TimberTracer: A Comprehensive Framework for the Evaluation of Carbon Sequestration by Forest Management and Substitution of Harvested Wood Products.

I. Boukhris^{1,2*}, A. Collalti^{3,4,†}, S. Lahssini^{5,†}, D. Dalmonech^{3,4}, F. Nakhle⁶, R. Testolin⁷, M. Vincenza Chiriaco², M. Santini², R. Valentini^{1,2} 1. Forest Ecology Lab, Department for Innovation in Biological, Agri-Food and Forest Systems (DIBAF), University of Tuscia, 01100 Viterbo, Italy (rik@unitus.it; issam.boukhris@unitus.it) CMCC Foundation - Euro-Mediterranean Center on Climate Change, Italy 2. (monia.santini@cmcc.it; mariavincenza.chiricao@cmcc.it) 3. Forest Modeling Lab, Institute for Agriculture and Forestry Systems in the Mediterranean, National Research Council of Italy (CNR-ISAFOM), Via Madonna Alta 128, 06128 Perugia, Italy (alessio.collalti@cnr.it; daniela.dalmonech@isafom.cnr.it) 4. National Biodiversity Future Center (NBFC), 90133 Palermo, Italy 5. Department of Forest Development, National School of Forest Engineers, Sale 11000, Morocco (marghadi@gmail.com) 6. Department of Computer Science, Temple University, Setagaya City, Tokyo, 154-004, Japan (farid.nakhle@tuj.temple.edu) 7. Biome Lab, Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy (riccardo.testolin@gmail.com) [†] Authors contributed equally to this work. * Correspondent author. Email: issam.boukhris@unitus.it Abstract Carbon storage in harvested wood products (HWPs) and the associated substitution effects resulting from their utilization over fossil fuels and energy-intensive materials are pivotal strategies in climate change mitigation. Recognition of this nature-based solution as integral to climate change mitigation targets is notably solidified in many Nationally Determined

46 Contributions (NDCs) submitted by Parties under the Paris agreement. The need to integrate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and removals from HWPs in the accounting obligations 47 48 under the Paris Agreement, along with the necessity to guide decision-making in forest management to optimize the climate change mitigation effect across the entire forest sector, 49 50 necessitates typical decision-oriented tools known as carbon accounting models. Among these, wood products models (WPMs), that are specifically dedicated to projecting carbon in HWPs 51 52 and potentially estimating the substitution effect. In this paper, we propose a novel, comprehensive framework called 'TimberTracer' designed to explicitly simulating carbon 53 54 stock in HWPs over temporal scales, substitution effects, and carbon emissions from wood decay and bioenergy. Furthermore, this model can be coupled with forest dynamics models to 55 simulate the long-term effects and interaction between forest management and wood-use 56 scenarios. The model, coupled with the 3D-CMCC-FEM growth model, was applied to the 57 Laricio Pine (Pinus nigra subsp. laricio) situated in the Bonis watershed in southern Italy. The 58 aim was to dynamically assess the impact of three forest management practices (clearcut, 59 60 selective thinning, and shelterwood) and four wood-use scenarios (business as usual, increased recycling rate, extended average lifespan, and a simultaneous increase in both the recycling 61 rate and the average lifespan), throughout ~140-year planning horizon (1958-2095), on the 62 63 overall carbon balance. This investigation, covering HWPs stock, C emissions, and the substitution effect, revealed that selective thinning emerged as the optimal forest management 64 scenario. Additionally, the simultaneous increase in both the recycling rate and the half-life 65 time proved to be the optimal wood-use scenario. 66

67

68 1. Introduction

69 Terrestrial ecosystems play a major role in the global carbon cycle owing to their inherent 70 ability to gain carbon through photosynthesis and release it through respiration. The terrestrial biosphere provided a net sink for ~21% of carbon dioxide emitted by fossil fuel burning during 71 the 1990-2021 period (Gulev et al., 2021), with the major part occurring in forests (Pan et al., 72 2011). This climate change mitigation role of forests has been widely recognized by the United 73 74 Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) being part of the periodical national GHG inventories and contributions to the Paris Agreement (79% of the submitted 75 Nationally Determined Contributions – NDCs covers the forest sector under mitigation targets, 76 according to Crumpler et al., 2021). 77

78 Forests ecosystems, if and when sustainably managed, offer a dual avenue for greenhouse gas 79 (GHG) mitigation through processes that are mutually exclusive, namely sequestration and 80 substitution (Schulze et al., 2022). Reducing harvest yields a positive impact on the forest carbon stock in the short to medium term but would adversely induce a long-term negative 81 82 impact on the wood-chain value and a counterproductive effect specifically on carbon 83 sequestration as aging trees exhibit decreased growth and carbon use efficiency (Nabuurs et 84 al., 2013; Collalti et al., 2020). Conversely, the promotion of wood use would lead to the substitution of energy-intensive materials (e.g., steel or concrete) or fossil fuels, further 85 86 compounded with the storage of carbon within harvested wood products (HWPs) (Leskinen et al., 2018). Given the trade-offs among different options (i.e., carbon sequestration, energy 87 substitution, and material substitution), the most effective mitigation strategy would be the one 88 that optimally balances and integrates all the mitigation components (Pingoud et al., 2010; Pilli 89 90 et al., 2015; Dugan et al., 2018).

91

The acknowledgment of HWPs as integral to climate change mitigation occurs in many NDCs 92 93 submitted by Parties under the Paris Agreement (Crumpler et al., 2021; Di Lallo et al., 2023), 94 within which countries voluntarily set binding GHG accounting obligations and targets to 95 reduce GHG emissions and increase carbon removals (Grassi et al., 2017). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) provides several approaches for 96 97 estimating the GHG emissions and removals associated with HWPs and encourages deviating from the conventional "instantaneous oxidation" approach (i.e., carbon in harvested biomass is 98 99 considered as released into the atmosphere immediately after the harvesting) towards more 100 accurate methods (Sato & Nojiri, 2019; Kayo et al., 2021). The need to integrate and improve carbon reporting for HWPs into the NDCs commitment, along with scientific and political 101 considerations for defining an optimal forest mitigation strategy, emphasizes the urgent 102 103 requirement for enhancements and advancements in tools that predict the development of carbon dynamics in HWP – technically known as harvested wood product models (WPMs) 104 105 which are used to estimate the carbon dynamics of HWPs and assess their effects on the mitigation of climate change (Király et al., 2023). 106

In spite of their importance WPMs are often neglected and excluded by most of the forest growth models (Vacchiano et al., 2012). The few existing WPMs, depending on the scope and objective of their developments (for a comprehensive review of WPMs, please consult Brunet-Navarro et al., 2016), use different modeling approaches that have been proved to influence the results of carbon accounting in HWPs (Peng et al., 2023). The bookkeeping modeling

approach, which relies on the use of default values, has the advantage of being applicable 112 widely due to its simplicity and low data requirements. However, it has a limited ability to 113 114 accurately represent local contexts. For example, applying the CO2FIX spreadsheet model (Schelhaas et al., 2004) to quantify carbon stocks of primary wood products derived from 115 116 timber harvested in the Thuringian states forest (central Germany) resulted in a 22% 117 overestimation of products with a short half-life. This, in turn, led to an underestimation of the 118 overall half-life of the entire stock (Profft et al., 2009). On the other hand, modeling approaches 119 such as material flow analysis (MFA) use specific parameters, like allocation and conversion 120 factors and offers the advantage of traceability of the production chain and the production of reliable results (Mantau, 2015). This approach also enables the use of regional-specific data 121 122 and tracking carbon over time. The temporal component is crucial for various applications, including assessing the impact of the silvicultural itinerary or the planning horizon on the GHG 123 124 balance of the forest sector and to potentially evaluating the global warming potential of 125 different mitigation projects (Levasseur et al., 2010; Cherubini et al., 2011). Another modeling 126 method employed for carbon estimation in HWPs is the life cycle assessment (LCA). Typically, 127 LCA is applied to particular instances to evaluate carbon flows within a specific product group 128 or functional unit. It is also utilized to estimate secondary effects, such as substitution or the 129 social values associated with carbon storage (Grossi et al., 2023). This method which has the advantage of providing high accuracy and traceability may hardly be applicable to the national 130 131 level due to its large data requirements.

To accurately project storage and emissions in/from HWPs, models should include components 132 133 that influence carbon pools and emissions. Among rarely covered aspects by WPMs are 134 recycling, substitution, and bucking allocation (Jasinevičius et al., 2015; Brunet-Navarro et al., 135 2016). The practice of cascading wood products extends their lifespan, consequently delaying 136 the release of GHG emissions into the atmosphere. Brunet-Navarro et al. (2017) conducted 137 theoretical simulations to assess the impact of elevating recycling rates on carbon storage within wood products in the European (EU-28) wood sector and revealed compelling results. 138 Specifically, an increase in the recycling rate from 10% to 20.9% between 2017 and 2030 was 139 projected to yield a notable emission saving of nearly 5 MtCO₂ (Brunet-Navarro et al., 2017). 140 The substitution of materials with high energy requirements for production or the replacement 141 142 of fossil fuels with less energy-demanding wood can permanently and cumulatively avoid emissions. For instance, in a case study comparing two functionally equivalent buildings – one 143 144 constructed with a wooden frame and the other with a reinforced concrete frame - the manufacturing process emitted 45% less carbon in the wooden structure while also requiring 145

146 less energy (Sathre & Gustavsson, 2009), which underscores the importance of considering the 147 substitution effect in the WPMs design. The bucking allocation involves the disaggregation of 148 logs into different HWPs based on quality and dimensional criteria. It is crucial to consider this process when assessing the effects of management (e.g., rotation, thinning intensity or interval). 149 150 For instance, studies that include the bucking allocation process suggest considering longer 151 rotations for optimizing carbon stock in the forest sector (e.g., see Pingoud et al., 2010), while 152 those excluding it recommend shorter rotations (e.g., see Kaipainen et al., 2004). This is because models not incorporating the bucking allocation module use predefined default values 153 154 to allocate harvested volume to HWPs. The higher the productivity, the greater the quantity of products produced – a scenario typically observed in shorter forest rotations. In contrast, when 155 156 the bucking allocation is accounted for, the optimization of carbon stock would favor the production of HWPs with a longer lifespan, generally derived from larger stems - a scenario 157 158 typically observed in longer rotations modeling analyses (Dalmonech et al., 2022).

In this paper, we have pioneered the development of an open-source Python-based model to 159 160 comprehensively account for the various components that directly or indirectly influence 161 carbon pools and emissions - some of which are typically overlooked by existing 162 forest/vegetation models – and to provide insights into the temporal dynamics of both carbon sequestration and emissions associated with HWPs. Named TimberTracer, our model is 163 specifically tailored for a comprehensive analysis of wood products, and it encapsulates a 164 165 robust framework for carbon sequestration analysis, enabling users to meticulously evaluate the quantity of carbon sequestered within diverse wood products. Furthermore, TimberTracer 166 167 incorporates temporal insights, enabling stakeholders to scrutinize the evolving patterns of carbon sequestration and emissions over time. Remarkably, to assess the climate change 168 169 mitigation potential for the entire forest sector, *TimberTracer* can be seamlessly coupled with 170 any forest growth model, whether individual-tree or stand-based level, such, as GO+ (Moreaux 171 et al., 2020) or 3D-CMCC-FEM (Collalti et al., 2014).

The main objective of this study is to examine the impact of forest management and wood utilization on the mitigation potential of HWPs. Focusing on the *Pinus nigra* subsp. laricio (Poiret) forest located in the experimental Bonis watershed in southern Italy (Collalti et al., 2017), we employ a carbon modeling framework by combining the 3D-CMCC-FEM model with *TimberTracer*. This integrated framework is used to simulate the evolution ~140-years (1958-2095), the HWP stock, carbon emissions, and the substitution effect under three management and four wood-use scenarios, as elaborated in subsequent sections.

180 2. Methods

181

182 2.1. Wood products modeling

WPMs are implemented diversly based on their scopes and objectives. An integral model 183 184 should include the following components: (i) bucking allocation involves the disaggregation of stems into different logs destinated to the production of differentiated products according to 185 186 a set of dimensional and quality criteria typically established by wood industry professionals. Considering this component as an integral part of the TT model, rather than relying on a priori 187 188 allocation factors, is crucial for minimizing errors resulting from simplification; (ii) industrial processes involve the transformation of raw wood into finished or semi-finished products, as 189 190 well as the recycling or disposal of products when reaching the end of their use. Those processes are characterized by a set of allocation parameters either derived from expert 191 knowledge, local surveys, or previous studies; (iii) carbon pools refer to the reservoirs of 192 193 carbon stored in the diverse wood products currently in use or at disposal sites. HWPs are 194 characterized by an average time in use, primarily linked to their intended purpose. For instance, construction wood is typically long-lived compared to pulpwood, given its use in 195 196 applications that demand high durability and longevity. In contrast, pulpwood is primarily 197 utilized for paper and pulp production, and its intended use does not require the same level of longevity; (iv) product removal refers to the point in time when products are retired from use. 198 199 To estimate the product removal rate (also known as decay rate), carbon retention curves are 200 used. They are based on the cumulative function of a chosen statistical distribution (e.g., 201 Weibull, uniform, linear, and normal distributions; Brunet-Navarro et al., 2016; Matsumoto et 202 al., 2022) defined by one or more of the following parameters (not an exhaustive list): the time 203 when 50% of the initial carbon stock is left (also known as half-life time), the time when 5%204 of the initial carbon stock is left, the average life, and the maximum decay rate; (v) recycling 205 involves the transformation of HWPs after reaching the end of their use into new products. This theoretically induces a reduction in the decay rate, as an additional amount of products is 206 reinjected after each projection compared to the scenario when recycling is not considered; and 207 208 (vi) substitution refers to the displacement effect resulting from the use of wood to substitute functionally equivalent energy-intensive materials or fossil fuels. The major components and 209 processes described above are graphically illustrated below (Figure 1). 210



212
213 Figure 1: Lifecycle of HWPs - Illustration depicting key stages from production through
214 utilization to end-of-life and natural decay.

215

216 2.2. Model description

217 *TimberTracer* (TT), a WPM based on the material flow method, was implemented using Python218 as its programming language. The model simulates overtime the carbon stock in HWPs, carbon219 emissions from HWP decay, bioenergy, and the substitution effect arising from the use of wood220 instead of energy-intensive materials or fossil fuel energy. *TimberTracer* could be coupled with221 forest growth models of different spatial resolution. This versality in the scale level is a result222 of its design which incorporates stand structure through appropriate statistical distributions.

223

224 By incorporating all the previously described components (see previous section 2.1), TimberTracer comprehensively accounts for and simulates the temporal dynamics of GHG 225 226 emissions and removal across all carbon pools outside the forest, including changes in HWPs and disposal sites. Furthermore, the model considers the substitution effect and captures the 227 228 temporal dynamics of material and energy substitutions. Additionally, *TimberTracer* offers the 229 flexibility of utilizing both tree- and stand-level inputs, facilitated by its integration of a stand 230 structure generator, enabling the transition from stand state descriptors to tree state descriptors. The TT model offers the ability to simulate the entire carbon accounting process using the 231 function run model(). Additionally, it is designed to be modular, allowing running various 232 233 simulations independently, at specific stages of the carbon accounting process, as described

below (Figure 2). Hereafter, we explicitly introduce each module, outlining its role and the

235 functions it encompasses.



- Figure 2: Flowchart of the *TimberTracer* model. In green the inputs, in red the outputs, in black
 the modules, and in blue the functions within the modules. The number of iterations is equal to
 the number of management interventions.
- 240 2.3. Model inputs and requirements
- 241 The *TT* model requires a set of input data for its initialization, including: i- stand structural data
- 242 (DBH, height, stand density, basal area, bark thickness, sapwood, and heartwood areas); ii-
- 243 forest management data (planning horizon, rotation period, rotation number, thinning age,
- thinning intensity, thinning nature); iii- industrial process data (product, priority, number, log

length, log diameter, quality criteria, process efficiency); iv- wood use data (lifespan, recycling
rate, reallocation scheme, displacement factors). Furthermore, *TimberTracer* necessitates the
specification of a well-defined set of parameters mainly required by the dendrometer and the
bucking allocation module (for further information on the model parameters and data inputs,
please refer to the table in Supplementary 3).

250

251 *2.4. Model outputs*

The run_model() function serves as an integrated coordinator, orchestrating the seamless execution of independently developed modules introduced earlier to generate a comprehensive output. The model can be run for a planning horizon (PH)(i.e. the duration of the climate mitigation project implementation) that may encompass multiple rotations (R). The function run_model() renders a table of seven columns, presenting simulations of carbon stock in HWPs, annual and cumulative emissions, annual and cumulative material and energy substitution, and yearly recycling (all expressed in tC ha⁻¹).

259

261

260 2.5. The model structure

262 *2.5.1. The structure generator and thinning performing module*

TimberTracer is designed for compatibility with both tree- and stand-level data, providing 263 flexibility in data input. This capability is realized through the incorporation of a structure 264 generator module that implements the statistical distribution (e.g., Weibull distribution) of the 265 266 diameter at breast height (DBH) within the stand level. It also assigns trees to different biosociological classes of status (i.e., dominant, co-dominant, intermediate, and overshadow trees) 267 268 based on their total height, as implemented in the dendrometer () function. *TimberTracer* further considers thinning operations, characterized in the model by three descriptors: type, 269 intensity, and timing, implemented in the perform thinning() function, which renders 270 271 dendrometry information about each individual thinned tree.

- 272
- 273

274 2.5.1.1. The structure generator

The transition from stand state descriptors to tree state descriptors would be feasible if the stand structure is well understood. The latter is typically likened to a statistical distribution. There are numerous mathematical formulations for statistical distributions in forestry, with one of the

most employed being the Weibull distribution (Q. V. Cao, 2004). The probability density
function of a Weibull random variable denoted as X ~ Weibull(sh, sc, loc) is:

281
$$f(x, sh, sc, loc) = \frac{sh}{sc} \times \left(\frac{x-loc}{sc}\right)^{sh-1} \times e^{-\left(\frac{x-loc}{sc}\right)^{sh}}$$
 (Equation1)

282 Where f is the probability density function, x is the random variable, sh represents the shape, 283 *sc* denotes the scale, and *loc* indicates the location.

- 284
- If the location is not provided, but the shape and scale are (2-parameter Weibull distribution),then the location will be predicted using the following equation:
- 287

288
$$E(x) = loc + sc \times \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{sh})$$
 (Equation2)

289
$$loc = E(x) - sc \times \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{sh})$$
 (Equation3)

290 Where E(x) is the mathematical expectancy of x and Γ is the gamma function.

291

After fitting the parameters of the Weibull distribution supposed to represent the structure of the forest stand at different stages of development, the next step is to compute the number of trees by each diameter class. For this specific purpose, the cumulative distribution function of the Weibull function is applied to the concerned diameter class following the equation:

296

297
$$N(class) = \left[e^{-\left(\frac{lower \ bound - loc}{sc}\right)^{sh}} - e^{-\left(\frac{upper \ bound - loc}{sc}\right)^{sh}}\right] \times N$$
 (Equation4)

Where *N* represents stand density, and the lower bound and upper bound define the DBH classinterval.

300

301 2.5.1.2. The thinning process

A thinning operation involves removing stems to benefit a tree or a group of trees deemed essential to ensure optimal growth conditions (Sohn et al., 2016). It focuses on enhancing wood quality and stand stability. The thinning intervention can be characterized by three elements: ithe type of cut (from the above, from the bottom, or neutral), which denotes the distribution of removed stems among various diameter categories of standing trees within the stand; ii- the thinning intensity which expresses the magnitude of the extraction conducted within the stand. It can be quantified by both the number of stems harvested and the implementation rate relative

to the before-thinning stand population; iii- the period, commonly referred to as rotation, is the
time interval between two successive thinning cuts within the stand. It varies depending on the

- tree species, age, and site conditions (T. Cao et al., 2006).
- 312

Bio-sociological tree status plays an important role in some thinning concepts, for example, for

the selection of thinning trees in thinning from above and below (Fabrika & Vaculčiak, 2009).

315 A simplified classification groups trees into four categories: 1- dominant trees; 2- co-dominant

- 316 trees; 3- intermediate trees; and 4- overshadow trees. This classification consists of the
- definition of the position of each tree in terms of its height by reference to the other trees of the
- 318 stand. As part of the process, the dominant height of the plot $(h_{95\%})$ as well as the height to the
- base of the crown (hc) are calculated. The classification of the trees into a scale is performed
- 320 following the rules presented in the Table 1.
- 321
- 322 Table 1: Bio-sociological status criteria based on the tree height.

Criteria	Bio-sociological status
$hi \geq h_{95\%}$	Dominant tree (1)
$\frac{h_{95\%} + hc}{2} \le hi < h_{95\%}$	Co-dominant tree (2)
$hc \le hi < \frac{h_{95\%} + hc}{2}$	Intermediate tree (3)
hi < hc	Overshadow tree (4)

323

The thinning operation follows the approach implemented by (Fabrika & Ďurský, 2005). For thinning from below and thinning from above, trees belonging to a specific bio-sociological class are prioritized for each thinning type. In the case of neutral thinning, trees are harvested without consideration for their bio-sociological status. Further details are provided in the following.

329

- 330
- 331

332 Thinning from below (4+3) => 2

333 The process consists of removing trees belonging to the dominated bio-sociological sub-334 groups. The process of removing is parallel in 4+3 (Overshadow and Intermediate). If the

- removal amount is not reached by 4+3, the process continues sequentially in sub-group 2 until
- reaching the required number of trees satisfying the initial condition.

337 Thinning from above (1+2) => 3

The process consists of removing trees belonging to the dominating bio-sociological subgroups. The process of removing is parallel in 1+2 (Dominant and Co-dominant). If the removal amount is not reached by 1+2, the process continues sequentially in sub-group 3 until reaching the required number of trees satisfying the initial condition.

342 Neutral thinning (1+2+3+4)

- The process consists of removing trees regardless of the bio-sociological sub-group to which they belong. The process is parallel in 1+2+3+4 and continues until satisfying the required removal amount.
- 346

347 2.5.2. *The bucking allocation module*

TimberTracer allocates logs from thinned trees to HWPs, considering determinant factors such 348 as stem log diameter and quality. The qualitative grading of logs involves several criteria, with 349 the proportion of knotted wood and sapwood-to-heartwood ratio being among the most 350 351 commonly used in the literature (Bucket et al., 2005; Longuetaud et al., 2012; Thurner et al., 352 2019). The bucking allocation is practically implemented within the model through two sequential steps. The first step involves dressing the stem profile of each individual tree using 353 354 the taper equation () function which renders the diameter over bark (dob) at any point along the stem. Simultaneously, the knotted wood profile is dressed using the 355 knotted wood profile() function while the sapwood and heartwood profiles are 356 357 established with the sapwood profile() function. The second step consists of combining the tree stem profile (including taper, knotted wood, sapwood, and heartwood) with the bucking 358 359 allocation criteria defined by wood industry professionals to disaggregate trees into different logs. This entire process is implemented in the bucking allocation () function (please 360 refer to Supplementary 2 for more information on the bucking allocation criteria). 361

- 362
- 363
- 364
- 365 2.5.2.1. *Stem profile generator*

To achieve a precise stem profile dressing, the *TimberTracer* uses a comprehensive set of equations, which will be thoroughly described in this section (see Figure 3 for a graphical representation of the stem profile).

The taper profile refers to the degree to which a tree's stem diameter decreases as a function of height above ground. Taper is often represented by mathematical functions fitted to empirical data, called taper equations. One such function, attributed to (Max & Burkhart, 1976) and used by default in the model is:

373

374 $y(z) = b_1 z + b_2 z + b_3 (z - a_1)^2 I_1 + b_4 (z - a_2)^2 I_2$ (Equation 5) 375 where $y = (\frac{dx}{dbh})^2$; dx = is the upper stem diameter over bark (dob) at a given height h of the 376 tree, $z = 1 - \frac{h}{ht}$ = the complement of the relative height with ht being the tree total height; a₁ 377 and a₂ = join points to be estimated from the data, $I_k = 1$ if $z > a_i$ and 0 otherwise, $k = 1, 2, b_p$'s 378 = regression coefficients with, p = 1, 2, 3, 4.

380 The crown base height (h_c) refers to the level of insertion of the last branch of the crown within 381 the stem, it is generally predicted from the total height using an allometric equation. One such 382 equation is the power function expressed as follows:

383

$$h_c = a h_t^{\ c}$$
 (Equation 6)

385 where a and b correspond respectively to the amplitude and the exponent of the relation.

386

387 The DBH of a tree could be also predicted from its total height. One such function is the power388 function expressed as follows:

389

$$390 \quad dbh = alpha \times h_t^{beta}$$
(Equation 7)

391 where *alpha* and *beta* correspond respectively to the amplitude and the exponent of the relation.
392 In tree analysis, a crucial step involves shaping the crown base profile to differentiate knotted
393 from intact wood. To establish this profile, a combination of the three equations (5-7) is utilized.
394 In practice, the approach entails reconstructing the historical crown base height limits and
395 considering that the area from the curve towards the bark represents the knotted wood, while
396 the area from the curve towards the pith represents the intact wood.

398
$$z_c = 1 - a(h_c^{c-1})$$
 (Equation 8)

399
$$d_c = \sqrt{y(z_c)} \times alpha \times [(\frac{h_c}{a})^{\frac{1}{c}}]^{beta}$$
 (Equation 9)

400 where z_c represents the complement to the relative height at the level of the crown base and d_c 401 refers the diameter of the intact wood.

402

The sapwood profile is determined based on the simplified assumption that the sapwood width and bark thickness remain constant within the tree level (Longuetaud et al., 2006). The width of knotted wood, which naturally varies within the tree level, is calculated as the difference between the diameter at a specific height obtained from the taper profile and the sum of the sapwood width and bark thickness.

408





Figure 3: Graphical representation of stem longitudinal profile

411

412 2.5.2.2. Stem disaggregation

413 Stems are disaggregated into different logs based on specific criteria, typically established by 414 wood industry professionals. These criteria, tailored to each species, include both dimensions 415 and quality considerations, and they are organized hierarchically. To elaborate, the model 416 initially checks if the stem aligns with the criteria for producing a designated log intended for 417 a specific product. If this is not the case or if the maximum desired number of this specific log 418 has been reached, the model then advances to the next HWP based on a hierarchy defined by the user. In TimberTracer, log dimensions are characterized by the length and small end 419 420 diameter of the log, while log quality is assessed through ratios of knotted wood (\mathcal{O}_{KW}) to 421 heartwood (\mathcal{O}_{HW}) and knotted wood to small end diameter (\mathcal{O}_{SE}). The model checks these 422 criteria (e.g., $\mathcal{O}_{SE} \ge 25$ cm, $(\mathcal{O}_{KW} / \mathcal{O}_{HW})^2 \le 13\%$, and $\mathcal{O}_{KW} / \mathcal{O}_{SE} \le 30\%$) by implementing a

423 bisection algorithm that automatically performs the search for the corresponding height424 validating the given criteria.

425

426 *2.5.3. The processor module*

Logs are processed to match their intended products, employing the standard industry method
for each type of product. The efficiency rate of this industrial transformation, a theoretical
measure, depends on how the processing is done, and this varies based on the targeted product.
In *TimberTracer*, the production of HWPs is calculated using the efficiency rate which is
product-specific. Subsequently, any process losses (i.e., 1 – efficiency) are redistributed among
other products according to a scheme defined by the user. This process is implemented in the
processor() function.

434

435 *2.5.4. The stock and flow module*

436 *TimberTracer* simulates the evolution of carbon in HWPs and disposal sites including both mill 437 and landfill throughout the planning (PH) sites horizon using the total stock calculator () function. After each annual projection, a portion of the carbon 438 439 in HWPs undergoes decay based on product-specific decay function (refer to compute D() 440 in the model). Subsequently, a fraction of the decay is recycled and reinjected into the HWPs 441 carbon pool (refer to compute R() in the model), another portion is directed to firewood, 442 while the remaining part is sent to landfills. Furthermore, *TimberTracer* simulates the evolution of the total emissions resulting from the firewood combustion and the decay of carbon pool in 443 444 disposal sites. accounted for as an instantaneous oxidation, using the 445 total emission calculator() function.

446

In *TimberTracer*, the product removal rate (i.e., decay rate) is determined using the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of a normal distribution, which is defined by its mean and standard deviation. The mean represents the average lifespan of a product, while the standard deviation reflects the change in the dynamic decay, typically expressed as a fraction of the average lifespan (e.g., a fraction of 1/3 as used in Brunet-Navarro et al., 2017). In the following, the CDF formulation is presented.

454
$$CDF(x; lifespan, lifespan \times fraction) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + erf\left(\frac{x - lifespan}{lifespan \times fraction \times \sqrt{2}}\right)\right]$$
 (Equation 10)
455 $erf(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$ (Equation 11)

456 where CDF is the cumulative distribution function and erf is the error function.

457

458 *2.5.5. The substitution module*

459 TimberTracer simulates the climate change mitigation effect in terms of avoided emissions 460 resulting from the substitution of fossil fuels by bioenergy and energy-intensive materials by wood products. The carbon mitigation potential is closely linked to the specific solution being 461 462 substituted and is calculated using the displacement factor (DF in tCO₂-eq m⁻³), a measure of the amount of GHG emissions avoided when wood is used instead of the current solution 463 464 (Sathre & O'Connor, 2010). The computation of the substitution is perfomed separately for material and energy substituiton using the material sub() and energy sub() functions, 465 respectively. The substitution is estimated using the following equation: 466

467

468 substitution = wood volume × DF × k (Equation 12) 469 where $k = \frac{12}{44}$ is the constant used to convert tCO₂ to tC

470

471 **3.** Use case

472

473 *3.1. Study area*

The Bonis experimental watershed located in the mountain area of Sila Greca (39°28'49" N, 474 475 16°32'07" E; from 975 to 1330 m a.s.l.) in the Calabria region, southern Italy was chosen as the study area in this work (Collalti et al., 2017; Testolin et al., 2023). Almost 93% of its total 476 477 area is covered by forests, dominated by ~60 years old artificial Laricio pine stands. The stands were planted in 1958 with an average density of 2425 sapling ha⁻¹ (Nicolaci et al., 2015) and 478 underwent a thinning treatment in 1993 with basal area (BA) removal of 25 % (Callegari et al., 479 2003). The forest was equipped with 14 circular survey plots, each with a radius of 12 meters, 480 for monitoring since 1993, and they were surveyed until late 2016. 481





486

487 *3.2. Scenarios building*

488 For the management scenarios, we tested three options reflecting different goals. All the options were simulated to take place after 2016, which is the last year of plot surveying. The first option 489 490 simulates light thinning intensity, corresponding to a 28% reduction of Basal Area (BA) at an interval of 15 years, aiming to reproduce silvicultural interventions favoring natural forest 491 492 dynamics. An additional production-oriented option, known as clearcut, simulates a complete 493 harvest followed by replanting 80 years after the establishment of the plantation. A third option, representing a more sustainable alternative to clearcutting, simulates a shelterwood. This 494 involves two light thinnings (20% reduction of BA) with a 10-year interval, followed by seed-495 favoring cut after 80 years from the original planting (80% reduction in BA) and removal cut 496 497 10 years later.

498

For the wood-use scenarios, four different options were developed. The 'Baseline scenario' ('Business as Usual', BAU) kept the recycling rate and products lifespan values constant. In the 'Longevity scenario', the lifetime of products was increased by 10 %. In the 'Reuse scenario', the recycling rate of products was increased by 10%. In the 'Sustainability scenario', both the lifetime of products and the recycling rate were increased by 10% (See Supplementary 4 for further details).

5/16	Lable 1. Horact management and wood use scenarios
200	1a010 2. FOICSI management and wood use sectiatios

Group	Name	Features
Forest	Selective thinning	28% reduction of BA at an interval of 15 years
management	Clearcut	Complete harvest followed by replanting at 80 years.
	Shelterwood	Two light thinning (20% reduction of BA) with 10-
		year interval, followed by seed-favoring after 80 years
		(80% of BA) and removal cut 10 years later
Wood use	BAU	Constant recycling rate and product lifespan
	Longevity	10% increase in product lifespan
	Reuse	10% increase in recycling rate
	Sustainability	10% increase in both product lifespan and recycling
		rate

507 508

509 *3.3. Modeling framework and the required data*

The *TimberTracer*, a WPM that tracks carbon in HWPs which was extensively introduced in
this paper, was coupled with 3D-CMCC-FEM (*'Three Dimensional – Coupled Model Carbon Cycle – Forest Ecosystem Module* 'v.5.6 BGC; Mahnken et al., 2022), a stand-level processbased model that annually provides data on the forest state (e.g., density, DBH, BA, and total
height). The integration of the two models was considered as the modeling framework for
achieving the objectives of this study.

516

517 The 3D-CMCC-FEM model requires a set of input data for its initialization which includes: (1) model species parameters set which was derived from a recent work that validated the model 518 519 for Laricio pine stand in the Bonis watershed (Testolin et al., 2023); (2) daily time series of 520 meteorological fields (e.g. incoming shortwave radiation, maximum and minimum 521 temperature, relative humidity or vapor pressure deficit, precipitation). For the period from 522 1958 until 1976 climate data was derived using the mountain microclimate simulation model 523 MT-CLIM (Thornton & Running, 1999) forced by temperature and precipitation series measured by the nearby Cecita meteorological station (39°23'51" N, 16°33'24" E; 1180 m 524 525 a.s.l.), while for the period from 1976 to 2005, gridded climate time series were used. The latter 526 derived from bias-corrected outputs of the regional climate model COSMO-CLM (Rockel et al., 2008) at around ~8 km horizontal resolution (Bucchignani et al., 2016; Zollo et al., 2016), 527 528 and driven by the general circulation model (GCM) CMCC-CM (Scoccimarro et al., 2011)

529 under historical GHG forcing (see Testolin et al., 2023 for details). Additionally, measured values of global annual atmospheric CO₂ concentration were derived from Meinshausen et al. 530 531 (2011) and used for the period from 1958 to 2005. A random sampling of both climate and CO₂ data within the period between 1990 and 2005 was performed as representative of an additional 532 533 synthetic period of 90 years assuming unchanging climate and atmospheric CO₂ conditions, to simulate in total 138 years; (3) stand initialization data for the year 1958 which included stand 534 535 density: 2425 saplings ha¹, DBH: 1 cm, height: 1.3 m, age: 4 years, elevation: 1131 m a.s.l., soil texture (clay: 20 %; silt: 26 %, sand: 54 %) and depth: 100 cm (Buttafuoco et al., 2005; 536 Nicolaci et al., 2015; Moresi et al., 2020). Testolin et al. (2023) provides an extensive 537 description of model validation (before and after thinning) at the Bonis site for both carbon 538 539 fluxes and stocks such as DBH, stand density and gross primary productivity (GPP).

540

541 In addition, TimberTracer also requires a set of inputs and parameters necessary for its initialization: (1) he bucking allocation criteria, developed to segregate solid-wood products 542 543 based on quality requirements for specific end uses across various wood products, are standardized and can be applied irrespective of log source and sawmill producer (Jozsa & 544 545 Middleton, 1994). Potential wood products from Laricio pine stems were inventoried by 546 consulting five sawmill industry experts, and the amalgamation of all possible products was 547 retained for this study. Furthermore, the bucking criteria used in this study are those commonly 548 found in the literature (CTBA, 2001); (2) the transformation efficiency of each log category, a 549 geometric yield as well as the loss reallocation were defined with the assistance of sawmill 550 industry professionals; (3) for the recycling rate, we suggest that the recycling rate of waste wood products was constant at 10% during the planning horizon while lifespan of each product 551 552 was reviewed from published studies (Burschel, Kürsten, et al., 1993, 1993; Karjalainen et al., 553 1994; Nabuurs, 1996; E. Skog & A. Nicholson, 1998; Eggers, 2002; Masera et al., 2003; 554 Pingoud et al., 2003); (4) displacement factors for the substitution were derived from the 555 literature (Sathre & O'Connor, 2010; Suter et al., 2017); (5) model dendrometry parameters, including stand structure, taper model, crown base height equation, and diameter-to-height 556 557 parameters, were fitted to the forest data collected from the experimental plots of the Bonis 558 watershed forest, while the species density was derived from the literature (Dias et al., 2018). 559



560

Figure 5: carbon modeling framework coupling a forest process-based model (3DCMCC-FEM) and *TimberTracer* a harvested-wood product model. DBH is the stand mean diameter at breast height, N is the stand density, BA is the stand basal area, H is the stand mean tree height, SA is the sapwood area of the mean tree, HA is the heartwood area of the mean tree, and BT is the bark thickness of the mean tree.

566

567 *3.5. Results*

568 3.5.1. Introduction

569 In this simulation, the net carbon balance, calculated as the difference between carbon stored in HWPs, the avoided emissions as effect of the substitution of material and fossil fuel, and 570 carbon emissions from HWPs end-life, was estimated for three different forest management 571 572 schemes and four wood use scenarios providing insights into the overall carbon balance at each point in time throughout the projection period. These estimations were derived from the 573 modeling exercise, relying on both silvicultural itinerary and product utilization over the use 574 and end-use periods. To analyze the effects of various wood use scenarios on the overall carbon 575 balance over time, we compared each scenario with the business-as-usual (BAU scenario. 576 Furthermore, to assess the impact of different forest management scenarios on the overall 577 carbon balance over time, we conducted individual comparisons while maintaining the same 578 wooduse scenario each time. In this work we use positive values to represent carbon removals 579 while negative ones are C emissions. 580



582

Figure 6: Clearcut management among different wood-use scenarios is demonstrated to showcase variations in Harvested Wood Products (HWPs) carbon stock, material and energy substitutions, carbon emissions, and the overall carbon balance (tC ha⁻¹). Blue points represent the equalization between HWPs and emissions, while black points indicate neutrality of the overall carbon balance. The red dashed line corresponds to the overall carbon balance neutrality. Negative values of the overall balance indicate positive emissions, while positive values indicate positive sequestration.



591

Figure 7: Selective thinning management among different wood-use scenarios is demonstrated to showcase variations in Harvested Wood Products (HWPs) carbon stock, material and energy substitutions, carbon emissions, and the overall carbon balance (tC ha⁻¹). Blue points represent the equalization between HWPs and emissions, while black points indicate the neutrality of the overall carbon balance. The red dashed line corresponds to overall carbon balance neutrality. Negative values of the overall balance indicate positive emissions, while positive values indicate positive sequestration.

599



Figure 8: Shelterwood management among different wood-use scenarios is demonstrated to showcase variations in Harvested Wood Products (HWPs) carbon stock, material and energy substitutions, carbon emissions, and the overall carbon balance (tC ha⁻¹). Blue points represent the equalization between HWPs and emissions, while black points indicate the neutrality of the overall carbon balance. The red dashed line corresponds to the overall carbon balance neutrality. Negative values of the overall balance indicate positive emissions, while positive values indicate positive sequestration.

622 *3.5.2. Overall carbon balance*

The application of wood use scenarios had varied effects across different forest management 623 624 scenarios, yet recurrent patterns can be identified. Among the various forest management scenarios, the sustainability scenario consistently exhibited the lowest carbon C emissions. To 625 626 elaborate, over the planning horizon, C emissions decreased by 69%, 267%, and 40%, respectively, for clearcut, selective thinning, and shelterwood managements compared to the 627 628 BAU scenario (i.e., -6.1, 1.34, -12.91 tC ha⁻¹). Comparable effects were observed with the longevity scenario, resulting in a reduction of C emissions by 60%, 254%, and 35%, 629 630 respectively, for clearcut, selective thinning, and shelterwood managements compared to BAU. The reuse scenario induced the smallest decrease in C emissions, at 11%, 44%, and 4.6%, 631 632 respectively, for clearcut, selective thinning, and shelterwood managements compared to BAU 633 (See Tables 3 and 4).

634

In the context of forest management, selective thinning exhibited pronounced superiority over alternative management approaches in all four wood-use scenarios. Under this management strategy, carbon emissions were intensively reduced compared to the BAU scenario for clearcut and shelterwood management, respectively. Meanwhile, clearcut and shelterwood management demonstrated nearly equivalent effects across the three wood-use scenarios (see Tables 3 and 4).

641

The overall carbon balance exhibits diverse patterns based on the applied forest management. 642 643 Specifically, the results consistently demonstrate a positive balance for the selective thinning 644 management approach throughout the entire planning horizon. However, the clearcut 645 management reached equilibrium at either year 64 or 65 under BAU and the reuse scenarios, 646 or under the longevity and sustainability scenarios. The balance remained negative from that point until a significant harvesting event at year 84. Subsequently, a new equilibrium was 647 achieved at either year 134 or 138 under BAU and reuse scenarios, or under longevity and 648 sustainability scenarios. The overall balance remained negative thereafter. In the case of 649 650 shelterwood management, the balance consistently remained positive until a first equilibrium was reached at either year 129, 130, or 133 under BAU, or under the reuse scenario, or under 651 652 the longevity and sustainability scenarios. Afterward, the balance remained negative (See 653 Figures 5-7).

Table 3: The overall carbon balance, encompassing removals, emissions, and substitution

effects from harvested wood products (HWPs) due to wood use and management scenarios, is
expressed in tC ha⁻¹. Negative values indicate net carbon emissions, whereas positive values
indicate net carbon removals.

Wood use scenario BAU Sustainability **Management scenario** Reuse Longevity Clearcut -5.43 -2.46 -1.88-6.1Selective thinning 1.34 1.93 4.74 4.92 -12.91 -12.32-8.39 -7.76 Shelterwood

659

660 Table 4: Relative difference in the overall carbon balance (%) between the baseline scenario

^{661 (}BAU) and the alternative wood-use scenarios.

	Wood use scenario			
Management scenario	Reuse	Longevity	Sustainability	
Clearcut	11	60	69	
Selective thinning	44	254	267	
Shelterwood	4.6	35	40	

662

663 *4.5.3. Material and energy substitution*

Regarding material substitution, we identified a distinct pattern marked by successive phases 664 of a sharp pulse of increase coinciding with thinning or harvesting operations, followed by a 665 666 positive pseudo plateau. Among the forest management scenarios, the reuse scenario 667 consistently showed the highest material substitution effect averaging 0.62% more than the BAU scenario (i.e., 25.59, 27.12, and 30.46 tC ha⁻¹; respectively for clearcut, selective thinning 668 669 and shelterwood). In contrast, the longevity scenario consistently exhibited the lowest potential 670 (averaging 0.53% less than the BAU) while the sustainability scenario demonstrated a 671 comparable effect to the BAU scenario.

672

For energy substitution, we observed a pattern characterized by successive phases of a moderately intense pulse of increase coinciding with thinning or harvesting operations, followed by a positive slope. Among the management scenarios, the BAU scenario (i.e., 22.10, 22.27, and 28.51 tC ha⁻¹; respectively for clearcut, selective thinning and shelterwood) consistently exhibited the highest energy substitution effect. In contrast, the sustainability consistently showed the lowest energy substitution effect (averaging 5.25% less than BAU),

- 679 followed by the longevity scenario (averaging 4.41% less than BAU) and finally the reuse
- 680 scenario (averaging 0.57% less than BAU).

682 Table 5: Cumulative material and energy substitutions due to the use of particular scenario (in tC ha^{-1}) (Material substitution | Energy substitution).

	Wood use scenario				
Management scenario	BAU	Reuse	Longevity	Sustainability	
Clearcut	25.59 22.10	25.80 21.98	25.39 21.01	25.59 20.91	
Selective thinning	27.12 22.27	27.22 22.12	27.03 21.36	26.78 21.05	
Shelterwood	30.46 28.51	30.66 28.37	30.31 27.30	30.47 27.11	

684

685 *3.5.4. Carbon balance of HWPs*

Regarding the carbon emissions from firewood and disposal sites, we observed a pattern 686 687 characterized by a sustained positive slope interrupted by a sharp pulse of increase coinciding 688 with thinning or harvesting operations. Among the management scenarios, the BAU scenario (i.e., -63.90, -67.77, and -85.94 tC ha⁻¹; respectively for clearcut, selective thinning and 689 690 shelterwood) consistently exhibited the highest C emissions. In contrast, the sustainability 691 scenario consistently showed the lowest level of emissions (averaging 4.17% less than BAU), 692 followed by the longevity scenario (at 3.64% less than BAU), and finally the reuse scenario (at 693 0.48% less than BAU).

694

695 Regarding the carbon stock of HWPs, it assumes different shapes depending on the type of 696 applied forest management. However, a commonly observed pattern is characterized by a 697 sustained negative slope interrupted by a sharp pulse of increase coinciding with thinning or 698 harvesting operations. Among the management scenarios, the BAU scenario consistently 699 exhibited the lowest HWPs carbon stock (i.e., 10.11, 19.72, and 14.06 tC ha⁻¹ for clearcut, 700 selective thinning, and shelterwood, respectively). In contrast, the sustainability consistently 701 showed the highest level of carbon stock in HWPs (averaging 20% more than BAU), followed 702 by the longevity scenario (at 18.2% more than the BAU), and finally, the reuse scenario (at 703 1.80% more than BAU).

704

705 The duration of positive carbon balance differs significantly across various forest management 706 strategies and slightly among different wood-use scenarios (see Table 5). In the case of selective 707 thinning, five positive periods, measured in years, can be observed, with their durations

⁶⁸¹

decreasing over time: [20, 6, 6, 3, 1] or [21, 7, 7, 4, 2], respectively, for BAU and the reuse scenarios or the longevity and sustainability scenarios. In the case of clearcut management, two positive periods can be observed with their durations slightly increasing over time: [20, 24] or [21, 25], respectively, for BAU and the reuse scenario or the longevity and sustainability scenarios. Regarding the shelterwood management, four positive periods can be observed with their durations decreasing and then increasing overtime: [20, 3, 3, 19] or [21, 3, 3, 20], respectively, for BAU and the reuse scenario or the longevity and sustainably scenarios.

715 Table 6: Duration of Positive Balance Between Harvested Wood Products (HWPs) stock and716 emissions (in years).

	Wood use scenario				
Management scenario	BAU	Reuse	Longevity	Sustainability	
Clearcut	36	36	41	41	
Selective thinning	44	44	46	46	
shelterwood	45	45	47	47	

717

718 4. Discussions

719

In this study we presented *TimberTracer*, a dynamic model of the carbon balance in HWPs, and coupled it with 3D-CMCC-FEM with the aim to investigate on the effect of different forest management and wood use options on the overall carbon balance of HWPs. Assuming flexibility in both wood utilization and forest management practices, this study case demonstrates that the overall carbon balance can be increased by giving preference to multiple light, non-distant cuttings over a few distant intensive cuttings and promoting wood use for material purposes, including the increase of recycling and products lifetime.

727

728 Among various tested wood-use options, the sustainability scenario, representing the synergistic combination of increased recycling rate and extended product lifetime, 729 730 demonstrated the highest mitigation potential among all wood use options. Increasing the 731 recycling rate involves reinjecting an additional portion into the existing HWPs carbon stock, 732 positively influencing both the level of HWPs stock and the material substitution effect, 733 considering the new products. The increase in the recycling rate results in a reduction in the 734 portion of decay allocated to firewood, crucial for emission reduction due to the balanced 735 relationship between firewood and recycled wood. Furthermore, the extended product lifetime contributes to lowering the decay rate, effectively delaying emissions from HWPs. The last 736 737 point is strongly supported by the equilibrium analysis of the overall balance, demonstrating

that scenarios with a 10% increase in product lifetime typically reach an overall equilibriumwell after the other scenarios (see Results).

As far as forest management options are concerned, selective thinning management with 740 regular cutting of approximately the same stand basal area (i.e., $\sim 11 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$) demonstrated the 741 742 highest mitigation potential among the studied forest management options. It consistently 743 maintained an overall balance above the zero line throughout the 140-year planning horizon. 744 Despite periods where carbon emissions exceeded the HWP carbon stock, compensatory effects of material and energy substitution offset this difference. In contrast, shelterwood 745 746 management, although prescribing a harvesting of 31.72% basal area higher than selective thinning (i.e., $\sim 57 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$), experienced an overall carbon balance dropping below the zero 747 line around 130 years, a decade before the end of the planning horizon. This could be attributed 748 to the timing of a strong thinning (i.e., $33.44 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$) that occurred earlier at the year 84. The 749 emissions from its decay could not be offset by the last thinning at the year 94 (i.e., 11.51 m² 750 ha⁻¹), leading to an overall carbon equilibrium and negative balance thereafter. Despite 751 752 demonstrating the highest mitigation potential, selective thinning exhibited the shortest period 753 in positive balance among different forest management options (i.e., 38 years compared to 45 754 and 46 years for clearcut and shelterwood managements, respectively). This suggests that the 755 option characterized by the longest period in positive balance may not necessarily provide the best mitigation potential. Other factors, such as the timing and intensity of harvesting may 756 757 come into play. In the case of clearcut and shelterwood managements, the last cuttings are early and involve intense interventions, causing the decay of almost the entire stock and justifying 758 759 the early overall carbon balance equilibrium relatively to the planning horizon.

760 The outcomes of this study are significantly enhanced by the inclusion of the bucking allocation 761 module in the *TimberTracer* model, which considers the dimensions of logs for the allocation 762 of wood to HWPs. This is crucial because various products have distinct use and post-use 763 properties, leading to diverse time dynamics, and a pre-established allocation may not 764 accurately reflect reality. The role of the bucking allocation was evident across all management options. Each successive intervention, characterized by a higher mean DBH of the harvested 765 766 stems than the precedent due to the stand's growth dynamic, resulted in the newly HWPs stock exhibiting a slower decay dynamic than the precedent due to the higher portion of long-lived 767 768 products in the most recent one. For instance, in the case of clearcut management, two interventions were made at the years 39 and 84, yielding decay rates of $\sim 2\%$ per year and 1.5% 769 770 per year, respectively. The analysis of the bucking allocation in both the initial and subsequent 771 harvests, reveals that, from the first harvest, the production was limited to short- and mediumlived HWPs such as paper and particle, while novel categories of long-lived products were
introduced in the second harvest, exemplified by furniture and sawing, which justify the
decrease in the decay rate of the HWPs stock (see Appendix A).

775 These multiple findings align with prior studies in this area. In a theoretical exercise evaluating 776 the mitigation potential of wood product use in the European forest sector, (Brunet-Navarro et 777 al., 2017) demonstrated that increasing each component—whether recycling rate or lifespan— 778 individually by approximately 20% could result in an 8.9% increase in carbon removal by 2030 by reference to the 2017 BAU scenario. Furthermore, the study states that a simultaneous 20% 779 780 increase in both average product lifespan and recycling rate could yield a 17.3% increase in carbon removal by 2030. Another recent study conducted by Bozzolan et al., 2024) explored 781 782 the carbon sequestration potential of HWPs in four EU countries, projecting outcomes from 2020 to 2050 across six alternative scenarios. The findings suggest that prioritizing wood use 783 784 for material purposes, while maintaining a constant harvest, yields the highest mitigation benefits in the short to medium term. Moreover, in a continental study (EU-28) focusing on 785 786 assessing the consequences of implementing policy choices on GHG emissions and removals, 787 it was revealed that the adoption of the cascading scenario of HWPs led to a slight increase in 788 the net balance between emissions and removals from/by HWPs. The balance was simulated 789 to rise from approximately 34 Mt CO₂-eq in the base period around 2010 to just under 40 Mt 790 CO₂-eq in 2030, as documented by Rüter et al. 2016). In another study aiming to investigate the potential of cascading use of woody biomass in the EU, it was found that GHG emissions 791 could be reduced by 35 MtCO²-eq year⁻¹ as a result of implementing the maximum technical 792 793 potential to increase recycling of waste wood and paper flows (Bais-Moleman et al., 2018).

794

795 From another perspective, the significance of management has been underscored in numerous 796 studies. In Hennigar et al. 2008), the application of five silvicultural itineraries, derived from 797 translating five alternative management objectives for an even-aged forest, resulted in 798 significantly different outcomes regarding the carbon sequestration by HWPs. In another study, (Thornley & Cannell, 2000) concluded that the method of harvesting is crucial showing further 799 800 that a regular removal of timber from forest in a way that maintains a continuous canopy is 801 likely to give substantially higher sustained yields and amount of carbon storage than periodical 802 clear-felling. The same study suggests that if the objective was to maximize timber volume 803 yield, the optimal management system would be the regular thinning of forest. A physiological 804 explanation of this could be that the continuous canopy cover with a moderately high leaf area index (~ $4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$) provide high light interception and net primary production (Bouriaud et al., 805

806 under review). Regular thinning ensures that the forest has lower biomass than an undisturbed 807 forest, and it is continuously growing, resulting in lower maintenance respiration (Schulze et 808 al., 2022). In a different context, Bourque et al. (2007) demonstrated that selection harvesting 809 was the preferred method compared to clearcutting when the goal was to maximize total carbon storage in the forest landscape and wood products generated from harvesting over an 80-year 810 planning horizon. This preference was justified by the fact that selection harvesting, in contrast 811 812 to clearcutting, offers the advantage of maintaining the forest close to its maximum biological productivity. Additionally, it provides a consistent and sustainable yield of desirable wood 813 814 products at set intervals. In contrast, clearcutting involves harvesting stands when their average DBH reaches 10 cm (merchantable dimension), and their yields exceed 50 m³ ha⁻¹. This 815 practice is more likely to favor the production of pulpwood due to the smaller size of the 816 harvested trees, which implies a faster decay of the derived products and thus of the HWPs 817 818 stock.

819

821

820 <u>Limitations and perspectives</u>

When evaluating both the model structure and outcomes derived from the simulation of the use case, it is imperative to considering the ensuing limitations and underlying assumptions.

Considering the model's sensitivity to inputs and the dependence of results on the approach 824 used for calculating HWPs stock, emissions, and substitution, it is crucial to account for 825 826 uncertainties associated with these elements (Cláudia Dias et al., 2009). The TimberTracer 827 model tracks wood throughout its entire lifecycle, from harvesting to disposal sites, thereby 828 encompassing major processes in between. This modeling principle is considered advanced 829 due to its capacity to accurately trace carbon over the lifetime of wood products, providing 830 precise results. However, implementing this principle at the national level poses challenges due to the large number and diversity of HWPs, as well as the substantial amount of the required 831 832 local data (Jasinevičius et al., 2015). As the amount of data increases, the level of compounded uncertainty proportionally rises. A subsequent phase would involve scrutinizing the sensitivity 833 834 of various model outputs to the input data.

835

From another perspective, the grading of logs utilizing the bucking allocation module, as
exemplified by the implementation in *TimberTracer*, encounters obstacles due to various
factors presumed to impact wood quality — such as strength, knottiness, appearance, stiffness,
hardness, and durability. It is almost impossible, using exclusively models, to account for all

840 these factors. As an illustration, the implemented module does not account for the presence of residual branches below the crown base and external defects. Furthermore, it is conceivable 841 842 that variations in knot distribution exist across different management scenarios. Owing to the omission of this parameter in our model, we assert that the disparities in the proportions of 843 844 HWP classes could be somewhat undervalued. This conjecture remains subject to empirical 845 verification, yet the current capabilities of *TimberTracer* do not permit the necessary resolution 846 for such details. Therefore, future refinements of the model are imperative to enable a more 847 precise evaluation of these nuances.

848

Given that the maximum climate benefit varies over time for different forest managements (Guest et al., 2013; Röder et al., 2019), we raise questions about the relevance of the fixed planning horizon of 140 years in this study and its capacity to appropriately address the underlying research hypothesis. In this context, we may explore in the future the potential of alternative management strategies, such as clearcut and shelterwood, to be optimal for different planning horizons. Furthermore, we may question the assumption that simulations conducted over multiple rotations can effectively control for instantaneous response.

856

857 Moreover, it is crucial to note that carbon neutrality does not necessarily imply climate 858 neutrality. When wood is burned or decays, emissions spend some time in the atmosphere 859 before being sequestered, contributing to climate change in the meantime. In other words, the 860 timing of emissions and sinks has an impact on the overall cumulative climatic impact 861 (Cherubini et al., 2011; Levasseur et al., 2010, 2012). Among the factors affecting this timing are the speed of biomass regrowth (rotation period) and the storage of biomass products (e.g., 862 building, furniture, and paper). Since these factors are closely linked to forest management 863 practices, the assessment of these practices should not only consider the carbon balance but 864 865 also examine the timing of carbon input and output flows.

866

Another worth-discussing question concerns the methodological scheme used in this study. Evaluating the potential of various forest management and wood-use options in mitigating climate change necessitates a systemic perspective that considers the diverse pools of the forest sector, including biomass, soil, and products (Grassi et al., 2021; Lemprière et al., 2013). The current study exclusively focuses on the wood products pool, which may not be directly relevant for guiding the decision-making of forest managers. This is particularly important considering that the forest ecosystem constitutes the major contribution of the forest sector in terms of climate change mitigation. To exemplify this recent assertion, Pilli et al. (2015)
calculated the emissions and removals linked to HWPs for the historical period (1992-2012)
and future scenarios until 2030 in the EU (excluding Malta and Cyprus). They utilized
FAOSTAT data on forest product production (see https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/FO).
The findings of this research indicate that the average historical sink of HWPs from 2000 to
2012 accounts for 10% of the sink contained in the forest pools. This underscores the
importance of including non-HWP carbon pools in decision-making processes as well.

881

882 Finally, the results of this use case suggest that shelterwood management is the optimal choice for optimizing the overall carbon balance of HWPs within the defined planning horizon. The 883 884 rationale behind this preference lies partially in the late thinning operation characteristic of this management scenario, allowing for the carbon stock and substitution to counterbalance total 885 886 emissions effectively. Notably, this silvicultural practice of partial cutting aligns with natural disturbance-based silviculture, as it mimics natural dynamics by anticipating the imminent 887 888 mortality of a portion of mature trees (Bose et al., 2014). Moreover, considering the ongoing 889 rapid changes in many ecosystems and their interaction with natural disturbances, which are 890 expected to be significant and less predictable in the future (Seidl et al., 2017), multi-aged 891 forest management systems offer a promising approach to enhance resistance and resilience, 892 which is attributed to the presence of multiple age classes, providing more potential pathways for post-disturbance management and recovery (O'Hara & Ramage, 2013). Within this context, 893 894 a suggestion arises to guide future studies in accounting for disturbance risks. Neglecting to 895 incorporate such considerations could result in an overestimation of the climate mitigation 896 efficacy associated with various forest management alternatives.

897

898 **5.** Code availability

899 *TimberTracer* is a Python based model for all operating systems (Windows, Linux, and Mac 900 OS). It is free and open source (version 1.0.0 with GPL-3 license, requiring Python $\geq 3x$). We openly share our model on GitHub for collaborative research, fostering a community-901 902 driven approach to innovation. A tutorial on Google Colab empowers users to harness 903 TimberTracer's capabilities, customize analyses, and integrate it seamlessly into projects. 904 Detailed instructions are available on GitHub, emphasizing TimberTracer's primary objective: 905 providing valuable insights into carbon sequestration, substitution, and emissions progression 906 over time. We encourage users to report any issues and/or desired extensions on our active 907 issues page ().

908 # Later when the GitHub repository will be made public

909

910 **References**

911

Bais-Moleman, A. L., Sikkema, R., Vis, M., Reumerman, P., Theurl, M. C., & Erb, K.-H.
(2018). Assessing wood use efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions of wood product
cascading in the European Union. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *172*, 3942-3954.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.04.153

916

Bose, A. K., Harvey, B. D., Brais, S., Beaudet, M., & Leduc, A. (2014). Constraints to partial
cutting in the boreal forest of Canada in the context of natural disturbance-based management :
A review. *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*, 87(1), 11-28.
https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpt047

921

Bourque, C. P.-A., Neilson, E. T., Gruenwald, C., Perrin, S. F., Hiltz, J. C., Blin, Y. A.,
Horsman, G. V., Parker, M. S., Thorburn, C. B., Corey, M. M., Meng, F., & Swift, D. E. (2007).
Optimizing carbon sequestration in commercial forests by integrating carbon management
objectives in wood supply modeling. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*,

926 12(7), 1253-1275. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-006-9072-3

Bozzolan, N., Grassi, G., Mohren, F., & Nabuurs, G.-J. (2024). Options to improve the carbon
balance of the harvested wood products sector in four EU countries. *GCB Bioenergy*, 16(1),
e13104. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.13104

931

927

Brunet-Navarro, P., Jochheim, H., & Muys, B. (2016). Modelling carbon stocks and fluxes in
the wood product sector : A comparative review. *Global Change Biology*, 22(7), 2555-2569.
https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13235

935

Brunet-Navarro, P., Jochheim, H., & Muys, B. (2017). The effect of increasing lifespan and
recycling rate on carbon storage in wood products from theoretical model to application for the
European wood sector. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 22(8),
1193-1205. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-016-9722-z

940

Bucchignani, E., Montesarchio, M., Zollo, A. L., & Mercogliano, P. (2016). High-resolution
climate simulations with COSMO-CLM over Italy: Performance evaluation and climate
projections for the 21st century. *International Journal of Climatology*, *36*(2), 735-756.
https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4379

945

Bucket, E., Moguedec, G. L., Mothe, F. F., & Nepveu, G. (2005). Une modélisation des bilans
« environnement » et « produits » de sylvicultures contrastées : Cas du chêne sessile. *Revue forestière française*, 57(3), 311.

949

950 Burschel, P., Kürsten, E., & Larson, B. C. (1993). Die Rolle von Wald und Forstwirtschaft im

951 Kohlenstoffhaushalt—Eine Betrachtung für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland.

952 https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Die-Rolle-von-Wald-und-Forstwirtschaft-im-Eine-

953 f%C3%BCr-Burschel-K%C3%BCrsten/ee845935e353be6d091ff44569d47a7fba74a8c8

Burschel, P., Kürsten, E., Larson, B. C., & Weber, M. (1993). Present role of German forests and forestry in the national carbon budget and options to its increase. *Water, Air, and Soil*

- 956 *Pollution*, 70(1), 325-340. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01105005
- 957
 958 Buttafuoco, G., Castrignanò, A., Busoni, E., & Dimase, A. C. (2005). Studying the spatial
 959 structure evolution of soil water content using multivariate geostatistics. *Journal of Hydrology*,
 960 *311*(1), 202-218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.01.018
- Callegari, G., Ferrari, E., Garfi, G., Iovino, F., & Veltri, A. (2003). Impact of thinning on the
 water balance of a catchment in a Mediterranean environment. *The Forestry Chronicle*, 79(2),
 301-306. https://doi.org/10.5558/tfc79301-2
- Cao, Q. V. (2004). Predicting Parameters of a Weibull Function for Modeling Diameter
 Distribution. *Forest Science*, 50(5), 682-685. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestscience/50.5.682
- Cao, T., Hyytiäinen, K., Tahvonen, O., & Valsta, L. (2006). Effects of initial stand states on
 optimal thinning regime and rotation of Picea abies stands. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 21(5), 388-398. https://doi.org/10.1080/02827580600951915
- 972

961

- 973 Cherubini, F., Peters, G. P., Berntsen, T., Strømman, A. H., & Hertwich, E. (2011). CO2
 974 emissions from biomass combustion for bioenergy: Atmospheric decay and contribution to
 975 global warming. *GCB Bioenergy*, 3(5), 413-426. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1757976 1707.2011.01102.x
 977
- 978 Collalti, A., Bondo, C., Buttafuoco, G., Maesano, M., Caloiero, T., Lucà, F., Pellicone, G.,
 979 Ricca, N., Salvati, R., Veltri, A., Scarascia Mugnozza, G., & Matteucci, G. (2017). Protocollo
 980 di simulazione, calibrazione e validazione del modello 3D-CMCC-CNR-FEM : Il caso studio
 981 del bacino altamente strumentato del Bonis in Calabria. *Forest@ Journal of Silviculture and*982 *Forest Ecology*, 14(1), 247. https://doi.org/10.3832/efor2368-014
- 983
- Collalti, A., Ibrom, A., Stockmarr, A., Cescatti, A., Alkama, R., Fernández-Martínez, M.,
 Matteucci, G., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Goll, D. S., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Pongratz,
 J., Arneth, A., Haverd, V., & Prentice, I. C. (2020). Forest production efficiency increases with
 growth temperature. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467020-19187-w
- Collalti, A., Perugini, L., Santini, M., Chiti, T., Nolè, A., Matteucci, G., & Valentini, R. (2014).
 A process-based model to simulate growth in forests with complex structure : Evaluation and
 use of 3D-CMCC Forest Ecosystem Model in a deciduous forest in Central Italy. *Ecological Modelling*, 272, 362-378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.09.016
- 994
- 995 Crumpler, K., Abi khalil, R., Tanganelli, E., Rai, N., Roffredi, L., Meybeck, A., Umulisa, V., 996 Wolf, J., & Bernoux, M. (2021). 2021 (Interim) Global update report : Agriculture, Forestry 997 and Fisheries in the Nationally Determined Contributions. FAO. 998 https://doi.org/10.4060/cb7442en 999
- 1000 CTBA, C. (2001). *Manuel scierie : Techniques et matériels*. Centre Technique du Bois et de
 1001 l'Ameublement.
- 1002
- Dalmonech, D., Marano, G., Amthor, J. S., Cescatti, A., Lindner, M., Trotta, C., & Collalti, A.
 (2022). Feasibility of enhancing carbon sequestration and stock capacity in temperate and
 boreal European forests via changes to management regimes. *Agricultural and Forest*

1006 Meteorology, 327, 109203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.109203 1007 1008 Di Lallo, G., Chiriacò, M. V., Tarasova, E., Köhl, M., & Perugini, L. (2023). The land sector 1009 in the low carbon emission strategies in the European Union: Role and future expectations. 1010 *Climate Policy*, 0(0), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2023.2273948 1011 1012 Dias, A., Gaspar, M. J., Carvalho, A., Pires, J., Lima-Brito, J., Silva, M. E., & Louzada, J. L. (2018). Within- and between-tree variation of wood density components in Pinus nigra at six 1013 1014 sites in Portugal. Annals of Forest Science, 75(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-1015 018-0734-6 1016 1017 Dugan, A. J., Birdsey, R., Mascorro, V. S., Magnan, M., Smyth, C. E., Olguin, M., & Kurz, W. 1018 A. (2018). A systems approach to assess climate change mitigation options in landscapes of the United States forest sector. Carbon Balance and Management. 1019 13(1). 13. 1020 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-018-0100-x 1021 1022 E. Skog, K., & A. Nicholson, G. (1998). Carbon cycling through wood products : The role of 1023 wood and paper products in carbon sequestration. 48(7). 1024 1025 Eggers, T. (2002). The Impacts of Manufacturing and Utilisation of Wood Products on the 1026 European Carbon Budget. 1027 1028 Fabrika, M., & Ďurský, J. (2005). Algorithms and software solution of thinning models for 1029 simulator. Journal Forest Science, 51(10), 431-445. SIBYLA growth of 1030 https://doi.org/10.17221/4577-JFS 1031 1032 Fabrika, M., & Vaculčiak, T. (2009). Modeling Natural Disturbances in Tree Growth Model 1033 SIBYLA. In K. Střelcová, C. Mátyás, A. Kleidon, M. Lapin, F. Matejka, M. Blaženec, J. 1034 Škvarenina, & J. Holécy (Éds.), *Bioclimatology and Natural Hazards* (p. 155-164). Springer 1035 Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8876-6 14 1036 Grassi, G., Fiorese, G., Pilli, R., Jonsson, K., Blujdea, V., Korosuo, A., & Vizzarri, M. (2021, 1037 1038 mai 6). Brief on the role of the forest-based bioeconomy in mitigating climate change through storage 1039 carbon and material substitution. JRC Publications Repository. 1040 https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC124374 1041 1042 Grassi, G., House, J., Dentener, F., Federici, S., den Elzen, M., & Penman, J. (2017). The key 1043 role of forests in meeting climate targets requires science for credible mitigation. Nature 1044 Climate Change, 7(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3227 1045 1046 Grossi, F., Ge, H., & Zmeureanu, R. (2023). Life Cycle Assessment of the Environmental 1047 Benefits of Using Wood Products and Planting Trees at an All-Electric University Laboratory. 1048 Buildings, 13(7), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings13071584 1049 1050 Guest, G., Cherubini, F., & Strømman, A. H. (2013). Global Warming Potential of Carbon 1051 Dioxide Emissions from Biomass Stored in the Anthroposphere and Used for Bioenergy at End of Life. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 17(1), 20-30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-1052 1053 9290.2012.00507.x 1054 Gulev, S. K., Thorne, P. W., Ahn, J., Dentener, F. J., Domingues, C. M., Gerland, S., Gong, 1055

D., Kaufman, D. S., Nnamchi, H. C., Quaas, J., Rivera, J. A., Sathyendranath, S., Smith, S. L.,
Trewin, B., von Schuckmann, K., & Vose, R. S. (2021). *Changing state of the climate system*(V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen,
L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. B. R. Matthews, T. K. Maycock,
T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, & B. Zhou, Éds.; p. 287-422). Cambridge University Press.
https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Chapter02.pdf

- Hennigar, C. R., MacLean, D. A., & Amos-Binks, L. J. (2008). A novel approach to optimize
 management strategies for carbon stored in both forests and wood products. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 256(4), 786-797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.05.037
- Jasinevičius, G., Lindner, M., Pingoud, K., & Tykkylainen, M. (2015). Review of models for
 carbon accounting in harvested wood products. *International Wood Products Journal*, 6(4),
 198-212. https://doi.org/10.1080/20426445.2015.1104078
- Jozsa, L. A., & Middleton, G. R. (1994). A DISCUSSION OF WOOD QUALITY ATTRIBUTES
 AND THEIR PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS.
- Kaipainen, T., Liski, J., Pussinen, A., & Karjalainen, T. (2004). Managing carbon sinks by
 changing rotation length in European forests. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 7(3), 205-219.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2004.03.001
- 1077

- 1078 Karjalainen, T., Kellomäki, S., & Pussinen, A. (1994). Role of wood-based products in 1079 absorbing atmospheric carbon. Silva Fennica, 28(2). https://www.silvafennica.fi/article/5398 Kayo, C., Kalt, G., Tsunetsugu, Y., Hashimoto, S., Komata, H., Noda, R., & Oka, H. (2021). 1080 1081 The default methods in the 2019 Refinement drastically reduce estimates of global carbon sinks 1082 products. Carbon of harvested wood Balance and Management, 16(1). 37. 1083 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-021-00200-8
- 1084
 1085 Király, É., Kis-Kovács, G., Börcsök, Z., Kocsis, Z., Németh, G., Polgár, A., & Borovics, A.
 1086 (2023). Modelling Carbon Storage Dynamics of Wood Products with the HWP-RIAL Model—
 1087 Projection of Particleboard End-of-Life Emissions under Different Climate Mitigation
 1088 Measures. *Sustainability*, 15(7), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15076322
 - 1089
 - Lemprière, T. C., Kurz, W. A., Hogg, E. H., Schmoll, C., Rampley, G. J., Yemshanov, D.,
 McKenney, D. W., Gilsenan, R., Beatch, A., Blain, D., Bhatti, J. S., & Krcmar, E. (2013).
 Canadian boreal forests and climate change mitigation. *Environmental Reviews*, 21(4),
 293-321. https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2013-0039
 - 1094
 - Leskinen, P., Cardellini, G., González-García, S., Hurmekoski, E., Sathre, R., Seppälä, J.,
 Smyth, C., Stern, T., Verkerk, P. J., & European Forest Institute. (2018). *Substitution effects of wood-based products in climate change mitigation* (From Science to Policy) [From Science to
 Policy]. European Forest Institute. https://doi.org/10.36333/fs07
 - 1099
 - Levasseur, A., Lesage, P., Margni, M., Brandão, M., & Samson, R. (2012). Assessing
 temporary carbon sequestration and storage projects through land use, land-use change and
 forestry : Comparison of dynamic life cycle assessment with ton-year approaches. *Climatic Change*, *115*(3), 759-776. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0473-x
 - 1104
 - 1105 Levasseur, A., Lesage, P., Margni, M., Deschênes, L., & Samson, R. (2010). Considering Time

1106 in LCA: Dynamic LCA and Its Application to Global Warming Impact Assessments. 1107 Environmental Science & Technology, 44(8), 3169-3174. https://doi.org/10.1021/es9030003 1108 1109 Longuetaud, F., Mothe, F., Kerautret, B., Krähenbühl, A., Hory, L., Leban, J. M., & Debled-Rennesson, I. (2012). Automatic knot detection and measurements from X-ray CT images of 1110 1111 wood: A review and validation of an improved algorithm on softwood samples. Computers 1112 and Electronics in Agriculture, 85, 77-89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2012.03.013 1113 1114 Longuetaud, F., Mothe, F., Leban, J.-M., & Mäkelä, A. (2006). Picea abies sapwood width : 1115 Variations within and between trees. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 21(1), 41-53. 1116 https://doi.org/10.1080/02827580500518632 1117 1118 Mahnken, M., Cailleret, M., Collalti, A., Trotta, C., Biondo, C., D'Andrea, E., Dalmonech, D., Marano, G., Mäkelä, A., Minunno, F., Peltoniemi, M., Trotsiuk, V., Nadal-Sala, D., Sabaté, S., 1119 1120 Vallet, P., Aussenac, R., Cameron, D. R., Bohn, F. J., Grote, R., ... Rever, C. P. O. (2022). Accuracy, realism and general applicability of European forest models. Global Change 1121 1122 Biology, 28(23), 6921-6943. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16384 1123 1124 Mantau, U. (2015). Wood flow analysis: Quantification of resource potentials, cascades and 1125 carbon effects. **Biomass** and Bioenergy, 79, 28-38. 1126 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2014.08.013 1127 1128 Masera, O. R., Garza-Caligaris, J. F., Kanninen, M., Karjalainen, T., Liski, J., Nabuurs, G. J., 1129 Pussinen, A., de Jong, B. H. J., & Mohren, G. M. J. (2003). Modeling carbon sequestration in 1130 afforestation, agroforestry and forest management projects : The CO2FIX V.2 approach. 1131 Ecological Modelling, 164(2), 177-199. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(02)00419-2 1132 Matsumoto, R., Kayo, C., Kita, S., Nakamura, K., Lauk, C., & Funada, R. (2022). Estimation 1133 1134 of carbon stocks in wood products for private building companies. Scientific Reports, 12, 1135 18112. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23112-0 1136 Max, T., & Burkhart, H. (1976). Segmented Polynomial Regression Applied to Taper 1137 1138 Equations. Forest Science, 22, 283-289. 1139 1140 Meinshausen, M., Raper, S. C. B., & Wigley, T. M. L. (2011). Emulating coupled atmosphere-1141 ocean and carbon cycle models with a simpler model, MAGICC6 – Part 1 : Model description 1142 calibration. *Atmospheric* Chemistry Physics, 11(4), 1417-1456. and and 1143 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1417-2011 1144 1145 Moreaux, V., Martel, S., Bosc, A., Picart, D., Achat, D., Moisy, C., Aussenac, R., Chipeaux,

- Moreaux, V., Martel, S., Bosc, A., Picart, D., Achat, D., Moisy, C., Aussenac, R., Chipeaux,
 C., Bonnefond, J.-M., Figuères, S., Trichet, P., Vezy, R., Badeau, V., Longdoz, B., Granier,
 A., Roupsard, O., Nicolas, M., Pilegaard, K., Matteucci, G., ... Loustau, D. (2020). Energy,
 water and carbon exchanges in managed forest ecosystems : Description, sensitivity analysis
 and evaluation of the INRAE GO+ model, version 3.0. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *13*(12), 5973-6009. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-5973-2020
- Moresi, F. V., Maesano, M., Collalti, A., Sidle, R. C., Matteucci, G., & Scarascia Mugnozza,
 G. (2020). Mapping Landslide Prediction through a GIS-Based Model : A Case Study in a
 Catchment in Southern Italy. *Geosciences*, 10(8), Article 8.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences10080309

1156

- 1157 Nabuurs, G.-J. (1996). Significance of wood products in forest sector carbon balances. In M.
 1158 J. Apps & D. T. Price (Éds.), *Forest Ecosystems, Forest Management and the Global Carbon*
- 1159 *Cycle* (p. 245-256). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61111-7_23
- 1160
 1161 Nabuurs, G.-J., Lindner, M., Verkerk, P. J., Gunia, K., Deda, P., Michalak, R., & Grassi, G.
 1162 (2013). First signs of carbon sink saturation in European forest biomass. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(9), Article 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1853
- 1164 1165 Nicolaci, A., Marziliano, P. A., Pignataro, F., Menguzzato, G., & Iovino, F. (2015). Fire prevention with thinning operations in black pine reforestations. Results of a study on a 1166 Forestale 1167 regional scale. L'Italia Montana, 70(1), Article 1. е 1168 https://doi.org/10.4129/ifm.2015.1.01
- O'Hara, K. L., & Ramage, B. S. (2013). Silviculture in an uncertain world: Utilizing multiaged management systems to integrate disturbance[†]. *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*, 86(4), 401-410. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpt012
- 1173

- 1174 Pan, Y., Birdsey, R. A., Fang, J., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., Kurz, W. A., Phillips, O. L., 1175 Shvidenko, A., Lewis, S. L., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Pacala, S. W., McGuire, A. D., Piao, S., Rautiainen, A., Sitch, S., & Hayes, D. (2011). A Large and Persistent Carbon 1176 1177 Sink the World's Forests. Science, 333(6045), 988-993. in 1178 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201609
- 1179
- Peng, L., Searchinger, T. D., Zionts, J., & Waite, R. (2023). The carbon costs of global wood
 harvests. *Nature*, *620*(7972), Article 7972. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06187-1
- 1182
- Pilli, R., Fiorese, G., & Grassi, G. (2015). EU mitigation potential of harvested wood products. *Carbon Balance and Management*, *10*(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-015-0016-7
- 1185
 - Pingoud, K., Perälä, A.-L., Soimakallio, S., & Pussinen, A. (2003). *Greenhouse gas impacts of harvested wood products : Evaluation and development of methods*. VTT Technical Research
 Centre of Finland.
 - 1189
 - Pingoud, K., Pohjola, J., & Valsta, L. (2010). Assessing the integrated climatic impacts of
 forestry and wood products. *Silva Fennica*, 44(1). https://www.silvafennica.fi/article/166
 - Profft, I., Mund, M., Weber, G.-E., Weller, E., & Schulze, E.-D. (2009). Forest management
 and carbon sequestration in wood products. *European Journal of Forest Research*, *128*(4),
 399-413. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-009-0283-5
 - 1196
 - 1197 Rockel, B., Will, A., & Hense, A. (2008). The Regional Climate Model COSMO-CLM
 - 1198 (CCLM). *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, 347-348. https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2008/0309
- 1199 Röder, M., Thiffault, E., Martínez-Alonso, C., Senez-Gagnon, F., Paradis, L., & Thornley, P.
 1200 (2019). Understanding the timing and variation of greenhouse gas emissions of forest
- 1201bioenergysystems.BiomassandBioenergy,121,99-114.1202https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2018.12.019
- 1203 Rüter, S., Werner, F., & Forsell, N. (2016). ClimWood2030, Climate benefits of material 1204 substitution by forest biomass and harvested wood products: Perspective 2030 - Final
- 1204 Substitution by forest biomass and narvested wood products. Terspective 2050 That 1205 ReportlimWood2030, Climate benefits of material substitution by forest biomass and harvested

- wood products: Perspective 2030 Final Report. Johann Heinrich von Thünen-Institut.
 https://doi.org/10.3220/REP1468328990000
- 1208

Sathre, R., & Gustavsson, L. (2009). Using wood products to mitigate climate change : External
costs and structural change. *Applied Energy*, 86(2), 251-257.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2008.04.007

1212

- Sathre, R., & O'Connor, J. (2010). Meta-analysis of greenhouse gas displacement factors of
 wood product substitution. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 13(2), 104-114.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2009.12.005
- Sato, A., & Nojiri, Y. (2019). Assessing the contribution of harvested wood products under
 greenhouse gas estimation: Accounting under the Paris Agreement and the potential for
 double-counting among the choice of approaches. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 14(1),
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-019-0129-5
- 1221
- Schelhaas, M. J., Esch, P. W. van, Groen, T. A., Jong, B. H. J. de, Kanninen, M., Liski, J.,
 Masera, O., Mohren, G. M. J., Nabuurs, G. J., Palosuo, T., Pedroni, L., Vallejo, A., & Vilén,
 T. (2004). *CO2FIX V 3.1 A modelling framework for quantifying carbon sequestration in forest ecosystems*. https://research.wur.nl/en/publications/co2fix-v-31-a-modelling-framework-forquantifying-carbon-sequestr
- 1227
- Schulze, E. D., Bouriaud, O., Irslinger, R., & Valentini, R. (2022). The role of wood harvest
 from sustainably managed forests in the carbon cycle. *Annals of Forest Science*, 79(1), 17.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13595-022-01127-x
- 1231
- Scoccimarro, E., Gualdi, S., Bellucci, A., Sanna, A., Fogli, P. G., Manzini, E., Vichi, M., Oddo,
 P., & Navarra, A. (2011). Effects of Tropical Cyclones on Ocean Heat Transport in a HighResolution Coupled General Circulation Model. *Journal of Climate*, *24*(16), 4368-4384.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4104.1
- 1236
- Seidl, R., Thom, D., Kautz, M., Martín-Benito, D., Peltoniemi, M., Vacchiano, G., Wild, J.,
 Ascoli, D., Petr, M., Honkaniemi, J., Lexer, M., Trotsiuk, V., Mairota, P., Svoboda, M.,
 Fabrika, M., Nagel, T., & Reyer, C. (2017). Forest disturbances under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *7*, 395-402.
- Sohn, J. A., Saha, S., & Bauhus, J. (2016). Potential of forest thinning to mitigate drought
 stress: A meta-analysis. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 380, 261-273.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.07.046
- 1245
- Suter, F., Steubing, B., & Hellweg, S. (2017). Life Cycle Impacts and Benefits of Wood along
 the Value Chain : The Case of Switzerland. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 21(4), 874-886.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12486
- 1249
- Testolin, R., Dalmonech, D., Marano, G., Bagnara, M., D'Andrea, E., Matteucci, G., Noce, S.,
 & Collalti, A. (2023). Simulating diverse forest management options in a changing climate on
 a Pinus nigra subsp. Laricio plantation in Southern Italy. *Science of The Total Environment*,
 857, 159361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159361
- 1254
- 1255 Thornley, J. H. M., & Cannell, M. G. R. (2000). Managing forests for wood yield and carbon

1256 А theoretical Tree Physiology, 20(7), 477-484. storage : study. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/20.7.477 1257 1258 1259 Thornton, P. E., & Running, S. W. (1999). An improved algorithm for estimating incident daily solar radiation from measurements of temperature, humidity, and precipitation. Agricultural 1260 and Forest Meteorology, 93(4), 211-228. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(98)00126-9 1261 1262 Thurner, M., Beer, C., Crowther, T., Falster, D., Manzoni, S., Prokushkin, A., & Schulze, E.-1263 1264 D. (2019). Sapwood biomass carbon in northern boreal and temperate forests. *Global Ecology* 1265 and Biogeography, 28(5), 640-660. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12883 1266 Vacchiano, G., Magnani, F., & Collalti, A. (2012). Modeling Italian forests : State of the art 1267 1268 and future challenges. iForest - Biogeosciences and Forestry, 5(3), 113. https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor0614-005 1269 1270 Zollo, A. L., Rillo, V., Bucchignani, E., Montesarchio, M., & Mercogliano, P. (2016). Extreme 1271 1272 temperature and precipitation events over Italy: Assessment of high-resolution simulations with COSMO-CLM and future scenarios. International Journal of Climatology, 36(2), 1273 987-1004. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4401 1274 1275 1276 1277 1278 1279 1280 1281 1282 1283 1284 1285 1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294 **Appendix A** 1295

1296	Table 7: Clearcut management	nt interventions and t	the products	derived from t	he thinned wood.
------	------------------------------	------------------------	--------------	----------------	------------------

Intervention	Products	Stock (tC)	Decay rate (%)
Thinning	Millsite	1.81	
	Paper	2.16	2.02 "(0.26 tC/yr)"
	Particle	6.88	
	Fire	1,97	
Harvesting	Millsite	5.09	
	Paper	5.73	
	Particle	13.83	1.47 "(0.93 tC/yr)"
	Fire	9.81	
	Furniture	24.89	
	Sawing	2.81	