.5, \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), $2.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.26\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.35\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$. $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 169.1,168.6,168.0(\mathrm{x} 2), 167.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $150.6,143.0,142.2,137.9,134.0,132.6$ (quat.), 141.9 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 130.8 (x2), 128.5 (x2), 127.0, 126.1 (x3), 123.7, 122.6, 121.7 ( $\times 2$ ) ( ArCH ), $119.2(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 62.0(\mathrm{CH}), 51.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 49.6\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.1,20.6,20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)\right.$. IR: $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3295,3071,2939,1758,1694,1646,1602,1546$, 1505, 1451, 1408, 1368, 1302, 1258, 1185, 1162, 1122, 1013, 979, 953, 909, 832, 793, 730, 692, 638. m/z (ESI-): 599.2381 $\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 599.2393.
( $R, S$ )-N-(4-Acetoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-Acetoxyphenyl)-2-((4-acetoxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)benzamide $\mathbf{2 g}$. Following the general procedure B, a mixture of aldehyde 12 ( 122 mg , 0.75 mmol ), 4-allyloxyaniline ( $114 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzoic acid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-allyloxyphenyl isocyanide ( 130 mg , 0.82 mmol ) and 3 Å molecular sieves ( 70 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After usual work-up, the crude was diluted with AcOEt, washed with HCl 1 N to remove the excess of amine. Then, the crude was purified (PE / AcOEt 3:2) obtaining compound $\mathbf{1 4 g}$ as brown foam ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $\mathbf{1 4 g}(94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium formate ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 4 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 3 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After workup and purification (PE / AcOEt 1:1) pure compound $\mathbf{2 g}$ was obtained as white foam ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.72$ (PE/ AcOEt $3: 7)$. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 8.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 8.8), 7.28 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 8.1), 7.25-7.10 (3 H, m), 7.02-6.93 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 6.78 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.5$ ), $6.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.26\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.19$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 171.6,169.5$, 169.1, 168.7, 167.9 ( $C=0$ ), 150.9, 149.5, 146.9, 138.0, 135.4, 135.3, 129.9 (quat.), 131.51 ( $\times 2$ ), 131.43 ( $\times 2$ ), 131.37, 128.6 ( x 2 ), 127.8 ( x 2 ), 121.9 ( x 4 ), 121.5 ( x 2 ), 120.9 ( x 2 ) ( ArCH ), 66.2 $(\mathrm{CH}), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$. IR: $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3275,3054,2980,1743,1691$, 1615, 1594, 1533, 1551, 1488, 1463, 1401, 1333, 1301, 1198, 1133, 1101, 1045, 1007, 965, 841, 761, 732, 679, 630, 603. m/z (ESI+): $581.1914\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 581.19244.

## ( $R, S$ )-4-Acetoxy- N -(1-(4-Acetoxyphenyl)-2-((4-

acetoxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)- $N$-phenylbenzamide $\mathbf{2 j}$. Following the general procedure B, a mixture of aldehyde 12 ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $74 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4(allyloxy)benzoic acid ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-allyloxyphenyl isocyanide ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 70 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After usual work-up, the crude was diluted with AcOEt, washed with HCl 1 N to remove the excess of amine. Then, the crude was purified (PE / AcOEt 7:3)
obtaining compound 14 j as yellow oil ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $14 \mathrm{j}(74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01$ mmol ) and ammonium formate ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 3 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 3 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt from 3:2 to 1:1) pure compound $\mathbf{2 j}$ was obtained as white foam ( 48 mg , $64 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (PE / AcOEt 3:7). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : 8.05 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.55 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.9$ ), 7.36 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7$ ), 7.35 ( 2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4), 7.12-6.96(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7), 6.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 2.28\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $170.5,169.5,169.1,168.7,167.8$ ( $\mathrm{C=O}$ ), 151.5 , $150.8,146.8,140.6,135.5,132.9,131.4$ (quat.), 131.3 (x2), 130.4 (x2), 130.2 (x2), 128.6 (x2), 127.6, 121.9 ( $\times 2$ ), 121.7 ( x2), $120.9(x 2), 120.8(x 2)(\mathrm{ArCH}), 66.6(\mathrm{CH}), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \mathrm{IR}$ : $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3282,3070,2988,1756,1697,1621,1595,1546$, $1505,1494,1453,1409,1367,1310,1187,1163,1106,1075$, 1046, 1014, 966, 909, 846, 757, 737, 700, 675, 634, 611. m/z (ESI+): $581.1929\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 581.1924.

## ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{S}$ )-4-Acetoxy- N -(4-acetoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-

 (pivaloyloxy)phenyl)-2-((4-acetoxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)benzamide 2k. Following the general procedure $B$, $a$ mixture of 4-pivaloyloxybenzaldehyde ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4allyloxyaniline ( $153 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-allyloxybenzoic acid ( 200 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 2,6-dimethylphenyl isocyanide ( 144 mg , 1.11 mmol ) and $3 \AA$ Å molecular sieves ( 50 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 7:3) compound 15 was obtained pure as off-white foam ( 198 mg , $31 \%)$. Then a mixture of $15(168 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ $(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium formate ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14$ mmol ) was stirred for 5 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with $1: 1$ pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 2.6 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:3 + 1\% EtOH) compound 2d was obtained pure as white foam ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 3+1 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 7.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.2), 7.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 8.4), $7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.20-6.98(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.3)$, $6.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.5), 6.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.23\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 1.35\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 176.8$, 170.3, 168.7 (x2), 167.9 ( $C=0$ ), 151.5 ( $\times 2$ ), 149.6, 138.4, 135.5 (x2), 133.4, 133.0, 131.6 (quat.), 131.4 (x4), 130.0 (x2), 128.2 ( $\times 2$ ), 127.4, 121.9 ( $\times 2$ ), 121.6 ( $\times 2$ ), 120.9 ( $\times 2$ ) ( ArCH ), 66.3 (CH),
 3269, 3046, 2970, 2874, 1752, 1643, 1603, 1503, 1479, 1418, 1367, 1279, 1263, 1189, 1164, 1107, 1015, 945, 910, 850, 803, $765,703,678,626 . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ESI}+): 651.2713\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 651.2706.
( $R, S$ )-(E)- $N$-(3-Acetoxybenzyl)- $N$-(1-(4-acetoxyphenyl)-2-((4-acetoxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-acetoxy-3methoxyphenyl)acrylamide $\mathbf{2 l}$. Following the general procedure $B$, a mixture of aldehyde $12(404 \mathrm{mg}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3allyloxybenzylamine ( $406 \mathrm{mg}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid 13 ( 530 mg , 2.26 mmol ), 4-allyloxyphenyl isocyanide ( $397 \mathrm{mg}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ Å molecular sieves ( 250 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt from 2:1 to 1:1) compound $\mathbf{1 4 I}$ was obtained as yellow foam ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $14 \mathrm{I}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(16$
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium formate ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 4 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 4 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 3:4) compound 21 was obtained pure as white foam ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.80$ (PE / AcOEt 1:6). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 8.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, NH), 7.67 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.48 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7$ ), 7.42 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4$ ), $7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 7.9), 7.03-6.80(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, J 15.3, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), $6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.93$ and $4.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ syst., J $\left.17.9, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.76\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.30,2.26,2.24(4 \times 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 169.5,169.2,169.1$, 168.8, 168.3, 167.9 ( $C=0$ ), 151.2, 150.9 (x2), 146.9, 141.1, 139.5, 135.4, 133.7, 131.5, 144.2 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 131.0 ( x 2 ), 129.6, 123.6, 123.1, 122.0 (x2), 121.9 (x2), 120.9 (x3), 120.4, 119.6, $111.5(\mathrm{ArCH}), 117.6(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 63.0(\mathrm{CH}), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 49.6$ $\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right), 21.10(\times 2), 21.08,20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \mathrm{IR}: \mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3285$, 3072, 2940, 1758, 1694, 1647, 1602, 1545, 1505, 1452, 1408, 1368, 1302, 1258, 1185, 1161, 1122, 1013, 978, 955, 908, 831, $792,730,691,637 . m / z(E S I+): 709.2405\left(M+H^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 709.2397 .

## ( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-(2-(4-Acetoxyphenyl)ethyl)-N-(1-(4-

acetoxyphenyl)-2-(methylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-acetoxy-3methoxyphenyl)acrylamide $\mathbf{2 m}$. Following the general procedure B , a mixture of aldehyde 12 ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4allyloxyphenethylamine ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid 13 ( 176 mg , $0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl isocyanide ( $74 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 50 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 1:2) compound 14m was obtained as yellow foam ( $263 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $14 \mathrm{~m}(237 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium formate ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 4 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 9 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt from 1:3 to 1:4) compound 2 m was obtained pure as white foam ( 188 mg , $78 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.32$ (PE / AcOEt 1:6). $\delta_{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ): 7.68 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 15.3, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.56-7.45 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $7.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, J 8.4), 7.11-6.89 ( $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 6.21(1$ H , broad d, J 4.8, NH), $6.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.80-3.55 ( 2 H , broad m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 2.87-2.73 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCHH}$ ), $2.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 4.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right), 2.45-2.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right), 2.30$, 2.29, 2.24 ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : 170.0, 169.2, 169.0, 168.6, 167.2 ( $C=0$ ), 151.5, 151.0, 149.5, 141.3, 135.8, 134.1, 132.9 (quat.), 143.1 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 130.7 ( x 2 ), 129.5 (x2), 123.3, 122.1 ( $\times 2$ ), 121.7 ( $\times 2$ ), 120.6, 111.8 (ArCH), $117.8(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 61.6(\mathrm{CH}), 56.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 48.0\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 36.4$ $\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right), 21.0(\mathrm{x} 2), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$. IR: $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3311, 2941, 2249, 1759, 1674, 1647, 1601, 1506, 1466, 1450, 1416, 1369, 1262, 1190, 1153, 1121, 1032, 1013, 979, 908, 829, 726, 646, $623 . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{ESI}+\right.$ ): $603.2352\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 603.2343 .
( $R, S$ )-(E)-3-(4-Acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)- $N$-(1-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-N-
benzylacrylamide $\mathbf{2 n}$. Following the general procedure $B$, a mixture of 4-allyloxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70$ mmol ), benzylamine ( $84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid $13(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77$ $\mathrm{mmol}), t$-butyl isocyanide ( $87 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$
molecular sieves ( 35 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 6:4) compound 14n was obtained pure as pale yellow foam ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ). Then a mixture of 14 n ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.021 mmol ) and ammonium formate ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 5 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 4.2 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 6:4 $+3 \%$ EtOH) compound $2 n$ was obtained pure as white foam ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.26$ (PE / AcOEt $6: 4+3 \%$ EtOH). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3$, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.45-7.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.10-6.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 6.65 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), $6.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH})$, $5.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, NH ), 4.92, 4.68 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ syst., J 17.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 3.74, $3.67(2 \times 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.29,2.28\left(2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.37(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \cdot \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 168.8(\times 2), 168.0(\times 2)$ ( $C=0$ ), 151.1, 151.0, 140.9, 139.8, 138.4, 134.1, 133.9 (quat.), 143.0 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 128.5 ( x 2 ), 127.0, 126.2 ( $\times 2$ ), 123.0, 122.8, 122.0, 120.8, 114.1, 111.3 ( ArCH ), $118.6(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 62.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.8\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 49.6\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 20.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$. IR: $\mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3279,3064,2939,1761,1735,1688$, 1646, 1601, 1547, 1507, 1454, 1414, 1368, 1298, 1213, 1191, 1156, 1121, 1031, 1012, 976, 953, 909, 829, 723, 696, 635, 603. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ESI}+): 603.2697\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 603.2706.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-3-(4-Acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-acetoxyphenyl)-2-(methylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-N-
benzylacrylamide $\mathbf{2 0}$. Following the general procedure $B$, a mixture of 4 -allyloxybenzaldehyde ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine ( $111 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid 13 ( $238 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02$ mmol), methyl isocyanide ( $61 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 47 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 4:6) compound $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ was obtained pure as pale yellow foam ( $246 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ). Then a mixture of 140 ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.022 mmol ) and ammonium formate ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 5 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 4.3 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 4:6 + 3\% EtOH) compound 20 was obtained pure as white foam ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (PE / AcOEt $4: 6+3 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOH}) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3$, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4), 7.27-7.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.09(2 \mathrm{H}$, broad d, J 7.2), $7.05-6.93(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 15.3, $\operatorname{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.89,4.66$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ syst., J $17.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $3.75\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.4.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right), 2.29,2.28\left(2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): 169.9, 169.2, 168.8, 168.0 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 151.2, 150.7, 141.0, 137.7, 134.0, 132.5 (quat.), 143.3 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 130.9 ( $\times 2$ ), 128.6 (x2), 127.2, 126.2 (x2), 123.1, 121.9 (x2), 120.8, 111.3 (ArCH), $118.3(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 62.5(\mathrm{CH}), 55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.2\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 26.5$ $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 21.1,20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3302,3065,2940$, 1760, 1674, 1647, 1601, 1506, 1453, 1407, 1368, 1300, 1257, 1189, 1155, 1121, 1080, 1030, 1012, 976, 957, 907, 844, 829, $724,697,676,635 . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}($ ESI +$): 531.2137\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 531.2131 .
( $R, S$ )-(E)-
N-(2-(14-(2-(2-
Acetoxyethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl)amino)-1-(4-acetoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-
benzylacrylamide 2p. Following the general procedure B, a mixture of 4 -allyloxybenzaldehyde ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine ( $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid 13 ( $231 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{N}$-(4-(2-(2-(allyloxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl) isocyanide ( $244 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 45 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt $1: 1$ ) compound $\mathbf{1 4 p}$ was obtained pure as pale yellow foam ( $449 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ). Then a mixture of 14 p ( $449 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium formate ( $254 \mathrm{mg}, 4.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 5 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 6.1 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 1:1 + 3\% EtOH) compound 2 p was obtained pure as white foam ( $271 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ ( $\mathrm{PE} /$ AcOEt 4:6 + 3\% EtOH). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, NH), 7.71 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.2, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.49 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.2$ ), 7.39 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7$ ), 7.30-7.07 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $7.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.3), 6.96(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), 6.90-6.81 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 6.19(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, CH), 4.92, 4.72 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ syst., J 17.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $4.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, J $\left.4.5,5.0, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.10\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, broad $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 4.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.83(2 \mathrm{H}$, broad t, J 4.5, CH2O), $3.76\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J} 4.5,5.0, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.29,2.28,2.07\left(3 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 171.1,169.1,168.3,167.6$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 155.6, 151.2, 150.8, 141.0, 137.7, 133.9, 135.5, 132.0 (quat.), 143.6 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 130.8 ( $\times 2$ ), 128.7 ( $\times 2$ ), 127.3, 126.3 ( x 2 ), 123.1, 122.0 ( $\times 2$ ), 121.8 ( $\times 2$ ), 120.8, 114.9 ( $\times 2$ ), 111.4 ( ArCH ), 118.1 $(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 69.7,69.3,67.7,63.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 63.0(\mathrm{CH}), 55.8$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.2\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 21.1,21.0,20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$. IR: $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 3278, 3065, 2940, 1760, 1735, 1688, 1647, 1601, 1546, 1507, 1454, 1414, 1368, 1299, 1191, 1157, 1121, 1031, 1012, 975, 953, 909, 829, 723, 697, 636, 604. m/z (ESI+): 739.2875 ( $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}^{+}$). $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 739.2867 .
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-(3-acetoxybenzyl)-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)N -(1-(4-acetoxyphenyl)-2-(methylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-
acrylamide 2q. Following the general procedure B , a mixture of aldehyde 12 ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-allyloxybenzylamine ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acid 13 ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl isocyanide ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 50 mg ) was stirred for 3 days at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 3:7) compound 14q was obtained as white foam ( $313 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). Then a mixture of $\mathbf{1 4 q}(97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ammonium formate ( 71 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred for 3 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After work-up, the crude was treated with 1:1 pyridine / acetic anhydride ( 2.5 mL ) and stirred for 18 h at r.t. After work-up and purification (PE / AcOEt 1:6) compound $\mathbf{2 q}$ was obtained pure as white foam (73 $\mathrm{mg}, 75 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.49$ (PE / AcOEt 1:6). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.1), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ 7.8), 7.03-6.82 ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 5.97(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s, NH), 4.89, $4.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ syst., J 17.9, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.77\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 4.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, 2.29, 2.27, 2.26 ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : 169.9, 169.21, 169.16, 168.8, 168.0 ( $C=O$ ), 151.2, 150.9, 150.8, $141.0,139.6,133.9,132.2$ (quat.), 143.8 ( $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), $131.0(\times 2)$,
129.6, 123.6, 123.1, 121.9 (x2), 120.9, 120.4, 119.6, 111.4 ( ArCH ), $117.9(\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 62.5(\mathrm{CH}), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 49.7\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, $26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right), 21.1(x 2), 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \mathrm{IR}: v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3302,3072$, 2967, 2940, 1760, 1676, 1648, 1602, 1506, 1438, 1414, 1368, 1302, 1258, 1190, 1156, 1120, 1014, 975, 909, 829, 794, 751, 722, 694, 635. $m / z(E S I+): 589.2200\left(M+H^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 589.2186 .
General procedure for the preparation of polyphenols 1d,f,g,h,j,k,l,m,n,o,p,q from the corresponding acetylated derivatives 2. The peracylated Ugi product was treated with 0.2 M MeONa in MeOH (freshly prepared by adding Na to dry MeOH ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. After stirring for 1 h (18 h for compound 15) at r.t., the mixture was treated with previously washed Amberlyst 15 acid resin until $\mathrm{pH}=4$. The resin was filtered off and the solution evaporated to dryness (with exception of compound $\mathbf{1 n}$ ). The resulting polyphenols were not fully characterized, but only examined at ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and HPLC in order to establish their degree of purity.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-Butyl-N-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylamide 1d. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6,9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) (Note: the 2 phenolic OH exchange with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the solvent giving a broad signal around 9 ppm$): 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.2, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, NH), 7.17 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 1.8$ ), $7.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ 8.2, 1.8), $6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.2, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.2)$, $6.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50-$ $3.25(\mathrm{mc}=3.38)\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 1.50-1.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}), 1.29$ $\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.20-0.95\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and CHH$), 0.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ 7.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $92 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-Benzyl- $N$-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-(4-

## hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(3,4-

dihydroxyphenyl)acrylamide 1f. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6,70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) (Note: the 2 phenolic OH exchange with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the solvent giving a very broad signal around 9 ppm$): 7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, NH ), 7.35 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.2, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.20-7.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCH})$, 6.95-6.75 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 6.73-6.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ and $\operatorname{ArCH}), 6.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 16.9, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 4.55(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, J 16.9, CHHPh), $1.24\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $97 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-N-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((4-hydroxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)benzamide 1g. $\delta_{H}(300$ MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d} 6,70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Note: the 3 phenolic OH exchange with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the solvent giving a very broad signal not visible in the spectrum): $9.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.8)$, 7.25-7.12 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.5), 6.81(2 \mathrm{H}$, broad d, J 7.2), $6.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0), 6.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7), 6.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.9), 6.21$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}$ ). HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $99 \%$.

## ( $R, S$ )-4-Hydroxy- $N$-(1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((4-

hydroxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)- N -phenylbenzamide $\mathbf{1 j}$. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6,30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) (Note: the 3 phenolic OH exchange with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the solvent giving a very broad signal not visible in the spectrum): $\delta 9.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$, 7.40 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.9$ ), 7.04 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7$ ), 6.99 ( 5 H, broad s), 6.93 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4$ ), 6.69 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.9$ ), $6.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4), 6.49(2 \mathrm{H}$,
d, J 8.7), $6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH})$. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $100 \%$.
( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{S}$ )-4-Hydroxy- N -(4-hydroxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-
(hydroxy)phenyl)-2-((4-hydroxyphenyl)amino)-2-
oxoethyl)benzamide $1 \mathbf{k} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d 6,70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 9.39$, 9.14, $9.03(3 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, 3$ broad s, OH), $9.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.08(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, J 8.7), 7.03 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4$ ), $7.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.2)$, 6.59 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.5$ ), 6.52 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.6$ ), 6.36 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.8$ ), 6.23 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $2.12\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 1.35\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $100 \%$.
(R,S)-(E)-N-(3-Hydroxybenzyl)-N-(1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((4-hydroxyphenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxyoxy-3methoxyphenyl)acrylamide $11 . \delta_{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, $\left.90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (Note: the 4 phenolic OH exchange with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the solvent giving a very broad signal at around 9 ppm$): 9.63(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, NH), 7.41 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7), 7.17$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.5$ ), 7.00-6.85 (3 H, m), 6.75 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.1$ ), 6.73-6.63 $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.55-6.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.79$ and $4.53\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}\right.$ syst., J 17.1, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.76\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $92 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-(2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)ethyl)-N-(1-(4-
hydroxyphenyl)-2-(methylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)acrylamide $1 \mathrm{~m} . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6,9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (Note: the 4 phenolic OH exchange with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the solvent giving a very broad signal at around 6 ppm$): 7.73(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s, NH), $7.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.21-7.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J} 8.2,1.9), 6.87-6.74(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.4)$, $6.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.64-3.40\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $2.66\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 4.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right), 2.63-2.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCHH})$, 2.18$2.03\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right)$. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $92 \%$.
(R,S)-(E)-N-benzyl-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-
oxoethyl)acrylamide 1n. After the treatment with the resin and evaporation, in this case the residue was further purified by chromatography (PE / AcOEt 6:4). $\delta_{H}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6, $\left.90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $9.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.45(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s, NH ), 7.42 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.21-6.99 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 6.94 (1 H, d, J 8.0), 6.84-6.66 (4 H, m), 6.70 (1 H, d, J 15.3, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.97 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.87, $4.55\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}\right.$ syst., J 16.7, $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ ), 3.78 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.27\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right) . \mathrm{HPLC}$ (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $99 \%$.
(R,S)-(E)-N-Benzyl-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-(methylamino)-2-oxoethyl)-acrylamide 10. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d 6,90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 9.09,9.00(2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, 2$ broad s, OH ), $7.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 7.21$7.02(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.1), 6.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 8.4), $6.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7), 6.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad signal, $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 6.04$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.85, 4.62 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ syst., J 17.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $3.77(3 \mathrm{H}$, s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $2.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 4.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right.$ ). HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $96 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-Benzyl-N-(2-((4-(2-(2-
hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy)phenyl)amino)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylamide 1p. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, $\left.90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 9.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$, 9.15, $9.01(2 \mathrm{x}$ $1 \mathrm{H}, 2$ broad $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), $7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.0$, $\operatorname{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.22-7.06(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad d, J 15.0,
$\operatorname{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 6.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 9.0), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.1), 6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ 8.4), $6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.90,4.63\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}\right.$ syst., J $\left.17.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $4.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 5.0, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.75\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J} 4.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.58-3.48 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $97.5 \%$.
( $R, S$ )-(E)-N-(3-hydroxybenzyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-
methoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-(methylamino)-2-
oxoethyl)acrylamide 1q. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Note: the 4 phenolic OH exchange with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contained in the solvent giving a very broad signal at around 9 ppm$): 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$, 7.39 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.11 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.1$ ), 7.00-6.87 (3 H, m), 6.75 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.1$ ), $6.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 8.7), 6.67-6.46(4 \mathrm{H}$, m), $6.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.76,4.53\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}\right.$ syst., J 17.1, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, $3.77\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 4.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. HPLC (see supplementary information) showed a purity of $99 \%$.

## Biophysical and Biochemical tests

## UV Spectroscopy

Synthetic polyphenols stock solutions were obtained by dissolving the compounds in $100 \%$ dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma) at given concentration ( $1.25-50 \mathrm{mM}$ ). Work solutions were prepared diluting the appropriate stock solution in PBS ( $150 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ) at $12.5-500 \mu \mathrm{M}$, in such a manner that each tube contained $1 \%$ of stock solution in DMSO. Solubility and turbidity of polyphenols in function of the concentration was determined by spectrophotometric measures using Shimadzu UV-2700 Spectrophotometer and reading the absorbance respectively at characteristic wavelength of each polyphenols and at $\lambda=405 \mathrm{~nm}$.
AB sample preparation
One milliliter of DMSO was added to 1 mg of lyophilized synthetic peptide (A 1 1-42, A $\beta$ pE3-42 AnaSpec), reaching a final concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. Aliquots of $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were lyophilized and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used. For all experiments, stock peptides were reconstituted as reported. ${ }^{54}$ The concentration of the peptide in the stock solution was estimated using a molar extinction coefficient at 214 nm , by Shimadzu UV-2700 Spectrophotometer. ${ }^{55}$
For the preparation of the working samples, stock solution of each peptide was divided in two or more aliquots. One was diluted to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in PBS containing 1\% (v/v) DMSO to have a reference sample, the others were diluted in PBS containing the appropriate quantity of polyphenols stock solution in DMSO in such a manner that each samples contains $1 \%$ of DMSO. Final pH was measured and eventually corrected at 7.4 with few $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of 1 M HCl .
Thioflavine T Fluorescence Spectroscopy
$A \beta$ peptides $(5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in presence /absence of polyphenols as previous described and analyzed in parallel. ThT fluorescence was followed in time during aggregation. For this purpose, $47.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\mathrm{A} \beta$ with and without test compounds, were mixed with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ThT $(400 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in a 3 mm path length fluorescence cuvette. ThT fluorescence was measured by Luminescence Spectrometer Perkin Elmer LS50B at excitation and emission wavelengths of 440 nm (slit width=5 nm ) and 482 nm (slit width $=10 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), respectively.
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
$A \beta$ peptides $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were separately co-incubated with single polyphenol at molar ratio 1:5 (AB:polyphenol) in sterile microtubes. To evaluate the morphology and the sizes of the species in the different samples, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each one were adsorbed for 5 min onto carbon coated 300 -mesh copper grids. The aggregates species were negatively stained for 1 min with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1 \%$ Uranyl Acetate. All air-dried specimens were examined with a Zeiss LEO 900 electron microscope (Zeiss, Stuttgart, Germany) operating at 80 kV . Images flattening and analysis was performed by ImageJ software.
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## Additional biophysical assays on polyphenols

## Solubility tests

The first biophysical analysis performed on the new polyphenols was the solubility in aqueous solution because the working condition is Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS) at pH 7.4 to mimic the physiological environment. Almost all compounds showed low solubility in a range from 25 to $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in aqueous solution except $\mathbf{1 g}, \mathbf{1 h}, \mathbf{1 j}$ and $\mathbf{1 q}$. To overcome this obstacle, we dissolved all samples in $100 \%$ dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). In this solvent new polyphenols result all fully soluble. To continue working in aqueous solution, DMSO samples were diluted in PBS at the desired concentration by keeping the $1 \%$ DMSO in the final solution. Together with the solubility analysis, the turbidity trend depending on the concentration of the samples was also investigated, in order to verify that the new polyphenols did not aggregate or form micelles as the concentration increases, precipitating in water solution.
In the following Figures, the blue solid line represents the absorbance of the samples at their characteristic wavelength over the concentration increase. The dashed red line shows the turbidity of the polyphenols at 405 nm over the concentration increase. For compounds $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ only the absorbance experiments were carried out.
(

(


Aggregation kinetic tests on some polyphenols


## Copies of 1H and 13C NMR spectra of new compounds
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## Copies of HPLC chromatograms of polyphenols 1a-q

Data File C: \HPCHEM $11 \backslash$ DATA $\backslash I I S S E Q \backslash A T 14 \_000 . D$
Sample Name: AT14
Colonna Pheny C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione:
AT14 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ Meoh), sequenza, flusso 0,34m1/m
inm, grad. $A=C H 3 C N-B=H 20$, 0 min $B=608$, $10 \mathrm{~min} B=0 \%$,

```
Injection Date : 5/16/2017 6:24:27 PM
Sample Name
Acq. Operator: ATI
Acq. Instrument : stanza306ne
Acq. Method :c:\HPCHEM\1 \METHODS\POLIFEN.M
Last changed : 5/16/2017 5:48:58 PM by Aeved
(modified after loading)
Mnalysis Method:C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\POLIFEN.M
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Seq. Line : } 13 \\
& \text { Location : Vial } \\
& \text { Inj: } 11
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Inj Volume : } 5 \mu \mathrm{l}
$$


4


Sorted By
Multiplier

Ditution : 1

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs

Signal 1: DAD1 A, Sig=220,4 Ref=450,100
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file

| $\underset{\ddagger}{\text { Peak }}$ | Ret Time | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\text { mAU* }{ }^{*}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\underset{8}{\text { Area }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.441 | вв | 0.1305 | 2993.46826 | 347.44159 | 99.6945 |
| 2 | 12.148 | ${ }^{\text {PB }}$ | 0.0737 | 9.17285 | 1.88715 | 0.3055 |
| tals : |  |  |  |  |  |  |

stanza306new 5/17/2017 9:35:44 AM Aeveo

Data File C: \hPCHEM 1 \DATA\LISA\FEF17_02.D
Colonna Phenyl c6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione: FEF17 fr9-18(conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ Meoh), flusso $0,34 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
Vinj=5ul, Temp. $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Term.on, Dad 326 nm , grad. $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}$ - $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 20$, $0 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=90 \%$, $20 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

Injection Date : 5/10/2017 3:07:04 PM
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Sample Name } & \text { : FEF17 fr9-18 } \\ \text { Acq. Operator } \\ \text { : AeVeo }\end{array}$
Acq. Instrument : stanza306new
Acq. Method Inj volume : $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$
Acq. Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\GRADACN.M
(modified after loading)
Analysis Method : C:\HPCHEM 1 IMETHODS $\backslash$ GRADACN.
Last changed
$:$
(modified after loading)



Sorted By
Multiplier
Dilution
Signal
1.0000

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs
Signal 1: DAD1 B, Sig=326,16 Ref=550,100

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Totals : } 2511.21908 \quad 431.11079
\end{aligned}
$$

Data File C: \HPCHEM\1\DATA\LISSEQ\GB028_00.D
Colonna Phenyl C6 150x3mm 3um con precolonna, Campione: GB-028 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ Meor), sequenza, flusso $0,34 \mathrm{ml}$ min, Vinj=5ul, Yemp.
330 nm , grad. $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$, $0 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=60 \%$, $10 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

| Injection Date | : 5/16/2017 2:40:19 PM | Seq. Line : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Name | : GB-028 | Location : Vial 5 |
| Acq. Operator | : Aeveo | Inj : |
| Acq. Instrument | : stanza306new | Inj Volume : 5 pl |
| Acq. Method | C: \hPCHEM\1 \METHODS $\backslash$ Poilfeen.M |  |
| Last changed | 5/16/2017 12:31:23 PM by AeVeo |  |
| Analysis Method | : C:\HPCHEM 11 \METHODS $\backslash$ POLIFEN.M |  |
| Last changed | 5/17/2017 8:26:04 AM by Reveo |  |


$\qquad$
-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
Sorted By
Multiplier
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Multiplier } & : \quad \text { Signa } \\ 1.0000\end{array}$

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDS

Signal 1: DAD1 D, Sig=330,16 Ref=360,100

| $\underset{\#}{\text { Peak }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{gathered}$ | Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Width } \\ {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}{ }^{*} s\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { : } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.851 | BB | 0.1590 | 39.08525 | 2.94181 | 2.5704 |
| 2 | 7.852 | BV | 0.0899 | 81.44060 | 13.59060 | 5.3559 |
| 3 | 8.147 | vB | 0.0883 | 1400.04663 | 239.05367 | 92.0737 |
| Total | s |  |  | 1520.57248 | 255.58608 |  |


Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione: FEF07 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ Meoh), sequenza, flusso 0, 34 n 330 nm , grad. $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 20$, 0 min $\mathrm{B}=60 \%$, $10 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& ============================================================== \\
& \text { Area Percent Report }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\text { Sorted By } & : & \text { Signal } \\
\text { Multiplier } & \vdots & 1.0000 \\
\text { Dillution } & \vdots & 1.0000 \\
\text { Use Multiplier \& } & \text { Dilution } & \text { Factor with }
\end{array}
$$

Signal 1: DAD1 D, Sig=330,16 Ref=360,100
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file


$$
\text { Totals : } \quad 1152.51221 \quad 180.00525
$$

Results obtained with enhanced integrator!

Data File C:\HPCHEM $\backslash 1 \backslash$ DATA $\backslash$ IISSEQ $\backslash$ FEF51_00.
Sample Name: FeF51
Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione: FEF51 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ MeOH), sequenza, flusso $0,34 \mathrm{ml} /$ 30 nm , grad. $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 20$, $0 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=60 \%$, $10 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\text { Injection Date }: 5 / 516 / 2017 & 4: 32: 17 \mathrm{PM} \\
\text { Sample Name } & : \text { FEF51 }
\end{array} \\
& \text { Sample Name : FEF51 } \\
& \text { Acq. Instrument : stanza306new } \\
& \text { Acq. Method : C:\hPCHEM\1\METHODS\POLIFEN.M Inj Volume : } 5 \mathrm{pl} \\
& \text { Last changed : C: } 5 / 16 \text { HPCHEM } 1 \text { METHODS } \backslash \text { POLIFEN.M } \\
& \text { Last changed : } 5 / 16 / 201712: 31: 23 \text { PM by Aeved }
\end{aligned}
$$



Sorted By : Signal
Multiplier : 1.000
Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTD.

Signal 1: DAD1 D, Sig=330,16 Ref=360,10
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{gathered}$ | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & \text { [min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU} * \mathrm{t}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & {[\mathrm{mAU}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { Area }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.439 | MF | 0.1247 | 836.88159 | 111.86374 | 96.8411 |
| 2 | 7.864 | FM | 0.2011 | 27.29815 | 2.26276 | 3.1589 |

Data File C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\LISSEQ\FEF57_00.D FEF57 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{MeOH}$ ), sequenza, flusso $0,34 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{m}$ Onm, grad. $A=C H 3 C N-B=H 20,0 \min B=60 \%, 10 \mathrm{~min} B=0 \%$

## $================================$ Injection Date $: 5 / 16 / 2017$ 2:12:17 PM

Sample Name : FEF57
AeVeo

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Seq. Line : } 4 \\
& \text { Location : Vial }
\end{aligned}
$$

Acq. Operator : AeveO
Acq. Instrument : stanza 06 new
Inj volume : 5
Acq. Method
: C: \hpChem\1\METHoDS \polifen.m
Last changed : 5/16/2017 12:31:23 pM by Aeved
Analysis Method: $\mathrm{C}: \backslash$ HPCHEM $\backslash 1 \backslash$ METHODS $\backslash$ POLIFEN.M
Last changed
I
5/17/2017 9:01:18 AM by AeVeo

$\qquad$
Area Percent Report
Sorted By

## Signal

Multiplier
1.0000
1.0000

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs

Signal 1: DAD1 B, Sig=254,16 Ref=500,100
Signal 1: DAD1 B, Sig=254,16 Ref=500,100 from rawdata file!
Signal has been modified after loading fremer

| $\underset{\#}{\text { Peak }} \underset{\#}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{gathered}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[m A U^{*} s\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { : } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.776 | BB | 0.1403 | 2679.42847 | 291.30542 | 99.3218 |
| 2 | 9.893 | Pb | 0.0748 | 18.29558 | 3.69103 | 0.6782 |
| Total | s : |  |  | 2697.72405 | 294.99645 |  |

Data File C:\hPCHEM\1\DATA\IISSEQ\FEF21_02.D
Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione: FEF21 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ MeOH), sequenza, flusso 0, $34 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{m}$ $3 \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, 0 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=90 \%, 15 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Sample Name } & \text { : FEF21 } \\
\text { Acq. Operator } & \text { : AeVeVe }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { Location : Vial } 2
$$

Acq. Instrument : stanza306new
Acq. Method : C: \HPCHEM 1 \METHODS $\backslash$ POLIFEN. Inj volume : $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$
Last changed :5/17/2017 9:46:06 AM by Aeveo
(modified after loading)
Analysis Method : C: \HPCHEM 1 \METHODS\POLIFEN.M
Last changed
:
5/17/2017 11:27:06 AM by AeVeO



| Sorted By | : | Signal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplier | : | 1.0000 |  |  |
| Dilution | : | 1.0000 |  |  |
| Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs |  |  |  |  |
| Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=254,16 Ref=500,100 |  |  |  |  |
| Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file! |  |  |  |  |
| Peak RetTime Type <br> * [min] | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> [mAU] | $\underset{\text { Area }}{\text { Ar }}$ |
| 111.033 VB | 0.0774 | 970.13867 | 190.45187 | 97.9454 |
| 213.679 мМ | 0.1411 | 20.35086 | 2.40455 | 2.0546 |
| Totals : |  | 990.48953192 .85643 |  |  |

Data File C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\LISSEQ\FEF20_00.D
Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione
FEF20 triturato (conc.:100ugr/ml MeoH), sequenza FEF20 triturato (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ MeOH), sequenza, flus
 $\mathrm{B}=0$ \%

```
Injection Date : 5/16/2017 7:20:29 pM
Sample Name
Sample Name
Acq. Operato
FEF20
AeVeO
```



```
Stanza306new Inn : Vial 1
IHPCHEM 131
Last changed : 5/16/2017 5:48:58 FM by Aeved
Analysis Method : \(\mathrm{C}: \backslash \mathrm{HPCHEM} \backslash 1 \backslash\) METHODS \(\backslash\) POLIFE
Last changed : 5/17/2017 9:46:06 AM by AeVeo
```




Sorted By
Multiplier
$\begin{array}{ll}: & \text { Signal } \\ : & 1.0000 \\ : & 1.000\end{array}$
Dilution
Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTD

Signal 1: DAD1 D, Sig=330,16 $\operatorname{Ref}=500,100$
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RetTime } \\ & {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{aligned}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~s}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \\ \hline \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6.730 | vB | 0.1041 | 2904.12012 | 422.79178 | 98.5112 |
| 2 | 9.256 | vB | 0.0918 | 28.66213 | 4.53398 | 0.9723 |
| 3 | 9.545 | BB | 0.0826 | 15.22732 | 2.71001 | 0.5165 |

Data File C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\LISSEQ\FEF59_00.D
Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione: FEF59 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ MeoH), sequenza, flusso $0,34 \mathrm{ml} /$
min, Vinj$=5 \mathrm{ul}$, Temp. $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Term. ON , Dad $220,254,300,3$
30 nm , grad. $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}$ - $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 20$, 0 min $\mathrm{B}=60 \%$, $10 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

| Injection Date | 5/16/2017 8:16:25 PM | Seq. Line : 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Name | EEF59 | Location: Vial ${ }^{\text {Inj }}{ }^{15}$ |
| Acq. Operator | AeVeo | Inj |
| Acq. Instrument | stanza306new | Inj Volume : $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Acq. Method | : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\POLIFEN.M |  |
| Last changed | : 5/16/2017 5:48:58 PM by Aeveo (modified after loading) |  |
| Analysis Method | C: \hPCHEM\1 \METHODS\POLIFEN.M |  |
| Last changed | 5/17/2017 9:46:06 AM by Aeveo |  |

Last changed : 5/17/2017 9:46:06 AM by AeVeo


Area Percent Report

Sorted By
Signal
Multiplier
Dilution
Dilution $\quad: \quad 1.0000$
Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs
ignal 1: DAD1 B, Sig=254,16 Ref=500 10
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak } \\ & \# \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RetTime } \\ & {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{aligned}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} s\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & \text { [mAU] } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Area }}{\substack{\text { A }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.743 | pb | 0.1396 | 3149.39502 | 344.53494 | 100.0000 |
| Total | s |  |  | 3149.39502 | 344.53494 |  |

Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione: RL19 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ Meoh), sequenza, flusso $0,34 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{m}$
in, Vinj=5ul, Temp.
0 nim, grad. $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$, Omin $\mathrm{B}=60 \%$, $10 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

```
==================================
Sample Name
RL19
Aeveo
```




```
Last changed : 5/16/2017 5:48:58 PM by AeVeO
(modified after loading)
Analysis Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\POLIFEN.M
Last changed : 5/17/2017 9:46:06 AM by AeVed
```



Area Percent Report

| Area Percent Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sorted By | : | Signal |  |  |
| Multiplier | : | 1.0000 |  |  |
| Dilution | : | 1.0000 |  |  |
| Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs |  |  |  |  |
| Signal 1: DAD1 B, Sig= $254,16 \mathrm{Ref}=500,100$ |  |  |  |  |
| Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file! |  |  |  |  |
| Peak RetTime Type | Width | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\text { mAU* }]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [maU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \hline 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 6.651 BB | 0.1050 | 1787.80994 | 257.55042 | 100.0000 |
| Totals : |  | 1787.80994 | 257.55042 |  |

Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm} 3$ um con precolonna, Campione: FEF97 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ MeOH), sequenza, flusso $0,34 \mathrm{ml} /$
$\mathrm{min}, ~ V i n j=5 u l, ~ T e m p . ~$
$25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Term.on, Dad $220,254,300,3$


| Injection Date | 5/16/2017 5:00:18 PM | Seq. Line : 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Name | Fer97 | Location : Vial |
| Acq. Operator | AeVeo | Inj : |
| Acq. Instrument | stanza306new | Inj Volume : 5 pl |
| Acc. Method | C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\poLifen.M |  |
| Last changed | 5/16/2017 12:31:23 pM by Aeveo |  |
| Analysis Method | C |  |
| HPCHEM 1 |  |  |
| METHODS $\backslash$ POLIFEN.M |  |  |
| Last changed | 5/17/2017 9:14:31 AM by Aeveo |  |


$\qquad$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Sorted By } & : & \text { Signal } \\ \text { Multiplier } & : & 1.0000\end{array}$
Multiplier : $\quad 1.000$
${ }_{\text {Dilution }}^{\text {Dilitiplier a }}$ \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs

Signal 1: DAD1 D, Sig=330,16 Ref=360,100
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| Peak <br> $\#$ <br> $\#$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RetTime } \\ & {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{[\text { min] }}{\substack{\text { Width }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & \text { [mAUU] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \stackrel{\text { and }}{ } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.818 | BB | 0.116 | 15.1659 | 1.57098 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 5.251 | PV | 0.1110 | 13.12027 | 1.40461 | 1.088 |
| 3 | 5.594 | vB | 0.1427 | 1112.28748 | 118.24020 | 92.279 |

Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione:
 $30 \mathrm{~nm}, \operatorname{grad} . \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 20,0 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=60 \%$, $10 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

```
Injection Date : 5/16/2017 12:48:16 FM
Sample Name
\(\begin{array}{llr}\text { Injection Date } & : 5 / 16 / 201712: 48: 16 \mathrm{PM} & \text { Seq. Line : } \\ \text { Sample Name } & \text { I FEF77 } & \text { Iocation : Via } \\ \text { Acq. Operator } & \text { : Aeveo } & \text { Inj : }\end{array}\)
Acq. Instrument : stanza306new \(\quad\) Inj Volume : 1
Acq. Method \(:\) C: \HPCHEM 1 \METHODS \(\backslash\) POLIFEN.M
Last
changed
\(: 5 / 16 / 2017\)
Last changed \(: 5 / 16 / 201712: 31: 23\) PM by AeVed
Analysis Method : \(:\) : CHPCHEM 12 Mer
Analysis Method: C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS \POLIFEN. 1
```


$\qquad$
Sorted By

## Signal

Multiplier
1.0000

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs

Signal 1: DAD1 D, Sig=330, 16 Ref=360, 100
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{[\mathrm{min}]}{\mathrm{RetTime}}$ |  | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> [mAU] | $\stackrel{\text { Area }}{\stackrel{\text { A. }}{8}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.473 | BB | 0.1222 | 1101.45911 | 136.36723 | 91.8820 |
| 2 | 7.714 | BB | 0.0909 | 90.25157 | 14.85730 | 7.5286 |
| 3 | 8.071 | BV | 0.0836 | 7.06468 | 1.21925 | 0.5893 |
| Totals | s |  |  | 1198.77535 | 152.44378 |  |


Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione: GB-017 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ Meoh ), sequenza, flusso 0, 34m.
$/ \mathrm{min}$, Vinj=5ul, Temp. $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Tern.on, Dad $220,254,300$, 330 nm , grad. $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 20$, $0 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=60 \%$, $10 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

| Injection Date | : 5/16/2017 8:44:25 PM | Seq. Line : 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Name | : GB-017 | Location : Vial 16 |
| Acq. operator | : Aeveo | Inj : 1 |
| Acq. Instrument | : stanza306new | Inj Volume : $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Acq. Method | : C:\HPCHEM 1 |  |
| METHODS $\$ POLIfen.m &  \hline Last changed & : 5/16/2017 5:48:58 pM by AeVeo (modified after loading) &  \hline Analysis Method & : C:\HPCHEM 1 \METHODS $\backslash$ POLIFEN.M |  |  |
| Last changed | : 5/17/2017 9:46:06 AM by Aeveo |  |

Last changed


Area Percent Report

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sorted By : Signal } \\
& \text { Multiplier : } 1.0000 \\
& \text { Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs } \\
& \text { ignal 1: DADI D, Sig=330,16 Ref=500,100 } \\
& \text { Signal has been Sig=330, } 16 \text { Ref=500, } 100 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Data File C: \HPCHEM\1\DATA\LISSEQ\GB025_00.D
Colonna Phenyl c6 150x3mm 3um con precolonna, Campione: GB-025 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ Meoh), sequenza, flusso $0,34 \mathrm{ml}$


| Injection Date | 5/16/2017 4:04:15 PM | Seq. Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Name | : GB-025 | Location : Vial 7 |
| Acq. Operator | : AeVeo | Inj : 1 |
| Acq. Instrument | : stanza306new | Inj Volume : $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Acq. Method | : C: \HPCHEM I $_{\text {\METHODS }}$ (POLIFEN.M |  |
| Last changed | : 5/16/2017 12:31:23 pm by Aeveo |  |
| Analysis Method | : C: \HPCHEM $\ 1$ \METHODS\POLIFEN.M |  |
| Last changed | : 5/17/2017 9:14:31 AM by Aeveo |  |




Sorted By
Multiplier

## Signal

Multiplier

1.0000

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs
Signal 1: DADI D, Sig=330,16 Ref $=360,100$
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RetTime } \\ & {[\text { [min] }} \end{aligned}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[m A U * s]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.968 | BB | 0.1242 | 1476.92041 | 179.12212 | 95.7654 |
| 2 | 8.067 | vB | 0.0910 | 53.76893 | 8.96376 | 3.4864 |
| 3 | 9.152 | vv | 0.0785 | 11.53749 | 2.26333 | 0.7481 |
| Totals | s : |  |  | 1542.22683 | 190.34921 |  |

Data File C: \HPCHEM<br>\DATA\LISSEQ\GB018_00.D
Colonna Phenyl C6 150x3mm 3um con precolonna, Campione: GB-018 (conc.: $100 \mathrm{ugr} / \mathrm{ml}$ MeoH), sequenza, flusso $0,34 \mathrm{~m}$ 330 nm, grad. $A=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$, 0 min $\mathrm{B}=60 \%$, $10 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{~B}=0 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ample Name } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { GB-01 } \\
\text { Aeveo }
\end{array} \\
& \text { Acq. Instrument : stanza } 306 \text { new } \\
& \text { Acq. Method }: \mathrm{C}: \backslash \mathrm{HPCHEM} \backslash 1 \text { METHODS } \backslash \text { POLIFEN.M } \quad \text { Inj Volume : } \begin{array}{c}
\text { Inj } \\
5
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Last changed $: 5 / 16 / 2017$ 12:31:23 PM by Aeveo
Analysis Method: $\mathrm{C}:$ \HPCHEM 1 \METHODS $\backslash$ POLIFEN.M
Last changed : 5/17/2017 9:14:31 AM by Aeve0


Area Percent Report
Area Percent Report

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplier | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Dilution | $:$ | 1.0000 |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs
Signal 1: DAD1 D, Sig=330,16 Ref $=360,100$
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | RetTime [min] | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.076 | PB | 0.0922 | 1076.16138 | 176.38182 | 97.5291 |
| 2 | 8.063 | PB | 0.0850 | 14.37284 | 2.53977 | 1.3026 |
| 3 | 8.574 | vB | 0.0861 | 12.89170 | 2.21003 | 1.1683 |
| Tota | s |  |  | 1103.42592 | 181.13162 |  |

Colonna Phenyl C6 $150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ 3um con precolonna, Campione:

onm, grad. $A=C H 3 C N-B=H 20$, 0 min $B=60 \%$, 10 min $B=0 \%$
Injection Date : 5/16/2017 1:44:17 PM
ample Name
Aeveo

> Seq. Line : ${ }^{3}$
> Iocation: Vial 3
cq. Instrument: stanza306new
Inj Volume : 5 p
Acq. Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS $\backslash P O L I f E N . M$
Last changed : 5/16/2017 12:31:23 PM by AeVe
Analysis Method : C:\HPCHEM\I\METHODS\POLIFEN.M
ast changed : 5/17/2017 8:26:04 AM by AeVed



Area Percent Report

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplier | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Dilution | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Use Multiplier | \& | Dilution |
| Factor | with | ISTD |

Signal 1: DAD1 D, Sig=330,16 Ref=360,100

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \quad \# \end{gathered}$ | RetTime <br> [min] | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { \% } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.214 | BB | 0.1171 | 1499.56262 | 192.03836 | 99.3795 |
| 2 | 6.610 | PB | 0.1039 | 9.36271 | 1.36681 | 0.6205 |
| otal |  |  |  | 1508.92 | 193.40517 |  |

Results obtained with enhanced integrator!
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