

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09819428)

# Plant Physiology and Biochemistry



journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/plaphy](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/plaphy)

# Untangling the role of leaf age specific osmoprotectant and antioxidant responses of two poplar clones under increasing ozone concentrations

Claudia Pisuttu<sup>a</sup>, Samuele Risoli<sup>a, b</sup>, Lorenzo Cotrozzi<sup>a, c</sup>, Cristina Nali<sup>a, c</sup>, Elisa Pellegrini<sup>a, c, \*</sup>, Yasutomo Hoshika <sup>d, e</sup>, Barbara Baesso Moura <sup>d, e</sup>, Elena Paoletti <sup>d, e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, 56124, Pisa, Italy* 

<sup>b</sup> *University of School for Advanced Studies IUSS, Piazza della Vittoria 15, 27100, Pavia, Italy* 

<sup>c</sup> *CIRSEC, Centre for Climate Change Impact, University of Pisa, Italy* 

<sup>d</sup> *Institute of Research on Terrestrial Ecosystems (IRET), National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Via Madonna del Piano 10, I-50019, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy* 

<sup>e</sup> *NBFC, National Biodiversity Future Center, Palermo, 90133, Italy* 

#### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:*  Antioxidants Osmoprotectants *Populus*  Oxidative stress Developmental stage

### ABSTRACT

Plants possess different degrees of tolerance to abiotic stress, which can mitigate the detrimental effect of environmental inputs affecting carbon balance. Less is known about the functions of osmoprotectants in scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS), generated at different sites depending on leaf age. This study aimed to clarify the osmotic adjustments adopted by old and young leaves of Oxford and I-214 poplar clones [differing in ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) sensitivity] to cope with three levels of O<sub>3</sub> [ambient (AA), and two elevated O<sub>3</sub> levels]. In both clones, the impact of intermediate  $O_3$  concentrations (1.5  $\times$  AA) on ROS production appeared to be leaf age-specific, given the accumulation of hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  observed only in old leaves of the Oxford plants and in young leaves of the I-214 ones (2- fold higher than AA and +79%, respectively). The induction of an oxidative burst was associated with membrane injury, indicating an inadequate response of the antioxidative systems [decrease of lutein and β-carotene (-37 and -85% in the old leaves of the Oxford plants), accumulation of proline and tocopherols  $(+60 \text{ and } +12\% \text{ in the young leaves of the I-214 ones)].$  Intermediate O<sub>3</sub> concentrations reacted with unsaturated lipids of the plasma membrane in old and young leaves of the Oxford plants, leading to an increase of malondialdehyde by-products (more than 2- fold higher than AA), while no effect was recorded for I-214. The impact of the highest  $O_3$  concentrations (2.0  $\times$  AA) on ROS production did not appear clone-specific, which may react with cell wall components by leading to oxidative pressure. Outcomes demonstrated the ability of young leaves of I-214 plants in contain  $O_3$  phytotoxic effects.

# **1. Introduction**

In vascular plants, several abiotic stress factors can induce oxidative damage due to the continuous accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS; [Sachdev et al., 2021](#page-8-0)). The main target of ROS-provoked damage is the chloroplast (because of its strong photo-oxidative potential) in which various cellular processes may suffer a drastic decline bringing to the hydrolysis of proteins and lipids, leaf senescence and cell death ([Ye](#page-9-0)  [et al., 2021](#page-9-0)). Photosynthesis is a fundamental process for plant species and depended by leaf age and developmental stage [\(Stirbet et al., 2020](#page-8-0)). It is a well-known fact that the synthesis of components involved in the light- and dark-dependent photosynthetic reactions occurs at various times [e.g., the formation of the core complex of photosystem I (PSI) is ahead of photosystem II (PSII)]. In particular, the activity of Rubisco reaches its maximum value in mature fully expanded leaves and only the oldest ones possess the maximum size of the light-harvesting complex ([Nath et al., 2013](#page-8-0); [Pshybytko et al., 2023](#page-8-0)). Consequently, the performance of photosystems decreases much faster than the Rubisco activity in aged leaves, and the assimilation of C/N and their redistribution among leaves are different concerning their developmental stage [\(Sade](#page-8-0)  [et al., 2018\)](#page-8-0). For this reason, an initial increase in the photosynthetic rate is observed during leaf expansion, followed by a decrease upon maturation and a sharp drop during senescence: young and fully expanded leaves were found to have the highest photosynthetic rate, older leaves showed a lower rate, and the oldest ones had the lowest ([Bielczynski et al., 2017](#page-8-0)). This continuous reduction of photosynthetic

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2024.108450>

Available online 20 February 2024 Received 22 December 2023; Received in revised form 23 January 2024; Accepted 19 February 2024

0981-9428/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, 56124, Pisa, Italy. *E-mail address:* [elisa.pellegrini@unipi.it](mailto:elisa.pellegrini@unipi.it) (E. Pellegrini).

capacity in aging leaves can arise from several factors such as reduction in mesophyll conductance, alteration in Rubisco efficiency, accumulation of ROS, photo-inhibition, and photo-oxidation (Pintó-Marijuan and Munné-Bosch, 2014; Pellegrini et al., 2021).

Young leaves, can be considered more valuable than old ones since they are (i) characterized by photosynthetic active tissues where ROSprovoked damage are minimized, and (ii) protected against abiotic stress factors affecting water status and hydric relationships ([Sperdouli](#page-8-0)  [and Moustakas, 2014\)](#page-8-0). This high plasticity is demonstrated in physiological leaf traits such as stomatal conductance and density. Young leaves have a lower stomatal conductance than old ones where the stomata are typically less dense but well-expanded and developed ([Snider et al., 2009](#page-8-0); [Hoshika et al](#page-8-0) unpublished). Conversely, young leaves are sensitive to environmental inputs affecting carbon balance (light, putative temperature, and carbon dioxide) because their structural growth requires a net carbon import before the sink-to-source transition [\(Pantin et al., 2012\)](#page-8-0). The loss of this plasticity can be compensated by the acquisition of structural, physiological and biochemical defenses [\(Rankenberg et al., 2021\)](#page-8-0). The partially uncoupled changes in water and carbon relations experienced by the growing leaf as it develops make it differentially sensitive to environmental stresses throughout its ontogeny. Moreover, old and young leaves may cross-talk to adjust/adapt their response to various stress factors ([Pantin et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2012\)](#page-8-0).

Plants possess different degrees of tolerance to abiotic stress, which can mitigate the detrimental effect of environmental inputs affecting carbon and/or water status. According to [Hartmann et al. \(2020\)](#page-8-0), the investment of carbon and energy reserves, and the consequent osmoregulation, is a crucial process underlying plant acclimation to abiotic stress and influencing the interaction of several metabolites in the conservative carbon-allocation and water-saving strategies. To date, less is known about the adaptive biochemical functions of compatible solutes in the scavenging of ROS that are over-produced under unfavorable environmental conditions. These metabolites (that act as osmoprotectants, antioxidants, low-molecular weight proteins and/or stress signals) can accumulate to high levels without disturbing intracellular biochemistry, being involved in stress tolerance ([Wingler and Roistch,](#page-9-0)  [2008\)](#page-9-0). Carbohydrates are a major category of compatible solutes and, being the primary carbon and energy source in plants, could produce reducing power for the biosynthesis of non-enzymatic antioxidants, including phenolic compounds, xanthophylls, carotenoids, ascorbate and glutathione ([Keunen et al., 2013\)](#page-8-0). Their production represents a prominent defense trait under stressful conditions, and is mediated by various signals, which are generated at different sites depending on leaf age and developmental stage ([Ozden et al., 2009](#page-8-0); [Boublin et al., 2022](#page-8-0)).

Among the abiotic stress, tropospheric ozone  $(O_3)$  is an important greenhouse gas and known as the most widespread air pollutant, particularly damaging to forests, grasslands, and crops [\(Dewan and](#page-8-0)  BamolaLakhani,  $2024$ ). Effects and mechanisms of action of  $O<sub>3</sub>$  have been extensively described in poplar (Salicaceae), since the species has been proposed as a model tree for scientific studies and it is widely employed (i) as important wood source, (ii) in controlling erosion and (iii) in diversified agricultural systems (e.g., agroforestry; [Cotrozzi et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2021;](#page-8-0) [Tramacere et al., 2023\)](#page-8-0). Here, we studied two poplar clones differing in shoot growth and O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity. Oxford (Populus max*imowiczii* Henry  $\times$  *P. berolinensis* Dippel) clone usually concludes shoot development in early August, by exhibiting a "determinate-like" growth ([Giovannelli et al., 2019\)](#page-8-0), and is considered extremely sensitive to  $O_3$  in terms of foliar injury ([Marzuoli et al., 2009](#page-8-0)). I-214 (*P*. *deltoides* W. Bartram ex Marshall  $\times$  *P. nigra L.*) clone is one of the most used poplars ([Bergante et al., 2023\)](#page-8-0), one of the less sensitive to  $O_3$  [\(Guidi et al., 2001\)](#page-8-0) and has a longer phenological development than Oxford one, by continuing the shoot growth until the end of September [\(Giovannelli](#page-8-0)  [et al., 2007\)](#page-8-0). This phenomenon may have advantages for producing new leaves (as a compensatory response against  $O<sub>3</sub>$ -induced oxidative damage during the growing season) which may have different structural,

physiological and biochemical functional traits.

The present study aimed to clarify the leaf-intrinsic and osmotic adjustments adopted by old and young leaves of Oxford and I-214 clones to cope with various levels of  $O_3$  in an  $O_3$ -Free Air Controlled Exposure (O3-FACE) facility. Specifically, we asked the following questions: (i) Do increasing  $O_3$  concentrations differentially affect the macroscopic responses (in terms of biomass and visible injury) of the examined clones in relation to their sensitivity? (ii) What are the metabolic and cellular mechanisms activated by chronic  $O_3$  exposure in old and young leaves of the two clones? We hypothesized an age-dependent cross-talk among leaf antioxidant and osmotic rearrangements, which regulate the conservative carbon-allocation and water-saving strategies of clones differing in  $O_3$  sensitivity.

#### **2. Materials and methods**

## *2.1. Plant material and experimental design*

Experimental activities were carried out in the  $O_3$ -FACE facility of Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Central Italy (43◦49′ N, 11◦12' E, 55 m a.s.l.; [Paoletti et al., 2017](#page-8-0)). In winter 2019, rooted cuttings of Oxford and I-214 poplar clones were propagated and kept in a refrigerator  $(4 \degree C)$ until planted in nursery pot trays in February. They were moved outside in March and transplanted in plastic pots (volume 10 L) containing a sand:peat:soil mixture (1:1:1 vol), and kept in a nursery until the beginning of O3 exposure. From 20 May to 31 October 2020, uniform-sized plants (approx. 15 cm in height) were exposed to three concentrations of O<sub>3</sub> (AA, ambient O<sub>3</sub> concentration;  $1.5 \times$  AA and 2.0  $\times$  AA, 1.5 and 2.0 times AA, respectively). Throughout the whole period of  $O_3$  exposure, the hourly mean  $O_3$  concentrations were 37.5, 52.3 and 73.3 ppb in AA, 1.5  $\times$  AA and 2.0  $\times$  AA, respectively. Four plants per clone were placed in each of the three replicated plots ( $5 \times 5 \times 2$  m) per O3 treatment (in total 36 plants per clone). All plants were watered every day to maintain field capacity.

# *2.2. Assessment of visible foliar injuries*

The O3-like visible foliar injury was identified on 31st August in 2020 by two well-experienced surveyors, using photoguides [\(Paoletti et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2009\)](#page-8-0) and hand lens (10  $\times$  of magnification). The percentage of the number of symptomatic leaves per plant (LA; counted without distinguish among old and young leaves) and the averaged percentage of injured area per each symptomatic leaf (AA: with a 5%-step scale: [Cal](#page-8-0)[atayud et al., 2007](#page-8-0); [Hoshika et al., 2020](#page-8-0)) were visually assessed in each plant. According to these two parameters, the Plant Injury Index (PII) was calculated as  $PII = (LA \times AA)/100$ .

### *2.3. Determination of above- and below-ground biomass*

Four plants per clone and  $O_3$  level were randomly selected at the end of the experiment and devoted to the biomass assessment in terms of leaves, stems, branches, and fine and coarse roots (∅ ≤ 2 and *>*2 mm, respectively). Organs were properly separated and kept in an oven at 80 ℃ until a constant weight was reached.

# *2.4. Leaf biochemical analyses*

The number of leaves in the main shoot was counted at two-three week intervals throughout the whole period of the experiment in order to estimate the leaf longevity [\(Kikuzawa and Lechowicz, 2011](#page-8-0)). Young and old leaves resulted in upper and lower positions, respectively. Young and old leaves were randomly selected and sampled from one to two plants per plot and O3 treatment on 15th September in 2020, in order to avoid the natural senescence occurring in the fall period. They were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at − 80 ◦C until the biochemical analyses reported below.

# *2.4.1. Hydrogen peroxide content and lipid peroxidation*

The content of  $H_2O_2$  was estimated by using the Amplex Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase Assay Kit (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies Corp. Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the method of [Shin](#page-8-0)  [et al. \(2005\).](#page-8-0) Frozen foliage material (50 mg) was added to 1 mL of 20 mM potassium/phosphate buffer (pH 6.5), centrifuged (12000 *g* for 15 min at 4 ◦C), and incubated in the dark (for 30 min at 25 ◦C). The determination was carried out using a Victor3 1420 Multilabel Counter microplate reader (PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) at 530 and 590 nm for the excitation and emission of resorufin fluorescence.

Lipid peroxidation was evaluated by determining malondialdehyde (MDA) by-products accumulation according to the method of [Hodges](#page-8-0)  [et al. \(1999\),](#page-8-0) with minor modifications as reported by [Guidi et al.](#page-8-0)  [\(2017\).](#page-8-0) Frozen foliage material (100 mg) was added to 1 mL of 90% (v/v in H2O) ethanol, sonicated 3 times for 10 min, and centrifuged (13000 *g*  for 10 min at 4  $\degree$ C). Then, 100 μL of supernatant were mixed with 400 μL of 20% (w/v) thiobarbituric acid, incubated for 30 min at 95 ◦C and centrifuged (12000 *g* for 10 min at 4 ◦C). The determination was carried out by using a spectrophotometer (UV-1900 UV–vis, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) at 440, 532, and 600 nm.

#### *2.4.2. Osmolyte content*

The content of abscisic acid (ABA) was estimated by using the Phytodetek® Immunoassay Kit (Agdia, Elkhart, IN, USA; [Pisuttu et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2023\)](#page-8-0). Foliage material (100 mg) was added to 1 mL of distilled water, and the supernatant was diluted 10 times, according to the manufacturing protocol. The determination was carried out by using the same fluorescence/absorbance microplate reader reported above, at 415 nm.

The content of proline was determined according to [Calzone et al.](#page-8-0)  [\(2020\).](#page-8-0) Foliage material (20 mg) was added to 200  $\mu$ L of 70% (v/v in H2O) ethanol, sonicated three times for 10 min and incubated for 30 min at 90 ◦C. The determination was carried out by using the same spectrophotometer reported above, at 520 nm.

The content of soluble carbohydrates was evaluated according to [Pisuttu et al. \(2023\)](#page-8-0), with slight modifications. Leaf material (50 mg) was added to 1 mL of 100% HPLC-demineralized water, incubated for 60 min at 60 ◦C and centrifuged (16000 *g* for 15 min at 4 ◦C). The supernatants were filtered through 0.2 μm Minisart® SRT 15 aseptic filters. Soluble carbohydrates were determined by Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC) using a Dionex UltiMate 3000 system (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a Repromer H column (9 μm particle size, 8 mm internal diameter  $\times$  300 mm length; Dr Maisch, Ammerbuch, Germany), provided with a pre-column (9 μm particle size, 8 mm internal diameter  $\times$  20 mm length; Dr Maisch) and maintained at 25 ◦C. The soluble carbohydrates were eluded using an isocratic mobile phase (9 mM sulphuric acid, flow rate of 1 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ) and detected by their absorbance at 210 nm with a differential refractometer (Shodex, West Berlin, NJ, USA). To quantify soluble carbohydrate contents, known amounts of the pure standard were injected into the UHPLC system. An equation correlating the peak area to each soluble carbohydrate standard concentration was formulated by using Chromeleon Chromatography Management System software, version 7.2.10–2019 (Thermo Scientific). The sum of sucrose, glucose, and fructose was considered as a measure of total soluble carbohydrate content.

## *2.4.3. Photosynthetic and accessory pigment content*

The content of photosynthetic pigments was evaluated according to [Pisuttu et al. \(2023\).](#page-8-0) Leaf material (100 mg) was added to 1 mL of 100% HPLC-grade methanol, incubated overnight in the dark at 4 ◦C, and centrifuged (16000 *g* for 15 min at 4 ◦C). The supernatants were filtered through 0.2 μm Minisart® SRT 15 aseptic filters. Photosynthetic pigments were determined by the same UHPLC (reported above) equipped with a reverse-phase Agilent column (ZORBAX Eclipse plus C18, 5 μm particle size, 4.6 mm internal diameter  $\times$  150 mm length; Agilent

Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) maintained at 25 ◦C. Acetonitrile/methanol (75:25, v/v) was used at 100% for the first 14 min to elute xanthophylls (neoxanthin, violaxanthin, and anteraxanthin), followed by a 1.5 min linear gradient to 100% methanol/ethyl acetate (68:32, v/v), 15 min with 100% methanol/ethyl acetate (68:32, v/v), which was pumped to elute chlorophylls and β-carotene. The flow-rate was 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Chlorophylls (*a* and *b*), carotenoids (neoxanthin, violaxanthin, anteraxanthin, lutein, and β-carotene), and tocopherols (α, β, γ, and δ) were detected by their absorbance at 445 and 295 nm with a Dionex UVD 170 U UV–Vis detector (Thermo Scientific). To quantify the content of pigments, known amounts of pure authentic standards were injected into the UHPLC system. An equation was formulated correlating the peak area to each chlorophyll/carotenoid/tocopherol standard concentration. Chromatographic data were processed and recorded by the software reported above.

# *2.5. Statistical analysis*

The Shapiro-Wilk's and Levene's tests were used to assess the normal distribution of data and the homogeneity of variance, respectively. The effect of  $O_3$  on visible injury and biometric parameters was investigated by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The effects of  $O_3$ , leaf age and their interaction on biochemical parameters were assessed by a twoway ANOVA for each poplar clone. Comparisons among means were determined by the Tukey's HSD post-hoc test. Effects with  $P \le 0.05$  were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with JMP Pro 13.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

### **3. Results**

# *3.1. Visible injury*

Both clones exposed to increasing  $O_3$  concentrations developed visible minute ( $\varnothing$  1–2 mm) stipples of roundish dark-brown tissue localized in the interveinal areas of the adaxial leaf surface. In the Oxford plants, increased PII values were found as a consequence of  $O<sub>3</sub>$ treatments [4-fold higher than AA (throughout the whole text, increased O3 effects are compared to AA conditions), without significant differences between the two increased  $O_3$  concentrations; Table 1]. The most severe damage occurred in plants grown under  $2.0 \times AA$  conditions: 27% of the scored leaves were affected, and the injured leaves had on average 32% of their surface covered by stippling. In I-214 plants, only the highest  $O_3$  concentration significantly increased PII values (90-fold higher than AA).

#### *3.2. Above- and belowground biomass*

In the Oxford plants grown under  $1.5 \times$  AA conditions, a significant reduction of coarse root biomass was observed (-34%; [Table 2](#page-3-0)).

#### **Table 1**

Plant Injury Index (PII) on Oxford and I-214 poplar clones grown under three levels of ozone concentration [ambient air (AA),  $1.5 \times$  AA and  $2.0 \times$  AA] for five months (May–October). Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard error (n = 3). ANOVA: \*\*\* $P \leq 0.001$ , \*\* $P \leq 0.01$ . Since one-way ANOVA revealed a significant ozone effect on PII values according to Tukey's *post-hoc* test, different letters indicate significant differences among means in each clone ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).



#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 2**

Above- and below-ground biomass (expressed as g of dry weight) and shoot/root ratio of Oxford and I-214 poplar clones grown under three levels of ozone concentration [ambient air (AA),  $1.5 \times$  AA and  $2.0 \times$  AA] for five months (May–October). Data are shown as mean ± standard error (n = 3). ANOVA: \*\**P*  ≤ 0.01, \**P* ≤ 0.05, ns denotes not significant. Since one-way ANOVA revealed a significant ozone effect on all biometric parameters (except stems and branches in the case of Oxford clone) according to Tukey's *post-hoc* test, different letters indicate significant differences among means in each clone ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

Clone		Parameter				
	Ozone	Leaves	Stems and branches	Coarse roots	Fine roots	Shoot/ root ratio
Oxford	AA	5.6 $\pm$	$13.2 \pm 3.6$	14.1 $\pm$	$9.6 \pm$	$0.55 \pm$
		0.7 <sub>b</sub>		2.3 <sub>b</sub>	2.0 <sub>b</sub>	0.12a
	$1.5 \times$	$3.9 \pm$	$11.7 \pm 3.0$	$9.3 \pm$	$6.5 \pm$	$0.78 \pm$
	AA	1.0ab		0.4a	2.0ab	$0.08$ ab
	$2.0 \times$	$2.0 \pm$	$9.2 \pm 3.4$	5.3 $\pm$	4.1 $\pm$	$1.01 \pm$
	AA	0.9a		1.8a	1.7a	0.14 <sub>b</sub>
	P	**	ns	$**$	$\star$	**
$I-214$	AA	$10.0 \pm$	$13.7 \pm 1.5$	$17.4 \pm$	$18.5 \pm$	$0.40 \pm$
		0.4 <sub>b</sub>	a	2.2 <sub>b</sub>	3.8 b	0.04a
	$1.5 \times$	$8.9 \pm$	$20.0 \pm 2.9$	$17.0 \pm$	$13.2 \pm$	$0.67 \pm$
	AA	2.5 <sub>b</sub>	b	3.9 <sub>b</sub>	4.2ab	0.12a
	$2.0 \times$	$3.6 \pm$	$14.1 \pm 1.0$	$6.5 \pm$	$5.6 \pm$	$1.39 \pm$
	AA	1.4a	a	1.7a	1.8a	0.46 <sub>b</sub>
	P	**	$\star$	**	$\star$	$\star$

Similarly, the highest  $O_3$  concentration decreased leaf, coarse, and fine root biomass  $(-64, -62 \text{ and } -57\%$ , respectively) and led to the concomitant increase in shoot/root ratio (+84%). An increase of stem and branch biomass was found in the I-214 plants grown under  $1.5 \times AA$ conditions (+46%). Conversely, a significant decrease of leaf, coarse and fine root biomass ( $-64$ ,  $-63$  and  $-70$ %, respectively) and a concomitant increase of shoot/root ratio more than 3-fold higher than AA) was observed as a consequence of the highest  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentration.

## *3.3. Hydrogen peroxide content and lipid peroxidation*

The two-way ANOVA of  $H_2O_2$  content revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  and leaf age, as well the effects of the singular factors, were significant in both clones (Fig. 1A and B). In the Oxford plants, a significant production of  $H_2O_2$  was found in old leaves as a consequence of  $O_3$  treatment by following the  $O_3$  concentration gradient (about 2- and 3- fold higher than AA under  $1.5 \times$  AA and  $2.0 \times$  AA, respectively). A similar increase was observed only in young leaves grown under 2.0  $\times$ AA conditions (about 3-fold higher; Fig. 1A). In the I-214 plants, only the highest  $O_3$  concentration induced an accumulation of  $H_2O_2$  in old leaves (more than 2-fold higher). A similar increase was found as a consequence of O<sub>3</sub> treatment in young leaves (+79 and + 94%, under 1.5  $\times$ AA and  $2.0 \times$  AA conditions, respectively; Fig. 1B).

The two-way ANOVA of MDA by-products content revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  treatment and leaf age, as well the effects of the singular factors, were significant only in Oxford plants (Fig. 1C). In the case of the I-214 plants, only the effect of O3 *per se* was significant (Fig. 1D). In the Oxford plants, MDA by-products increased due to  $O_3$ treatment in both leaves, following the  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentration gradient (old leaves: 2- and 3-fold higher; young leaves: 3- and 4-fold higher under  $1.5 \times$  AA and  $2.0 \times$  AA, respectively; Fig. 1C). In both clones grown under AA conditions, the content of  $H_2O_2$  was higher in old leaves than in young ones (about 2-fold). Conversely, no significant differences were observed between old and young leaves regarding MDA by-products content.

#### *3.4. Osmolyte contents*

The two-way ANOVA of ABA content revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  treatment and leaf age, as well as the effects of the singular factors, were significant in both clones [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)A and 2B). In the Oxford plants, a significant increase of ABA content was found in old leaves as a consequence of  $O_3$  treatment, following the  $O_3$  concentration gradient (about 6- and 12- fold higher under  $1.5 \times AA$  and  $2.0 \times AA$ ,



Fig. 1. Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; A-B) and malondialdehyde by-products (MDA; C-D) content in old (*solid fill*) and young (*pattern fill*) leaves of Oxford and I-214 poplar clones grown under three levels of ozone concentration [ambient air (AA, *white fill*), 1.5 × AA (*light grey fill*) and 2.0 × AA (*dark grey fill*)] for five months (May–October). Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard error (n = 4) on a fresh weight (FW) basis. ANOVA: \*\*\**P*  $\leq$  0.001, \*\**P*  $\leq$  0.01, \**P*  $\leq$  0.05, ns denotes not significant. Since two-way ANOVA revealed a significant ozone and leaf age interaction on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and MDA by-products according to Tukey's *post-hoc* test (except in the case of MDA by-products in the I-214 clone), different letters indicate significant differences among means in each graph (*P* ≤ 0.05).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.** Abscisic acid (ABA; **A-B**), proline (**C-D**), and total carbohydrates (**E-F**) content in old (*solid fill*) and young (*pattern fill*) leaves of Oxford and I-214 poplar clones grown under three levels of ozone concentration [ambient air (AA, *white fill*), 1.5 × AA (*light grey fill*) and 2.0 × AA (*dark grey fill*)] for five months (May–October). Data are shown as mean ± standard error (n = 4) on a fresh weight (FW) basis. ANOVA: \*\*\**P* ≤ 0.001, \*\**P* ≤ 0.01, ns denotes not significant. Since two-way ANOVA revealed a significant ozone and leaf age interaction on ABA, proline, and total carbohydrates according to Tukey's *post-hoc* test, different letters indicate significant differences among means in each graph ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

respectively). A similar increase was observed only in young leaves grown under  $2.0 \times$  AA conditions (about 7-fold higher; Fig. 2A). In the I-214 plants, only the highest  $O_3$  concentration induced an accumulation of ABA in old leaves (more than 3-fold higher). Conversely, a significant decrease was found as a consequence of  $O_3$  treatment in young leaves (about 2-fold lower, regardless of  $O_3$  concentration; Fig. 2B).

The two-way ANOVA of proline content revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  treatment and leaf age, as well the effects of the singular factors, were significant in both clones (except in the case of "leaf age" in Oxford plants; Fig. 2C and 2D). In the Oxford plants, only the highest O<sub>3</sub> concentration induced an accumulation of proline in old and young leaves  $(+43$  and  $+80$ %, respectively; Fig. 2C). In I-214 plants, a significant decrease was found in old leaves as a consequence of  $O_3$  treatment (about 3- and 2-fold lower under  $1.5 \times AA$  and  $2.0 \times AA$ , respectively). An opposite trend was observed in young leaves: a significant accumulation of proline was found as a consequence of  $O<sub>3</sub>$  treatment, following the O<sub>3</sub> concentration gradient (+60 and +118% under  $1.5 \times AA$  and 2.0  $\times$  AA, respectively; Fig. 2D).

The two-way ANOVA of total carbohydrate content revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  treatment and leaf age, as well the effects of the "O3" factor, were significant in both clones (Fig. 2E and 2F). In the Oxford plants, only the highest  $O_3$  concentration induced an increase of total carbohydrates in old leaves (+30%). A similar increase was found as a consequence of  $O_3$  treatment in young leaves (+47 and +64% under  $1.5 \times$  AA and  $2.0 \times$  AA, respectively; Fig. 2E). In the I-214 plants, a

slight decrease of total carbohydrates was found in old leaves grown under  $1.5 \times$  AA conditions (−11%). An opposite trend was observed in the young leaves due to the highest  $O_3$  concentration (+23%; Fig. 2F). In the Oxford plants grown under AA conditions, no significant differences were observed between old and young leaves in terms of ABA content. Conversely, the content of ABA was higher in young leaves than old ones in the I-214 plants (about 4-fold). In both clones grown under AA conditions, the content of proline was higher in old leaves than young ones (4- and 2-fold in the Oxford and the I-214 plants, respectively). Concerning the content of total carbohydrates, there was a difference between old and young leaves (− 9%) in the Oxford plants grown under AA conditions. Conversely, no significant differences were observed between old and young leaves regarding total carbohydrates in I-214 plants.

### *3.5. Lipid-soluble antioxidant contents*

The two-way ANOVA of lutein content revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  treatment and leaf age, as well as the effects of the singular factors, were significant only in Oxford plants [\(Fig. 3](#page-5-0)A). In the case of the I-214 plants, only the effects of "O<sub>3</sub> treatment" and "leaf age" *per se* were significant ([Fig. 3](#page-5-0)B). In the Oxford plants, a decrease of lutein was found only in the old leaves grown under  $1.5 \times AA$  conditions (−37%). No significant differences were observed among  $O_3$  treatments in the young leaves.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Fig. 3.** Lutein (ABA; **A-B**), β-carotene (**C-D**) and tocopherols (**E-F**) content in old (*solid fill*) and young (*pattern fill*) leaves of Oxford and I-214 poplar clones grown under three levels of ozone concentration [ambient air (AA, *white fill*), 1.5 × AA (*light grey fill*) and 2.0 × AA (*dark grey fill*)] for five months (May–October). Data are shown as mean ± standard error (n = 4) on a fresh weight (FW) basis. ANOVA: \*\*\**P* ≤ 0.001, \*\**P* ≤ 0.01, ns denotes not significant. Since two-way ANOVA revealed a significant ozone and leaf age interaction on lutein and β-carotene in the case of the Oxford clone, and tocopherols according to Tukey's *post-hoc* test, different letters indicate significant differences among means in each graph ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

The two-way ANOVA of β-carotene content revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  treatment and leaf age, as well as the effects of the singular factors, were significant only in the Oxford plants (Fig. 3C). In the case of the I-214 plants, only the effects of " $O_3$  treatment" and "leaf age" *per se* were significant (Fig. 3D). In the Oxford plants, a significant decrease was found in the old leaves as consequence of  $O<sub>3</sub>$  treatment ( $-85$  and  $-32\%$  under  $1.5 \times AA$  and  $2.0 \times AA$ , respectively; Fig. 3C). A similar trend was observed in the young leaves due to the highest  $O<sub>3</sub>$ concentration (-21%; Fig. 3D).

The two-way ANOVA of tocopherols content revealed that the interaction between O<sub>3</sub> treatment and leaf age, as well as the effects of the " $O_3$ " factor, were significant in both clones (Fig. 3E and 3F). In the Oxford plants, only the highest  $O_3$  concentration induced a decrease of tocopherols in the old leaves (− 23%). No significant differences were observed among  $O_3$  treatments in the young leaves. In the I-214 plants, a slight decrease of tocopherols was found in the old leaves grown under  $2.0 \times$  AA conditions (-19%). An opposite trend was observed in the young leaves due to  $O_3$  treatments (+12 and +13% under 1.5  $\times$  AA and  $2.0 \times$  AA, respectively; Fig. 3F). In the Oxford plants grown under AA conditions, no significant differences were observed between old and young leaves in terms of lutein and β-carotene. In both clones grown under AA conditions, the content of tocopherols was higher in old leaves than young ones  $(+31$  and  $+48\%$  in the Oxford and I-214 plants, respectively).

## *3.6. Photosynthetic pigment contents*

The two-way ANOVA of total chlorophylls content revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  treatment and leaf age, as well as the effects of the " $O_3$ " factor, were significant in both clones [\(Fig. 4](#page-6-0)A and 4B). In the Oxford plants, a significant decrease of total chlorophylls was found in old leaves grown under 1.5 × AA and 2.0 × AA conditions (-53% as average). In the young leaves, only the highest  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentration induced a decrease of total chlorophylls (− 25%). In the I-214 plants, a decrease of total chlorophylls was found in the old and young leaves grown under  $2.0 \times$  AA conditions ( $-26\%$  as average).

The two-way ANOVA of chlorophylls *a*/*b* ratio revealed that the interaction between  $O_3$  treatment and leaf age, as well as the effects of the " $O_3$ " factor, were significant in both clones ([Fig. 4C](#page-6-0) and 4D). In the Oxford plants, a slight increase of chlorophylls *a*/*b* ratio was found in the old leaves grown under  $2.0 \times AA$  conditions (+11%). No significant differences were observed among  $O_3$  treatments in young leaves. An opposite trend was observed in the I-214 plants regardless of the leaf age, except in the case of young leaves grown under 1.5  $\times$  AA conditions (-21 and -35% in old leaves grown under 1.5  $\times$  AA and 2.0  $\times$  AA conditions,  $-39\%$  in young leaves grown under  $2.0 \times$  AA conditions). In the Oxford plants grown under AA conditions, the total content of chlorophylls was higher in young leaves than old ones (+22%). In both clones grown under AA conditions, no significant differences were observed between old and young leaves in terms of chlorophylls *a*/*b* 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

under three levels of ozone concentration [ambient air (AA, *white fill*), 1.5 × AA (*light grey fill*) and 2.0 × AA (*dark grey fill*)] for five months (May–October). Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard error (n = 4) on a fresh weight (FW) basis. ANOVA: \*\*\* $P \le 0.001$ , \*\* $P \le 0.01$ . Since two-way ANOVA revealed a significant ozone and leaf age interaction on total chlorophylls and chlorophylls *a*/*b* ratio according to Tukey's *post-hoc* test, different letters indicate significant differences among means in each graph (*P* ≤ 0.05).

ratio.

# **4. Discussion**

4.1. *Do increasing O<sub>3</sub> concentrations differentially affect the macroscopic responses (in terms of biomass and visible injury) of examined clones in relation to their sensitivity?* 

Differential macroscopic responses were observed between  $O<sub>3</sub>$ -sensitive and -resistant clones grown under  $1.5 \times AA$  conditions. In the Oxford plants, a significant reduction of coarse root biomass - which supports them mechanically - was documented, while fine roots, - which provide nutrients and water,- and aboveground biomass were not significantly affected. The impact of intermediate  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentration seemed unequal between root classes, and the imbalance in carbon allocation/partitioning towards roots (i.e., biomass reduction) could be attributed to the appearance of visible foliar injury, and a limited production and translocation of new photo assimilates, although leaf biomass remained unchanged [\(Paoletti et al., 2021](#page-8-0)). In the I-214 plants exposed to  $1.5 \times AA$ , leaf and belowground biomass were not significantly affected, while a significant increase in stem and branch biomass was observed. Because new leaf development was enhanced considerably in O<sub>3</sub>-exposed I-214 but not in the Oxford clones (Hoshika et al unpublished), it is possible that the peculiar indeterminate growth pattern of I-214 poplar shoots may have an ability to shift allocation resources to stems and branches. Considering the unchanged PII values, this ability could help the I-214 plants to cope the  $O_3$ -induced foliage damage as similarly reported in *Alnus glutinosa* with a continuous shoot growth ([Hoshika et al., 2020\)](#page-8-0). In both clones, the highest  $O_3$  concentration (2.0  $\times$  AA) induced a decrease of belowground biomass regardless of the root class, which was attributed to the fact that leaves were injured, their growth were significantly suppressed and their capability to produce photosynthates was altered [\(Agathokleous et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2016\)](#page-8-0). The observed increase of shoot/root ratio suggests that roots were more stressed than shoots in both clones grown under  $2.0 \times AA$ 

conditions, independently to their sensitivity/resistance to  $O_3$ ). This could be probably due to (i) limited photosynthate production in the foliage with less carbon flux in the other organs, (ii) accumulation of photosynthates in above-ground biomass rather than belowground one, (iii) maintenance of leaves to replace  $O_3$ -injured tissues, and/or (iv) production of antioxidant and osmoprotectant compounds [\(Riikonen](#page-8-0)  [et al., 2020\)](#page-8-0). The sensitivity of biomass allocation and carbon partitioning to highest  $O_3$  concentrations did not differ between the examined clones, but may depend on leaf ontology, morphology and anatomy ([Rankenberg et al., 2021](#page-8-0)). In particular, responses between old and young leaves could vary according to the increasing  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations.

# *4.2. What are the metabolic and cellular mechanisms activated by chronic O3 exposure in old and young leaves of the examined clones?*

In both clones, the impact of intermediate  $O_3$  concentrations on ROS production appeared to be leaf age-specific in view of the accumulation of  $H_2O_2$  observed only in old leaves of the Oxford plants (where the basal levels of this ROS were significant high) and in young leaves of the I-214 ones. The induction of an oxidative burst was associated with membrane injury, indicating an inadequate response of the antioxidative systems. In old leaves of Oxford plants grown under  $1.5 \times$  AA conditions, the concomitant reduction of lutein and β-carotene indicates that these lipid-soluble antioxidants could be consumed by cells in order to counteract the ROS generation. However, these additional antioxidative mechanisms were not able to prevent the (i) rearrangement of the photosynthetic apparatus, (ii) partial breakdown of chlorophylls, and (iii) oxidative cleavage of carotenoids, that led to the production of ABA ([Havaux, 2013;](#page-8-0) [Pellegrini et al., 2015\)](#page-8-0). In young leaves of the I-214 plants grown under  $1.5 \times$  AA conditions, on the other hand, the marked accumulation of proline and tocopherols suggests that these metabolites could provide antioxidative protection to chloroplasts, as confirmed by the unchanged content of total chlorophylls ([Mesa and Munn](#page-8-0)é-Bosch, [2023\)](#page-8-0). Indeed, proline is anamino acid which is known to play a highly beneficial role in plants exposed to abiotic stress being an osmolyte, a free radical scavenger, a carbon and nitrogen reserve, and a signaling molecule [\(Szabados and Savour](#page-8-0)é, 2010; [Hayat et al., 2012\)](#page-8-0). Tocopherols are the most abundant antioxidants in the chloroplast membranes and are known to protect plants against photo-oxidative damage due to an unique ability to quench singlet oxygen by a charge transfer mechanism ([Neelam et al., 2023](#page-8-0)). However, the abovementioned cellular processes were not able to inhibit the peroxidation action of free radicals and protect the cell structure,as demonstrated by the observed macroscopic responses. Abscisic acid is a phytohormone that regulates many aspects of plant physiology, development and growth, and abiotic stress responses ([Cutler et al., 2010](#page-8-0)). Several works documented the activation of its signalling pathway during  $O_3$  exposure, with a significant increase in terms of content and abundance of ABA-related genes [\(Cotrozzi et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2017; McAdam et al., 2017](#page-8-0); [Landi et al., 2019\)](#page-8-0). In this work, it is worth noting that ABA level decreased and seemed not to be involved in osmoregulation, but may have a pivotal role in  $O<sub>3</sub>$ -eliciting adaptive mechanisms as the stimulation of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis; (González-Villagra et al., 2018). Conversely, intermediate  $O_3$  concentrations did not elicit cellular H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production in young leaves of Oxford plants and in old leaves of I-214 ones, where the basal levels of this ROS were significant high. Consequently,  $O_3$  reacting spontaneously with unsaturated lipids of the plasma membrane could lead to the production of peroxidative processes, as confirmed by the significant increase of MDA by-products levels (Kangasjärvi et al., 2005). In young leaves of the Oxford plants grown under  $1.5 \times AA$  conditions, an accumulation of total carbohydrates was observed, but the other examined osmoprotectants did not change. This leads to exclude the involvement of carbohydrates in the osmoregulation of this sensitive clone. In particular, the occurrence of other mechanisms may justify the carbohydrate accumulation in plants as (i) remobilization of stored starch into hexoses, (ii) excess C availability due to decreased amino acid synthesis, and (iii) reduced sugars export and translocation ([Liu et al., 2013](#page-8-0); [Sade et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2018\)](#page-8-0). In old leaves of I-214 plants grown under  $1.5 \times$  AA conditions, the concomitant decrease of proline and total carbohydrates indicates that these compounds may serve as non-enzymatic antioxidants regeneration to buffer the cellular redox potential and accelerate defensive mechanisms, as confirmed by the reduced chlorophylls *a*/*b* ratio ([Rathore and Chadhary, 2021](#page-8-0)).

The impact of the highest  $O_3$  concentrations on ROS production did not appear to be clone-specific in view of the increased  $H_2O_2$  levels, which may react with some plasma membrane and cell wall components by leading to uncontrolled oxidative pressure and lipid peroxidation (as confirmed by the increased MDA by-products values; [Czarnocka and](#page-8-0)  Karpińsky, 2018). It is worth noting that old leaves of Oxford plants showed a more severe oxidative damage as compared to young ones, probably due to a less efficacious and inadequate antioxidative system. In both young and old leaves, regardless of their age, a degradation of chlorophyll content was observed, and it did not compensate for the degradation of lipid-soluble antioxidants in the chloroplast [i.e., β-carotene and tocopherols (only in old leaves)]. The rearrangement of the pigment composition of the photosynthetic apparatus was not sufficient to stabilize the lipid phase of the thylakoid membranes and preserve the PSII photochemistry, even if it was concurrent with an accumulation of ABA and proline (this was most evident in old leaves; [Wei et al., 2015](#page-9-0)). Consequently, the decline of total chlorophylls may be considered an O<sub>3</sub>-induced secondary effect related to accelerated aging and/or nutrient remobilization,as confirmed by the significant increase in total carbohydrates ([Pellegrini et al., 2015\)](#page-8-0). In I-214 plants grown under  $2.0 \times$  AA conditions, differential metabolic responses were observed in relation to leaf age. In old leaves, tocopherols degradation exceeds their synthesis by inducing chlorophylls loss, lipid peroxidation and oxidative cleavage of lipid-soluble antioxidants (e.g., lutein and β-carotene), which led the production of ABA. The significant decrease of proline indicates the role of this molecule as non-enzymatic antioxidant involved in the defensive mechanisms against  $O<sub>3</sub>$  (Rathore and [Chadhary, 2021\)](#page-8-0). In young leaves, a marked accumulation of

tocopherols and proline was observed suggesting that these metabolites could provide antioxidative protection to chloroplasts, as confirmed by the reduced chlorophylls  $a/b$  ratio [\(Mesa and Munn](#page-8-0)é-Bosch, 2023). However, these cellular processes were not able to inhibit the peroxidation action of free radicals and protect the cell structure, as demonstrated by the observed macroscopic responses. Consequently, the decline in chlorophyll and the concomitant increase of total carbohydrates indicates that the highest  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations could trigger early senescence or damage. It is worth noting that ABA seemed not to be involved in premature leaf death, as confirmed by its significant decrease, but may have a pivotal role in  $O_3$ -eliciting adaptive mechanisms (e.g., stimulation of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis; González-Villagra et al., 2018).

#### **5. Conclusions**

Old leaves are known to be more sensitive to  $O_3$  in terms of visible injury than those still in expansion ([Turc et al., 2021\)](#page-8-0). However, the mechanisms underlying the higher O<sub>3</sub> tolerance in young leaves remain unclear and the differential responses as a function of the leaf development stage require further investigations. Here, we untangled the age-specific antioxidant and osmotic adjustments (and their cross-talk) that may reduce/prevent the impact of increasing  $O_3$  concentrations on two poplar clones differing in  $O_3$  sensitivity. Different metabolic and cellular mechanisms were activated in examined poplar clones concerning leaf age. In I-214 poplar plants grown under  $1.5 \times AA$  conditions, young leaves were less sensitive to oxidative stress due to the biochemical traits that regulate the degree of tolerance (in terms of visible injury), carbon allocation strategy, detoxification and/or repair processes, leading to high tolerance against the negative impacts of O3 on plant biomass development.

## **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

**Claudia Pisuttu:** Writing – original draft, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Samuele Risoli:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation. **Lorenzo Cotrozzi:** Writing – review & editing, Validation. **Cristina Nali:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Conceptualization. **Elisa Pellegrini:** Writing – original draft, Supervision, Resources, Conceptualization. **Yasutomo Hoshika:** Writing – original draft, Validation, Investigation. **Barbara Baesso Moura:** Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Elena Paoletti:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources.

## **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# **Data availability**

The authors do not have permission to share data.

# **Acknowledgements**

We thank for financial support to Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Firenze (2013/7956), LIFE project AIRFRESH (LIFE20 GIE/IT/000091) of the European Commission, PNRR for Mission 4 (Component 2, Notice 3264/2021, IR0000032) - ITINERIS - Italian Integrated Environmental Research Infrastructure System CUP B53C22002150006, and National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.4 - Call for tender No. 3138 of December 16, 2021, rectified by Decree n.3175 of December 18, 2021 of Italian Ministry of University and Research funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU, Award Number: Project code CN\_00000033, Concession Decree No. 1034 of June 17, 2022 adopted by the Italian Ministry of University and Research, CUP B83C22002930006, Project title "National Biodiversity <span id="page-8-0"></span>Future Center - NBFC" (Spoke 5). This paper and related research have been conducted during and with the support of the Italian national interuniversity PhD course in Sustainable Development and Climate change ([https://www.phd-sdc.it/\)](https://www.phd-sdc.it/).

# **References**

- [Agathokleous, E., Saitanis, C.J., Wang, X., Watanabe, M., Koike, T., 2016. A review study](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref1)  on past 40 years of research on effects of tropospheric  $O_3$  on belowground structure, [functioning and processes of trees: a linkage with potential ecological implications.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref1)  [Water Air Soil Pollut. 227, 33.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref1)
- [Bergante, S., Barbetti, R., Coaloa, D., Facciotto, G., 2023. Nitrogen fertilization of 'I-214](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref2)' [poplar trees with urea and different slow-release fertilizers: yield, economic and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref2)  [environmental aspects. Biomass Bioenergy 173, 106806.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref2)
- Bielczynski, L.W., Lą[cki, M.K., Hoefnagels, I., Gambin, A., Croce, R., 2017. Leaf and plant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref3)  [age affects photosynthetic performance and photoprotective capacity. Plant Physiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref3)  [175, 1634](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref3)–1648.
- [Boublin, F., Cabassa-Hourton, C., Leymarie, J., Leitao, L., 2022. Potential involvement of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref4)  [proline and flavonols in plant responses to ozone. Environ. Res. 207, 112214.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref4)
- Calatayud, V., Cerveró, J., Sanz, M.J., 2007. Foliar, physiological and growth responses [of four maple species exposed to ozone. Water, Air. Soil Poll. 185, 239](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref5)–254.

[Calzone, A., Cotrozzi, L., Pellegrini, E., Guidi, L., Lorenzini, G., Nali, C., 2020.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref6) [Differential response strategies of pomegranate cultivars lead to similar tolerance to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref6) 

[increasing salt concentrations. Sci. Hortic. 271, 109441.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref6) [Cotrozzi, L., Conti, B., Lorenzini, G., Pellegrini, E., Nali, C., 2021. In the tripartite](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref7) combination ozone-poplar-*Chrysomela populi*[, the pollutant alters the plant-insect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref7)  [interaction via primary metabolites of foliage. Environ. Res. 201, 111581](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref7).

- [Cotrozzi, L., Pellegrini, E., Guidi, L., Landi, M., Lorenzini, G., Massai, R., Remorini, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref8) [Tonelli, M., Trivellini, A., Vernieri, P., Nali, C., 2017. Losing the warning signal:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref8) [drought compromises the cross-talk of signaling molecules in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref8) *Quercus ilex* exposed to [ozone. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 1020.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref8)
- [Cutler, S.R., Rodriguez, P.L., Finkelstein, R.R., Abrams, S.R., 2010. Abscisic Acid:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref9) [emergence of a core signaling network. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 61 \(1\), 651](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref9)–679.
- Czarnocka, W., Karpińsky, S., 2018. Friend or foe? Reactive oxygen species production, [scavenging and signaling in plant response to environmental stresses. Free Radic.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref10)  [Biol. Med. 122, 4](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref10)–20.
- [Dewan, S., Bamola Lakhani, A., 2024. Addressing ozone pollution to promote United](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref11) [Nations sustainable development goal 2: ensuring global food security. Chemosphere](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref11)  [347, 140693.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref11)
- [Giovannelli, A., Deslauriers, A., Fragnelli, G., Scaletti, L., Castro, G., Rossi, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref13)  [Crivellaro, A., 2007. Evaluation of drought response of two poplar clones \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref13)*Populus* × *canadensis Mönch* 'I-214' and *P. deltoides Marsh.* 'Dvina') through high resolution [analysis of stem growth. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 2673](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref13)–2683.
- [Giovannelli, A., Traversi, M.L., Anichini, M., Hoshika, Y., Fares, S., Paoletti, E., 2019.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref14) [Effect of long-term vs. short-term ambient ozone exposure on radial stem growth,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref14)  [sap flux and xylem morphology of O3-sensitive poplar trees. Forests 10, 396](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref14).
- González-Villagra, [J., Cohen, J.D., Reyes-Diaz, M.M., 2018. Abscisic acid \(ABA\) is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref15) [involved in phenolic compounds biosynthesis mainly anthocyanins, in leaves of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref15)  *Aristotelia chilensis* [plants \(Mol.\) subjected to drought stress. Physiol. Plantarum 165,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref15)  855–[866](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref15).
- [Guidi, L., Nali, C., Lorenzini, G., Filippi, F., Soldatini, G.F., 2001. Effect of chronic ozone](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref16)  [fumigation on the photosynthetic process of poplar clones showing different](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref16) [sensitivity. Environ. Pollut. 113, 245](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref16)–254.
- [Guidi, L., Remorini, D., Cotrozzi, L., Giordani, T., Lorenzini, G., Massai, R., et al., 2017.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref17)  [The harsh life of an urban tree: the effect of a single pulse of ozone in salt-stressed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref17)  *Quercus ilex* [saplings. Tree Physiol. 37, 246](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref17)–260.

[Hartmann, H., Bahn, M., Carbone, M., Richardson, A.D., 2020. Plant carbon allocation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref18)  a changing world – [challenges and progress: introduction to a Virtual Issue on carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref18)  [allocation. New Phytol. 227, 981](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref18)–988.

- [Hayat, S., Hayat, Q., Alyemeni, M.N., Wani, A.S., Pichtel, J., Ahmad, A., 2012. Role of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref19) [proline under changing environments: a review. Plant Signal. Behav. 7 \(11\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref19)  [1456](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref19)–1466.
- [Havaux, M., 2013. Carotenoid oxidation products as stress signals in plants. Plant J. 79,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref20)  597–[606](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref20).

[Hodges, D.M., DeLong, J.M., Forney, C.F., Prange, R.K., 1999. Improving the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref21) [thiobarbituric acid reactive substances assay for estimating lipid peroxidation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref21)  [plant tissues containing anthocyanin and other interfering compounds. Planta 207,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref21) 604–[611](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref21).

- [Hoshika, Y., Carrari, E., Mariotti, B., Martini, S., De Marco, A., Sicard, P., et al., 2020.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref22) [Flux-based ozone risk assessment for a Plant Injury Index \(PII\) in three European](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref22)  [cool-temperature deciduous tree species. Forests 11, 82.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref22)
- Hoshika, Y., Paoletti Pisuttu, C., Cotrozzi, L., Pellegrini, E., Nali, C., et al. Differential Leaf Phenology Affects the Interaction of Ozone and Leaf Age on Mesophyll Conductance to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in Poplars (unpublished)..

Kangasjärvi, J., Jaspers, P., Kollist, H., 2005. Signalling and cell death in ozone-exposed [plants. Plant Cell Environ. 28, 1021](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref24)–1036.

[Keunen, E., Peshev, D., Vangronsveld, J., Van Den Ende, W., Cuypers, A., 2013. Plant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref25)  [sugars are crucial players in the oxidative challenge during abiotic stress: extending](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref25)  [the traditional concept. Plant Cell Environ. 36, 1242](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref25)–1255.

[Kikuzawa, K., Lechowicz, M.J., 2011. The Ecology of Leaf Longevity. Springer, New](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref26) [York, NY](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref26).

[Landi, M., Cotrozzi, L., Pellegrini, E., Remorini, D., Tonelli, M., Trivellini, A., Nali, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref27) [Guidi, L., Massai, R., Vernieri, P., Lorenzini, G., 2019. When](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref27) "thirsty" means "less [able to activate the signaling wave trigged by a pulse of ozone](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref27)": a case of study in [two Mediterranean deciduous oak species with different drought sensitivity. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref27)  [Total Environ. 657, 379](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref27)–390.

- [Liu, Y.H., Offler, C.E., Ruan, Y.L., 2013. Regulation of fruit and seed response to heat and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref28)  [drought by sugars as nutrients and signals. Front. Plant Sci. 4, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref28)–12.
- [Marzuoli, R., Gerosa, G., Desotgiu, R., Bussotti, F., Ballarin-Denti, A., 2009. Ozone fluxes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref29)  [and foliar injury development in the ozone-sensitive poplar clone Oxford \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref29)*Populus maximowiczii* × *Populus berolinensis*): a dose–[response analysis. Tree Physiol. 29,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref29)  67–[76, 776.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref29)
- Mesa, T., Munné-Bosch, S., 2023. α[-tocopherol in chloroplasts: nothing more than an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref30) [antioxidant. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 74, 102400](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref30).
- [McAdam, E.L., Brodribb, T.J., McAdam, S.A., 2017. Does ozone increase ABA levels by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref31)  [non-enzymatic synthesis causing stomata to close? Plant Cell Environ. 40 \(5\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref31)  741–[747](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref31).
- [Nath, K., Phee, B.K., Jeong, S., Lee, S.Y., Tateno, Y., Allakhverdiev, S.I., Lee, C.H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref33)  [Nam, H.G., 2013. Age-dependent changes in the functions and compositions of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref33)  [photosynthetic complexes in the thylakoid membranes of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref33) *Arabidopsis thaliana*. [Photosynth. Res. 117, 547](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref33)–556.
- Neelam, M., Chenkai, J., Lin, C., Abhirup, P., Chatterje, A., Shen, G., 2023. Achieving abiotic stress tolerance in plants through antioxidative defense mechanisms. Front. Plant Sci. 14 [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1110622.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1110622)
- [Ozden, M., Demirel, U., Kahraman, A., 2009. Effects of proline on antioxidant system in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref35)  leaves of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera L.*) exposed to oxidative stress by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Sci. Hortic. [119 \(2\), 163](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref35)–168.
- [Pantin, F., Simonneau, T., Muller, B., 2012. Coming of leaf age: control of growth by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref36)  [hydraulics and metabolics during leaf ontogeny. New Phytol. 196, 349](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref36)–366.
- Paoletti, E., Ferrara, A.M., Calatayud, V., Cerveró, J., Giannetti, F., Sanz, M.J., [Manning, W.J., 2009. Deciduous shrubs for ozone bioindication:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref37) *Hibiscus syriacus* as [an example. Environ. Pollut. 157, 865](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref37)–870.
- [Paoletti, E., Materassi, A., Fasano, G., Hoshika, Y., Carriero, G., Silaghi, D., 2017. A new](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref38)  [generation 3D ozone FACE \(free air controlled exposure\). Sci. Total Environ. 575,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref38) [1407](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref38)–1414.
- [Paoletti, E., Hoshika, Y., Arab, L., Martini, S., Cotrozzi, L., Weber, D., et al., 2021. Date](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref39)  [palm responses to a chronic, realistic ozone exposure in a FACE experiment. Environ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref39)  [Res. 195, 110868.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref39)
- [Pellegrini, E., Francini, A., Lorenzini, G., Nali, C., 2015. Ecophysiological and antioxidant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref40)  traits of *Salvia officinalis* [under ozone stress. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref40)  13083–[13093.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref40)
- [Pellegrini, E., Cotrozzi, L., Neri, L., Baraldi, R., Carrari, E., Nali, C., et al., 2021. Stress](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref41)  [markers and physiochemical responses of the Mediterranean shrub](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref41) *Phillyrea angustifolia* [under current and future drought and ozone scenarios. Environ. Res. 201,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref41)  [111615](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref41).
- Pintó-Marijuan, M., Munné-Bosch, S., 2014. Photo-oxidative stress markers as a measure [of abiotic stress-induced leaf senescence: advantages and limitations. J. Exp. Bot. 65,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref42)  [3845](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref42)–3857.
- [Pisuttu, C., Lo Piccolo, E., Paolo, L., Cotrozzi, L., Nali, C., Pellegrini, E., et al., 2023.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref43)  [Physiochemical responses of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref43) *Ailanthus altissima* under the challenge of *Verticillium dahliae*[: elucidating the decline of one of the world](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref43)'s worst invasive alien plant [species. Biol. Invasions 25, 61](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref43)–78.
- [Pshybytko, N., Kruk, J., Lysenko, E., Strzalka, K., Demdchil, V., 2023. Heat-induced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref44)  [modifications of photosynthetic electron flows in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref44) *Hordeum vulgare* leaves of different [age. Environ. Exp. Bot. 206, 105151](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref44).
- [Rankenberg, T., Geldhof, B., van Veen, H., Holsteens, K., Van de Poel, B., Sasidharan, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref45)  [2021. Age-dependent abiotic stress resilience in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 26,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref45)  692–[705](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref45).
- [Rathore, D., Chadhary, I.J., 2021. Effects of tropospheric ozone on groundnut \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref46)*Arachis hypogea* [L.\) cultivars: role of plant age and antioxidative potential. Atmos. Pollut.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref46)  [Res. 12, 334](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref46)–348.
- Riikonen, J., Kivimäenpää, M., Ossipov, V., Saunier, A., Marquardt, P., 2020. Metabolite [composition of paper birch buds after eleven growing seasons of exposure to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref47)  [elevated CO2 and O3. In: Applied Organic Chemistry: Reaction Mechanisms and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref47) [Experimental Procedures in Medicinal Chemistry, First Edition. Surya K. De.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref47) © 2021 [WILEY-VCH GmbH. Published 2021. WILEY-VCH GmbH](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref47).
- Szabados, L., Savouré, A., 2010. Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends Plant Sci. [15 \(2\), 89](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref48)–97.
- [Sachdev, S., Ansari, S.A., Ansari, M.I., Fujita, M., Hasanuzzaman, M., 2021. Abiotic stress](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref49)  [and reactive oxygen species: generation, signaling and defense mechanisms.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref49) [Antioxidants 10, 227](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref49).
- [Sade, N., del Mar Rubio-Wilhelmi, N., Umnajkitikorn, K., Blumwald, E., 2018. Stress](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref50)[induced senescence and plant tolerance to abiotic stress. J. Exp. Bot. 69, 845](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref50)–853.
- [Shin, R., Berg, R.H., Schachtman, D.P., 2005. Reactive oxygen species and root hairs in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref51)  *Arabidopsis* [root response to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium deficiency. Plant](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref51)  [Cell Physiol. 46, 1350](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref51)–1357.
- [Snider, J.L., Choinski Jr., J.S., Wise, R.R., 2009. Juvenile](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref52) *Rhus glabra* leaves have higher [temperatures and lower gas exchanges rates than mature leaves when compared in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref52)  [the field during periods of high irradiance. J. Plant Physiol. 166, 686](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref52)–696.
- [Sperdouli, I., Moustakas, M., 2014. Leaf developmental stage modulates metabolite](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref54) [accumulation and photosynthesis contributing to acclimation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref54) *Arabidopsis thaliana*  [to water deficit. J. Plant Res. 127, 481](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref54)–489.
- Stirbet, A., Lazár, D., Guo, Y., Govindjee, G., 2020. Photosynthesis: basics, history and [modelling. Ann. Bot. 126, 511](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref55)–537.
- Tramacere, L.G., Sbrana, M., Antichi, D., 2023. N<sub>2</sub> use in perennial swards intercropped [with young poplars, clone I-214 \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref56)*Populus* × *euramericana* (Dode) Guinier), in the [Mediterranean Area under Rainfed Conditions. Agron 13, 1761.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref56)
- [Turc, B., Vollenweider, P., Le Thiec, D., Gandin, A., Schaub, M., Caban](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref57)´e, M., et al., 2021. Dynamics of foliar response to  $O_3$  stress as a function of phytotoxic  $O_3$  dose in hybrid [poplar. Front. Plant Sci. 12, 679852](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref57).

# <span id="page-9-0"></span>*C. Pisuttu et al.*

# *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 208 (2024) 108450*

[Wei, L., Wang, L., Yang, Y., Liu, G., Wu, Y., Guo, T., et al., 2015. Abscisic acid increases](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref58)  [leaf starch content of polyethylene glycol-treated wheat seedlings by temporally](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref58)  [increasing transcripts of genes encoding starch synthesis enzymes. Acta Physiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref58)  [Plant. 37, 205.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref58)

[Wingler, A., Roistch, T., 2008. Metabolic regulation of leaf senescence: interactions of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref59) [sugar signaling with biotic and abiotic stress responses. Plant Biol. 10, 50](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref59)–62.<br>Ye, C., Zheng, S., Jiang, D., Lu, J., Huang, Z., Liu

[of programmed cell death and regulation of reactive oxygen species in plants. Int. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref60)  [Mol. Sci. 22, 12942.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0981-9428(24)00118-9/sref60)