# Enantioseparation of planar chiral ferrocenes on cellulose-

# <sup>2</sup> based chiral stationary phases: benzoate versus carbamate

## 3 pendant groups

- 4 Roberto Dallocchio,<sup>1,‡</sup> Alessandro Dessì,<sup>1,‡</sup> Barbara Sechi,<sup>1,‡</sup> Bezhan Chankvetadze,<sup>2</sup>
- 5 Giorgi Jibuti,<sup>2</sup> Sergio Cossu,<sup>3</sup> Victor Mamane,<sup>4,\*</sup> and Paola Peluso<sup>1,\*</sup>
- 6
- <sup>1</sup> Enantioselective Chromatography and Molecular Recognition Unit, Istituto di Chimica Biomolecolare
   ICB CNR, Sede secondaria di Sassari, Sassari, Italy.
- <sup>9</sup> Institute of Physical and Analytical Chemistry, School of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State
- 10 University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- <sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Molecolari e Nanosistemi, Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Mestre Venezia,
   Italy.
- <sup>4</sup> Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, UMR 7177, CNRS-Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France.
- 14
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work
- 16 \*Correspondence should be addressed to the following authors:
- 17 Dr. Paola Peluso
- 18 Istituto di Chimica Biomolecolare, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche
- 19 Traversa La Crucca 3, Li Punti, 07100 Sassari, Italy
- 20 paola.peluso@cnr.it
- 21
- 22 Dr. Victor Mamane
- Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and Université de
   Strasbourg
- 25 1 rue Blaise Pascal, 67008 Strasbourg Cedex, France
- 26 <u>vmamane@unistra.fr</u>
- 27
- 28 Keywords: Cellulose-based chiral stationary phases / enantiomer elution order /
- 29 enantioseparation / molecular dynamics / planar chiral ferrocenes
- 30

Abbreviations: CDMPC, cellulose *tris*(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate); CMB,

- 32 cellulose *tris*(4-methylbenzoate); **CSP**, chiral stationary phase; **EEO**, enantiomer
- elution order; **HB**, hydrogen bond; **MD**, molecular dynamics; **MeOH**, methanol; **MP**,
- mobile phase; **2-PrOH**, 2-propanol; *V*, electrostatic potential; *V*<sub>s</sub>, electrostatic potential

- 35 mapped on electron density isosurfaces; V<sub>s,max</sub>, electrostatic potential maximum;
- <sup>36</sup> *V*<sub>s,min</sub>, electrostatic potential minimum; vdW, van der Waals; XB, halogen bond

### 37 Abstract

In this study, the enantioseparation of fourteen planar chiral ferrocenes containing 38 halogen atoms, and methyl, iodoethynyl, phenyl and 2-naphthyl groups, as 39 substituents, was explored with a cellulose tris(4-methylbenzoate) (CMB)-based chiral 40 column under multimodal elution conditions. n-Hexane/2-propanol (2-PrOH) 95:5 v/v, 41 pure methanol (MeOH), and MeOH/water 90:10 v/v were used as mobile phases 42 (MPs). With CMB, baseline enantioseparations were achieved for nine analytes with 43 separation factors ( $\alpha$ ) ranging from 1.24 to 1.77, whereas only three analytes could be 44 enantioseparated with 1.14 ≤ α ≤ 1.51 on а cellulose tris(3,5-45 dimethylphenylcarbamate) (CDMPC)-based column, used as a reference for 46 comparison, under the same elution conditions. Pendant group-dependent reversal of 47 the enantiomer elution order (EEO) was observed in several cases by changing CMB 48 to CDMPC. The impact of analyte and CSP structure, and MP polarity on the 49 enantioseparation was evaluated. The two cellulose-based CSPs featured by different 50 pendant groups were also compared in terms of thermodynamics. For this purpose, 51 entropy  $(\Delta\Delta S^{\circ})$ and free energy  $(\Delta\Delta G^{\circ})$ enthalpy  $(\Delta\Delta H^{\circ}),$ differences. 52 isoenantioselective temperatures ( $T_{iso}$ ) and enthalpy/entropy ratios (Q), associated 53 with the enantioseparations, were derived from van't Hoff plots by using *n*-hexane/2-54 propanol 95:5 v/v and methanol/water 90:10 v/v as MPs. With the aim to disclose the 55 functions of the different substituents in mechanisms and noncovalent interactions 56 underlying analyte-selector complex formation at molecular level, electrostatic 57 potential (V) analysis and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were used as 58 computational techniques. On this basis, enantioseparations and related mechanisms 59 were investigated by integrating theoretical and experimental data. 60

## 61 **1** Introduction

The interest of enantioseparation science toward chiral ferrocenes containing only a chiral plane as stereogenic unit is still in its infancy. Indeed, after the first chromatographic enantioseparations dating back to the 1980s [1,2], the most systematic analytical studies on the enantioseparation of planar chiral ferrocenes by HPLC [3-7], supercritical fluid chromatography [8], and CE [7] were published very recently. On one hand, this renewed interest toward planar chiral ferrocene

enantioseparations may be related to the growing attention of scientists toward this 68 class of metallocenes for applications in fields like asymmetric synthesis [9], medicinal 69 chemistry [10], chiroptical spectroscopy [11], and electrochemistry [12]. In this regard, 70 it is worth mentioning that asymmetric synthesis procedures are not always able to 71 provide enriched enantiomers with satisfactory enantiomeric excesses [13]. Thus, in 72 these cases, the availability of efficient enantioseparation methods is essential for the 73 development of the field, accessing pure or enriched enantiomers. This is particularly 74 true for halogenated planar chiral ferrocenes given that they are versatile and valuable 75 intermediates to access chiral ferrocenes with various functionalities [14-16]. On the 76 other hand, studies on the enantioseparation of new chiral compounds may enable to 77 acquire information on unusual and new chiral recognition mechanisms and related 78 noncovalent interactions [5,6]. 79

Although a few enantioseparations of planar chiral ferrocenes were performed by 80 using CD-based [2,3] and brush-type [17] chiral columns, polysaccharide-based chiral 81 stationary phases (CSPs) proved to be versatile platforms for the enantioseparation 82 of ferrocene derivatives with planar chirality [13]. Indeed, despite the limited number 83 of analytical studies performed in this field, over time enantioselective HPLC with 84 polysaccharide-based chiral columns has been widely used by organic chemists to 85 determine the enantiomeric excesses of planar chiral ferrocenes prepared by 86 asymmetric synthesis procedures [13]. For this purpose, methylated, chlorinated and 87 methylchlorinated polysaccharide carbamate-based CSPs were used in most cases 88 [13], whereas cellulose benzoate-based chiral columns were exploited for a limited 89 of [8,18-20]. However, number enantioseparations the cellulose tris(4-90 methylbenzoate) (CMB), as chiral selector, proved to be useful for the 91 enantioseparation of planar chiral ferrocenes containing aromatic hydrocarbon 92 frameworks [8,19]. 93

Coated cellulose tribenzoate-based CSPs were developed in 1984 by the Okamoto group [21] and Ichida et al. [22]. In particular, CMB containing a methyl group, as electron-donor substituent of the phenyl ring, has shown high chiral recognition ability [23]. The versatility of this polymeric selector toward a wide range of racemates [24,25] is likely due to the high electron charge density of the carbonyl groups of the benzoate derivatives which is stabilized by the methyl substituent on the phenyl rings through an inductive effect. Coated CMB-based chiral columns are commercially available
 under the trade names Chiralcel OJ (Daicel) and Lux Cellulose-3 (Phenomenex).

In recent studies performed by our groups, amylose-based selectors showed better 102 performances compared to cellulose carbamate-based selectors for the 103 enantioseparations of planar chiral ferrocenes 1-14 (Fig. 1), and only 3, 4, and 5 could 104 be enantioseparated on cellulose *tris*(3,5-dimethylphenyl)carbamate (CDMPC) by 105 using *n*-hexane/2-propanol (2-PrOH) 95:5 v/v (3, 4) and methanol (MeOH)/water 90:10 106 v/v (5) as mobile phases (MPs) [4,5]. Moreover, amylose-based CSPs exhibited poor 107 enantioseparation capability under normal phase elution conditions toward 108 halogenated planar chiral ferrocenes which, rather, were enantioseparated better by 109 using MeOH-containing MPs. Given the aromatic character of analytes 1-14, the 110 performances of CMB deserved to be explored with the aim to evaluate a) the 111 versatility of this chiral selector towards the enantioseparation of chiral ferrocenes 1-112 14, b) the impact of changing the pendant group (benzoate vs carbamate) of cellulose-113 based CSPs on these enantioseparations, c) if halogen bond (XB)-based 114 enantioseparation could be identified. 115

On this basis, we reported herein the results of a systematic study on the HPLC 116 enantioseparation of 1,2- and 1,3-disubstituted ferrocenes 1-14 with Lux Cellulose-3, 117 as a CMB-based chiral column, and the Lux Cellulose-1, containing CDMPC, as 118 reference for comparison (Supporting Information, Table S1), under multimodal elution 119 conditions. The effect of temperature on the enantioseparations was considered, and 120 thermodynamic quantities associated with the enantioseparations of ferrocenes 1-9 121 were derived from van't Hoff plots. In addition, the possible recognition mechanisms 122 accounting for the differences in terms of enantioseparation capability of the two 123 polymeric selectors were investigated through a) electrostatic potential (V) analysis 124 [26,27] by mapping V values associated with the main interaction sites of selectors 125 and of compounds 1-14 on electron density isosurfaces ( $V_{\rm S}$ ), and b) molecular 126 dynamic (MD) simulations [28,29], virtually exploring the enantioseparation of 127 ferrocene 3, as test probe, with both CMB and CDMPC. 128

### 129 **2** Materials and methods

#### 130 2.1 Chemicals

Compounds **1-14** were prepared and characterized as previously reported [15,16,30,31]. HPLC grade *n*-hexane, ethanol, MeOH, 2-PrOH, ACN, and water were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany).

### 134 **2.2 Chromatography**

An Agilent Technologies (Waldbronn, Germany) 1100 Series HPLC system (high-135 pressure binary gradient system, a diode-array detector operating at multiple 136 wavelengths (220, 254, 280, 360 nm), and a programmable autosampler with a 20 µl 137 loop) was employed. Data acquisition and analyses were carried out with Agilent 138 Technologies ChemStation Version B.04.03 chromatographic data software. The UV 139 absorbance is reported as milliabsorbance units (mAU). Lux Cellulose-1 (CDMPC) 140 and Lux Cellulose-3 (CMB), (5 µm) (Phenomenex Inc., Torrance, CA, USA) 141 (Supporting Information, Table S1), were used as chiral columns ( $250 \times 4.6$  mm). 142 Analyses were performed in isocratic mode at 25 °C if not indicated otherwise. The 143 flow rate was set at 0.8 ml/min. For compounds 1-6, and 9, the enantiomer elution 144 order (EEO) was determined by injecting enantiomers of known absolute configuration 145 [5,16]. For compounds 7, 8, and 10-14, the relative EEOs were assigned by injecting 146 pure enantiomers of unknown absolute configuration which are denoted as X<sub>compound</sub> 147 number and Y<sub>compound number</sub>. The van't Hoff experiments were conducted at 5, 10, 15, 20, 148 25, 30, 35, and 40 °C by using a thermostat jacket equipped with a RE104 LAUDA 149 circulating water-bath (Lauda, Königshofen, Germany) (resolution 0.1 °C; accuracy 150  $\pm 0.4$  °C; temperature control  $\pm 0.02$  °C). When the temperature was changed, the 151 column was allowed to equilibrate for 1 h before injecting the samples. 152 Thermodynamic parameters were derived from the slopes and the intercepts of the 153 van't Hoff plots by linear regression analysis (see Supporting Information for details). 154 Statgraphics Centurion 18 (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, VA, USA) was 155 used for all linear regression analyses. 156

#### 157 2.3 Computations

<sup>158</sup> *V* extrema (maxima and minima) on the molecular electron density isosurfaces ( $V_{S,max}$ <sup>159</sup> and  $V_{S,min}$ ) (au, electrons/bohr) were calculated by using Gaussian 09 (Wallingford, 160 CT 06492 USA) [32], at the density functional theory level of theory using the B3LYP 161 functional and the def2-TZVPP basis set. Search for the exact location of  $V_{S,max}$  and 162  $V_{S,min}$  was made through the Multiwfn code [33] and through its module enabling 163 quantitative analyses of molecular surfaces (isovalue 0.002 au) [34]. The .wfn files 164 were obtained through the Gaussian 09 package. Details for MD are reported in the 165 Supporting Information file.

### **166 3 Results and discussion**

Along with the fact that the number and the position of the methyl groups featuring the 167 pendant groups may also influence binding and enantiorecognition capability of the 168 169 selector, the main difference between CDMPC (Fig. 2A) and CMB (Fig. 2B) is the absence of the amidic hydrogens (Fig. 2A, blue regions) in the benzoate-based 170 selector. This feature has consequences at both intra- and intermolecular levels. 171 Indeed, the pendant groups of the CMB contain exclusively carbonyl oxygens as 172 hydrogen bond (HB) acceptors; intramolecular HBs stabilizing the highly-ordered 173 structure of the polymer are thus not possible in this selector due to the lack of the 174 amidic hydrogens, as HB donor counterpart. As a result, lower stability of the CMB 175 compared to the phenylcarbamate derivative has been reported, and the chiral 176 recognition properties of CMB are more influenced by the conditions used for the 177 preparation of the packing material [21,35-37]. A comparison between computed 178 nonameric (9-mer) models representing CDMPC and CMB (Fig. 2) shows that the 179 benzoate-based polymer presents slightly smaller cavities than CDMPC, although 180 they are reasonably more flexible for conformational adjustment due to the absence 181 of intramolecular HBs featuring the structure. 182

At the intermolecular level, CMB is unable to behave as HB donor and, consequently, 183 to form HBs with analytes having properties as HB acceptors. The electron charge 184 density at the carbonyl oxygens of the CMB is expected to be higher compared to the 185 corresponding sites of the CDMPC. To quantify this feature, the V<sub>s</sub> on both carbamate 186 and benzoate pendant groups was computed and compared (Supporting Information, 187 Table S2). The  $V_{S,min}$  value associated with the carbonyl oxygens is actually lower for 188 the CMB compared to the CDMPC, confirming the superiority of the benzoate-based 189 selector as HB acceptor. Moreover, a higher dipole associated to the pendant group 190 was calculated for CMB (2.15 debye) compared to the CDMPC (2.04 debye). Thus, 191

<sup>192</sup>  $\pi$ - $\pi$  and dipole-dipole interactions as well as HBs with analytes having HB donor <sup>193</sup> properties are the main interactions which may underlie analyte-selector contact on <sup>194</sup> the CMB [38-40]. Due to their electronic properties, the carbonyl oxygens of the CMB <sup>195</sup> may serve as XB acceptors toward analytes containing halogen atoms with enhanced <sup>196</sup> electrophilic properties. It is worth mentioning that this possible function of the CMB <sup>197</sup> was unexplored so far.

Analytes 1-14 feature halogen atoms (1-3 and 7-14), methyl (4) and aromatic (5.6) 198 groups as substituents of 1,2- and 1,3-disubstituted ferrocene scaffolds. The 199 recognition site pattern of these compounds was explored by V analysis (Supporting 200 Information, Tables S3 and S4) [4,5]. The local electron charge density of specific 201 molecular regions of the analytes was determined in terms of positive and negative  $V_{\rm S}$ 202 which, in turn, may be associated with electrophilic and nucleophilic sites, respectively. 203 The triple bond  $\pi$ -cloud may function as HB acceptor with the CDMPC, but not with 204 the CMB. Moreover, the presence of the triple bond contributes to better define the 205 stereochemical differences between the enantiomers of compounds 1-9 compared to 206 compounds **10-14**. As a consequence, in the latter series the steric similarity of the 207 halogen substituents may limit the differentiation of the two enantiomers (Table S3). 208 In particular, compounds 5 (R = Ph) and 6 (R = 2-naphthyl) present extended  $\pi$ -209 electronic clouds involving the triple bond, the cyclopentadienyl ring, and the aromatic 210 substituent. This type of electronic structure may be prone to exert  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions, 211 and also offers better possibility for filling hydrophobic cavities compared to flat aryl 212 and heteroaryl rings. All halogen atoms featuring compounds 1-14 show electrophilic 213  $\sigma$ -hole regions on the elongation of the C-X (X = Cl, Br, I) which, in principle, may 214 participate in XBs as XB donors (I > Br > CI) with the carbonyl oxygens of the CSPs 215 functioning as XB acceptors. In this regard, it is worth mentioning that the triple bond, 216 exerting an electron-withdrawing effect on the iodine, activates the halogen as 217 electrophile. Consequently the  $V_{s,max}$  associated to the iodine is more positive for 218 compounds 1-9 compared to compounds 10-14. Moreover, halogens may serve a) as 219 HB and XB acceptors (I < Br < CI < F) through the region of higher electron density, 220 which forms a belt orthogonal to the C-X covalent bond, b) as hydrophobic centres (I 221 > Br > Cl > F), and c) as bulky groups participating in repulsive interactions, in 222 particular the heavy halogens such as bromine and iodine. 223

Thus, in principle, several types of noncovalent interactions may occur between selector and selectand. In this frame, MP polarity has a pivotal role to finely modulate analyte-selector interaction through selective solvent-adsorption phenomena and by participating in the solvation shells of all the interacting partners. In addition, the solvent components of the MP can impact the overall structure and size of the chiral grooves within the polymeric network. On this basis, the effect of MP on the enantioseparations was evaluated under multimodal elution conditions.

#### 231 **3.1 Chromatographic screening**

Three chromatographic systems generated by the combination of the Lux Cellulose-3 232 with *n*-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v, pure MeOH, and MeOH/water 90:10 v/v as MPs, were 233 evaluated and characterized by k (Supporting Information, Figs. S1 and S2) and  $\alpha$ 234 values (Fig. 3) toward ferrocenes 1-14. The chromatographic results obtained at 25 235 °C were compared with the enantioseparation outcomes previously reported for this 236 family of chiral ferrocenes with Lux Cellulose-1, under the same elution conditions [4,5] 237 (Supporting Information, Tables S5-S18). Whereas only 3, 4, and 5 could be 238 enantioseparated on Lux Cellulose-1 with  $1.14 \le \alpha \le 1.51$ , baseline enantioseparations 239 were obtained for compounds **2-9** on Lux Cellulose-3, with  $\alpha$  values ranging from 1.27 240 to 1.77. In particular, compounds 2-4 (R = Cl, Br, and Me, respectively), 7 (R = F) and 241 8 (R = CI) could be enantioseparated by using all three elution modes, 6 (R = 2-242 naphthyl) and 9 (R = Br) with MeOH and aqueous MeOH, whereas ferrocene 5 (R =243 Ph) under normal phase conditions exclusively. The highest baseline 244 enantioseparation was obtained for ferrocene 3 (R = Br) with MeOH/water 90:10 v/v 245  $(\alpha = 1.77)$ . Otherwise, compounds 1 (R = F) and 11-14 were only partially separated, 246 and compound **10** (R = F) was not separated under all elution conditions. Evaluating 247 the impact of the elution mode on the enantioseparations, selectivity factors increased 248 following the order *n*-hexane/2-PrOH  $\leq$  MeOH < MeOH/water for ferrocenes 1-4, 6-9, 249 and **11-14**, whereas only ferrocene **5** (R = Ph) showed the opposite trend. Retention 250 of the first eluted enantiomer increased following the order a) MeOH < n-hexane/2-251 PrOH < MeOH/water for ferrocenes 4-6 and 8-13, b) MeOH < MeOH/water < n-252 hexane/2-PrOH for 1-3 and 7, and *c*) *n*-hexane/2-PrOH < MeOH < MeOH/water for 253 14. Interestingly, the impact of MP on retention of the second eluted enantiomer 254 followed the same trend in all cases with the exception of derivative 5 (R = Ph) (MeOH 255 < MeOH/water < n-hexane/2-PrOH). The peculiarity of ferrocene 5 concerning the 256

impact of MP on retention and selectivity disclosed the presence of a distinctive 257 mechanism underlying binding and enantioselective recognition of this analyte on the 258 CMB. As confirmation of this hypothesis, a reversal of EEO by changing 5 (R-S) to the 259 structurally related 6 (S-R) as well as an opposite behaviour of the two compounds by 260 changing the MP from *n*-hexane/2-PrOH ( $\alpha$  (**5**) >  $\alpha$  (**6**)) to MeOH/water 90:10 ( $\alpha$  (**5**) < 261  $\alpha$  (6)) could be observed (Supporting Information, Fig. S5). This trend may suggest 262 that the Ph substituent of **5** participates more efficiently in  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions than the 263 larger 2-naphthyl substituent (6) which is more prone to fill the hydrophobic cavity of 264 the CSP with MeOH-containing MPs. On the other hand, for ferrocenes 4-6, the impact 265 of the distinctive substituent on the enantioseparation depended on the elution mode. 266 Thus, whereas under normal phase conditions selectivity factors increased following 267 the order 2-naphthyl < Me < Ph, a different trend could be observed with MeOH and 268 aqueous MeOH (Ph < 2-naphthyl < Me). 269

Given that temperature may impact enantioseparation, it was considered as a variable 270 to optimize the separation [41-43], and the dependence of the enantioseparation on 271 the temperature was also explored. On this basis, baseline enantioseparations could 272 also be achieved for compound 9 with the mixture n-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v at 5 °C 273  $(\alpha_{25^{\circ}C} = 1.16 \rightarrow \alpha_{5^{\circ}C} = 1.21)$ . With MeOH/water 90:10 v/v, baseline enantioseparation 274 was obtained for compounds 1 ( $\alpha_{25^\circ C} = 1.17 \rightarrow \alpha_{5^\circ C} = 1.24$ ) and 5 ( $\alpha_{25^\circ C} = 1.08 \rightarrow \alpha_{5^\circ C}$ 275 = 1.26) at 5 and 10°C, respectively. Enantioseparation was also improved for 13 under 276 normal phase at 5°C. Nevertheless, baseline enantioseparation was not obtained in 277 this case. 278

Concerning the impact of analyte structures on the enantioseparation with the Lux 279 Cellulose-3, as expected the enantioseparability of dihalogenated derivatives 10-14 280 was in general lower compared to the iodoethynyl substituted derivatives 1-9. It is 281 worth mentioning that for compounds 10-14 the use of other MPs such as n-hexane/2-282 PrOH/MeOH, *n*-hexane/ethanol, *n*-hexane/ethanol/MeOH with various concentrations 283 of alcoholic additives, ACN, aqueous ACN and MeOH/water 80:20 did not allow for 284 improving their enantioseparation (chromatographic data are not reported). As 285 mentioned above, steric and electronic factors could explain this trend that was also 286 observed by using the Lux Cellulose-1 as chiral column (Fig. 3). Retention of the first 287 and the second eluted enantiomers was also higher for compounds 1-9 compared to 288 the series **10-14** (Supporting Information, Figs. S1 and S2). Concerning the impact of 289

the substitution pattern (1,2 vs 1,3), halogen dependent trends were observed for 290 compounds 1-9. Indeed, 1,2-disubstituted ferrocene 1 showed selectivity factors (1.10 291  $\leq \alpha_{25^{\circ}C} \leq 1.17$ ) lower than those of 1,3-disubstituted compound **7** (1.44  $\leq \alpha_{25^{\circ}C} \leq 1.50$ ), 292 both compounds featuring R = F as substituent. Otherwise, the opposite trend was 293 observed for R = CI, Br, so that compounds 8 and 9 showed lower selectivity factors 294 compared to ferrocenes 2 and 3. These results could be reasonably due to the balance 295 between two different effects: a) in the series 7-9 the substituents are sterically more 296 available to interact with the selector, whereas in the 1-3 series intramolecular contact 297 between the electronic clouds of close substituents may reduce their availability for 298 intermolecular interactions; b) for the larger ferrocenes 7-9, the impact of halogen size 299 (Cl and Br) may be detrimental for the enantioselective recognition in the chiral cavities 300 of the CMB. As a result, evaluating the impact of the substituents for the halogenated 301 series 1-3 and 7-9, selectivity factors increased following opposite orders, F < Cl < Br 302 and Br < Cl < F, respectively, under all elution modes. 303

A different trend was observed for the small compounds **10** / **13** (R = F) and **11** / **14** (R = Cl), and in both cases the 1,2-disubstituted derivatives provided lower  $\alpha$ compared to the 1,3-disubstituted series.

The EEO was *R*-*S* in almost all cases for compounds **1-6** and **9**, the elution sequence being *S*-*R* only for **4** and **6**. Actually, for **4** the EEO reversal is not substantial but rather due to a change of group priority on the basis of the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog rules. No solvent-dependent EEO reversal was observed.

#### 311 3.2 Comparison of Lux Cellulose-3 and Lux Cellulose-1

For the enantioseparation of compounds 1-14, Lux Cellulose-3 showed to be superior 312 compared to Lux Cellulose-1 in almost all cases (Fig. 3). Among the 42 313 enantioseparations considered in this study (14 analytes x 3 elution modes), Lux 314 Cellulose-1 showed better selectivity only in six cases, for compounds 4 and 10-12 315 under normal phase elution conditions, and for 5 and 11 with aqueous MeOH. The 316 impact of solvent was very different for the two chiral columns. In particular, whereas 317 the use of MeOH, as a MP, had a beneficial effect on enantioseparation with Lux 318 Cellulose-3, this solvent was detrimental for the enantioseparation with Lux Cellulose-319 1, and a drop of selectivity could be observed in this case for almost all compounds. 320 In some cases, the halogen substituent of compounds 1-3 and 7-9 differently impacted 321 322 the enantioseparation with the two columns (Supporting Information, Figs. S3 and S4):

a) for compounds 1-3, whereas the enantioselectivity increased following the order F 323 < CI < Br under normal phase (Fig. S3b) and aqueous MeOH (Fig. S3d) elution 324 conditions on Lux Cellulose-3, and with aqueous MeOH on Lux Cellulose-1 (Fig. S3c), 325 the  $\alpha$  values increased following the order CI < F < Br on the CDMPC-based column 326 with the mixture *n*-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v (Fig. S3a); b) for compounds 7-9, the 327 enantioselectivity increased following the order Br < Cl < F under normal phase (Fig. 328 S4b) and aqueous MeOH (Fig. S4d) elution conditions on Lux Cellulose-3. Otherwise, 329 on Lux Cellulose-1 separation factors increased following the orders F < Cl < Br (Fig. 330 S4a) and F,Br < CI (Fig. S4c) with the mixture *n*-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v and aqueous 331 MeOH, respectively. These different halogen dependent trends may derive from the 332 interplay of different factors: a) the size of the halogen (F < CI < Br); b) the double 333 function of the halogens on the CDMPC-based column, as HB acceptors toward the 334 N-H of the carbamates, and as XB donors toward the carbonyl oxygens of the pendant 335 groups; c) the function of halogens as XB donors on the CMB-based column. 336

Numerous cases of pendant group-dependent EEO reversal were observed (Tables 337 S5-S18, supporting information): a) for 3-5 and 9 under normal phase conditions: b) 338 for **2-6**, and **8** with aqueous MeOH; c) for **6** with MeOH. It is interesting to note that for 339 6, EEO reversal was observed for methanol-containing MP but not under normal 340 phase conditions, the EEO being S-R on both columns in this elution mode. As a 341 consequence, MP-dependent EEO reversal could be observed for 6 on the Lux 342 Cellulose-1 by changing *n*-hexane/2-PrOH mixture (EEO = S-R) to aqueous MeOH 343 (EEO = R-S), but not with Lux Cellulose-3. This result could confirm the hydrophobic 344 nature of the recognition mechanism of 6 on the CMB also under normal phase elution 345 conditions. Moreover, it is worth noting that EEO reversals dependent on pendant 346 group occurred for compounds 1-9 exclusively. Considering that on the CDMPC the 347 amidic hydrogen of the carbamate could behave as HB donor toward the triple bond 348  $\pi$ -cloud and the electron-rich belt of the halogens, it could be hypothesized that the 349 lack of this site in the CMB could contribute to change the enantioselective mechanism 350 compared to the CDMPC. 351

Interestingly, whereas the Lux Cellulose-3 is superior to Lux Cellulose-1 for the enantioseparation of all halogenated compounds **1-3** and **7-9** (Supporting Information, Figs. S3 and S4), the two columns exhibited a certain degree of complementarity towards compounds **4-6** under both normal phase and aqueous methanol elution conditions (Fig. S5).

#### 357 **3.3 Effect of temperature on enantioseparation**

The van't Hoff equations (see Supporting Information for details) allow for determining 358 the macroscopic thermodynamic quantities governing enantiomer adsorption and 359 enantioseparation [41-44]. Although the molar quantities determined on the basis of 360 van't Hoff equations are, as a matter of fact, composite values representing non-361 enantioselective sites (type I) and enantioselective sites (type II) [45-47], interesting 362 information on analyte/CSP association can be obtained on the basis of 363 thermodynamic considerations by applying van't Hoff analysis [41-44]. In addition, 364 given that thermodynamic parameters are depending on analyte, CSP and MP, useful 365 information can be gained by comparison of thermodynamic data as subtle variations 366 of the chromatographic system (analyte, CSP, MP) occur. 367

Thus, with the aim to compare the thermodynamic profiles of the CMB- and CDMPC-368 based chiral columns, retention and selectivity of compounds 1-9 on Lux Cellulose-3 369 were determined at different temperatures from 5 to 40 °C, in 5 °C increments, by 370 using n-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v (Supporting Information, Figures S6-S13) and 371 MeOH/water 90:10 v/v (Figures S16-S24) as MPs. For compounds 1-3 and 7-9 the 372 thermodynamics parameters, determined with Lux Cellulose-1 under the same elution 373 conditions and recently reported, were used as reference for comparison [5]. For 374 compounds 4 and 5, the thermodynamic profiles on Lux Cellulose-1 were derived in 375 the frame of the present study (Figures S14, S15, and S25-S27). The results of the 376 analyses at variable temperature of ferrocene 6 on the Lux Cellulose-3 under normal 377 phase provided an unusual thermodynamic profile. However, these data are not 378 reported and discussed herein because this issue requires further investigations 379 before publication. 380

The thermodynamic parameters, enthalpy ( $\Delta\Delta H^{\circ}$ ), entropy ( $\Delta\Delta S^{\circ}$ ) and free energy ( $\Delta\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) differences, isoenantioselective temperatures ( $T_{iso}$ ) and thermodynamic (enthalpy/entropy) ratios (Q), are reported in Tables 1 and 2 as derived from van't Hoff analysis. The entropy-enthalpy compensation graphs for the four chromatographic systems Lux Cellulose-3/*n*-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v (A), Lux Cellulose-1/*n*-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v (B), Lux Cellulose-3/MeOH/water 90:10 v/v (C), and Lux Cellulose1/MeOH/water 90:10 (D) with compounds 1-9 are reported in Figure 4. On this basis,
 the following remarks can be made:

a) for compounds **1-9**, the enantioseparations were enthalpy-driven on the CMB in almost all cases (Fig. 4A) because the temperature range was below the calculated  $T_{iso}$ , and the thermodynamic ratio  $Q = \Delta\Delta H / (298 \times \Delta\Delta S) > 1$  under normal phase elution conditions (Table 1) and with aqueous MeOH (Table 2). In a previous study performed by using CDMPC, compounds **1** and **2** under normal phase had shown entropy-driven and mixed enthalpy/entropy-driven thermodynamic profiles (Fig. 4B);

*b)* in general, the contribution of the enthalpy component to the free energy difference
 was higher for Lux Cellulose-3 compared to the Lux Cellulose-1 with both MPs;

*c)* by comparing the thermodynamic profiles of **1** (R = F) and **3** (R = Br) on CMB under normal phase, it could be observed that the enantioseparation increased as the enthalpy contribution to free energy difference decreased. Otherwise, with MeOH/water 90:10 the opposite occurred and decreasing the enthalpy contribution to free energy difference appeared to be detrimental for the enantioseparation of compounds **1** and **3**;

d) interestingly, a different trend was observed for 2 bearing chlorine as a substituent
 with intermediate electronic properties compared to 1 and 3. Indeed, ferrocene 2
 presented the lowest enthalpy contribution to free energy differences within the series
 1-3, but intermediate α values compared to 1 and 3 with both elution mode;

407 e) analogously, for the same series **1-3** the increase of selectivity factors observed by 408 changing *n*-hexane/2-PrOH to MeOH/water as MPs corresponded to different trends 409 in terms of entropy/enthalpy ratio. Indeed, whereas for **2** the *Q* values increased as 410  $1.60 \rightarrow 1.90$  by changing the *n*-hexane mixture to that containing MeOH, for **1** and **3** 411 Q decreased as  $2.61 \rightarrow 1.91$  and  $2.14 \rightarrow 1.98$ , respectively;

412 *f*) for the enantioseparation of compounds **7-9** on CMB under normal phase, increasing 413 the enthalpy contribution to free energy difference in the order F < CI < Br appeared 414 to be detrimental for the enantioseparation which decreased following the order F > CI415 > Br. Otherwise, with MeOH/water 90:10 the opposite occurred and decreasing the 416 enthalpy contribution to free energy difference in the order F > CI > Br appeared to be 417 detrimental for the enantioseparation. Thus, the enantioseparation could be optimized by tuning the MP (elution mode) which, in turn, determined the noncovalent interaction pattern and, consequently, the thermodynamic profile of the recognition pathway.

#### 421 **3.4 Molecular dynamics simulations**

MD simulations were performed with the aim a) to confirm that XB actually participates 422 in the enantioselective recognition, and b) to explore the noncovalent interaction 423 pattern of CMB and CDMPC for a given analyte as test probe. It is worth mentioning 424 that in our previous study, the possibility of XB-driven enantioseparation was 425 reasonably demonstrated for iodoethynyl ferrocene 3 on CDMPC through V and 426 related source function decomposition theoretical analyses [5]. Thus, the 427 enantioseparations of 3 on the two CSPs, with n-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v as MP, were 428 considered and modelled as benchmark experimental data. These enantioseparations 429 appeared suitable for this purpose given that different separation factors (Supporting 430 Information, Table S7), EEO, and thermodynamic parameters (Table 1) were obtained 431 for **3** on the two CSPs. 432

The theoretical investigation based on MD simulations was performed by using CMB 433 and CDMPC nonamers as virtual models of the polysaccharide-based selectors. The 434 100 ns MD simulations in the AMBER force field [48] were performed by using the 435 mixture n-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 as explicit virtual solvent in accord with the 436 experimental conditions used in the chromatographic analyses. With the aim to 437 confirm the hypothesis that a XB involving the halogen substituents of ferrocene 3 438 participates in selector-selectand complex formation, the explicit  $\sigma$ -hole (ESH) 439 parametrization [49,50] was used to model the electrophilic electron charge density 440 depletion on the halogen atoms [51] (see Supporting Information for details). For both 441 (R)- and (S)-3, the simulations were performed by using three virtual electronic 442 conditions: a) without ESH parametrization, virtually suppressing the electrophilic 443 feature of the halogens; b) introducing the ESH parametrization on the bromine atom, 444 exclusively. This choice was justified by the fact that the enantioseparation outcomes 445 within the series 1-3 appeared to be related to the nature of the halogen (F, Cl, Br, 446 respectively). Indeed, the stereoelectronic properties of the iodine were substantially 447 the same in the three compounds (Supporting Information, Table S3), thus iodine 448 could not be the origin of the different enantioselective recognition observed for each 449 member of the series [5]; c) introducing the ESH parametrization on both bromine and 450

15

iodine in order to see if energy differences occurred compared to simulations
 performed under conditions *b*).

As a result, in the case *a*), *S*-*R* was obtained as virtual EEO for the enantioseparation of **3** on CMB. Thus, suppressing the electrophilic feature of the halogens provided the wrong EEO and, consequently, a virtual model not consistent with the real experiment (EEO<sub>exp</sub> = *R*-*S*). Under the conditions *c*), the simulations provided the same results as in the case *b*). This result confirmed that the iodine was not critical for the enantioselective recognition. On this basis, only the simulations obtained under conditions *b*) will be discussed in details herein.

The total interaction energies calculated for (*R*)- and (*S*)-**3** in their complexes with each of the polysaccharide nonamers are summarized in Table 3. The reported energies are mean values that were calculated from 5000 complexes obtained by snapshots taken every 20 ps from the 100 ns MD trajectories. The interaction energy ( $E_{int}$ ) between enantiomer and selector was calculated on the basis of the energies of the selector-enantiomer complex, the selector, and the enantiomer (Eq. 1)

466 
$$E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{total}} - E_{\text{enantiomer}} - E_{\text{polysaccharide-based selector}}$$
 (1)

where the *E*<sub>int</sub> term is derived from the contributions of the van der Waals (vdW) and the electrostatic (el) interaction terms (Eq. 2).

$$469 \qquad E_{\rm int} = E_{\rm el} + E_{\rm vdW}$$

In Fig. 5, representative snapshots and noncovalent interactions from the simulated MD trajectories of the (R)- and (S)-**3** complexes with CDMPC (A,B) and CMB (C,D) are depicted. The following remarks can be made:

*a)* a more compact hydrophobic cavity was observed for CMB (C,D) compared to
CDMPC (A,B);

*b)* the calculated EEOs (Table 3) were fully consistent with the experimental elution
 sequence;

- 477 *c)* the  $E_{int}$  values of the (*R*)- and (*S*)-**3** on CDMPC and CMB were fully consistent with 478 retention times following the order (*S*)<sub>CDMPC</sub>-**3** (8.93 min) < (*R*)<sub>CDMPC</sub>-**3** (9.78 min) <
- 479  $(R)_{CMB}$ -3 (10.26 min) <  $(S)_{CMB}$ -3 (12.89 min);
- *d)* different noncovalent interaction patterns were observed with the two CSPs: *i*) in
- the (*S*)-**3**/CDMPC complex a Br····O=C interaction and a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction involving the triple bond  $\pi$ -cloud and the 3,5-dimethylphenyl of the CDMPC (Fig. 5A); *ii*) in the (*R*)-

(2)

**3**/CDMPC complex a Br····O=C interaction and a HB between the amidic hydrogen of the selector and the electron rich belt of the bromine (Fig. 5B); *iii*) shorter Br····O=C interactions could be observed for both (R)-**3**/CMB (Fig. 5C), and (S)-**3**/CMB (Fig. 5D) complexes. It is worth mentioning that for the CMB-complexes, shorter distances and angle values closer to the reference value of 180° indicated the presence of stronger XBs;

e) this observation could be consistent with the higher enthalpic contribution to the free energy difference determined by the thermodynamic analysis for CMB (Q = 2.14) compared to the CDMPC (Q = 1.15). In addition, the different strength of the XBs in CDMPC and CMB could be also consistent with the higher electron charge density on the carbonyl oxygen calculated for the CMB compared to the CDMPC.

## 494 **4 Concluding remarks**

In this study, the enantioseparation of ferrocenes 1-14 has been systematically 495 explored under multimodal elution conditions by using the cellulose 4-methylbenzoate-496 based Lux Cellulose-3 as chiral column. As a result, methods for baseline 497 enantioseparations were successfully developed for nine compounds (1-9) with 498 selectivity factors ranging from 1.24 to 1.77. In particular, compounds 2, 5 and 7-9 499 could be baseline enantioseparated by using a *n*-hexane-based MP, this elution 500 condition being useful for semipreparative purposes given the possibility to remove 501 the MP at relatively low temperatures. It is worth mentioning that the cellulose 502 carbamate-based Lux Cellulose-1 was unable to enantioseparate these compounds 503 under normal phase elution conditions [5]. Otherwise, 1-halo-2-iodoferrocene 10-12 504 and 1-halo-3-iodoferrocenes 13 and 14 could be only partially enantioseparated on 505 the Lux Cellulose-3, these results confirming that the enantioseparation of nonpolar 506 planar chiral ferrocenes remains rather challenging. On the other hand, in a previous 507 study we found that planar chiral ferrocenes **10-14** were also poorly enantioseparated 508 on cellulose carbamate-based CSPs, whereas amylose carbamate-based CSPs were 509 only able to baseline enantioseparate compounds 13 and 14 with MeOH-containing 510 MPs. Due to the hydrophobic feature of the ferrocenes used in this study as analytes, 511 using aqueous MeOH-containing MPs allowed improving enantioseparation 512 performances of the benzoate-based chiral column for ferrocenes 1-4, 6-9, 13 and 14. 513

van't Hoff thermodynamic analysis allowed to observe different enthalpy and entropy 514 contributions to the  $\Delta\Delta G^{\circ}$  associated to the enantioseparations strictly dependent on 515 the CSP pendant groups (carbamate or benzoate), MP polarity and the nature of the 516 substituents of the analytes. In particular, it was found that the type and the position 517 of the halogen substituents (F, Cl, Br) may impact the thermodynamic contributions to 518  $\Delta\Delta G^{\circ}$ . The thermodynamic analysis also confirmed that the elution mode may 519 significantly determine the thermodynamic profile of the recognition as a result of the 520 modulation of the noncovalent interactions underlying selector-selectand complex 521 formation. 522

Finally, MD simulations of the enantioseparation of the iodoethynyl ferrocene 3 were 523 performed exploring the molecular bases of the enantioselective recognition of this 524 chiral compound, used as test probe on CDMPC and CMB. The theoretical analyses 525 disclosed that actually XBs can participate in the recognition mechanism of 526 halogenated ferrocenes on cellulose-based selectors with efficacy dependent a) on 527 the properties of the selector as XB acceptor and b) on the presence of competitive 528 noncovalent interactions which may oppose to or weaken XBs. It is worth mentioning 529 that these simulations represent the first attempt to model enantioseparation of planar 530 chiral ferrocenes on cellulose-based selectors. 531

## 532 Acknowledgements

We thank CNR (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche) (grant SAC.AD002.011.025) and
SRNSFG (Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia) (grant CNR-19075) for the Joint Bilateral Agreement, the University of Strasbourg, the Centre
National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), and French National Research Agency
(ANR-21-CE07-0014) for financial support.

### 538 **Conflict of interest**

539 The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

## 540 Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## 543 **5 References**

- [1] Schlögl KA, Widhalm M. Recycling technique for the chromatographic separation
   of enantiomers and diastereomers on triacetylcellulose. Monatsh Chem.
   1984;115:1113–20.
- Yamazaki Y, Uebayasi M, Hosono K. Enantiotopic differentiation in horse-liver
   alcohol-dehydrogenase-catalyzed oxidoreduction studied with novel substrates
   having organometallic moieties. Eur J Biochem. 1989;184:671–80.
- Mayr B, Schottenberger H, Elsner O, Buchmeiser MR. Separation of planar chiral
   ferrocene derivatives on β-cyclodextrin-based polymer supports prepared via
   ring-opening metathesis graft-polymerization. J Chromatogr A. 2002;973:115–
   22.
- [4] Dessì A, Sechi B, Dallocchio R, Chankvetadze B, Pérez-Baeza M, Cossu S, et
   al., Comparative enantioseparation of planar chiral ferrocenes on
   polysaccharide-based chiral stationary phases. Chirality. 2022;34:609–19.
- [5] Sechi B, Dessì A, Gatti C, Dallocchio R, Chankvetadze B, Cossu S, et al.,
  Unravelling functions of halogen substituents in the enantioseparation of
  halogenated planar chiral ferrocenes on polysaccharide-based chiral stationary
  phases: experimental and electrostatic potential analyses. J Chromatogr A.
  2022;1673:463097.
- [6] Cantatore C, Korb M, Lang H, Cirilli R. ON/OFF receptor-like enantioseparation
   of planar chiral 1, 2-ferrocenes on an amylose-based chiral stationary phase:
   The role played by 2-propanol. Anal Chim Acta. 2022;1211:339880.
- Morvan A, Garnier C, Furman C, Speybrouck D, Boulanger E, Ghinet A, et al.,
   Separation of planar chiral ferrocenes by capillary electrokinetic chromatography
   and liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr A. 2022;1677:463306.

- [8] Bonin L, Morvan A, Coadou G, Furman C, Boulanger E, Ghinet A, et al.
  Supercritical fluid chromatography for separation of chiral planar metallocenes.
  J Chromatogr A. 2022;1674:463115.
- [9] Cunningham L, Benson A, Guiry PJ. Recent developments in the synthesis and
   applications of chiral ferrocene ligands and organocatalysts in asymmetric
   catalysis. Org Biomol Chem. 2020;18:9329–70.
- 574 [10] Singh A, Lumb I, Mehra V, Kumar V. Ferrocene-appended pharmacophores: An
  575 exciting approach for modulating the biological potential of organic scaffolds.
  576 Dalton Trans. 2019;48:2840-60.
- [11] Urbano A, Del Hoyo AM, Martinez-Carríon A, Carreño MC. Asymmetric synthesis
   and chiroptical properties of enantiopure helical ferrocenes. Org Lett.
   2019;21:4623–7.
- [12] Grecchi S, Arnaboldi S, Korb M, Cirilli R, Araneo S, Guglielmi V, et al., Widening
  the scope of "inherently chiral" electrodes: Enantiodiscrimination of chiral
  electroactive probes with planar stereogenicity. ChemElectroChem.
  2020;7:3429–38.
- [13] Peluso P, Mamane V. Ferrocene derivatives with planar chirality and their
   enantioseparation by liquid-phase techniques. Electrophoresis. 2022; DOI:
   10.1002/elps.202200148
- [14] Butenschön H. Haloferrocenes: syntheses and selected reactions. Synthesis.
  2018;50:3787–808.
- [15] Tazi M, Hedidi M, Erb W, Halauko YS, Ivashkevich OA, Matulis VE, et al., Fluoro and chloroferrocene: from 2- to 3-substituted derivatives. Organometallics.
   2018;37:2207–21.
- [16] Mamane V, Peluso P, Aubert E, Weiss R, Wenger E, Cossu S, et al.,
   Disubstituted ferrocenyl iodo- and chalcogenoalkynes as chiral halogen and
   chalcogen bond donors. Organometallics. 2020;39:3936–50.
- [17] Rios R, Liang J, Lo MM-C, Fu GC. Synthesis, resolution and crystallographic
   characterization of a new *C*<sub>2</sub>-symmetric planar-chiral bipyridine ligand:
   application to the catalytic enantioselective cyclopropanation of olefins. Chem
   Commun. 2000;377–8.

- [18] Tsukazaki M, Tinkl M, Roglans A, Chapell BJ, Taylor NJ, Snieckus V. Direct and
   highly enantioselective synthesis of ferrocenes with planar chirality by (-) sparteine-mediated lithiation. J Am Chem Soc. 1996;118:685–6.
- [19] Patti A, Pedotti S, Sanfilippo C. Comparative HPLC enantioseparation of
   ferrocenylalcohols on two cellulose-based chiral stationary phases. Chirality.
   2007;19:344–51.
- [20] Gao D-W, Zheng C, Gu Q, You S-L. Pd-catalyzed highly enantioselective
   synthesis of planar chiral ferrocenylpyridine derivatives. Organometallics.
   2015;34:4618–25.
- [21] Okamoto Y, Kawashima M, Yamamoto K, Hatada K. Useful chiral packing
   materials for high-performance liquid chromatographic resolution. Cellulose
   triacetate and tribenzoate coated on macroporous silica gel. Chem Lett.
   1984;13:739–42.
- [22] Ichida A, Shibata T, Okamoto I, Yuki Y, Namikoshi H, Toda Y. Resolution of
  enantiomers by HPLC on cellulose derivatives. Chromatographia.
  1984;19:280-4.
- [23] Okamoto Y, Aburatani R, Hatada K. Chromatographic chiral resolution. XIV.
   Cellulose tribenzoate derivatives as chiral stationary phases for high performance liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr A. 1987;389:95–102.
- [24] Okamoto Y, Yashima E. Derivatives for chromatographic separation of
   enantiomers. Angew Chem Int Ed. 1998;37:1020–43.
- [25] Chankvetadze B. Recent developments on polysaccharide-based chiral
   stationary phases for liquid-phase separation of enantiomers. J Chromatogr A.
   2012;1269:26–51.
- [26] Peluso P, Cossu S. Comparative HPLC enantioseparation of thirty-six aromatic
   compounds on four columns of the Lux<sup>®</sup> series. Impact of substituents, shapes
   and electronic properties. Chirality. 2013;25:709–18.
- [27] Peluso P, Chankvetadze B. The molecular bases of chiral recognition in 2 (benzylsulfinyl)benzamide enantioseparation. Anal Chim Acta. 2021;1141:194–
   205.

21

- [28] Peluso P, Dessì A, Dallocchio R, Mamane V, Cossu S. Recent studies of docking
   and molecular dynamics simulation for liquid-phase enantioseparations.
   Electrophoresis. 2019;40:1881–96
- [29] Dallocchio R, Sechi B, Dessì A, Chankvetadze B, Cossu S, Mamane V, et al.,
  Enantioseparations of polyhalogenated 4,4'-bipyridines on polysaccharidebased chiral stationary phases and molecular dynamics simulations of selector–
  selectand interactions. Electrophoresis. 2021;42:1853–63.
- [30] Dayaker G, Sreeshailam A, Chevallier F, Roisnel T, Krishna PR, Mongin F.
   Deprotonative metallation of ferrocenes using mixed lithium-zinc and lithium cadmium combinations. Chem Commun. 2010;46(16):2862–4.
- [31] Tazi M, Erb W, Roisnel T, Dorcet V, Mongin F, Low PJ. From ferrocene to
  fluorine-containing pentasubstituted derivatives and all points in-between; or,
  how to increase the available chemical space. Org Biomol Chem. 2019;17:9352–
  9.
- [32] Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR,
  et al., Gaussian 09, Revision B. 01. C.T. Wallingford: Inc. Gaussian; 2010.
- [33] Lu T, Chen F. Multiwfn: a multifunctional wavefunction analyser. J Comput
   Chem. 2012;33:580–92.
- [34] Lu T, Chen F. Quantitative analysis of molecular surface based on improved
   Marching Tetrahedra algorithm. J Mol Graph Model. 2012;38:314–23.
- [35] Yamamoto C, Yamada K, Motoya K, Kamiya Y, Kamigaito M, Okamoto Y, et al.,
   Preparation of HPLC chiral packing materials using cellulose tris(4 methylbenzoate) for the separation of chrysanthemate isomers. J Polym Sci Part
   A Polym Chem. 2006;44:5087–97.
- [36] Francotte E, Wolf RM, Lohmann D, Mueller R. Chromatographic resolution of
   racemates on chiral stationary phases: I. Influence of the supramolecular
   structure of cellullose triacetate. J Chromatogr A. 1985;347:25–37.
- [37] Oguni K, Oda H, Ichida A. Development of chiral stationary phases consisting of
   polysaccharide derivatives. J Chromatogr A. 1995;694:91–100.
- [38] O'Brien T, Crocker L, Thompson R, Thompson K, Toma PH, Conlon DA, et al.,
   Mechanistic aspects of chiral discrimination on modified cellulose. Anal Chem
   1997;69:1999–2007.

- [39] Alcaro S, Bolasco A, Cirilli R, Ferretti R, Fioravanti R, Ortuso, F. Computer-aided
   molecular design of asymmetric pyrazole derivatives with exceptional
   enantioselective recognition toward the Chiralcel OJ-H stationary phase. J Chem
   Inf Model. 2012;52:649–54.
- [40] Peluso P, Mamane V, Dallocchio R, Dessì A, Cossu S. Noncovalent interactions
   in high-performance liquid chromatography enantioseparations on
   polysaccharide-based chiral selectors. J Chromatogr A. 2020;1623:461202.
- [41] Peluso P, Sechi B, Lai G, Dessì A, Dallocchio R, Cossu S, et al., Comparative
   enantioseparation of chiral 4,4'-bipyridine derivatives on coated and immobilized
   amylose-based chiral stationary phases. J Chromatogr A. 2020;1625:461303.
- [42] Matarashvili I, Kobidze G, Chelidze A, Dolidze G, Beridze N, Jibuti G, et al., The
  effect of temperature on the separation of enantiomers with coated and
  covalently immobilized polysaccharide-based chiral stationary phases. J
  Chromatogr A 2019;1599:172–9.
- [43] Ianni F, Pataj Z, Gross H, Sardella R, Natalini B, Lindner W, et al., Direct
  enantioseparation of underivatized aliphatic 3-hydroxyalkanoic acids with a
  quinine-based zwitterionic chiral stationary phase. J Chromatogr A.
  2014;1363:101–8.
- [44] Panella C, Ferretti R, Casulli A, Cirilli R. Temperature and eluent composition
   effects on enantiomer separation of carvedilol by high-performance liquid
   chromatography on immobilized amylose-based chiral stationary phases. J
   Pharm Anal. 2019;9:324–31.
- [45] Fornstedt T. Characterization of adsorption processes in analytical liquid-solid
   chromatography. J Chromatogr A. 2010;1217:792–812.
- [46] Asnin LD, Stepanova MV. Van't Hoff analysis in chiral chromatography. J Sep
  Sci. 2018;41:1319–37.
- [47] Sepsey A, Horváth E, Catani M, Felinger A. The correctness of van't Hoff plots
   in chiral and achiral chromatography. J Chromatogr A. 2020;1611:460594.
- [48] Maier JA, Martinez C, Kasavajhala K, Wickstrom L, Hauser KE, Simmerling C.
   ff14SB: improving the accuracy of protein side chain and backbone parameters
   from ff99SB. J Chem Theory Comput. 2015;11:3696–713.

- [49] Ibrahim MAA. Molecular mechanical perspective on halogen bonding. J Mol
   Model. 2012;18:4625–38.
- [50] Kolář M, Hobza P, Bronowska K. Plugging the explicit σ-holes in molecular
   docking. Chem Commun. 2013;49:981–3.
- [51] Dallocchio R, Dessì A, Solinas M, Arras A, Cossu S, Aubert E, et al., Halogen
   bond in high-performance liquid chromatography enantioseparations:
   description, features and modelling. J Chromatogr A. 2018;1563:71–81.

# 699 Supporting information

Supporting information file: Additional introductive details; Electrostatic potential
 extrema of selectors and analytes; additional HPLC data; Thermodynamics details;
 additional MD simulation data.

703

#### 704 FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Figure 1.** Structures and numbering of planar chiral ferrocenes **1–14**.

**Figure 2**. Graphic representations (Chimera 1.13.1, UCSF, San Francisco, USA) of the shape of CDMPC (A) and CMB (B) chiral cavities as derived from MD simulations (see Supporting Information). Colour legend: blue, nitrogen; red, carbonyl oxygen; green, phenyl ring, tan, all other atoms.

**Figure 3.** Comparison of selectivity factors ( $\alpha$ ) of compounds **1-14** on CDMPC- (red lines/**•**) and CMB- (blue line/•) based chiral columns under multimodal elution conditions (A, Hex/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v; B, MeOH 100%; C, MeOH/water 90:10 v/v).

Figure 4. Enthalpy (cal/mol)-entropy (cal·K<sup>-1</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>) compensation for compounds 1-9:
A) Lux Cellulose-3, *n*-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v (the values for compound 6 are not included in the graph); B) Lux Cellulose-1, *n*-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v (the values for compound 6 are not included in the graph); C) Lux Cellulose-3, MeOH/water 90:10 v/v; D) Lux Cellulose-1, MeOH/water 90:10 v/v (flow rate, 0.8 ml/min; temperature range 278.15-313.15 K).

- **Figure 5.** Representative snapshots and noncovalent interactions from the simulated MD trajectories of the complexes of (*R*)- and (*S*)-**3** with CDMPC (A,B) and CMB (C,D).
- 721

#### 722 **TABLE CAPTIONS**

Table 1. Thermodynamic parameters calculated from the van't Hoff plots 723 (temperature range 278.15-313.15 K) for the enantioseparation of ferrocenes 1-5 and 724 7-9 on the Lux Cellulose-3 with n-hexane/2-PrOH 95:5 v/v as a MP (flow rate, 0.8 725 ml/min). The thermodynamic parameters calculated for the enantioseparation of 726 ferrocenes 1-3 and 7-9 [5], 4 and 5 on the Lux Cellulose-1 are reported for comparison 727 Table 2. Thermodynamic parameters calculated from the van't Hoff plots 728 (temperature range 278.15-313.15 K) for the enantioseparation of ferrocenes 1-9 on 729 the Lux Cellulose-3 with MeOH/water 90:10 v/v as a MP (flow rate, 0.8 ml/min). The 730 thermodynamic parameters calculated for the enantioseparation of ferrocenes 1-3 731 and 7-9 [5], and 4-6 on the Lux Cellulose-1 are reported for comparison 732

**Table 3.** Interaction energies ( $E_{int}$ ) (kcal/mol) and component contributions ( $E_{el}$ ,  $E_{vdW}$ ) for the association of (R)-**3** and (S)-**3** with CDMPC (EEO<sub>exp</sub> = S-R) and CMB (EEO<sub>exp</sub> = R-S)